

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Second?
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Second.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Any discussion? I had two very minor things. On Page 2, SIGMA, third paragraph down is misspelled. It's S-E-I-G not S-I-G. I think the minutes keep getting better and better, by the way, Sharon. You're doing a great job.

Then on the last -- Page 11, again, this is very small, but under the 234 entry under Commissioner updates, Commissioner Zuniga and I talked about the strategic planning for research and
responsible gaming. It says for the next five years. It was actually for the future. There was no time limit on it. But other than that, it was fine. Anybody else?

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: No.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: All in favor? Aye.
COMMISSIONER O'BRIEN: Aye.
COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye.
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Aye.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Opposed? The ayes have it unanimously.

Executive Director Bedrosian.
MR. BEDROSIAN: Good morning,
Commissioners.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Good morning.
COMMISSIONER O'BRIEN: Good morning.
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Good morning.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Good morning.
COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Good morning.
MR. BEDROSIAN: I have a couple of items before we get to the MGM update which I've asked --

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Can I just interrupt?
MR. BEDROSIAN: Sure.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: To say this now, just so it's up front. We have the community mitigation fund agenda item on as item 4b which would suggest that it be fairly early in the day, but what we have decided to do is do all of the other agenda items because a lot of people have come to visit to do that, so all of the other agenda items except for commissioner updates will be done in advance of 4b, so chances are pretty good it won't be until the afternoon. So if anybody is watching to see what happens with the community mitigation grant, it will be awhile before we get to it. I'm sorry.

MR. BEDROSIAN: Okay. So I'm done. No, and that was one of the items, but thank you.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Sorry.
MR. BEDROSIAN: The other agenda item I wanted to mention is at the last meeting I proposed a process to get to the MGM beverage license, one of which could have been a presentation today about the use of outdoor space at MGM Springfield. We are not quite ready for that. Staff was working with both Springfield and MGM last week. We anticipate that could be hopefully on the 21st, and potentially with a vote. I know that we put the limited 4 a.m.
service out for public comment until $I$ think we said June 4th. Given this delay, to the extent people continue to want to comment on that, I would suggest potentially putting that out for another week to ten days. And I will know and I can talk to you, Mr. Chairman, about when we would put that formal vote on the agenda.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Okay. So Elaine, you'll put that out again? Great.

MR. BEDROSIAN: The other thing is this weekend is racing at Suffolk Downs, first weekend of racing at Suffolk Downs, obviously coinciding, coincidentally or not coincidentally, with the third leg of the Triple Crown.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: It's coinciding.
MR. BEDROSIAN: It is not coincidental. I understand that. Yes, I did communicate with Mr. Tuttle today. He told me or reported that they intend to have potentially 13 races on Saturday and 12 on Sunday, including four Mass bred races. He's reporting they have strong interest, full fields, and over $\$ 1$ million in purses in awards available for the weekend.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Yeah.

MR. BEDROSIAN: I think it looks like it's going to be good weather also. I think our staff that's 13 races and 12 races. We can do it. That's getting towards the limit of our capabilities, but we can do it. I know we started licensing already on Tuesday. So, thanks to our staff.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Great.
MR. BEDROSIAN: Now, as far as the MGM --
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Can I just ask another quick -- sorry. It was in the minutes. I just wanted to ask you, did you have a chance to deal with the employee survey update issue that we talked about two weeks ago making sure that gets done?

MR. BEDROSIAN: Mr. Vanhorn (phonetic) and I had follow-up calls with the MGM folks and we're absolutely, and also with our legal team on potential other strategies, and we are following up on it, and I had communications with the MGM folks as recently as yesterday.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Okay. Great. Thank you.
MR. BEDROSIAN: So as far as the MGM update goes, obviously preparations continue. I understand they had a major hiring event Sunday, Monday, and Tuesday of this week. I was out at the property
last Thursday. I was told by our staff, the last shipment of slot machines actually came in on Thursday. Just having seen the floor and the condition it was in on Thursday, I can't imagine where they are going to put any more slot machines, but they are there. So we are getting a lot closer to getting ready to go.

It's also occurred to me, you know, there are certain things -- there's certain variables of preparation to post the operating certificate that are within our control and some that are not within our control.

For example, construction is not necessarily directly within our control. We monitor it. We hope it's going to be ready. We anticipate it is going to be ready, but there are things, like, licensing and certifying the gaming floor that are within our control. So I asked Directors Band and Connelly today to come and give you a brief update on how those variables within our control go.

Director Band also is running a gaming agent and gaming enforcement unit training seminar now. How many weeks is that, Director?

MR. BAND: Seven.

MR. BEDROSIAN: Seven weeks, so he can give you a quick update on that. And then after they're done, the last thing is, $I$ have a proposal for the Commission on a process to postdate what they call posting the gaming certificate that I'll describe to you after you hear from Director Band and Director Connelly.

So Director Band, if you would start.
MR. BAND: Yes. MGM continues to kind of move forward with their construction. They had 2,500 slot machines delivered to MGM. 2,300 are actually on the bases, and 678 are communicating with both the CMS and the IGT system. This allows us actually to complete our inspection. Yesterday was our first machine totally inspected with a gaming seal on it. We are hoping to get about 250, 300 a week easily on this.

Table game wise, they have 93 tables delivered. They are being outfitted with the equipment, like shufflers, layouts, and things like that. We will start inspecting that about mid-June, and they will be ready in July for their use.

Our gaming school is really coming along well. Today everybody got to be at Penn (phonetic)
at 4:30 in the morning to observe what a pick up was at 4:30.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: All your gaming agents.
MR. BAND: Everybody was there at 4:30 in the morning to do the drop box pickup.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: A little early for Springfield PD to get there?

MR. BAND: Yeah, exactly. I think so.
COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Never early. Never early.

MR. BAND: They have actually been driving here to get here at nine every morning.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: I know.
MR. BAND: So I know they have to get up early to get here. But overall, everything has been going as planned. We couldn't ask for a better group of people.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Great. In terms of size, you got enough people?

MR. BAND: We still have, like, three gaming agent positions open that just haven't gotten through the process yet, but we will be fine in a few weeks.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Great.

MR. BEDROSIAN: Director Connelly.
MR. CONNELLY: So as Ed mentioned, a couple of mass hiring events. We are focused with MGM on two things. There's, you know, a number of applications that come in particularly for experienced dealers in other jurisdictions. We are kind of processing them. They're mailing in their prints, because some of them are out of state, and we have been actively, you know, licensing them.

In addition, we are really focused on supporting those mass hiring events. There was one back at the beginning of May, May 8 th and $9 t h$, where they sent over 230 applications our way. So the process is they make the offer, they do the initial background and drug screen. For those folks that make it through that and actually get a contingent offer, we then -- they enter our process.

So the first step in that is the submission of the application, review that, and set up the fingerprinting. Notably, MGM has a great employment center that is right outside of the casino itself where they have the whole HR team. We have been staffing that, specifically state troopers have been conducting fingerprinting. I can't say enough about
the job they're doing, not only from our perspective, but we are also hearing that from MGM in terms of not just fingerprinting, but being really helpful with the candidates when they may have some questions. So that's been going great.

To date, over 13 different fingerprinting events, we have printed 260 individuals which means all those folks are now in background investigation. Some of them are obviously through depending on when they came through.

The next event -- it just happened, as Ed mentioned, the 3rd through the 5th this month, so we are actively talking with MGM about what that pipeline looks like for applications we're going to receive, somewhere probably around 250.

Of note, the exemption that we went through on the SERs has made a major impact. I mean, we knew what the numbers would look like, now we know what it feels like, because almost half the people that came to that event don't go through our process. So it has definitely had an impact.

The first event was large clearance security guards because they have to get those folks into training programs. Again, that is a schedule
that we are trying to work with them to make sure we are getting them through our process so that they can get trained up in time.

This last event, the past few days, a lot of cage folks plus a lot of service employees that we still capture as well as, like we said, the number of exempt people, so those guys are not on the floor.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: It sounds like you're both confident that you will be ready well in advance. So there are no issues around licensing and/or the floor.

MR. BAND: No, everything is moving ahead as we planned.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: It's nice to hear good reports about our team as far as the printing and whatnot, because $I$ know that can be intimidating to people, so being open and having a good rapport is a good...

MR. CONNELLY: It is. It is essential because not only is it -- it's the one time we really see the folks in person. It's a big logistics coordination event, so I should, not only are the individuals who are doing the printing on
the MSP side doing a great job, but MGM does the legwork up front to do the scheduling because their candidates they're working to get them in. They have been doing a great job with that. So the first few events were good. They are now really good. We have done a lot of advanced work where we get kind of biographical information we need to enter into the AVIS machines ahead of time, which means that when folks come in, they are not sitting and filling anything out. They are coming in. They are doing their identity confirmation. They are getting printed and they're moving on. So we can get per machine an additional one or two people per hour through, which has a real impact.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: To the extent that you can, can you just talk to us rough years of how many people are either being processed at this point or have been taken in and going through background as a percentage or license altogether just roughly. MR. CONNELLY: For MGM in 2018 so far -and again, there were a number of people in 2017 who were licensed. We issued about 236 licenses so far or registration so far in 2018. There are currently somewhere between an additional 200 who are in
process. So those folks are going to be coming through the process petty soon. We have, you know, pipeline that I expect to be around 250 from this event plus the continued hiring that happened outside of those events. So it's staging.

Importantly, the people from the June event, if they just got their offers the past few days, we expect to see them for fingerprinting sometime later next week. By the time everything gets processed, they get scheduled, start coming in, so then that's where the workload starts to hit us in particular.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: So these figures, do they include registration, the people that don't have to go to the background check essentially?

MR. CONNELLY: Oh, for the exempt?
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Yes.
MR. CONNELLY: No, I'm not -- I'm not really -- I'm aware of the exempt, but I'm not really tracking them.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: So do I hear you correctly that almost half, let's say of the --

MR. CONNELLY: From this past event.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Oh, from this past
event.
MR. CONNELLY: Yes. So again, it obviously would depend on the positions they were hiring for. This June event they tended to be hiring for a number of the positions that weren't floor positions, so that's why the percentage was pretty high.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: So if I'm doing the math sort of right, you have about 750 people in some way in the pipeline?

MR. CONNELLY: Correct.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: And that number is going to get to maybe a thousand or 1200?

MR. CONNELLY: Around 1200, yeah.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: From the exemptions.
So, if there's similar events, two or three like the ones you just described --

MR. CONNELLY: So there's one more before --

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: -- we're in a smooth place or more of a spike?

MR. CONNELLY: I think it should be a little -- I'm expecting it to be smooth to be honest in terms of processing. Part of the reason is, a
lot of the folks now are service employees, so the way we deal with the licensees and the registrants is a little different in the following sense:

The licensees that come through, clearly there is a huge priority to get those investigations up and running so we can get them some status and get them to work. The registrants, once they go through the fingerprinting event, they have the status to work. So not that we deprioritize, but we certainly focus on those people that we need to get to work, so the burden is a little bit less, right?

So up front, to be honest, it has been good. So the key employees are the really complex ones. MGM hired a lot of them early. We are not seeing them as much anymore. So that relieves a tremendous stress. So right now we are in that gaming employee and service employee phrase, shifting more towards service employee, which means we can focus additionally on the smaller population of gaming employees that we are seeing that have to get through the process as quickly as possible in terms of the investigation.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Right.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: How's the LMS?

MR. CONNELLY: It's working. It's working quite well. I think from internal side, I can tell you that we have been recently talking about the experience between opening MGM and opening Plainridge where we didn't have it, and we did it frankly on paper and Microsoft Excel. It's a world of difference. You know on a number of points. It helps us ensure that everything required is submitted. It helps us track the receipt, status changes, and disposition which is really essential. At any point I need to be able to pull someone up and find out exactly where they are.

All the materials submitted are secure and together and coherent. So there's not, where is this document? It's in there. It also and importantly now as the numbers increase it's helping us with managing the assignment loads on the licensing side and on the investigation side to make sure that we are not overburdening one person. We are able to track a little bit better, and hopefully -- and one of the things we're working on, we actually have a new release with additional functionality coming out at the end of this month is we are always trying to provide additional
transparency to the casinos, so that they have an understanding of what's going on with their applicants. That's critical. They need to know where they stand. So, as I always say, hopefully the questions and the calls that come from the licensee are high-level questions, not where is so and so because hopefully we are providing enough information on their side of $L M S$ to have at least an understanding of where things stand.

But so far so good, but there's always room for improvement, so we're constantly taking feedback from licensees, from staff as to how we can improve it. So it's a kind of a -- it's a living system, but it's working very well as far as I'm concerned.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Now I remember a number of months ago there was a potential of a lot of people from Plainridge having to be relicensed just given the time frame at the time we were doing a lot of MGM.

How is that working itself out?
MR. CONNELLY: So you'll see that soon in that we're going to probably have some -- we'll be talking a lot about renewals starting in December. I think the first -- if my memory serves me right,
the first renewal is going to come up in December, which I think is Lance George, I think. I should look.

But we are going to start to see again, because it's a five-year cycle. We are going to see the first ones, those early ones, but we'll really see the vast majority of them who were licensed and registered in May of '15. My God, that sounds like a long time ago. We'll start to see those in 2020. But what we are seeing in terms of renewals -- we're right in the midst are some vendor renewals. The primary vendors are all in their renewal phase. So, you know, nothing ever ends. Once you get through one thing, the next thing picks up. But that being said, that's not a huge -- that's not a drain right now. The renewal process has been going really smoothly.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Great. Thank you.
MR. BEDROSIAN: Thank you. And
coincidentally, I believe the Plainridge beverage license is up for renewal today.

MR. CONNELLY: It is. That is another renewal.

MR. BEDROSIAN: So the last thing I --

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: I'm sorry, Ed. Has there been a phenomenon of PPC employees applying for Springfield?

MR. CONNELLY: Not in large numbers, no. I think the geography really dictates that.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Interesting. I'm sorry.
MR. BEDROSIAN: No problem. The last thing I have is a proposal for the Commission on process for the issuance and posting of the operation certificate, which is and I think ironically most of you, except for Commissioner O'Brien and myself, were here during the Plainridge opening, so you're probably familiar with this.

The requirements are codified in our regulation 205 CMR 151, but I wanted to talk to you today about the actual process, and it would mirror, I would suggest, what happened in the Plainridge Park process, which was we would pick a date well before opening and have a public meeting, and I would suggest because it's for MGM Springfield that we do it out in Springfield at which senior members, directors would be paired up with their licensee counterparts, come in front of the Commission, and outline the conditions of their particular subject
matter that fulfilled the requirements of Section 151. That would probably be a full meeting.

At the end of that, if you were satisfied, I would ask for two things. One, and this would be part of the presentation, authority to conduct two test nights at MGM Springfield with the criteria that would be outlined for you.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Which would be approximately which dates are you thinking?

MR. BEDROSIAN: Right now I believe they are talking August 16 th and 20 th, so four days apart.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Just to give us an idea.
MR. BEDROSIAN: Yeah, in that time before the actual opening day. The second I would ask you for is a designation of a particular commissioner who would then work with staff, would have the authority to issue what they call a conditional operation certificate between the second test night and the actual opening day, which is the 24 th, and that conditional operation certificate may or may not have a bunch of conditions on it.

That would -- having the designation of one particular commissioner gives a bunch of flexibility
to staff to work with one person in real time depending upon, you know, what issues there are and probably 24 hours a day for a period of time to make sure that everything is buttoned up satisfactory for opening.

Once the opening happened, I would suggest we would come back to the Commission, probably the next week, which would be the week before Labor Day for a public meeting, which I think could be here, and we would then have the Commission ratify -approve the conditional operation certificate with -- if there are any conditions, whatever the conditions are.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: This would be the first commission public meeting after the opening?

MR. BEDROSIAN: Exactly. Exactly. And I would suggest that we probably do it as soon as reasonably possible. We don't have to do it the next day or whatever, but $I$ wouldn't want it to go too far. I would like the whole Commission to ratify that conditional operating certificate as soon as reasonably possible.

So I mention this process for a number of reasons. One of which is to say to the
commissioners if you could keep your calendars free, the first or second Thursday of August.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: The 2 nd or the 9th.
MR. BEDROSIAN: The 2nd or the 9th, correct. And part of that may depend upon the property is due to get its occupancy permit from the City sometime in that time frame. In a perfect world, I would like to have the occupancy permit before we came to do the full meeting, if possible. If not, it would be a condition. So -- and I hope we would know that sometime in July. So for that purposes, I would ask that you keep those meetings open.

And if we are going to delegate a particular commissioner, don't be busy in July -- I mean, I'm sorry in August. In August, yeah, because we would want that commissioner's attention.

Certainly, you know, the last couple of weeks of August, if not slightly before to get him or her up to speed on what is going on. So if you wanted to just to refresh your memory, you had such a meeting on June 15th -- no, I'm sorry the 15th or the 18th in 2015. I'm sorry, June 18th, 2015, and the meeting is in the archives.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: The opening was on the 24 th or was it the 25 th?

MR. BEDROSIAN: You guys were there. In that situation, there was only one test night.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Just one test night, one the night before.

MR. BEDROSIAN: Yeah, this is a little more spread out. I think I'm trying to pull the public meeting up a little also so we're not quite so condensed.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: When you mentioned the certificate of occupancy from the City --

MR. CONNELLY: Yeah.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: -- do you know if that would be the permanent or the temporary certificate of occupancy? And does anybody remember if we --

MR. BEDROSIAN: I think it's permanent. I think right now it's tracked for sometime beginning of August.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: With Plainridge, everything was tightly compressed, and I remember there was a last-minute issue around the fire, the contingency within the casino with the fire company.

We were waiting for everything, and it all happened in a short period of time. So I like the idea -although it worked well. We did fine. Would you agree?

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Yes.
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: We all worked well with that opening.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: You pulled an allnighter.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Well, that's okay.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Twenty-four hours it's not unfathomable.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Commissioner Cameron was the designated commissioner for that one and took her sleeping bag, I think. Go ahead. I'm sorry.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: No. But it worked well, and the team was excellent. We had experienced folks to help us out, as we do again this time, and I think the time frame with a couple more test nights will give us a little more time; is that correct?

MR. BAND: Yes.
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: You sound like that's a good thing. You're shaking your head.

MR. BAND: It is because it gives us a little more time to evaluate them and correct any problems. So I like their proposed test nights.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Right. I think that works. I know for one that Commissioner Stebbins has expressed an interest in the Western Mass casino this time.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: I'd be happy to pull an all-nighter.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: He's happy to pull an all-nighter.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: It's been a while.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Presumptively I think we'll assume that it will be Commissioner Stebbins. We'll make sure that he's got a sleeping bag and is ready to do this, but $I$ think that's our plan. We've talked about that in the past.

MR. BAND: We'll keep him awake. I guarantee it.

MR. BEDROSIAN: So I would say sometime in July we'll try to confirm what date it is in August, and come back obviously not only with the appropriate information, but $I$ assume there is some particular language we would have you vote on for

Commissioner Stebbins' designation.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: We can do whatever we did for Commissioner Cameron last time.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: We had general counsel Blue on the phone at $3 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m} .$, and we were getting the language done.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: For the designation?
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Yes.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Just one other thing, this is a little more granular than we have really had a chance to work out, but Director Bedrosian and I have talked a little bit today about whether or not we would need to have the commission around for the week or ten days after opening in anticipation of the unlikely possibility that something goes wrong, and that we need to have a meeting. I think it's highly unlikely, but tentatively at least what I'm thinking is that we would want to make sure that there were at least three of us around so we could physically get a quorum. That happens to be probably Labor Day weekend, which is a time when everybody is away, but maybe we can think about it. If you've already got plans, let me know. If you're available --
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COMMISSIONER CAMERON: I'm available.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: So we can be here. I'll be here.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: I'll be available as well.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Okay. So there's three. So we will confirm that more think about what, if any, contingencies we might need to consider, but that will be one that we'll plan on. Okay. Great.

MR. BEDROSIAN: That's everything for me. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: All right. Next up is item 4a, Ombudsman Ziemba and his team and his folders binders.

MR. ZIEMBA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and Commissioners. Today we have the first quarter of 2018 report by Encore Boston Harbor for the period ending March 31st, 2018. Joining us today are Robert DeSalvio, president Encore Boston Harbor; Jacqui Crum, senior vice president and general counsel; and Peter Campot, Encore Boston Harbor director of construction. So let me turn it over to Bob.

MR. DeSALVIO: Thank you, John, and good
morning Commissioners.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Good morning.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Good morning.
COMMISSIONER O'BRIEN: Good morning.
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Good morning.
COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Good morning.
MR. DeSALVIO: We are very pleased this
morning to be able to present some really great update news in terms of construction. So I'm going to start by turning it right over to Peter to jump right into the construction update. Thank you.

MR. CAMPOT: Good morning.
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Good morning.
MR. CAMPOT: Good morning.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Good morning.
MR. CAMPOT: Great to be here. Thank you.
I'm pleased to be able to tell you that we're on schedule June 24th, 2019. We are about 68 percent complete from a cash standpoint. I can say we put over a billion dollars worth of construction in place. I actually never got to say that before, so...

We are averaging about 1500 workers on site daily. We expect that to go up by another hundred
or so in the next several months and peak out our labor late this summer. But overall the project is going very, very well.

Suffolk Construction is continuing to do a great job. The trade partners, the unions, the workforce, everyone on site is really positive. It's fun to be part of, truthfully. It's really a great experience. People are starting to see the finishes now. They are getting excited about what they're building. The quality and the overall sense of the space is starting to come together. So that's all -- it really feels good.

I am going to show you some slides now. We'll sort of go through the different areas. The site work is predominantly done in terms of all the underground utilities. We created a new roadway onto Broadway. We've opened that up, and we're landscaping in a major way, which you can see on the slide the peninsula. If you can go to the next slide, Jacqui. That's a little bit better. In real life, that forest looks a lot denser when you're out there, but we planted several hundred trees already, and we plan to have that whole south peninsula done this summer, including the event lawn. So that is
just going to continue, and then we'll progress north along that.

At the same time we are planning out along Broadway the screen along the MBTA so all the site work is in really great shape. Marine work, you can see here the harbor walkway is going in. The next slide, before $I$ go to that.

So all the marine work, harbor side is done. So the overall site is in just tremendous shape. We are going to work on all those roadways and the plantings as the summer continues and try to leave as little plantings left for the spring, because the window next spring is very narrow as you all know.

The garage again is in good shape. Floors two, three, and four are scheduled to be complete this summer and ready to turn over to us in September. So that's great. If you go to the next slide. The podium, the central utility plant, we're turning on all major mechanical systems this summer, and we're on schedule to be able to turn over the plans to our operational people in October. So to get a jump MVP systems is really helpful. So you can see a good image of the exterior of the
building.
So now we're talking about just the podium on the whole podium area where the gaming area the gaming ceiling, I think the next image is about 50 percent complete. Ductwork is going on. We're actually ready to start installing the large chandeliers starting the end of this month. And as soon as those chandeliers go up, we'll follow-up with the raised access flooring. So we are in good shape with that. As you well know to get ahead of that with all the new machines is really important. So that's what we are trying to do. So we're on schedule.

You can see the stairway at the center bar, which is very elaborate. It's nice to have that in place, and we'll start on the railings and whatnot.

The convention center again is moving right along. We're a little ahead on the convention center on the interior, and the exterior will be completed this summer and fall. And then just another image. And then the towers. So the towers topped out a hundred percent. You can see that. We're erecting the structural steel that allows the curtain wall to complete. The curtain wall should
be complete about August 1st. We have the name ready to be put in place, Encore, first two weeks of August a little bit of a challenge. The change that we had, but we managed to rise up and get that here on time. So I think we will be in good shape. And the entire tower, the finishes on the fifth floor, we're installing finishes on the fifth floor, and we are starting that whole process going right up the building. It's just a beautiful view from the 27 th floor looking back towards Boston.

And then the project schedule. I'm not going to go through every line item, but essentially there is no area that's behind. There is no deal breaker. We got a lot of work to do. We got three million man hours between now and next May, but we're on schedule in all areas. Some are a little bit behind. Some are a little bit ahead, but overall the project is on schedule. So I think that's it for my update, Bob.

MR. DeSALVIO: Great. Thank you, Peter. MR. CAMPOT: Okay.

MR. ZIEMBA: I wanted to talk for a minute about the offsite infrastructure improvement. As you know, this is a major component wall, much
smaller than the actual job of building the resort itself, equally as important and logistically much more challenging even than working on site.

The great news is for all of these projects, we have Wellington Circle in Medford; Sullivan Square; Santilli Circle in Everett; Sweetser in Everett; Lower Broadway in Everett, they are all going on simultaneously as we speak. One of the keys for us was to get a lion's share of the work done this summer. As commuter traffic starts to drop off in the summer months, it gives us a perfect opportunity to do the most challenging parts.

Number one, of course, Broadway in Everett is where we are doing probably the most work, so we are at that full steam and in Sullivan Square we have to do a lot of work in the transit station parking lot. So that is going to require some closings of certain lot areas, and the whole idea was to work with the MBTA to make sure we can do that during the summer months when they have the least traffic out there.

So I'm very pleased to report all of this work is ongoing, and if you happen to do a loop into
our area, it's very plain to see what is going on. Very happy to see the improvements in Sullivan Square. I mean there are areas in Sullivan Square that never had sidewalks, never had ADA access available to the public, and even though it's in mid-construction, you can honestly see where those improvements are going to be.

So, of course, while we are doing this there's lots of movement, detours, complications. The other day $I$ was going through Everett even on a Sunday we were installing a temporary traffic signal out in front, and so there's a lot of work going on. There is going to be disruption. We understand that. We have a great rapport with the Everett and Boston police departments to coordinate this. We've had a wonderful response to our social media program for construction monitoring. I think we have over 12 or 13,000 people that follow us on the Twitter feed and the Facebook feeds that run that, as well as Everett PD spins out all of our information weekly as well, and the mayor told me they are getting a lot of good response from residents about at least getting notifications. Nobody is ever happy about road construction, but at the end of the
day, I think people recognize that there's a lot of positive improvements that will come by the end of the year, and so far so good.

We've got all the access permits that we need except for a couple minor ones that involve Malden Center and out in Wellington for some minor improvements that are going to be done for the transit stations.

I think other than that, we have every single thing in our possession, and we're going full steam ahead. So all good news to report there.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Bob, besides this summer which you just articulated is the bulk of the work that you are doing outside, will there still be more work after the summer?

MR. DeSALVIO: Absolutely. It goes all the way to the end of the year. We are just trying to hit it as hard as we can this summer. Our goal was everything completed by December 31st. We may have a few items that stretch over into early next year, but we gave ourselves a good six-month window before the opening of the resort, so if we have a few items that last January, February, if they're clean-up items, fine, because we gave ourselves enough of a
window. But no, it will be heavy duty work will go on until the end of December.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Okay. Thanks.
MR. DeSALVIO: I wanted to do the update on our diversity and inclusion process. The first slide is about the design work which we are very close to wrapping up. There are still some items that are out there, but we did quite well overall.

On the MBE front, our goal was 7.9 and to date we're at about 8.9 percent about $\$ 5.4$ million worth of work. On the WBE, the goal was 10. We are at 7.8. We've done about $\$ 4.7$ million worth of work. We way exceeded on the veteran front. One percent goal, we came in at about 6.6 or $\$ 4$ million worth of work.

So overall, we had an overall goal of 18.9 percent, and we've come in on design phase of 23.3 percent and a little over $\$ 14$ million worth of work, and that's on design.

On the construction phase, we're having excellent results here. On the MBE front, we had a goal of 5 percent. We are at 5.9, and that's about $\$ 70$ million worth of work for that phase. On the WBE front, we had a goal of 5.4 percent. We are
coming in at 10 percent on the construction contract phase at $\$ 120$ million worth of work. On the VBE goal, we got a goal of 1 percent, right now coming in about 2.5 percent or 30 million.

Overall, goal of 11.4 percent. We are currently at 16.5 percent and approaching $\$ 200$ million worth of work. So I'm very happy with the work that Peter and the team, Suffolk, Jennie, and the rest of the group that has been really active on all of this, has done a really good job in trying to get out as much work as possible and be as inclusive as possible along the way.

On the construction workforce side, our minority goal is 15.3 percent, and we're coming in much better than that at 24 . -- almost 25 percent of the workforce, and that represents 980 workers with over a half million hours.

On the female front, we had a goal of 6.9. We're right about there. We're at 6.8 , but very pleased to report 257 female construction workers, and we continue to try to increase that number, but I would say that's one of the best representations probably of any major project going on in the greater Boston area, and we're very proud of that.

On the veteran's side, 3 percent goal. We killed that. We are at 6.5 percent on the veteran side, which is very significant, happy to put the veterans to work on this job, and that's about 213 workers.

So all and all, very good results on our diversity and inclusion process for the entire phase. And so I want to turn it over to Jacqui to talk about outreach.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Before you do that, can I just -- these are very impressive numbers as usual, Bob, but now with more of the finishes phase coming in or in the thick of it, do you see more opportunities for just to get that female number up?

MR. DeSALVIO: Maybe I'll let Peter talk about that a little bit. Go ahead, Peter.

MR. CAMPOT: So we intend to get over 6.9 percent, and we think it's doable. The challenge we have is that almost every woman that is in the trades are on our project right now. So what we are trying to do and working with Jennie is get more women into the trades and then direct them to our project, but I think we're going to be able to get over the number, so that's what we're working
towards. We've got commitments from all of the subcontractors to get over the number with the finish trades.

MS. CRUM: And at least if we get some women into the trades now, they may not be able to work on our job necessarily, but they will be able to work on future jobs in the area.

MR. DeSALVIO: That's great.
MS. CRUM: During the first quarter, the primary focus of outreach was recruitment efforts. We engaged in a lot of meetings and events with local community partners. The other focus was groups within our community that work on preventing and responding to sexual harassment and abuse. So this is part of an ongoing effort on our part to learn to be part of the dialogue, learn from other businesses, and see what best practices are, and implement any changes that we can to help ensure the safety of our employees.

This is kind of a special event that we had in April. We went straight from the meeting here to what we called our topping off ceremony which celebrated the completion of the cement structure. But more importantly, what we wanted to do was thank
the 1500 construction men and women who are on our site for all their hard work. We served lunch on the casino floor to 1500 people. Shockingly it worked. Everybody enjoyed it. It was a good meal.

MR. CAMPOT: I wasn't shocked, just so you know. If I can't arrange lunch for 1500 people, I have little chance of finishing this on time.

MS. CRUM: I will tell you, Peter was the primary person responsible for handling the details.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Excuse me, you were talking about talking to people about getting best practices preparation about sexual harassment training, and I see people like Jane Doe and Boston Area Rape Center and so forth, is that what you are talking about?

MS. CRUM: Yes. We reached out primarily to three different groups. We've solidified arrangements with two of them to help us look at our policies, training, and also from the volunteer perspective how we can get our employees more involved in those organizations.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: So is that now
formalized, or are you in the midst of now packaging that?

MS. CRUM: We are packaging that, and we will be back in front of the Commission, I assure you at some point.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Okay. Great. Thank you.
MS. CRUM: And then finally, what we would like to leave you with is -- this is a not so recent, maybe a couple of weeks ago, drone video, that it gives you a sense of the magnitude of where we are at this point.
(Video shown).
MR. DeSALVIO: Great views that nobody has really ever been able to appreciate. And I think back to one of the first trips we made where we took some lifts and had to go up and kind of figure out what it might look like from inside the building, as scary as that was, but now you see this all coming together, and it's really very impressive. It's a wonderful sight, and I really commend Peter and the team for the work that they have done out there. It's really a pleasure to work with such a professional group.

So we're open up for questions from any of the commissioners.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Again, the numbers
are impressive, and $I$ do realize Director Griffin is good at telling us that she thinks the team is really working hard. She is really impressed with the outreach and the commitment to really make and exceed your numbers. So that really is commendable. We realize it's not easy, frankly.

MR. DeSALVIO: Thank you, Commissioner. Appreciate that.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: I agree with that because you're not just going through the motions. You really actually care about it. That's great.

MR. DeSALVIO: Thank you.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Going forward for the next year or so, what do you see, construction wise, the risks or the -- what keeps you up at night, Peter, in terms of critical paths. I see you have demobilized a couple of cranes. You only have two left.

MR. CAMPOT: They come down in the end of August. They'll both be down.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: So maybe it is shifting to other things. What is it, Peter?

MR. CAMPOT: It's always been for me from the very start is making sure that we get enough
high-quality workers to install the finishes. So we can get enough workers, that we have proven, so really the only thing $I$ really worry about is that we have enough high-quality workers because we are really building something that is superb. So making sure that we purchased it in a way that we should be able to do that, given it out. We split up some of the trades into many different subcontractors, so we are getting the best of their workforces. So I think we will be okay, but that's what keeps me up at night more than anything else.

Everything else -- every space that you walk through, you can absolutely see it finishing on time. There is no space. There is no major problem. It's just that amount of high-quality finishes at the same place at the same time. We are trying to finish the restaurants in September of this year, so that we can phase those. We have a dozen of them to do. And a lot of those finish trades are going to go from one restaurant right to the next. So that's the major challenge.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Quite an undertaking.
MR. BEDROSIAN: I have a question.
Spending some time in the water in that video how
did you get the water so calm? Did you photoshop that?

MR. DeSALVIO: Nope, we just had to pick the right day.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: It's so blue.
MR. DeSALVIO: Yes. We didn't put anything in the water.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Was that a drone?
MR. ZIEMBA: Yes.
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: When was that taken?
MS. CRUM: It must have been about two weeks ago.

MS. CRUM: We measure it based on how far the curtain wall has gone up.

MR. CAMPOT: What is really nice is the inlet is much cleaner than it used to be. You can see that from the tower. We replaced that entire inlet with two feet of clean fill, and you can really see the difference in the water. It's really neat.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Those oysters got to be working already.

MR. CAMPOT: Oh, not yet.
MR. DeSALVIO: No oysters.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: The photos of the ferry look really nice as well.

MR. DeSALVIO: The vessels are going to be absolutely gorgeous. We are happy to be working with Boston BoatWorks, a wonderful company right in Charlestown. It's so nice to have that business go to a local vendor, and I think those vessels are going to be really very, very, very nice and a great experience for the guests.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Peter mentioned the restaurants, and I know you had some news this week about a partnership with some local restaurant owners. Is that kind of your plan potentially or are you still exploring?

MR. DeSALVIO: We have another one that we are currently working on, but we got to get them all the way through the process before we would go public, including a vendor license with you guys as well. So we tend to not announce things until they get through the process. So we need them all buttoned up before we go forward with them.

MS. CRUM: But most of the restaurants will be managed.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Right.

MR. DeSALVIO: But you'll probably see one more come through -- one or possibly two more that will come through that would be outside.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: By the way, when do you anticipate roughly to start delivering some of the gaming equipment for which the floor would have to be ready?

MR. DeSALVIO: We are probably -- I think I'm going to get back to you with that date. I hate using -- I hate throwing out dates that I'm not sure of. We'll make sure we cover that at the next meeting. I'll get a good solid delivery schedule.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Thank you. Anybody else? Great. Thanks very much.

All right. We are going to go to item 5 and take a real quick break.
(A short recess was taken.)
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: All right. We are reconvening public meeting No. 244 . We are at agenda item 5a, and Director Griffin.

MS. GRIFFIN: Good morning, I think. It's still good morning. Chairman Crosby, Commissioners, on March 29th our licensee presented the initial draft of their workforce development and diversity
plan intended to satisfy Chapter 23 K Section 1821, and in the agreement toward a license, a category one license, condition 14 and 17. And the revised document is actually in your folder and up online for a potential vote today.

We did put the plan up for public comment April 2nd until May 1st, and those comments are included in your packet we received from Asian American Civic Association, Cambridge College, Casino Action Network, the Disabled American Veterans, Everett Haitian Community Center; Hispanic American Institute; Juan Lopera from Tufts Health Plan, their diversity officer; Metro North Regional Employment Board; Quincy Asian Resources, and the Urban League.

I'm really pleased with the responses, and most indicated that this was a good solid first start, but there were many suggestions on how to improve this plan.

We also discussed the plan with secretaries for the workforce skills cabinet senior staff. They were able to review the initial draft, and they communicated additional feedback to MGC staff as well. So there were many strengths in the original
plan. One notably was the extensive community input and partnerships and outreach that was mentioned. And the gaming school scholarships that had already been committed to. There were community locations identified for career center satellite locations, so all this was, I thought, great to be included in the start.

But areas for continued development from the initial plan included and much of this was mentioned in some of the public comment, so $I$ have taken some of that. Specific timelines and strategies to leverage community based organizations and workforce and education partners, more information on the role of community-based organizations, and adult basic education programs as talent feeders. Information about how a partner organizations actually make the referrals of interested candidates include more specific organizations.

For example, a list of community partners and education partners. A plan to increase awareness especially within the locations within 30 minutes of the casino, and then others called out for more information and training on the SkillSmart
software system that was included, which I think actually is another plus that $I$ didn't mention is Encore's partnership with SkillSmart to provide connections to educational partners and information about the job. But more information and training was requested from the community.

More information -- more in depth information about the gaming related training was requested, and the scholarships would they be need based, that sort of thing. And especially two of the areas that the casino will be recruiting in large numbers, gaming, but also culinary. There is a concern, and I'm going to ask Encore representatives to speak to their plans. It's in the workforce development plan, but I would love for them to call it out, with special attention in their remarks to you today about culinary. There is a concern that the current workforce system and the current capacity will not meet the regional hiring demand as there is currently a skills gap, a shortage of culinary talent in the region. So I'll ask them to speak to that today.

Accountability, the goals for hiring minorities, in particular, goals were mentioned, but
we asked further questions about would goals extend across all positions equally, front and back of the house, various levels, and their new plan has included information about that.

And additionally, information about some of the preferences that they committed to in their host and surrounding community agreements to Everett residents and preference to the surrounding communities. How would those actually work? What are the mechanics? And they've included this as well.

So, let's see. And then following their presentation, there are some questions for the Commission to discuss and consider, and I'll just mention these, so you can listen during the presentation. At one letter in particular called out whether the plan includes the obligation to Boston's surrounding community agreement which Section $4.2(b)$ calls out when will work with nonprofit entities to develop a job readiness training program that will be available to all residents of Boston, in particular.

The Casino Action Network specifically raised the issue of background checks and CORIs. In
addition to working with MGC regarding the exempt service employee registration jobs, they advocated for understanding more of Encore's internal management practices for background checks, and they stated we believe information on Wynn's background check procedures must be presented as part of this plan and subject to public comment. And they further advocated for individuals being told of the reason for not -- for maybe a rescinded offer based on a background check and that there be a process to challenge these positions, these decisions.

One further area, license condition Section 5 Number 2, specifically the economic development section states Wynn will use good faith efforts to hire no less than 75 percent of the project employees from within 30 miles of Everett as stated at the June 25th, 2014, HOST community hearing in Everett.

We have asked Encore Boston Harbor to design this geographically, so we have a specific measure that as time goes on we can measure the compliance with this. At this point is where the discussion with the commissioners regarding whether the definition presented in this document which is
all cities and towns within 30 miles of the resort is reasonable and satisfies this condition.

As some community members advocate for this definition to include a smaller area, the host and surrounding community and perhaps a few other cities. And then I did want to note, that Encore Boston Harbor has raised their minority hiring goals for operation to 40 percent from 35 percent in their original draft.

One public comment indicates they would like to see this up to 50 percent based on the demographics of the host and surrounding communities which are 48.8 percent people of color.

So with that background, I'm going to turn it over to Bob.

MR. DeSALVIO: Thank you, Jill. And good morning again, Commissioners. I just want to say a couple of general comments, and then $I$ want to turn this over to Jennie for a few specifics on a couple of the points that Jill brought up and Jacqui may have a couple of comments as well on some of those items.

The first thing I wanted to say is thank you to Jill and the team for the work that they did
in conjunction with our team. This plan has been in the cook for quite a while, and lots of back and forth feedback. Jill gave us a lot of time on this working with Jennie and her team. So we certainly appreciate that. I also want to thank the members of the public and the community groups that commented. This is such an important part of our plan that, you know, the most important thing you can ask somebody to give is their time. So when folks take the time to actually give us very detailed sort of comments, I think that's very instructive about where we are in the process. So I wanted to make sure that $I$ said on the record that we appreciate everyone that wrote in for comments. We were pretty aggressive in terms of taking in everything that we heard. I am not going to sit here and tell you we made every single change that was in every comment letter. I can tell you that we made some significant tweaks to this plan, and if you look at -- one of the purposes is we gave you, I think, both -- I don't know if you shared the red line version.

MS. GRIFFIN: Yes, I did.
MR. DeSALVIO: So you see the red line and
then the final product. There is really quite a bit more depth in some of the areas where the public commented on to try to really strengthen the plan. Obviously, we're very happy to present the increased goal. That was not an easy decision. That was a lot of thoughtful decision in trying to look at the region, the demographics, what we could do, and I grant you I'm sure some people would like the goal to be higher, but I thought we made a very honest effort in terms of trying to look at what could we do, and that was a pretty significant move we made on that plan.

And other than that, I want to -- there is one particular item that Jill brought up that I think is very important, and you've heard a lot about this, and it has to do with culinary opportunities. Long before we were on the scene, there's been this talk about how it's difficult in the greater Boston area with the amount of new restaurants opening. So I want Jennie -- we have had some chats about that, and I think she has some info about, $a, ~ k i n d ~ o f ~ w h o ~ w e ~ p a r t n e r ~ w i t h ~ a n d, ~ b, ~$ how we position ourselves that might be very instrumental in thinking about that.

So I'm going to just turn it over to Jennie for that portion, and then any of the other comments that you want us to do specifics on, we're happy to take a shot.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Great, thank you. MS. PETERSON: Good morning, Commissioners. CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Good morning.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Good morning.
COMMISSIONER O'BRIEN: Good morning.
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Good morning.
COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Good morning.
MS. PETERSON: It's great to be here. As Bob mentioned since we met in March, we have had countless discussions and received really tremendous feedback from a lot of our community partners, and we are so grateful to them for taking the time to read this in depth plan, put together really thoughtful comments, and come and discuss them with us and come up with some great ideas to really make this the best plan it can be.

And a lot of the changes to the plan are adding more detail and just more specifics that will help us reach our goals of hiring a local and diverse workforce of about 4500 people for our
opening.
I think it might be helpful just to walk through the red line version, and I'll point out things that have changed along the way. So first off, as Jill mentioned last time one of the questions that came up was how we would define 75 percent of our hires within 30 minutes. We all know that commuting times vary greatly, so defining what 30 minutes is, is pretty difficult. We took a stab at that, and we looked at every city and town within 30 miles as the definition for how we would measure that 75 percent.

So on Page 4, we have just listed those by county. Those are all the cities and towns that fall within 30 miles of the resort site. So that's what we are hoping can be a clearly measurable metric for that 75 percent of our hires.

Also on Page 4, we just wanted to reiterate the commitment to not only our host and surrounding communities and our neighboring communities, but to Suffolk Downs workers. We reached out to all those workers with the help of the Commission a few years ago. We held a specific career information session for them last year, and we will hold at least one
more dedicated career information session for Suffolk Downs workers probably this year so they are ready for the mass hiring events.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Jennie, excuse me, this is a detail, but $I$ think the text said that you would go back to the people who responded to you the first time, and it would be good to go back to everybody obviously, not just the ones who responded to you for obvious reason, if you can.

MS. PETERSON: We will do that. We might need your help to get in touch with them.

MR. DeSALVIO: We need your help to do that.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Okay.
MR. DeSALVIO: Remember you did the initial mailing because there was some concern over releasing the names of folks, and then the responses came to us to build the database, and then we held an event.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Right.
MR. DeSALVIO: No problem at all if you want to reach back out, but $I$ think you maintain the master list, so we would have to communicate that through you guys.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Well, let's make a note of that, Jill.

MS. GRIFFIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: It's great. I noticed very much that you pulled them up into a high priority area. I just want to reach out to everybody if we can.

MS. PETERSON: Great. And one of the areas that we will focus on with the Suffolk Downs workers is helping them to build out their SkillSmart profiles so that they know how their skills from Suffolk Downs translate to our jobs and opportunities they can apply for.

On Page 6, Bob and Jill mentioned that we looked at how we could increase our minority hiring goal. We have increased the goal from 35 percent to 40 percent. We also emphasized here on Page 6 and 7, that the minority hiring goal is organization wide, of course, but we will look for all departments, front of house, back of house, and at the management and line levels. We will be working with each of our department heads to help make that happen.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Just one thing that was
astonishing to me on Page 5 was the unemployment numbers. In the host and surrounding communities, the unemployment is 2.6 percent which is stunning. That's like theoretical full employment.

MR. DeSALVIO: Yes.
MS. PETERSON: So really low unemployment which is great for the economy, but gives us a unique challenge in hiring.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Right.
MS. PETERSON: On Page 7, one of the big questions we had was about how we would implement the preferences for our host and surrounding community residents and the Suffolk Downs workers as well as our neighboring communities. So we wanted to really outline those.

For positions where there's a lower volume of individuals and we're really reviewing on a resume by resume basis, we'll be looking first at the Everett resumes and reaching out to those qualified Everett residents, then we will be looking to Malden which is our second priority, and then to surrounding communities, Suffolk Downs workers and then to everybody else. So it's really that hierarchy of who gets reviewed and gets the first
calls for a phone screening and an interview.
For mass hiring, and you may have seen some of these out at MGM, but these are really massive events where we meet hundreds of people at one time for roles where we are hiring a higher volume of individuals. For those types of events we are going to set aside specific times. So the first wave will be just for Everett residents, then we'll have time dedicated for Malden residents, and then for our other surrounding communities and Suffolk Downs workers. And then once we have done some mass hiring dedicated times for those individuals, we will move on and open it up to everybody else. So there is a way for us to kind of give an advanced look to residents of our host and surrounding communities.

On Pages 9, 10, and 11, I think you see the old timeline on Page 9. We've added on Page 11 just a lot more detail on what actually happens for the mass hiring, January through May and June of next year. So you'll see, you know, in January and February is when these positions are posted and open for application. In February, March, April, and probably a little bit into May we'll be doing
interviews and mass hiring, and then April and May is when we hope to probably have most of the offers out by April, so that we have a few weeks to do background screening and licensing and get everybody on board by end of May early June, so they have time for on boarding and training in advance of our late June opening.

The position summary on Page 10 is roughly the same. We've added a bit more detail. We've highlighted in light blue some of the positions that are open to nonfluent English speakers. I'll get to this a little bit later in some of the changes, but we did hear from many of the community partners that understanding the opportunities that are available for people who are still getting to the fluent level of English is really important. So we wanted to highlight those.

On Page 12, we've just provided a little bit more information on SkillSmart and what it is. I think you all are very familiar with it. But again, all of the details on the positions will be up on SkillSmart, so even though the jobs won't be available for application until early next year, they are all available for review and people can see
what skills are required for all of our positions. Starting in the next couple of weeks we'll be getting SkillSmart officially launched.

Pages 14, 15, and 16 we had provided an original map, kind of our community support network map, and these are locations, career centers, libraries where individuals can go to use a computer and to get some support for applying for our jobs or using or setting up SkillSmart profile or just getting general information about how they can get hired at Encore.

One of the pieces of feedback that we heard is that in addition to the career centers, it's really important to have places where people feel comfortable going and feel like they are understood culturally. So having some culturally-focused community centers in this network is really important. So we've added La Comunidad, Everett Haitian Community Center, and Chelsea Collaborative among a few others that will be kind of formal outpost where individuals can get information.

On Page 17, we wanted to just highlight a little bit of the work we have been doing on job fairs. I know you see these and the long list of
events and outreach that Jacqui presents every quarter, but we just wanted to show you what we have been doing the last couple of months since we met. So we have been to quite a few career fairs, and we've got several planned for June. These are really just a great way for us to partner with the community, and also to get out there and share the word about our opportunities.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Who goes to those meetings, Jennie? I'm sure you go to a fair amount of them, but that's a lot.

MS. PETERSON: So we have -- I have a team of five people now, and we also -- so those are our recruiters and recruitment manager. We also have our department heads at these career fairs a lot of times. So if we have somebody who is interested in security, they're able to speak with our director of security or our vice president of security. We have our culinary team, our hotel team. So they all join with us. Of course, they can't all make it to every single one, but they really try and get out there. So it's the recruitment team and also the department heads.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Okay.

MS. PETERSON: On Pages 18 and 19 of the red line document, we included this full list of community partners that we built relationships with over the last several years, and we are getting to know more and more all the time. So this is -- I won't say this is a final list, but this is a pretty good look at all of the educators, community stakeholders, community groups that we are working with, and we've outlined the ways in which we will work with these groups as part of our grass roots efforts to get the word out. It's really -- it's two ways. The Encore team will -- you know, we are spending time with these groups. We are doing trainings for them. We are getting them the information that they need. In some cases we've set up kind of regular office hours with these groups, so we are going to their center and spending a few hours every couple of weeks, so that their constituents can get to know us, and then we expect our community partners to share the word. We are expecting them to send us referrals.

When we open the gaming school, we expect these community partners to step up and recommend folks to us and to the gaming school that they think
would be a good fit. So we just provided more details on what the role of the community partners is here.

Also, Jill had mentioned a question about how we would track referrals. So on the SkillSmart platform, when somebody creates a profile, there is a place for them to select which school or community partner referred them. That will be a great way for us to keep track of those referrals, and we've also set up a system where each of those groups has a designated point of contact on our team, so if they have somebody that they think might be a good fit with one of our jobs, they reach out directly, send us the resume, and we follow up. And I think you'll see in one of your comment letters from the Asian American Civic Association, that the referral program works. We have hired two referrals from that group specifically and a couple of others from our other community partners. So we're happy about that.

Moving forward to talk about the gaming school in the red line document, that's Pages 24 and 25. We included as much detail here as we could about the timeline, the cost, the curriculum, the
application process. So we hope that these details will be really helpful for anyone who is looking at this plan and trying to understand how this will work. So you already knew where the location was. It will be at the Cambridge College campus in Charlestown, very close to the resort.

For the schedule, courses will begin in September or the first semester will begin in September, and we'll run three waves that accommodate different schedules. So there's the eight to noon, 1 p.m. to 5 p.m., and 6 p.m. to 10 p.m., which we hope those three different schedules will accommodate everybody who wants to participate in the school.

So that will be the first semester which will wrap up in December, then the second semester will begin in January and will conclude in early May which is great timing for us, as we will be hiring a lot of the dealers in May.

The cost of the program will be $\$ 1100$. That's included there, and the curriculum as we I believe described before, covers not just the two table games, but also includes customer service, CPR, responsible gaming, so that people are getting
a broader skill set than just the table games dealing.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Jennie, just one thing. At the point that the prospective students come and decide to enter, they are not offered yet a job. How do you -- remind me maybe help me, Jill, how does that compare, for example, with MGM, not just in cost but also in process?

MS. GRIFFIN: The Western Mass Gaming School, the students do have to complete the program and graduate, and I think MGM may have committed to hiring a percentage of the students.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: I think it's 75.
MS. GRIFFIN: 75 percent, that we find that
I think a big bulk of them have been hired, you know, even those who don't maybe have the strongest skills are hired part time. So, it seems to be very successful. I'll let Jennie highlight the change in their plan, but they have committed in this new version to hiring a specific percentage of -- a hundred percent, right?

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: One quick question, in the three different time slots that you'll be offering the course in September, what is the
maximum that college class can take for each time slot?

MS. PETERSON: It will be probably 60 to 70. We'll have three instructors, and each of them we want to have around 20 students.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Jill, could you talk to the cost? This number 1100 strikes me as very high compared to what we ended up netting to at MGM. I know there are some scholarships and there's lots of effort to try to come up with other money as yet undefined, but I thought the target price, the sort of list price at MGM ended up being more like $\$ 300$, but maybe I'm not remembering apples to apples.

MS. GRIFFIN: So they have a per game charge, and it varies depending on the length of time and the game. I think, and I don't have the specific figures, but $I$ have looked at it, that the gaming school in Western Mass does appear to be slightly under. I think the length of the school might be a little longer.

MR. DeSALVIO: And also, Commissioner, we need the folks to come out with two games. So by the time you add multiple games, I'm sure the MGM program -- what we did instead was package it all up
so that you come out with a complete program.
Meaning that you have the two games that we need for you to start, plus your customer service training, plus the CPR, plus the responsible gaming all as kind of an embedded curriculum, as opposed to just doing it piecemeal because we really don't want folks to just take one game. We really need them to have two games. It's critical. So it's normally blackjack and something else is the typical program. So we think that's the right way to package it for at least for this side of the Commonwealth.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Right. Well, I see the idea of not doing it a la carte, doing it altogether. But, two questions, one is maybe we just look into, you know, if you made it more or less apples to apples, how do they compare? Not that that in and of itself means anything, but I'm just interested to know apples to apples how they compare. But secondly, and this is totally anecdotal, we've heard a lot that the prices, let's assume for the sake of discussion, that they're about the same, has been a real barrier for a lot of people writing a check for 1100 bucks is a lot of money. And I know you are going to be going out to
get other -- you know, try to get other scholarships and so forth, that seems to me to be a major problem right now is to how many people can actually come up with that 1100 bucks. It that counter to your experience?

MR. DeSALVIO: It's a little bit counter to our experience because when you think about the opportunity for somebody to literally start a new career. And I'll tell you, I did this gaming school process in Pennsylvania just prior to coming up here, and people find a way. They may have to -and I'm going to be honest, some of the younger folks, they might borrow from parents or have the parents help them a little bit or a friend or somebody else they know, but the idea that you can -- and this ties very much into the commitment that Jennie put in the new version of the plan. So what we're saying and Jill mentioned this about percentages, what we are saying is if you come into the program, and there are some prerequirements that Jennie will talk about in a moment, but if you come into the program, and you participate all the way through, and you pass your audition, which is common at the end of one of these programs, we have to make
sure you are proficient to be able to deal those games or Bruce and the team will not be very happy. And so what we're saying is if you make that effort, and you put out those dollars, and you pass that audition, we are guaranteeing them a job.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Right.
MR. DeSALVIO: 100 percent, because then they've shown -- and that's why we included the customer service and the CPR, all that is all wrapped up into one, and what we are saying is, you make the investment in us, you're in. And I think that's a very big statement, and I think you will find people that will want to do that.

Now, we did put up -- what's the scholarship number?

MS. PETERSON: Fifty.
MR. DeSALVIO: So, you know, depending on -- we don't know exactly how many people. We are hoping that this is 500 to 600 people that go through this. We are saying up to 10 percent of those we'll do on a pure scholarship basis at no cost. For everyone else, we'll have some folks that might want to -- maybe they're changing careers. Maybe they're looking for a new opportunity, but for
-- and then when you think about skills training programs in other industries in and around the greater Boston area, $\$ 1100$ is not an outrageous number when you think about skills training programs that are out there. So we think it is appropriate.

There is a lot of investment, both in the time of Cambridge College and us in terms of providing all the folks that are the instructors, buying the equipment, buying the curriculum, so it's important for people to have skin in the game.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Right.
MR. DeSALVIO: I have to tell you that probably the worst thing you could do is not have skin in the game. This is a career that requires a real skill, but it's very rewarding, and they come out probably with the ability to make 50, 60, $\$ 70,000$ right away. So if they have to repay somebody 500 bucks of the 1100, they'll be able to do that very quickly, and it's a great opportunity for a great job. So we think it's the right approach.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Is there a -- I buy the idea of the skin in the game, I think that makes sense, and the idea of putting up $\$ 1100$ and getting
a job at the end of it, which is going to be a wellpaying job is fabulous.

MR. DeSALVIO: Right.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: But how does it work if you put up your money and then fall out sort of early in the game? Like, do you put up your money before you pass your background check, for example?

MR. DeSALVIO: Yeah. Well, so there -maybe you want to talk about the prequalification process. There is a step at the front end that is really important in part of this, and there's, of course, the step at the back end which is the normal process to become an Encore employee, which is going through our process and yours, but there is an upfront process that $I$ think is important that Jennie wanted to throw out there.

MS. GRIFFIN: Before you describe that, I did find the cost of the gaming school out in Western Mass, and you're required to take a level one class and then a level two, and the level one classes range from $\$ 400$ to $\$ 500$ each. Level two ranges from 200 to 400 , so it could cost you upwards of a thousand dollars depending on the courses that you choose, anywhere from 600 to a thousand.

MS. PETERSON: I think the concern that people will invest their resources or invest 16 weeks of their time and then not have a job at the end is a really valid concern, so we worked with Cambridge College to come up with a screening process. So you will have to apply to the program, and we want to give people a sense upfront about whether they'll be successful in the program.

So the screening process, the application process is described on 24 of the red line. They will be asked to take a basic math aptitude test.

If you can't do the math, you will struggle to graduate from the school. There will be a basic written application where they will need to confirm willingness to work nights, weekends, and holidays, which is a big thing for us, a willingness to pass a background screening and pass a drug test and be licensed.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: So they don't have to pass those things in advance, but you'll make it absolutely clear to them that they will have to before, and anybody who is presumably sentient and is nervous about passing, won't put down their thousand dollars.

MR. DeSALVIO: Correct. That goes right to the point, Chairman, you were talking about is we want people to think about this and go through that process upfront that says. You know what, I'm really ready for this career and taking the basic math, because obviously you know you can't have people mispaying customers. That's just not going to fly in your world or ours. So you want people to really think about making this decision. In a sense you are going through a mental prequalification and think about it, can $I$ pass a background and a drug test if need be before that particular job. So I'm hoping that we get to that audience that really wants to be there.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Right.
MR. DeSALVIO: That's what we're trying to get to.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: And do you make the background check what exactly that means? Do you make that clear to candidates? In other words, do you list kind of disqualifiers?

MR. DeSALVIO: Jackie, I may need your help on that. There is obviously the process that both we go through and the Commission. I don't know what
we can or cannot spell out.
MS. CRUM: So we will let them know what are absolute disqualifiers for us.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Right, that's critical.

MS. CRUM: There are some -- it's also an opportunity for them to ask a question. They can come to us and say you know what, this happened in my background. Is this going to be a problem? And we can give them some feedback on that upfront. You know, listing exactly what checks we do is not something we feel comfortable doing.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: No, no. I agree. But it's the disqualifiers $I$ think that are critical.

MS. CRUM: Absolutely.
COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: It may make sense for our licensing team to talk to the folks at Cambridge so we can share with the Cambridge folks what the expectations are.

MS. CRUM: We would love to have someone from the licensing team available at sort of these pre-screenings, so that they understand what goes into the Gaming Commission's portion of licensing as
well.
COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: This is obviously one of the biggest areas of hire, unlike culinary, which had a demand already in the region, there isn't a demand for gaming jobs, but in the red line version you're talking about you need about a thousand full-time and part-time folks, but yet you are saying you hope to hire half from the gaming school. Where is the balance coming from?

MR. DeSALVIO: Other gaming jurisdictions. We are already getting indications that there are folks that for whatever reason, family reason, they love New England, we are getting inquires from people from New Jersey and Pennsylvania and Rhode Island and Connecticut. And again, all of this is hard to predict, but we are saying, you know, in rough order or magnitude, you take a thousand people, we're saying about half are going to be experienced coming from other jurisdictions and half we train locally in that rough order of magnitude.

But we're already getting increase. It's funny, when we do a job event locally, and all the sudden there's six dealers from somewhere else that just showed up at the event randomly and they say,
well, I know I wasn't here for this, but I'm here because I'm interested in a dealing job, and I really live out of state. So we're already getting those indications that some folks would just want to give this a whirl.

Our company has a wonderful reputation in the industry for its product and the gaming and there are folks that want to join Encore. So we are excited about that.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: I am told that the fact that the casinos here are nonsmoking is really attractive for dealers, not only for some players, but dealers.

MR. DeSALVIO: Oh, big, big deal. Yes, that's going to be a healthy environment for them free of smoke.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Do you have a sense of obviously a lot of this is to ramp up the opening, what do you expect your relationship to be with Cambridge College kind of beyond opening? You're going to have some turnover. You're going to have new classes.

MR. DeSALVIO: That's correct. We've had different discussions on this. They have -- you
keep the dealing school or the casino career institute open really as long as needed. They are planning that it's an 18 months after our opening. We think it will actually be longer than that. I predicted anywhere from three to five years because we'll have, $A$, turnover, $B$, you are going to have folks that want to learn another game or two, because then they can position themselves for supervisory jobs and up in the management rank. So it's very common to go out, you get proficient in your game. You do two games, then you go, I'll take a third or maybe a fourth, and next thing you know, you are raising your hand and saying $I$ want to move up.

So the last one I did, it had almost a five-year shelf life before the turnover goes down, the proficiency goes up, and then people get a little more settled. So I don't know, this will be a year and a half to three or four years that we'll probably keep this partnership going.

The good news is Cambridge College has agreed to be flexible. They didn't book anything in that space right behind us that I'm aware of. And so right now I think they are going to keep that
flexible in terms of the timing of it.
COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Okay. Thank you.
MS. PETERSON: So we hope with this
application process that it's really -- people who are starting in the school and paying $\$ 1100$ or are there on a scholarship are really set up to succeed and actually graduate, and as Bob and Jill mentioned, we have made a commitment to hire 100 percent of the individuals who graduate from the program and, of course, pass the pre-employment screening, which includes the background check, drug test, and licensing with the Gaming Commission.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Jennie, while you are on this, later on $I$ think there was a question in one of the letters that we saw, I forget whether you answered. What is the credit check status of the background checks? Are there credit checks involved? How do they work?

MS. PETERSON: I believe there are credit checks for positions where that is relevant, and I think we would have to check.

MS. CRUM: We do check, for instance, bankruptcies. You know, if somebody -- it's not a disqualifier per se, but if you see someone who's
got three bankruptcies in the last two years, that obviously indicates something different than, you know, in 2008 they filed for bankruptcy and subsequently come through it.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Right. Okay.
Interesting.
MS. CRUM: But the laws are pretty prescriptive on what we can do, so we obviously will be following that as well.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Right.
MS. PETERSON: For student recruitment, we need to fill the schools so we can hire hopefully half of our dealers out of the school, so we will be doing a lot of targeted advertising coming up very soon. We need to start this application process in August, we hope. So we will be working this summer to get the word out, and we'll, of course, be leveraging our community partners to help us advertise.

One question that came up is folks wanted clarification on the scholarships, that those will be based on financial need. So those 50 scholarships are for individuals who truly need financial support to pay for the course, and we will
be working with the Cambridge College financial aid office on that. That office will be handling that process and determining who gets those scholarships.

And we are, of course, looking to the community partners to provide referrals for the scholarship program. And again, this was outlined in the earlier plan, but ten of those spots are reserved for Everett residents.

Moving forward to Page 26 in the red line culinary training. So, we've heard a lot about the culinary skills gap, and the huge demand for culinary workers. The Massachusetts Executive Office of Labor and Workforce Development put out a study that looked at demand for all types of jobs and the growth or decline in demand for those jobs between 2014 and 2024. One thing we noticed is that for the restaurant to cook position, the increase in demand between 2014 and 2024 was 14 percent with additional demand for over 3,000 culinary workers.

We will employ a little over 300
individuals in our line level cook positions. So that's a lot. We are creating a lot of extra demand, and we are taking steps now to hopefully get ahead of that. We have been working with the Bunker

Hill Culinary Program, with the New England Center for Arts and Technology or NECAT, as you know, they've started a dedicated culinary training program in Everett.

We're also working with the Massachusetts Professional Association of Culinary Trainers. So our executive chef and executive sous chef have been meeting with that group, and that's really kind of a collaboration between culinary employers and a lot of the culinary training programs.

In addition, we will be providing competitive pay and benefits. Another data point that came out of that Office of Labor and Workforce development study was some average pay ranges for all of the positions that they studied, and I was interested to see that for this restaurant cook position, the average annual earnings were in the mid 20,000s. For our workers, they will be in the mid to high 30,000 range with full benefits.

Of the roughly 300 line-level culinary workers that we'll have, about 90 percent of them will be full-time and will have those full benefits, so we think that sets us up well.

I touched briefly earlier on the ESOL
training. We've reached out to the state funded and other ESOL training programs, and we will be making sure that those -- the information about those programs is clearly available on the SkillSmart platform, so that people can get in touch with those opportunities and prepare for our jobs.

So to finish up, I think the last thing on my list, and you'll see referenced in the red line is about the referral programs. We covered that, and then, you know, we included a little bit more information about the diversity training that we will be doing for our hiring managers. We're working with an outside training provider to make sure that our entire team has diversity training, but particularly for those who are going to be hiring our workforce. And then, you know, we've touched on some of the on boarding and training that all of our workers and all of our team members receive when they come on board. So that includes, of course, the sexual harassment trainings that we're setting everybody up and creating a workforce environment that is supportive and positive.

So with that, $I$ don't know if there's any other questions.

MR. DeSALVIO: Okay. Jacqui, anything else you want to add?

MS. CRUM: No.
MR. DeSALVIO: Okay. So we will open it up for any questions on the plan. Thank you, Jennie. That was an a wonderful overview of the changes. I appreciate it.

COMMISSIONER O'BRIEN: I was impressed with the red line version how responsive you were to the comments you got.

MR. DeSALVIO: Thank you, Commissioner.
COMMISSIONER O'BRIEN: There was one area that I feel, like, is not particularly expanded on in this, and I have questions about, which is -- you talk about developing and retaining your work staff, so they can progress and thrive, but the only mention about child care support that I saw was this reference to negotiated child care provider discount, and I'm going through the other part saying somebody has to say I'm willing to be flexible and work different hours that are -- you might be willing to and not be able to. Can you speak to, A, what does that negotiated child provider discount mean, and, B, what are you going
to do long term and short term during training to help people that might have a child care problem?

MS. CRUM: So there's a couple of different things about that. One is, under the Gaming Act there's some obligations of us to provide a center for child care. We have been looking at different options that work for our employees and also different companies that provide different solutions. We do realize we have a 24 -hour workforce, so we need to think about how that can be handled.

The second component is providing access to facilities that are convenient for parents. You know, for a lot of people bringing their child to our facility is not the best option for them. So we are trying to look at where are employees based? Where are they coming from? Where are they driving to or taking in transportation other transportation options.

In addition to that, we are looking at companies that provide child care services in a pinch, we'll call it. So the employee wakes up -it's happened to all of us, we have a sick child, and what do you do in that particular situation?

There's certain companies that will have qualified people who they can send to your home for those purposes.

So I don't think there is a one size fits all in this. I think we need to provide our employees with a lot of different options that we will make available to them as part of this, and we are undertaking that process.

COMMISSIONER O'BRIEN: Is part of that process going to be helping them find those? I mean, it's not just the money, it's determining who is reliable and safe and fits?

MS. CRUM: Absolutely. There are three main companies that go through the process of helping them find a facility, one, or finding people who can go to their homes. So we are looking at all those three different companies because obviously it is not our expertise, and we want to make sure that we get the best for our employees.

COMMISSIONER O'BRIEN: And in line with the 50 scholarships you have, if someone may be in a position to want to go through that but not make a commitment to full-time child care but maybe they are going to need coverage during that training
program, have you thought about whether the financial need may be for that extra cost and not necessarily the 1100 tuition?

MS. CRUM: We have not, and we appreciate that thought. So we will certainly consider that.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Others?
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: I think it's much more meat on the bone, this plan, and again, I was impressed as well about how responsive you were directly to comments, and the SkillSmart is just I think so valuable because people don't -- not everyone knows what they are qualified for, and that extra help and seeing okay, $I$ qualify for this now, but if $I$ take this additional training, I will qualify for that job $I$ really want. I think that's critical. It's nice to see that included in the plan.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: I also was
impressed, and certainly impressed with the feedback that we saw from a lot of our partners who had a chance to review the plan. And certainly had a chance to kind of watch the great work that both John and Jennie do in their local community outreach. They've almost built a second family in
terms of the community-based organizations that they work with, and that work has not gone unnoticed.

Just a couple of bullet points and maybe some things that we need to kind of think about or condition as part of approval of the plan. Quick snapshots, veterans, I saw you found a way to work down to a reasonable number. Not too many World War II veterans out there at this point maybe who want to work in a casino, but maybe I'm wrong. But I think there's some other groups that we can pull to the table, beyond the groups of the two that you mentioned, so $I$ want to do some additional follow-up with that.

We've talked about the gaming school. The Suffolk employees, it occurred to me that you are already enrolling people in your talent network platform. I mean, you're tracking numbers. Actually the red line version showed where you had to scratch a number and put the new number. I think it would be pretty telling, following up on the chairman's concern, to reach out to those Suffolk employees now, get onto that talent network, so we can really see what their interest level is and kind of introduce that as a path forward. I think that
is something you might be able to do. I understand part of that is some information you need from us, but you know, maybe at the next quarterly you could already track some movement towards moving those former Suffolk employees along.

I was a little concerned there wasn't a lot of emphasis on folks who might be underemployed, but I think there is not a stat that you can point to saying underemployed, and I think you'll find a lot of those folks through the general outreach work that you're doing, but we should keep an eye to that because that is mentioned in the statute.

And I think, again, even though it's not your fault, there's already a need, but I think there's some more teamwork that we can do in the culinary front sitting down with a lot of those providers and getting a better sense. We're hopefully seeing through the state budget that some money is going to be going over to the Commonwealth Corporation from the Gaming Economic Development Fund. We are encouraging that some of that money be used for culinary and hospitality training, but I would say --

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Is that in the budget,

Commissioner?
COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: The senate budget passed with 5 million from the Gaming Economic Development Fund going over to Comm Corp for their workforce competitiveness trust fund.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Great.
COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: So we'd be happy to see a piece of that carved out for culinary and hospitality. So I would make some kind of follow-up convenings put a condition of the approval of this plan. But we know you know there is a problem, and it would be great to get some other parties around the table.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: If I may, the only thing that I would add to obviously the comments of how thought out and developed this is, it is obvious that you put a lot of thought, including the people who provided feedback is that the clarification of their radius, I think it's very important especially given the fact to the 30 -mile radius, especially given the fact that the market -- the labor market appears to be so tight in those counties, and when you couple that with the skills gap that you were talking about earlier, it really only makes sense to
expand that region.
I would only, you know, highlight, for example, gateway cities that may fall out of that region as a potential area. I know you are only thinking about the 75 percent from that radius, the other 25 could clearly come from anywhere else, but I would be one to even think about broader than that $30-\mathrm{mile}$ radius, especially with all the conditions of that.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Where are we with your designation of exempt positions? We haven't done that yet.

MS. CRUM: So we have been working on a compendium and what the different positions -recommendations for the different positions, and as part of that we are working on who we believe should be exempt, and we will present that to your team for considering.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Yeah, and you're not hiring those people for quite a while, right, so we still have some time on that?

MS. CRUM: Right.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: In the training and education you talked about on-the-job skills
training and leadership training, and even though I know you just mentioned this, it doesn't mention either diversity inclusion or sexual harassment. You have this long list of categories, I just thought it was kind of funny that you didn't mention those two that I believe are part of your core packaging.

MS. PETERSON: Absolutely. I think sometimes that seems like it's more part of the on boarding and general training. So it's included in kind of the on boarding section.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Okay. That's fair.
MS. PETERSON: We can add that to the training list as well.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: I understand your point. That's a good point.

MR. DeSALVIO: Yeah, it's always obviously a key portion of the on boarding plan.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Right. Anybody else? Anything?

MR. BEDROSIAN: Commissioners, I know this is on for a vote, but could I ask -- I need to have a quick conversation with Director Griffin and some of the Encore folks on something related to this.

Could we go to something else and then come back and revisit that? Just give me a few minutes.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Sure.
MR. BEDROSIAN: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: So we will temporarily adjourn this topic, and we will move on to item 6. Does that make sense?

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Yes.
MR. DeSALVIO: Thank you, Commissioners. CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Thank you very much.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Yeah, great plan.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: We all thought it was well done, and the responsiveness to the comments was quite notable.

MR. DeSALVIO: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: You're up.
MR. CONNELLY: Good afternoon. I was just waiting till it hit 12:00, so I could say that. So we have in the midst of all the preopening activities for MGM and Wynn, we, as was mentioned earlier today, we actually have a renewal application for Plainridge Park's gaming beverage license.

Division licensing reviewed it. We worked
very closely with Plainridge Park to put together and help make sure it met all the criteria. They were great to work with, and we are forwarding it with a recommendation that it be approved. I just wanted to note that it mirrors the existing conditions with one exception, which is the inclusion of a new high limit lounge area that previously was not included on the gaming floor for the purposes of the alcohol license, but is now part of that. That high limit lounge area would have a portable bar. Behind it would be a separate and discreet area for alcohol storage with a locked door. But other than that, the conditions mirror the existing conditions at Plainridge.

Of one other note, too, as part of the process, spoke at length with the gaming agents and ID, and Burke Cain regarding compliance history, and there was really -- you know, he indicated and he can speak to it better than $I$, but just as part of the analysis that Plainridge Park has a strong compliance history, and there was no concerns that would impact the consideration of this renewal. COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Is this new high-limit area it's where your retail store used to
be from what I understand? But you had a high-limit place out on the floor. Is that where it is moving to, or are you still going to maintain the other high-limit area?

MS. McKENNEY: The other high-limit area is actually already broken down, and it's moving towards the -- that space is now where the retail space is.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Okay.
MS. McKENNEY: Everybody outside of the retail space they are moving the high-limit machines right there.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: I would just have one comment. I talked about this with Paul. It would be great if we could consolidate the paperwork.

MR. CONNELLY: It's already in the works.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Consolidate what?
COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: The paperwork. We have an application for each establishment for each area.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Anybody else? I should have asked this before. I read all the reports from the gaming agents and your team, Burke, but do you
have any data or perspective on the degree of alcohol problems at this facility versus others? I mean I see all the reports, and there's always a problem once in a while each month, but it doesn't seem like there's a lot, but I don't have any sense of whether there are more, you know, alcohol-related problems here than normal, or how does this compare to your own experience?

MR. CAIN: Right. From my experience 30 years in Atlantic City, you know, when people are going to the casino, they're in a little bit of a different frame of mind, right. You worked all week. You're going to a casino. You want to enjoy yourself, but no, it's no different here. I would also say that $I$ was talking to Chip earlier, a couple months back, and he understands the importance of monitoring all that.

The slot departments, surveillance, security, and food and beverage guys are really good at ID'ing people that might be in a situation that they call security supervisors, and they perform what they call the wellness check, and with that they help them see if they need to get a ride home, an Uber. And if any situation arises, they get all
excited about that, the patron, GEU is quick to come up and assist with that. But there is nothing out of the ordinary, and I think it is being handled pretty well, especially the last few months when we have been reviewing the situations. Plainridge Park has done a good job of ID'ing situations of stressful patrons.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Sterl, the same sense?
MR. CARPENTER: Yeah, this is my sixth casino I've worked at. I would say it's actually less than some of the other properties I've worked at, maybe that's the lack of table games there or whatnot, but it doesn't seem to be too many alcohol-related incidents.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Part of that 1 a.m. closing, which is not the case in other casinos. I know our crime report tracks under the influence drivers too, and there has not been a huge increase in the area, which is good news, and I think the proactive approach by our gaming agents and the enforcement folks, state police and Plainridge PD in order to not let people get behind the wheel is really commendable as well. So, I think all of those efforts combined have certainly helped with
this.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: It's unfortunate that people in the outside world don't know that it's probably harder to get drunk and act out or do something inappropriate at a casino than any place else, any other bar in Massachusetts because of all the surveillance and the security and the gaming agents and so on and so forth. Same with the DUIs, you know, same with that matter for any criminal activity at a casino, you are crazy to do it there. But that's good. I'm glad to hear that anecdotally that its the backup what we're hoping for.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: I originally had a question, and I thought I knew all the locations and I wasn't sure about the Mountain Skipper Express, but I did find it on the chart, and now I realize where that little bar is up there in the simulcast area, but when $I$ read it, I said where is that. I think this looks -- this license application is clean, and everyone is doing their part here, and I see no reason why we shouldn't approve this.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Do I have a motion?
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: I move that we approve the Plainridge Park Casino gaming beverage
license renewal.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Second?
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Second.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Any further discussion?
All in favor? Aye.
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye.
COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Aye.
COMMISSIONER O'BRIEN: Aye.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Opposed? Ayes have it unanimously.

MR. CONNELLY: Thank you.
MR. BEDROSIAN: So I think we will revisit that workforce item in a second after our quick conversation.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Okay. So we should keep going?

MR. BEDROSIAN: Yes, please.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: So it is now 12 and change. Where are we here?

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: GameSense. Do we want to do GameSense and then maybe take our lunch break?

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Sounds good.

MR. BEDROSIAN: Maybe get the other vote in.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Maybe get the vote in. Sure. So we are on item number 7, the GameSense communication update, Director Vander Linden and Director Driscoll.

MR. VANDER LINDEN: Good afternoon, Commissioners.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Good afternoon.
COMMISSIONER O'BRIEN: Good afternoon.
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Good afternoon.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Good afternoon.
COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Good afternoon.
MR. VANDER LINDEN: I am here, as you know Elaine Driscoll, Tod Brubaker, and Anna Yu from KHJ who has the ad agency or communication agency that was selected to carry out the GameSense communication campaign.

We come to you today to talk about the brand refresh of the GameSense program. And before I talk about the refresh, let me just give you a little bit of a background on GameSense. I will be very brief with this.

So in 2014, I was going back into my
records and trying to find this. In 2014, the Gaming Commission was looking for a campaign or a strategy that would support its mission, support its priority of promoting responsible gaming as we introduced or were preparing to introduce casinos into Massachusetts.

After a long hard search, not just within the United States but really internationally, we found a brand or a strategy that was developed by the British Columbia Lottery Corporation called GameSense. GameSense was what we found was an incredibly fresh take on how to talk about responsible gaming.

The identity of GameSense as it was developed by the British Columbia Lottery Corporation was let's have an approach that's simple, that's friendly, and use that as a way to deliver responsible gaming messaging. And they had four central or essential pillars; that it's educational, meaning that GameSense has the tools and tips that help players play smarter; that it's supportive; that it's understanding; that it's not there to judge, but it's there to inform; that it's approachable; that it remains warm; that it remains
welcoming; that it's a relatable presence for players; and that very importantly, that it remains lighthearted; that GameSense is not serious; that it's fun; that it's casual, and occasionally we can find ways that we can make this even humorous.

We launched GameSense and the GameSense program at Plainridge Park Casino, I think with some pretty positive results. We continue to evaluate the program. We continue to get feedback that it's very well received, and we continue to work with British Columbia Lottery Corporation very closely.

So about a year ago, maybe a little bit more than a year ago, the British Columbia Lottery Corp. said we want to rethink GameSense, the GameSense brand, and how -- what is the look? What is the feel of it? And I think -- I'm speaking for Elaine, but we were a little nervous about brand refresh in a program that we feel like is going quite well. But after continued conversations and understanding, we are in agreement that the brand refresh makes sense; that as they were proposing the general ideas and concept to us that it made a lot of sense.

It also made a lot of sense, that if we
were going to do this type of brand refresh that we do it in conjunction with the opening of MGM in Springfield and the expansion of this communication campaign into Western Massachusetts.

We took this sort of general idea and concept and with that we engaged KHJ to help us roll this out. And while BCLC provided significant direction and ideas, $I$ think it's the engagement with KHJ to take it from a brand that has been developed and rolled out several thousand miles away in British Columbia, and let's make it real in Massachusetts. Let's make it everything that BCLC wants it to be, and British Columbia which is educational, supportive, approachable, and lighthearted, and let's just make sure that rings true in Massachusetts.

So the presentation that they are going to give you today, really relates to that. How did we take this really $I$ think pretty great program and make it better and kind of up the bar as we move towards opening MGM and look further down the line towards Encore in about a year. And I just want one last minute here before $I$ turn it over. I thought it was really interesting. BCLC came out with kind
of a new approach, and it's every player playing better. And what they mean by every player is from the first timer to the most experienced, every demographic, every ethnic group, and every game playing better, and what they mean by playing better is that it's not about winning. It's about entertainment, and you enjoy it more. You have a better experience when you're informed, when you're confident, and when you're in control, and that is really what we're trying to do is every player playing better.

Elaine, did you want to add anything?
MS. DRISCOLL: I think the only thing I
would add right now is I think we had a great introduction to that at Plainridge Park Casino. When we licensed from BCLC, I think what was new to us may have been starting to age for them. So they were already in the process of advancing the brand. So although that may have happened a little bit sooner for us than we had anticipated, I think the good news is we had a strong introduction to it. We at MGC got really comfortable and familiar with it, and now before MGM Springfield opens, we have this opportunity now to advance it in a really
significant and exciting way. It made sense to do that particularly because in the meantime, as you know, MGM resorts was inspired to adopt it corporate wide, so it didn't make sense to stick with the brand that was at Plainridge Park Casino, when they too were taking the advanced brand to other locations.

But two really important things are happening now, which is that with the assistance of KHJ, we are in the process of developing a really strong brand that is going to have great sustainability for years to come and something that we'll be able to continually build on for the foreseeable future, and then $I$ think the really other -- very interesting thing that we are doing is that despite that we have adopted BCLC's brand, we have been able to take all of the best aspects of it, but something that we've done that is really exciting is that we're also putting a bit of our own twist on it, which will give Massachusetts some level of distinction with it as well. So I think that that's really exciting. So without further ado.

MS. YU: My name is Anna Yu, and I'm the
vice president of client services at KHJ. So I'm just going to take you through a few of the objectives and a little bit of the process we have been through over the last few months, since we have gotten engaged with this brand, and then I'm going to turn it over to Tod Brubaker who is the creative director to take you through some of the preview of the creative work.

So what you will see today is actually not a hundred percent final, but we are very excited to share at least the concepts with you at this stage because we do feel like Elaine and Mark have mentioned that we are very excited about the direction that this brand is going in.

So our three core objectives for the first phase of the work that we're doing is relaunching GameSense at Plainridge Park Casino. And as Elaine was just saying, while the brand already exists there, we did want to make sure it was lock step with how the brand is being represented in the rest of Massachusetts. So our core focus is how are we refreshing the brand for the GameSense Info Center, and how are we supporting the GameSense advisors.

The second piece of it is with the launch
of MGM out in Springfield on August 24 th. We also wanted to make sure that we have support and materials there for the opening, and then the third piece is introducing GameSense to Western Massachusetts which will be brand new for them.

So our strategy is really at a very high level to be thinking about that every player that Mark was talking about. So everyone from the recreational gambler all the way down to at-risk gamblers, and then the third one problem gamblers. Really the idea here is let's address the full continuum of people who are coming into a facility just to make sure that we are really addressing all of the levels of gamblers and mindsets. So we don't want to wait until people become problem gamblers. We want them to engage in GameSense early on.

And just a little bit of history in terms of what we have done since we started our work. We basically kicked this off in February of this year, and that was with the team of doing an input session so that we got up to speed on all the background to date, and then we've had several calls with BCLC to understand the evolution of the brand, sharing assets with them, and really building off of what
they've already created.
And then we've done site visits both with Plainridge Park Casino and with MGM as well. A big part of what's informed a lot of the thinking is a lot of the research that Mark has shared with us that you guys have done over the years. So all of that helped us really build out the audience mindset and how we are approaching this program.

So what Tod will share with you today is a few preliminary pieces, and the way we sort of organized this is how are we supporting the GameSense Info Center. So in terms of environmental branding, that's what we call it, but it's essentially what does the GameSense Info Center look like? How are people approaching the Info Center, so that they'll want to be a part of this and have conversations with people.

So that's signage throughout the casino and the resort as well because we want to make sure that people are aware that there is an information center and that they can go there. The second piece of this is the GameSense advisors, and when we went out to Plainridge Park Casino, and we met with them, we realized what a core asset they really are to this
program.
So we wanted to include ways in which the advisors can become someone who encourages conversations. You'll actually see one of the ideas we've had here is having branded $t$-shirts that have little messages on there to encourage conversations. And then what we call swag or giveaways often attract people so that they can initiate a conversation with our advisors.

The third piece here is the promotional and interactive pieces, so throughout the actual casino itself, we are using myths and facts and peppering that through, so that people are interested in seeing it. We've also noticed that in the restaurant and in the eating areas there is an opportunity to put some table top pieces. So as you can imagine, if you are sitting in a restaurant and you're waiting for your food, there is an opportunity for us to engage and educate people.

The other piece of this is there are digital screens throughout the casino as well, and just including branding throughout wherever we can.

The last piece we are going to give you a preliminary look at two core pages of the website.

It is heavily under development, so you are not going to see the full website at this stage, but the purpose of the website is to build awareness, education, and engagement.

MR. BRUBAKER: So my name is Tod Brubaker. I'm a creative director at KHJ. Yeah, so we really tried to make the most of these brand standards, have some fun with it, and really one of our primary missions was to enable more gamblers to experience the brand throughout no matter where they are in the casino and not just at the GameSense Info Center. So I'll give you an example of that. As soon as you walk into the casino, you'll see this poster. Give the slots your best shot, and down below is a strong call to action that says go to the GameSense desk for helpful gaming tips. So it's just an introduction to GameSense as soon as they walk in and an invitation to engage with the advisor.

And so, you want to go get some money, say, and right there is another message. Put your Benjamins on a budget. Play it smart. A reminder. So suppose somebody has been sitting at a slot machine playing for a while, you know, they have
that myth in their head that maybe it's going to payoff if they keep playing. They're going to read this and it says, is this slot machine ready to payoff? Look for a GameSense advisor and find out. Again, another way to invite engagement.

So whether they are coming and going from the casino, they are going to encounter our messages. So I gambled my budget. Now I'm leaving. Good attitude. That's gambling like a winner. Is blackjack all skill? There are no guarantees in life, Jack. Time flies when you are having fun, that's why it is good to set a time limit. And now you are in the bar, for example, and these coasters will have messages such as don't wake up with a gambling hangover, know your limits. Don't wind up on the rocks. Play for fun, not money. Here's to setting a budget and sticking to it, and as you'll see there, there's always that strong call to action to engage with an advisor.

So now you're getting hungry. You go to the restaurant, and you are going to see these kind of fun flip books that are only about ten pages long, but it's sort of an easy way to flip through and take the test.

So examples of the covers might be the myths of gambling busted. Test your GameSense. And that's a unicorn there. And the other one says I always blow on my dice before I roll. Yeah, yeah, way to spread germs. And now the GameSense Info Center itself. This is a before and after shot. So, this is a really cost effective, easy, simple way to make the GameSense Info Center way more inviting, more welcoming, more fun, the place you want to be. It doesn't look so much like a dentist office, for example.

And you can see our talk bubbles are there, our messaging is there. And that's the Center. Here's another shot of it. You can see -- it's very hard for me to read that, so I can't, but it's continuing our messaging. Keeping it lighthearted and informational. And there is our test your odds machine. It's a before and after shot. It's a really great -- we love this. This is when we first experienced it to give people a sense of what their odds are. So we just branded it and made it a little bit more fun and approachable. And we even thought that the GameSense advisors themselves could invite you to chat an engagement by having these
messages on their shirt. Cut your losses. Ask how. Does it pay to play more? Ask me. Got a game plan? Talk to me.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Is that a different color green on purpose?

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Yeah, it's part of the brand refresh.

MR. BRUBAKER: And it might be just a discoloration from a printout. And then what are your odds of winning? Ask me.

So now on the website when we first met the GameSense advisors we were really impressed with them, you know, their whole manner of engagement and how they thought and how they engage people. Their personalities. So this was sort of the birth of a concept for the website in which we really make -create a character, a GameSense advisor character, and we are calling this character Chip.

So this is just a stock photograph. It's not who we are really going to have. We have already been casting and all that, but he is our GameSense wisdom guru, and the concept is pearls of GameSense by Chip. Throughout the site, he will offer his wisdom and his thoughts and tips and
lighthearted wisdoms. Examples, I'll just give you a couple of examples of that. You know, your lucky rabbit's foot wasn't so lucky for the rabbit. Know myth from fact. Take this quiz. And just the marquee itself about GameSense, just a little into copy that introduces GameSense to people. What is GameSense? It's a higher state of gambling wisdom that any player can achieve by following our invaluable tips and advice, you too can be a better, smarter gambler. Ready to get rolling? And we'll populate the website with videos of Chip talking on various myths and various specific tips, various machines and gambling games.

MS. DRISCOLL: That's what I was referring to the whole ask Chip piece of it, which was taking the GameSense concept and making it unique to Massachusetts, adding that element which distinguishes us from the rest of the brand.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: So BCLC doesn't have the Chip phenomenon?

MS. DRISCOLL: No.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Where does Chip come from? I'm curious.

MR. BRUBAKER: From our imaginations. We
went through a lot of different variations on the name, and we had -- for some reason Chip was one -obviously we were playing off -- we wanted a gambling cue. Chips, but we weren't sure if it was a keeper until we actually found our guy, and then we all looked at him and said that guy is Chip.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Great. And I suppose we might one day see Chip in a promo video of some sort?

MS. DRISCOLL: Yes. He's an amazing dancer. We just had a video shoot earlier this week.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Maybe we ought to have Chip come to the MGM opening. Do something.

MR. BRUBAKER: He's a highly entertaining person.

MS. DRISCOLL: I'm sure he would love to.
I think the last slide in here was just a very high-level project plan, so you have a sense of what we're engaged in right now. So really what we are working on is getting to hopefully the end of this month having some of these materials we've just shown you for Plainridge Park Casino. So that production is in progress as we speak. So that
production is in progress as we speak, and then we have been developing the outreach planning, so this includes the media component for MGM in Western Massachusetts. We've shared a first pass at that with the Mass Gaming Commission team, and we're in the revision stage right now, but we'll be ready for that August launch.

And then in terms of assets, this website design and development is well underway. So we should be in a good place to have a soft launch in mid July knowing that responsible gaming week is coming up toward the end of that month or early August. We want to feel like we've had a few weeks under our belt, work out any kinks, and be ready for that as well as working on any additional support materials for MGM, and then the Western Massachusetts media begins. Any questions?

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: I had a question. This looks great. I really think it looks great. Chip looks excellent, the smile, the whole thing. Keep it fun, that whole idea. But part of a successful plan is the buy-in. Have we had the conversations with the folks at Plainridge and MGM about these changes?

MR. VANDER LINDEN: Yes, we have. So, MGM when they adopted GameSense corporate wide, they adopted this specific refreshed brand with it. So they bought into that degree that we are all on the same page in terms of the new look and the new feel of it. And, in fact, MGM has been great to work with in a number of ways on the GameSense program as we look to combine our two approaches, if you will.

We recently -- I was on a call with MGM and talking about they have their own MGM GameSense web page, and we're clearly in the process of developing ours. It was agreed upon that they would in Massachusetts promote the GameSense for our specific website, and that obviously then we'd still have our GameSense Info Center with our GameSense advisors in it. So that's been great.

At Plainridge Park Casino, we've shared the concepts with them. They are on board with it. We have shared the concepts with our GameSense advisors, who we take their opinions their advice very seriously and so far so good.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: They are okay with the shirts with the questions on the back?

MR. VANDER LINDEN: We are working out what
would be on the back. There's many variations of it. In fact, that is interestingly one area in which they're not so sold on the taglines on the back. I think on their day to day shirts, they're most interested in having kind of the standard GameSense shirt, but we're talking about as occasionally swapping it out, or if you go to an event where they are providing a training, that sort of thing, that they are more open to it.

We haven't settled on all of the details yet, but I think what the goal of today was to really just provide an overview. GameSense is going to look different in the coming months, and we want everybody to be aware that this is coming down the path and why it is coming down the path and how it is coming down.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: The rebranded space looks excellent. Inviting.

MS. DRISCOLL: And if I could just say two things really quickly. One of the things that is interesting about the working relationship with MGM too is that we are working very closely with them, and as you can imagine, they are doing a lot of the work in terms of the internal signage and in
handling that, we've really been able to amplify resources, because they didn't have intentions to do anything externally, and we're able to handle and run will all of that. So we'll be doing launching advertising with a geographic focus of Western Mass so that the residents of Western Mass are aware of the programs. They know that it's available. We will be doing something around the launch of this self-exclusion list availability. So we will be able to do that type of preparation in advance of MGM opening. So that's really exciting.

And just lastly I wanted to say just from a time and labor perspective, we are in a very intense period right now, and I just want to thank KHJ for their attention to detail and working with us on the schedule and the intense schedule that we need to meet, and then $I$ want to just also say that internally Mark, Theresa, and Mike Sanglang have been doing a great job keeping this project moving, keeping everybody mobilized, meeting deadlines, and getting prepared for opening time.

MR. VANDER LINDEN: The GameSense program more broadly is moving along as well. Commissioner Zuniga and I and Teresa Fiore, were at National

Harbor, MGM National Harbor last week as we looked towards the training curriculum on GameSense and how to roll it out to 3,000 employees. I think that that went very well. I think the Mass Council on Compulsive Gambling has I think wrapped up their hiring or their selection of employees and are going through a background check before they start, and it's a very well experienced team that will be there.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: So MGM is using all the same collateral as we're using? Also, congratulations to KHJ. You were paired with us in our winning the Rosoff Award. You had a big hand in that even though we got the award.

MS. YU: Thank you very much. It's been such an honor to work on both projects with you and we are really delighted to do the work.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: And I think I also saw your CEO on the globe recently at some other big event.

MS. YU: It's the celebration of 15 years of the tagline. It's all here, and it's something that we developed from Mass development, and it's now the tourism tagline.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Yeah. Right. Great. Anything else? Okay. Terrific. Thanks very much. COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Impressive.

MR. BEDROSIAN: Commissioners, if you have time and you can stave off the appetite, I think we can revisit the workforce development plan.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Okay.
MS. GRIFFIN: We're back and we have a few more details to share about the plan prior to the vote. First I wanted to turn your attention to Page 4. Commissioner Zuniga, you mentioned the importance of including gateway cities, and during the break I was able to do a little research and the legislature defines or designates 26 cities as gateway cities, nine of them are included on this including Taunton, Lawrence, Lynn, Methuen, Salem, Lowell, Malden, Brockton, and Chelsea. So, you know, perhaps we can shade that or indicate that, but I think they did pretty good.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Thank you for that clarification.

MS. GRIFFIN: And Jennie, you had some things that you wanted to clarify or add to the plan.

MS. PETERSON: There was one of the comments about the underemployed. Although it is difficult to track exact specifics on underemployed individuals, those are not reported on, many of the groups that we are working with are specifically focused on working with those who are underemployed, specifically the career centers and a lot of the community groups they're a resource for those who might be looking to get a better job. Maybe they're not underemployed, maybe they are working three jobs to get by, and working for us would be a chance for them to have one great job, and give them more free time.

We will -- I think there were a couple of co-conveners of our quarterly meetings who we are still confirming details with them, so we had mentioned so we will be utilizing that and then we will be adding a couple of groups to the specifically listed groups of community partners. I'm including that Casino Action Network.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: And just to the point of the underemployed, what I said, Jennie, I mean, that's not a population that anybody tracks, but we know they're out there, but this takes us
back to why the employee survey is so important, because we do ask for that information when somebody is going to the final steps of securing employment, so thank you for that.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: So we are teeing up to vote. Are we?

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: I think we're ready for a vote.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Mr. Chairman, I would move that the Commission approve the Encore Boston Harbor Workforce Development in Diversity Plan as included in the packet with a couple of kind of conditions to that approval, and those are focused on, and I get a sense or at least a nod from Encore Boston Harbor that we should -- not to put more work on Jill's plate, but that's what we're doing, that we do some follow-up meetings around convening a group to discuss culinary workforce challenges or culinary workforce opportunities, veteran employment opportunities. I would like to build in -- not to add another meeting to a group, but find a way to work with Encore Boston Harbor about some type of monthly progress reporting in terms of the groups you're meeting with and kind of
tracking your success similar to what we did on the construction side, and also making a requirement that at your next quarterly meeting, and $I$ know this is partially dependent on us, looking at how we can get some of those former Suffolk employees into your talent network database as kind of a measure of where we are in reaching out to group.

And I think that's all the requirements I have.

MS. GRIFFIN: Commission Stebbins, can I ask the monthly meeting or reporting, can $I$ ask you to hold that off? Just that $I$ am going to be presenting to the Commission $I$ think at the next meeting, a monitoring plan for operations.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Yeah, that would be fine. Like I said, we are putting that on your plate, but I don't want to -- and I know Encore Boston Harbor has a number of meetings that they are doing with their local groups. I don't want to add to that, but find something that works for you and find something that works for us in terms of tracking your status, but the keyword there being monthly. I think if we let it slide every other month that we are going to lose some progress.

MS. GRIFFIN: So we'll be talking more in
detail about that.
COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Do we have a second?
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Second.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: That's the longest motion in a while.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Any further discussion? If you all can remember, all in favor of the motion signify by saying aye. Aye.

COMMISSIONER O'BRIEN: Aye.
COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye.
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Aye.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Opposed? The ayes have it unanimously.

MR. DeSALVIO: Thank you very much, Commissioners.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Thank you. Good work.

MR. BEDROSIAN: I'm assuming we are adjourning for lunch.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: I think we're recessing, yes.

MR. BEDROSIAN: Okay. So do we want to say it's 12:45, 1:15?

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: 1:15. We are adjourning to 1:15. Good?

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Sounds good to me.
(Lunch recess was taken.)
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: All right. We are reconvening public meeting no. 244. We are on I think it's item number 8. We have the chief financial and accounting officer.

MR. LENNON: Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman and Commissioners.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Good afternoon.
COMMISSIONER O'BRIEN: Good afternoon.
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Good afternoon.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Good afternoon.
COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Good afternoon.
MR. LENNON: I am joined by Agnes Beaulieu, and we are to bring back to you the FY19 gaming commission budget. On May 24th, we made an initial presentation to the Commission proposing a gaming control fund budget of 33.4 million for the composition of 22.6 million in MGC internal costs to provide a regulatory structure, and 10.8 million of
statutorily required costs. The $\$ 33.4$ million budget requires an assessment of 28.3 million on licensees. I would like to remind the Commission that there are both public safety and regulatory funding exposures not built into this budget. They will need to be addressed prior to the end of calendar year 2018 .

We also presented a racing budget of 2.72 million funded from licensee fees, daily assessment, and portions of wagers. The gaming control fund and racing oversight trust fund combined to fund approximately 94 MGC FTEs and two contract positions.

The budget presentation from May 24 th was posted to the Commission's website for a public comment period of May 25th through June 5th. We received no formal public comment; however, we did have conversations with MGM Springfield staff regarding how we determine slot fees and gaming positions up and until opening. It's similar to conversations we've had in front of this Commission with other licensees regarding when to change the numbers on our assessments and fees.

It's important to note that once MGM

Springfield opens, their anticipated gaming positions will be lower than what was initially approved in their application. So we'll shift some of the cost via assessment from MGM Springfield to the Encore and PPC facilities.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Just to clarify, you are anticipating that at the opening and then afterwards having some kind of adjustment?

MR. LENNON: Correct. So, I don't want to get too in depth on this, but their current assessment, which is on the last page -- or the second to the last page of the memo shows them having 3,000 slot gaming positions and then 600 table gaming positions.

Under their current plan, which they came I think last meeting to, they have about 2500 slots and 838 table gaming positions which is a drop of 262 positions from their initially approved plan. So it would take them from 39 percent down to 37.2 percent. So it's a shift, and we would do that only from the point they open. So it will be from September forward, it will drop down. It looks like it will be about a 420,000 dollar variance that gets taken off of theirs and then shifted down between
the Encore and PPC facilities.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Right. But I was just going on how -- when we essentially approved or deapproved the actual numbers, and in this case it would be because of our operation certificate, I take it.

MR. BEDROSIAN: Yeah, I too had a conversation with Mike Mathis and Seth Stratton, and I think the issue about -- the difference obviously between the certain toll gaming positions, as I understand it, and Derek will correct me, is that that helps proportionately what your costs are on everything above the slot assessment. The slot assessment is a fixed fee. So they have gone down from 3,000 to 2500,600 per machine?

MR. LENNON: Yeah, it's a $\$ 300,000$ variance, so their slot fee because it's effective July 1 is 300,000 more than what their actual opening will be.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Oh, that's effective July 1?

MR. LENNON: Yeah. That's all collected up front.

MR. BEDROSIAN: So I think the discussion I
had with them is they would -- they recognize that there's going to be basically some shakeout in the whole market. You know, they will go down. We may go down. We may go up. They would like to sort of revisit what the numbers are after everyone is settled. You know, I'm sure that they make an argument that maybe it should be retroactive. I said I hear them. I'm not necessarily by engaging in the conversation, I'm not agreeing or disagreeing with them, but $I$ think in the first instance this is a discussion they would like to have among themselves, the licensees, and then come back to us. But they recognize there is an issue between the 3,000 that is currently assessed, the 2500 you approved, and obviously then they also understand in the end it's a bit like, and I don't want to discount the 300,000 , but it is moving deck chairs around a bit because the licensees will be responsible for it. It just depends upon which bucket it goes in.

MR. LENNON: So to add to that, if we take -- if they come back with the request to take the 300,000 off of their slot fee assessment, you just increase the overall assessment by 300,000 , so it
goes from a $\$ 28.3$ million assessment up to 28.6 .
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Which they'd pay 37 percent.

MR. LENNON: Which they would pay 37 percent of, and the other 67 percent would be of that 300,000 would be picked up by the other licensees.

MR. BEDROSIAN: Have that conversation with the other licensees also.

MR. LENNON: But since we didn't get a formal public comment on that, we can't really act on that, so I'm just giving you advanced information on how things will definitely change.

So with that I am seeking any additional input from the commissioners or a vote to approve the budget as proposed by staff.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Any discussion before a vote? Do you have a motion?

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Yeah, I'll move that the Commission approve the fiscal year 2019 budget as presented in the packet and discussed here today.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Second?
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Second.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Further discussion? All
in favor? Aye.
COMMISSIONER O'BRIEN: Aye.
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Aye.
COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Opposed? Ayes have it unanimously.

MR. LENNON: Thank you.
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Thank you.
Are we going to do the legal quickly?
MS. BLUE: Yes, I think it should take just a couple of minutes.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Okay. I didn't mean to be pushy.

MS. BLUE: So in your packet, you have some draft amendments to 205 CMR 138.15, and this is typical of what you may see coming before you in the next few months where we are trying to make changes to our regulations to sync them up to reality. The change here is that our regulations said that we issued credentials to employees at the casinos. And, in fact, we do not. The casinos issue them, but we have some standards, and you'll see these are
the amendments that we want to see when those credentials are issued.

So we are just asking for your approval to start the promulgation process to make these changes, and then we will go through the whole process, and we'll come back to you for final approval.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Just a quick question. We asked for their -- that their credential has a unique number or code. I'm assuming that code is also part of the information that we collect on by some licensed and registered individual, so we can match the two up.

MS. BLUE: I don't know if we collect it at that point in time, but yes, we will collect their code and our code.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Are we ready to proceed?
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: I think so. So, Mr. Chair, I move the Commission approve the Small Business Impact Statement to the Amendments to 205 CMR 138.15 Internal Control Procedures for Access Badge System and Issuance of Temporary License Credentials and Restricted Areas as included in the packet.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Second?
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Second.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Further discussion? All
in favor? Aye.
COMMISSIONER O'BRIEN: Aye.
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Aye.
COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Opposed? Ayes have it unanimously.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: I further move that the Commission approve the version of the amendments to 205 CMR 138.15 Internal Control Procedures for Access Badge System and Issuance of Temporary License Credentials and Restricted Areas as included in the packet, and authorize the staff to take all steps necessary to begin the regulation promulgation process.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Second?
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Second.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Further discussion? All
in favor? Aye.
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Aye.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye.
COMMISSIONER O'BRIEN: Aye.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Opposed? The ayes have it unanimously.

MS. BLUE: Thank you. The next item in our section is a request by MGM Springfield for a nondisclosure agreement. And as you may recall, we have done one nondisclosure agreement for MGM Springfield with a lot of different things under it. This will be adding another item to that nondisclosure. Deputy General Counsel Grossman will be able to explain it to you in more detail, but I did want to say that the document we are talking about covering with this nondisclosure, I have had the opportunity to review it. It will be particularly helpful to the IEB if they can receive it. It is far more than a general compilation of information. It's similar to a document we've discussed in the past, but this is a lot of detail on how MGM views certain activities, and I think it will make the IEB's life a lot simpler and also let them do their job much easier. So I'll let Deputy General Counsel Grossman explain to you more about the detail.

MR. GROSSMAN: Thank you. Good afternoon. I would just add -- pick up where Ms. Blue left off, and mention that I too have reviewed the compliance binder. We have a sample that MGM submitted. It's submitted or it's prepared, I should say, quarterly for corporate-wide review by the MGM resorts compliance committee. Other executives and regulators have access to this. Most, if not all, of the other jurisdictions that MGM operates are provided with a copy of this binder, and I can just tell you that Ms. Blue and I both recommend that the Commission approve this request as it meets the legal standard for inclusion as part of the NDA, and that standard is included in our regulations, and it's in chapter 23K. The standard is that whatever it is must be something that the gaming licensee considers a trade secret or believes it would be detrimental to the gaming licensee if it were to be made public.

In this case, it appears clear to us that much, if not all, of this information fits into that category. Some of this information would have to be provided separately under a different regulation, and as we have outlined in the addendum, MGM would
still be obliged to submit those pieces of information to us separately. They couldn't just rely upon the fact that it was contained in the compliance binder.

But as a general matter, the compliance binder is a three ring binder. It's a couple of inches thick typically. It lays out what appeared to be nearly every regulatory and legal issue being faced on a company-wide basis at all of their properties. But more than that it contains a number of assessments made by their executives. It contains a number of legal opinions, as to some of the matters being faced by the company, and it contains strategic initiatives and how they will resolve and handle some of these things in the future.

So while you can parse out different parts of this, it would be our recommendation that we approve of this request, and as Ms. Blue mentioned, it will be beneficial to us and our staff in an effort to ensure we have a good understanding of what is going on at the company.

I can certainly run through any more of the background of the NDA process and what we have done
in the past, but with that $I$ would just leave it at that, and if there are any questions.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Anybody? Are we inadvertently capturing stuff that we don't mean to be? You said that there's some stuff that's duplicated that would be already have been covered under other regs. Are we sweeping into this information that should be public by sort of inadvertence?

MR. GROSSMAN: Not necessarily. Just for example, our regulations require that they provide notice to us of any legal matters for which they face exposure over a certain amount. Those are contained in the binder and those are publicly disclosed pieces of information. There are SEC filings made on that information. But they also contain other areas of litigation, potential litigation that would not necessarily need to be publicly disclosed.

So, for example, those pieces of litigation that would meet the public disclosure threshold are in the binder, so we could try to redact certain or unredact certain pieces and submit it. I think it would be just an exercise in busy work really, since
most of the information or that type of information is available publicly, and it would have to be submitted to us separately anyway. So we would have the information and most likely in a separate document.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Do the compliance binders differ from one company to another?

MR. GROSSMAN: So your question is does Wynn have the same type of binder?

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Does Plainridge have a binder?

MR. GROSSMAN: Not that I'm aware of. I don't believe we receive a similar type binder from national, and I don't know that Wynn has this either at the moment.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Okay. Because a lot of the information that we -- the way we've dealt with, correct me if I'm wrong, with the situation in the past is we can inspect a number of things on site, a number of documents, and we simply don't take possession of them. They are not necessarily subject to --

MS. BLUE: This kind of information would not be things that you would find on site. A lot of
the information that is in here are things that come --

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: It's corporate wide?
MS. BLUE: They roll up through corporate and they contain analysis and strategy and things like that, so it's not about a particular document at a location.

MR. GROSSMAN: And I would just add that this binder would take us probably a month just to fish out all of this information from the different sources, but they're offering just to give it to us in one binder. I mean, I think it makes our lives exponentially easier by accepting this particular document.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: And how often is it submitted?

MR. GROSSMAN: I believe it's quarterly.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Quarterly. Any other discussion? Do I have a motion?

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: I would be happy to move that the Commission approve the nondisclosure agreement for MGM as submitted here in the packet.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Second.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Further discussion? All
in favor? Aye.
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Aye.
COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye.
COMMISSIONER O'BRIEN: Aye.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Opposed? The ayes have it unanimously.

MR. GROSSMAN: Thank you. Could I just ask whether that would include an authorization from Mr. Bedrosian to execute the addendum that's in the packet here?

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Yes.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: I meant my motion to include -- that's what I intended to do. I authorize Director Bedrosian to amend the prior nondisclosure agreement.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: And last and surely not least, item 4B. It's a bad sign when someone comes to the table with a suitcase.

MR. ZIEMBA: Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman and Commissioners. Today we are reviewing the applications for the 2018 Community Mitigation Fund. On April 12th of this year, the

Commission reviewed the recommendations for three public safety related applications; the Massachusetts State Police, Springfield Police, and Hampden County Sheriff's Department.

Before you today are the remaining 23 applications under the categories of specific impact, transportation planning, workforce development pilot program, nontransportation planning, and tribal gaming technical assistance reserve grant applications.

You'll see in your binder we have labeled each of those with different colored tabs on the side. I'd first like to recognize the review team for this year's program, which included General Counsel Blue; Chief Financial officer, Derek Lennon; Director of Workforce Development and Diversity, Jill Griffin; program coordinator, Crystal Howard; IEB Director, Karen Wells; Chief Enforcement Counsel, Loretta Lillios; Construction Project Oversight Manager, Joe Delaney; and program manager, Mary Thurlow, and again $I$ always give a special thanks to Mary for all the work that she does through the year. And I also note the contributions of Former Commissioner MacDonald.

We would also like to thank the administrative team of Maryann Dooley, Jamie Annis (phonetic), Kim O'Connor for all of their assistance for us putting together all of the copies and materials that are part of this review.

The review team has provided recommendations on this year's applications for your review in your packet. We are making these recommendations after a very thorough review detailed in your packet, which is being offered in a timetable that was anticipated in the guidelines published last December. In comparison to the funding that will be available after the full casinos are open, resources are now constrained.

Coming into this funding round, we received significant input from communities that urge the Commission to conservatively structure the programs so that more significant dollars are available closer to the opening of the full casinos.

In an effort to ensure fairness and to respect the input provided by communities and others, the review team worked hard to be faithful to the guidelines that were carefully created through this very collaborative process. However,
we also noted at times that the guidelines provide mechanisms to prevent the words and clauses on the page from becoming a barrier to the accomplishment of the purpose of the Community Mitigation Fund Program to mitigate impacts.

You'll see that the review team recommends approximately $\$ 4.9$ million in grant applications plus another approximately 440,000 Attleboro, Holyoke, Wrentham, and SRPEDD in the use of reserves. This compares to the $\$ 2.5$ million in targeted spending proposed in the prior year's 2017 guidelines plus 300,000 in reserves allocated to three communities.

Please note that the amounts represent the upper end of the review team's recommendations as the amounts include funding which remains subject to further review.

Based upon my understanding of how the Commission would like to proceed, I would like to just give brief overviews. I know that you have the benefit of a rather extensive memo and even more extensive documents as you prepared for this, so what $I$ would like to do is just give a general overview of the categories, and some brief comments
about each one of the applications and the recommendation, and then perhaps we can have a discussion by the Commission after each grouping of applications specifically, the specific impact applications. We would go first with that, then we would get into the remaining sections of the review. Does that sound like that's acceptable?

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: It does.
MR. ZIEMBA: So the first category that we would like to get into is specific impact grant applications. This is probably one of the more difficult sections of the review because there were some applicants and some applications where the review team did not recommend funding and where some of the recommendations require further action by either the Commission or staff after the Commission's review here today.

So, let's start first with Everett's specific impact. The review team does not recommend that the Commission fund the pilot shuttle service at this time. Among the reasons cited by the review team was a question whether this proposed mitigation measure would address a construction-related impact given the difficulties and time necessary to set up
a new service.
You heard a little earlier this morning from the Encore Boston Harbor Team where they described some of the time table for the infrastructure improvements, and a lot of those infrastructure improvements the bulk of them as they stated this morning are due to be completed in the most part by the end of the summer.

The review team in its review does applaud the City of Everett for its continued efforts to expand transit options in its community. It is almost a certainty that the Community Mitigation Fund will have a deep role in the future of such transit expansion opportunities in the future, but at this time we did not recommend a shuttle service for funding.

The Hampden District Attorney's Office is requesting $\$ 475,000$ per year for five years to alleviate potential increase in caseloads due to an increase in crime levels as a result of MGM Springfield. The review team notes that the expanding Gaming Act anticipates that district attorneys' offices may be impacted by the operations of gaming facilities. MGL Chapter 23K Section 61
states that the Commission shall administer the Community Mitigation Fund and without further appropriation shall expend monies in the fund to assist in offsetting costs related to the construction and operation of a gaming establishment, including, but not limited to, public safety including the office off a county District Attorney.

The review team recommends $\$ 100,000$ to cover the salary and benefits of an Assistant DA for a year. The review team also recommends that some flexibility should be included in the grant -proposed grant to allow the DA's office to spend some of these funds for a portion of the costs of a victim witness advocate in this upcoming year.

Additionally, the review team recommends the allocation of $\$ 25,000$ to develop a system to track gaming-related caseloads. The DA's office has indicated that it would help in this effort to determine how to better track caseloads so that the Commission can determine how to fund future needs of the office and the needs of other DA's offices.

At the heart of the recommendation to not fully fund the request, over the period of either
one year or of the multi-year application that the DA's office asked for is our commitment to try to mitigate actual impacts rather than predicted impacts. And as of the current moment, it is extremely difficult to determine what will be the level of activity that will find its way to the Assistant DA's office once MGM Springfield is operational.

But the review team did find the argument of the DA's office compelling that we should award some funding right now because it is an office that is very resource taxed, and it is an extremely busy DA's office. So that if, indeed, for whatever increment of time the DA's office would have to operate without additional resources, that could have a serious negative impact upon the District Attorney's office.

So when MGM Springfield opens in August, there will be a period of time where we learn what impacts it may have on crime levels and incidents in the nearby area, but we think that if we provide this hundred thousand dollars that that will at least provide some buffer from potential increases in crime, even though we don't know how much crime
will occur. We noted to the DA's office that the Plainridge Park facility is an example that we use, but we certainly understand that MGM Springfield in the heart of a city like Springfield, of the size of the city of Springfield may, indeed, be different, but as of yet, we cannot determine how different that may be.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Can you speak a little bit more about the system, the tracking system?

MR. ZIEMBA: So as you recall, last year we had a similar application from another District Attorney's office, and we did allocate $\$ 25,000$ for a reserve to try to develop a system.

What we would like to do is to be able to basically tag all cases that have a casino relation in the DA's office and that require action from the DA's office so that we can have a more realistic picture of what resources would be necessary to tackle this increase in caseload.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: But is it fair to say that that money may go to pay for some administrative function that can, you know, comparing to a small database or filing system?

MR. ZIEMBA: Yeah, I think that the details of how we would do the system, the DA's office said that they would definitely contribute to the effort to develop a system, but it is resource intensive, so that they might need some additional assistance in an effort to develop the system. We had talked about potentially using someone like Christopher Bruce who is involved in tagging all of these related statistics throughout the whole Commonwealth to try to develop a system particular to DA's offices.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: And is it your understanding that they intend to hire if they get funded in this level, hire an additional assistant DA or go fund existing FTEs?

MR. ZIEMBA: Well, the intention would be for an additional hire because they have some difficulties keeping up with their current caseloads.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: So it's fair to say that that person might actually also help to tracking their own workload.

MR. ZIEMBA: That's correct. Now, I'm not sure if the Hampden County DA's office would take
the same one person aside to basically handle gaming-related caseloads. They might choose to spread that out among other rather than just one person. So we would have to work with the District Attorney's office on how they would establish such a system.

Let me move on to Lynn specific impact application. Please note that although Lynn filed a specific impact application, the review team felt that it more closely aligned with the transportation planning application in its scope, and Lynn's response to our request for supplemental information Lynn noted that this request -- this request for a hundred thousand dollars would be combined with previous grants for a comprehensive study on ways to improve Route 107 and ferry issues which were funded under the 2016 reserve.

So far the state has not approved funding for a ferry service for this summer. The review team did not recommend additional funds at this time. It is very encouraged by the effort of the city and the mayor to focus on one major priority related to gaming impacts transportation impacts Route 107, and we anticipate that funding provided
last year at this time, $\$ 100,000$ we provided for a traffic study at this time last year, could be directed to the study of Route 107 improvements provided that sufficient connections to the potential casino impacts could be made.

We didn't see sufficient demonstration of that in this particular application, but believe that we will be able to work with the city to make that demonstration so that potentially they could move forward with the traffic study using last year's dollar.

We do note that both MassDOT and the city has told us that $\$ 100,000$ is really not sufficient for a major type of a traffic study. Indeed, that is why the city of Lynn had requested additional money to move forward, but given the early stage of the priority of 107 for gaming-related transportation requests, we did not recommend the additional hundred thousand at this time.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: How much is left over from the prior year?

MR. ZIEMBA: So we have a hundred thousand dollars that is left over from the 2016 reserve, and at the time the city had requested to move forward
with a very drudging study which they are on the cusp of moving forward with, but they have not, as of yet, utilized that. And then the 2017 dollars are not currently utilized.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: But we did not authorize the drudging ferry as a use for that reserve last year, correct?

MR. ZIEMBA: Correct. We specified that any dollars for this new $\$ 100,000$ that we granted last year, had to be for a nonferry-related purpose because we didn't feel that the ferry was directly connected to the casino, especially in the construction phase.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: So they could get started on their study of 107.

MR. ZIEMBA: Yes.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: From the prior year.
MR. ZIEMBA: From the 2017 funding, and then use the 2016 for their ferry, so it's done with reserve dollars that were a little bit more flexible. So they would use $\$ 100,000$ for their drudging study from 2016. They have available a hundred thousand dollars from last year, that 2017 money. So they would have a hundred thousand
dollars to move forward with any type of study.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Which is why these result in no one approving because you have to spend the prior year first?

MR. ZIEMBA: In essence. We just didn't feel that the current application was sufficiently demonstrated the direct connection to the casino. We hope that we can do that so we can move forward with some study of 107. Perhaps the city would say it doesn't make sense to just use a hundred thousand, but let's just wait for next year. We'll see if we get more money from you in the future rather.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Okay.
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Just back to the ground rules. Are we going application by application, or are we waiting till the end of the category?

MR. ZIEMBA: I was waiting to go through the category.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: I was asking clarifying questions essentially. But maybe digressed into the merits.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Okay. I was writing
things as we went along to ask at the end. CHAIRMAN CROSBY: We did agree to go through the category, and then go back to specifically discuss. I think Commissioner Zuniga had his own strategy here.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: I stand corrected. MR. ZIEMBA: And much at the Commissioner's request, we are focused on some of the most difficult ones right off the bat this year versus last year.

Which gets us to the next application Springfield, Focus Springfield. Springfield is requesting mitigation funds to cover the cost of relocating Focus Springfield which operates a public access television studio and training facility. They have requested $\$ 555,925$, for the relocation costs. The review team recommendation on this item, the review team continues to be concerned about Massachusetts constitutional difficulties related to the funding of private entities. We would just like to read from a letter that we included in your packets. It can be found at the end of the specific -- at the end of the blue tab. It's a letter with the Massachusetts Department of Revenue,

Division of Local Services at its top.
So, what this letter -- this is an older letter, but the letter was a response to a request from a community about funding a grant to a nonprofit, and then it replied to that request, the Department of Revenue Division of Local Services that provides a lot of advice to local officials about how to remain in compliance with the myriad of local and state laws that apply to them. Replied.

This is in reply to your letter asking about the legality of grants to nonprofit organizations. Such grants are hard to justify under the state constitution's anti-aid amendment Article 1846 at 103, which prohibits public funds and property from being given to charitable, educational, religious, or other private organizations no matter how worthy.

The amendment provides a relevant part as follows. I won't read the whole section, but then it continues on. It says the kinds of expenditures barred by the amendment are those that substantially benefit or aid private organizations in a way that is unfair economically or politically even indirect benefit to a nonprofit organization may fall afoul
of the amendment.
So the review team, as you know in the 2018 guidelines, and indeed in the 2017 guidelines placed very specific provisions in place to try to help the Commission in its review of any applications involving private entities.

One of those was that we required each of the applicants that were asking for such a grant to provide in detail why they felt that this particular grant would not run afoul of any laws in particular the constitution, the Massachusetts Constitution.

In addition, we also included a requirement that for any applications using -- involving private entities that the community, the licensee, or a combination of both would need to provide a dollar for dollar match for any mitigation that is requested in the application. And the reason for that was that the Commission could be greater assured that it is utilizing these dollars in the best way that it could, and that it is minimizing the exposure to the Mitigation Fund.

Springfield asked for a waiver of this provision, the match provision, but did note that it hopes that the Commission would view the
contribution and termination payment by MGM Springfield to count towards the match requirement. As Springfield was seeking 555,000, the 300,000 match would not provide a dollar for dollar match for the assistance requested; therefore, they did request a waiver of this requirement.

In your memos, we did not include a recommendation for the Commission to waive this requirement because we believe that the original purposes of the guidelines remain under ready; that the original purposes which is to try to minimize the exposure on the Mitigation Fund and to give the Commission valuable information they remain in place, and so, even though the review team remains concerned about the particular method that Springfield is choosing to remedy the impact, which is namely the potential loss or disruption of valuable services to the City of Springfield, we believe that the city should be held to that 300,000 dollar amount.

So the recommendation here is a little complex. We limited it to no more than 300,000 should be provided because that is the amount that was provided as a match if you count the termination
payment as a match.
So the review team is not prepared to recommend that the Commission can fund the request as put forward by the City of Springfield; namely, payment for relocation benefits given the constitutional questions, but what we do say is that we think that the range of the mitigation should be capped at that $\$ 300,000$.

So in order to move forward, what we would do is we would meet with the City of Springfield. In your packet, we recommend that or require that the city actually consult with the Department of Revenue, Division of Local Services if they are available to provide advice in order to see if there is a method that we can help mitigate the harm to Springfield, potential disruption of services or loss of services without running afoul of the constitution.

And General Counsel Blue, $I$ don't know if you wanted to add anything further.

MS. BLUE: I know this sounds like a confusing recommendation. I think from a legal perspective, I do not believe we can fund Focus. I think that's kind of the bottom line. We can't
provide money to them either directly or through the City of Springfield because Springfield runs into the same anti-aid issue that we do for relocation costs.

One of the conversations that we started with the City of Springfield is they raise a very good point when they say Focus is no longer around or has to go dark while they're moving. They purchase certain services from Focus, like live streaming their city council meetings. They have some Internet access. Apparently Focus manages the fiber loop that these are connected to.

So to the extent that we could help
Springfield in purchasing those services that they can no longer get from Focus, we might be able to do that, and that would be the extent of what we could fund. But basically we would -- in this recommendation what we're asking the Commission to authorize up to a certain amount, which would be 300,000 and allow staff to go back and see if there's a way that some funding could mitigate some of the City of Springfield's issues, but we don't think that there's really a way to mitigate what Focus wants to do which is to relocate and to
rebuild a new studio.
MR. ZIEMBA: I just wanted to provide one little footnote to Counsel Blue's summary when she said the city purchases, it doesn't purchase directly the services from Focus. There is a cable contract and the cable contract allows funding to go directly to Focus Springfield. It, in turn, provides a tremendous wealth of services to the City and to the region with the benefit of some of those funding.

So I think if you ask Focus they would say we are providing those services for free to the city, but it does have the benefit of this cable contract that helps fund a lot of its activities.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Why not -- and I'm sorry I'm out of turn here, but why not come back with that whatever you get from the City, whatever it is that you are suggesting you could get.

MS. BLUE: Well, we would have to come back for approval. This would allow us to tell the city that there is basically a cap on what we could do in any event, but if we did come back with a different deal, we would have to come back before you and go over it with you, and you would have to be
comfortable with it.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Interesting. I have some issues and questions too, but I guess we're going to stick.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Everybody is so disciplined.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Some of us.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Except me, of course.
MR. ZIEMBA: Let me move forward to
Springfield valet. The City of Springfield on behalf of Caring Health Center and other businesses seeks funding for the continuation of the valet parking pilot program. On August 1st, 2016, the Commission awarded the City of Springfield 200,000 in mitigation funds to alleviate parking issues related to MGM Springfield's construction.

The Springfield Parking Authority has been managing the operation of a valet parking service provided to businesses located on Main Street in Springfield between Union and State Streets with parking services to patrons of businesses.

Springfield's initial 2017 application for such extension was submitted to allow the program to be extended by 15 months. In 2017, the Commission
awarded $\$ 31,523$ for the continuation of the program through September 2018. The review team was not convinced that the construction activities after the MGM Springfield opening would significantly disrupt the availability parking in the area.

The review team did not recommend additional funds at this time. The review team's deliberations were mindful of prior Commission discussions that the valet program should continue through the construction period.

It notes that the area will soon benefit from the availability of MGM's Springfield new garage that will include over 3,400 spaces.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Now do you want to go back to the top and go through them one by one? MR. ZIEMBA: Sure. COMMISSIONER CAMERON: What about the sheriff's department?

MR. ZIEMBA: So the sheriff's department we awarded that at our prior meeting.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Oh, we did that already. You're right.

MR. ZIEMBA: And Springfield PD. COMMISSIONER CAMERON: You're absolutely
right.
MR. ZIEMBA: And also the State Police.
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Yeah. Got it.
MR. ZIEMBA: So back to the top would be the Everett's specific impact application relative to the shuttle.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Does anybody have any discussions or questions or issues with that one?

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: No. I think the committee did good work there, and I certainly agree with their recommendation.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Same here.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Me too. So do we need to individually vote? I guess we do, right?

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Yes.
MR. ZIEMBA: If you wanted to go through the category and vote. Do you want to do that, or do you want to do individuals?

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: We might as well do individuals because they may be different.

MR. ZIEMBA: Okay.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: So do we have a vote on Everett, a proposal?

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: So I move that the

Commission approve the recommendation of the Mitigation Committee, and that recommendation is not to fund the pilot shuttle service in downtown Everett.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Second?
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Second.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Second. Any further discussion? All in favor? Aye.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye.
COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Aye.
COMMISSIONER O'BRIEN: Aye.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Opposed? The ayes have it unanimously.

Lynn.
MR. ZIEMBA: Next up is the Hampden County District Attorney's office.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: John, just a quick question. Have you had any conversations with the DA's office with respect to what your recommendation is and whether there is a willingness on their part?

MR. ZIEMBA: I guess the short answer is no, but let me give you the longer answer.

So, what we do in our reviews is we accept
the applications. We have a meeting with all of the applicants. We go over concerns that we think the Commissioners may have or staff has. In the course of those meetings, we, in essence, express difficulties that may occur with the recommendations.

And in this instance, we asked about their willingness to participate in a data program which they did say yes, and we did ask them now that they've had the opportunity to understand how we award our grants over the course of a fiscal year versus over numerous years and how we tried to do it based on specific impacts, rather than predicted impacts, did that have any impact upon their budget, they did not submit any revised budget at that time, but the purpose of this is we have these conversations and then we go on and have the further potentially difficult conversations sometimes with our awardees.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: I think the recommendation kind of is consistent with what we have done with the other request from the DA's office. You know, let's measure something once the facility opens or at least examine the data. So I
think your recommendation has been consistent with our approach.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: I think your idea about utilizing Christopher Bruce makes sense. I'm just wondering where we got the number 25 K , or is it just -- did the Committee just think that's a good starting point or was there any analysis?

MR. ZIEMBA: 25K is what we awarded to --
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: I understand that, but I'm trying to remember last year. Did we --

MR. ZIEMBA: We just thought that that was a reasonable amount.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Okay. So there was no analysis behind that 25K?

MR. ZIEMBA: No, not really. We know of sort of comparable type studies.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Just some administrative support type of work and some simple database.

COMMISSIONER O'BRIEN: Yeah. Okay. There was this comment about some of the money going to the Community Safety and Outreach Unit?

MR. ZIEMBA: Yes.
COMMISSIONER O'BRIEN: And could you just
explain sort of the problem with taking some of this money and putting a staff person on those types of cases?

MR. ZIEMBA: Well, part of this, and I'll have to go over the waiver for the Hampden County DA's office, the specific category, as I mentioned at the beginning, is for construction-related impacts. We currently are not in the operational phase for either MGM Springfield or for Encore Boston Harbor.

So we're not quite sure what is going to happen with operations-related impact so the particular request for funding here, the Outreach Unit might be very well suited potentially in the future and future guidelines, but as of right now where this is a construction period, and that we're granting funds despite that limitation and the guidelines, we were a little bit more closely looking at the request for funding, and specifically this unit as we understand, its goal is to reach out to area youth to try to -- it looks like a great program to try to make sure that they don't end up engaging in any sort of criminal activities, but the connection to the casino is a little bit more
tangential for us because we have a minimum wagering age of 21. Certainly young folks can visit the many facilities at MGM Springfield, but it was difficult for us to make the direct connection to gaming for that unit.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: As long as they understand that this is -- it's very much in their interest to figure out how to track this because it's a perfectly appropriate consequence, you know, effect if the numbers are there, but they got to figure out some way to track it and give us real data. I hope they're not resisting that.

MR. ZIEMBA: No. We were very pleased with our meeting with the District Attorney. What we stressed is that this is going to be a very long relationship between us and them and that whatever difficulties arise, we're going to continue to try to meet those challenges. What happens in the first year, obviously, does not necessarily mean that that's going to happen for the next 15 years. So we were very pleased with our meeting with the District Attorney's office.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Do those offices typically track casework by other -- for other
purposes?
MR. ZIEMBA: Perhaps more expertise might be a available on the other side of this table. But it's my understanding that they do categorize types of activities. There are a number of federal grants that you have to categorize them, but what we heard from the Norfolk DA's office is sometimes a point source of the actual place where the crime came from isn't necessarily included in the fields, so you may not have this came from this location in the data that is being collected at the DA's office.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: But this kind of gets back to Commissioner Cameron's point about what Christopher Bruce is collecting, what he is encouraging every -- what he is encouraging the host and surrounding communities to do when they look at incidents just as he has been tracking them through stuff that we're collecting around the park.

COMMISSIONER O'BRIEN: Something they may want to consider, and whether this is a dialogue that you have with them or maybe something about his comment, but there is an MDA, Mass District Attorney's Association, that they have joint projects. They get a lot of their ITs services
through that as well. So maybe the concept of the DA's offices that might be impacted getting together and figuring out how to pull their resources if they are going to track this is in a separate way. There's different federal grants. There are different -- the Attorney General's office has money from the Insurance Fraud Bureau, et cetera, and they have to keep track of cases to see who is working on what. So there are -- there is precedent for tracking this sort of thing.

So I see the approach of giving Hampden a position with the anticipation that it's going to be an impact of probably greater than Plainridge, but it's not insurmountable to also expect them to track particularly given right now the issue with any of this money being used to staff someone who is on their youth program that is not really tied to this. So whether that comes in, in the conversation back to them. It's part of a broader conversation. I think that's one of the ways to go forward with some of these requests.

MR. ZIEMBA: One thing I will note is that our application round is every February 1st of each year. And we have expedited review of applications
in the past, so to the degree that resource needs become much more than anticipated even in the short term, there are ways that the Commission can then reconvene to consider any sort of increases that we didn't anticipate.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: So in the first five months, if they have significant impact, there's a way to address it next February?

MR. ZIEMBA: Yes.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Any other discussion? Can I have a motion?

MR. ZIEMBA: Commissioner, this would be subject to our waiver requirement because this did not involve construction period impacts. That is not the actual language, so perhaps we can clean that up in the end and vote on the waiver request and there's specific findings that the Commission has to make.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: So we have to waive that this is a construction consequence. This is an anticipatory?

MR. ZIEMBA: Yes, it is.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Yeah. Okay. Well,
should you put that in the motion?

MR. ZIEMBA: Sure. If you want me to read the waiver sitting here?

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Sure.
MR. ZIEMBA: The Commission may, at its discretion, waive or grant a variance from any provision or requirements contained in these guidelines not specifically required by law where the Commission finds that, one, granting the waiver or variance is consistent with the purposes of MGL Chapter 23K. Two, granting the waiver or variance will not interfere with the ability of the Commission to fulfill its duties. Three, granting the waiver or variance will not adversely affect the public interest, and four, not granting a waiver or variance would cause a substantial hardship to the community, governmental entity, or person requesting the waiver or variance.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Now all those four things have to apply or just one of them?

MR. ZIEMBA: All four of those things have to apply. The review team believes that they apply in this instance, where we're dealing with some potential real harm to the DA's office even in the short-term.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Do I have a motion?
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: I think we should move the granting of the waiver first, and on that note, I will move that the Commission approve or grant the waiver request as reviewed by the review team and find that the Hampden County District Attorney's Office be granted a waiver from their regulations ability to the construction costs --

MR. ZIEMBA: Costs -- impacts occurring as of the date of February lst is the specific --

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Impacts associated with --

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: What he said.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Date of February 1st.
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Second.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Further discussion? All
in favor? Aye.
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye.
COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Aye.
COMMISSIONER O'BRIEN: Aye.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Opposed? The ayes have it unanimously.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Then I further move
that the Commission approve the recommendation from the review team in the amount of $\$ 125,000$ for the Hampden County DA's office as discussed here today. COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Second. CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Further discussion? All in favor? Aye.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye. COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye. COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Aye. COMMISSIONER O'BRIEN: Aye.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Opposed? The ayes have it unanimously.

Now we're to Lynn.
MR. ZIEMBA: Lynn. Lynn specific impact. COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Again, I agree with the committee that their request does not really fit, and we not approve it at this time.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Anybody else?
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: I agree. CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Do we have a motion? COMMISSIONER CAMERON: I move that this Commission deny the Lynn request for traffic improvements as outlined in their request and as the committee recommends.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Second?
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Second.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Further discussion? All
in favor? Aye.
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye.
COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Aye.
COMMISSIONER O'BRIEN: Aye.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Opposed? The ayes have it unanimously.

MR. ZIEMBA: The next one is Springfield Focus Springfield.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Questions? Comments?
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Yeah, I'm a bit confused over this one. I think what you are asking us to do is just kind of reserve the money, and that allows you to go back and have further conversations which then you would come back to us with if there is an appropriate plan from the City of Springfield you come back to us with that?

MR. ZIEMBA: That's right. I think what we're saying is that no grant would exceed that 300,000, but we would need to bring back to you a plan for your consideration for any grant to
mitigate the potential consequences to the City of Springfield from the disruption or loss of services.

MS. BLUE: You also have the ability to simply deny their request for a grant. This grant it's going to take a good deal of conversation with the City of Springfield to try and work out something that works. We, as Mr. Ziemba pointed out, we do go back to each applicant. We ask them questions. We asked for responses. I think we still would need to have -- get more information from the city, and we'd have to have significant conversations with them to try to understand how this might work.

So I think the commissioners have a choice. We could simply say, no, this doesn't work under the anti-aid amendment, and as it's been presented to us, we would say no. We could suggest they come back perhaps next year when maybe the impact is more clear, or we could say staff potentially you could work up to around $\$ 300,000$ if you can determine what the impact is to the City of Springfield now, and how those impacts might be mitigated using that amount of money, but we would have to come back to you with a proposal, and you would have to be
comfortable with that.
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: The city would not be impacted now because Focus is not moving, correct?

MS. BLUE: I think the earliest they would move is March.

MR. ZIEMBA: That's correct. March 2019.
MS. BLUE: 2019. So it is also possible that we defer this till next year when we have a better understanding of, you know, when they're going to move, how they're going to move, what services are impacted. We recognize, as the committee, that in order to move a function or business like this, it does take some time and some planning. That's why Focus applied this year. If you recall, they applied similarly last year, but, you know, we really don't know what all the impacts are yet.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: As an alternative, perhaps, to the second option that you propose, we could simply deny the waiver request; therefore, signaling that 300,000 would be the maximum because that's the only thing that they are willing to match because that's what they have. That would be the
maximum that we could effectively approve at some point. When they come back with a more fleshed out process.

MS. BLUE: If they come back this year, yes. Technically they could come back next year and they might, in fact, have matching funds. They may be able to get additional matches. They may have a different proposal. So, I think this is complicated. The committee wrestled with this because we can see where there is potentially an impact to the City, but we have this problem with the anti-aid amendment where we certainly can't just give money to Focus Springfield.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Or to the City to give to Focus.

MS. BLUE: That's right.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: You know, straight up.

MR. ZIEMBA: I will notice pursuant to the application in fairness to Springfield, Springfield believes because of the difficulty of moving something of this type, it would take eight to nine months for a move to be accomplished. So that is why they're pursuing the funds in this fiscal year,
if they had to move by that March date.
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: But the application, as it stands, is not legal. That's our opinion.

MS. BLUE: We couldn't give the money to
Focus Springfield, and we believe the City would have the same issue. We've asked the City that question. You know, can you tell us how this would work if you were to give the money for moving expenses, and they discuss things like public benefit, but we think the legal problem for the City of Springfield is the same as the legal problem for us.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Let me ask a question. So when we dealt with the valet program I think John's creative skills went back and said, no, this is not the appropriate way to do it. We ended up getting the application from the City or from the city's parking authority?

MR. ZIEMBA: It was initially from the city's parking authority, and we asked the City of Springfield to assume the application as its own because no self entities could apply. So that's my recollection.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Okay. So in any
case, it looks like the best recommendation is if the City, itself, applied to us for this money and not have the application come from --

MR. ZIEMBA: No, for this application, this is from the City. I thought you were referring to the 2016 when we first thought of this. This is from the City, and it would be for the Focus Springfield. But this is from the City on behalf of Focus Springfield.

I got confused there for a second. I thought you were going down the line regarding how we structured the Caring Health Center proposal after much back and forth with the City of Springfield and that situation. I can't recall exactly who was the applicant, but we made sure that it was Springfield applying.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: You know, I read through the thoughtful memo and the anti-aid provision to the constitution, and it's -- again, I'm not professing to be a lawyer, but you have the City of Springfield which is essentially the recipient of monies from the cable company that pass through the city to this one entity that provides services to it. They don't disseminate the
services.
MR. ZIEMBA: No, I think there is a provision with so called peg stations that the city coffers directly don't have to receive the dollars and then appropriate it because they do serve a public purpose that funds can go instead of through the city's treasury then to the public access, it could go directly to them.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Is there a contract or an agreement between the City and the peg which is Focus Springfield in this case?

MR. ZIEMBA: It is.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: The contract is probably with the cable company.

MR. ZIEMBA: Yeah.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: And Focus.
MR. ZIEMBA: And the City because I know that they have to approve the license for the cable company.

MS. BLUE: I believe it is part of the franchise agreement that the City enters into with the cable company. So in order for the cable company to receive the franchise, one of the conditions is that they set aside, they usually bill
the initial station, and that they set aside revenues from the contract to fund the station going forward.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Right. But it seems to me there's only one similarity, and again, I'm making some broad generalities here. Parking authority is an offshoot of the City of Springfield, manages parking operations. I'm struggling with this cable group this isn't necessarily --

MS. BLUE: This is not an offshoot of the City. Focus is a separate nonprofit entity. It's not owned by the City. It's not related to the City. It's an independent legal nonprofit. That's part of the issue is that you cannot give money to a nonprofit -- taxpayer money under the anti-aid provision unless there was a statute that specifically allows you to do that.

Our statute is limited to giving these monies to governmental entities and entities that are listed like the DA's office, and, you know, certain educational institutions that are governmental entities.

So that's why we couldn't give this money directly to Focus Springfield. Neither really can
the City if we give it to the City because again, it's not a governmental entity. It's not a city entity. The best we can try to figure out is that if this Focus Springfield had to move and the City could somehow no longer receive the services it currently receives from Focus and needed to replace those services, we could help the City fund those services, just the City itself.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Okay. I was sort of going the same way $I$ think that you were, Commissioner, and although I am a lawyer, I'm the least of the lawyers in this building, but as $I$ was reading Kerri's memo, I was thinking there was -how well written $I$ thought it was and really interesting until $I$ got to the end when $I$ was surprised where she came to the conclusion that she came to.

Because it seemed to me that the critical distinction was the distinction between aid to the institution itself and aid to its public service, and in the case of Focus Springfield, those two are inseparable. It has no existence other than its public service. It was set up to serve a public service.

MS. BLUE: I think under the case law that's not necessarily true. It is -- it provides a lot of services besides what it provides to the City. So while it does provide live streaming and Internet access and things like that, it also provides entertainment programming. It provides arts programming. It gets to determine what programming it provides. That's one piece of it. The money that they are requesting here is not for the use of providing those services. The money they are requesting here is to move and build another studio. So, you know, that's why we have been trying to say, well, Springfield, if you had to go out and replace these services and purchase them some place else, potentially we could fund your replacing those services.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: The things that they're providing are all things that were provided because the City wanted there to be a public access channel that would provide those things. It's not like it's a private company that is out there doing a bunch of things, and the City said we'll give you some money to do a few things for us. The whole idea of public access is purely a public access.

Anyway, it seems to me there is no function of this organization other than its public service. Ipso facto through its source of funding because it was a public service that was made a precondition of the license. So it would seem to me is there any other -- I feel a lawyer over here nodding her head and agreeing with you, so that tells me something. Is there any point in getting any other read on this? Is there any other entity?

MR. ZIEMBA: Well, our recommendation builds in a requirement that Springfield go to the Department of Revenue Division of Local Services that has provided opinions on this matter in the past as they're constructing any sort of an alternative.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: So there's an old letter there, which is sort of a generic letter, but it's worth framing the question, although the worst that happens is they say no.

MR. ZIEMBA: Yep.
COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: But I think that letter from DUR does lay out a path to I think achieving the end that you are discussing in terms of can't give the money directly to the nonprofit,
but if the City is procuring services from that nonprofit, and again, there's only one that is offering these services. It's a little confusing.

MS. BLUE: It's kind of a distinction between procuring services and helping Focus to move. Under the law, they're two separate kinds of services.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: But you're not saying we couldn't give them money for services. You're saying we can't give them money for anything.

MS. BLUE: Well, we can't --
COMMISSIONER O'BRIEN: No, if they tried to go procure services from someone else, and the City was paying for those, then it would violate the anti-aid amendment. If it goes -- basically this whole thing is being structured to try to salvage Focus Springfield which is the exact reason the anti-aid amendment exists. I'm struggling with the fact that $I$ don't know what I've seen is a solution to the anti-aid amendment prohibition. The question I have procedurally and maybe you can answer for me, is when Focus Springfield came first for the money, and it was told you cannot do this, were they also instructed -- were people aware go to DLS get what
they have to say on this. I mean, is this the second time that this group is hearing the direction from us, you need to go to Division of Local Services and get this taken care of?

MR. ZIEMBA: No. No, it's not. We've never asked them to go to DLS. This is the first time we would do that. The concern about the constitutional provision has been voiced here at the Commission level and by staff numerous times and also within a lot of our local community mitigation advisory committees and a subcommittee community mitigation and we've raised this issue. There actually was a representative of DORDLS at one of those subcommittees, and we have been trying to get advice on how things like this should be viewed by us. Unfortunately this is a constitutional provision that isn't often utilized. And it's perhaps the level of expertise isn't as concrete anymore.

COMMISSIONER O'BRIEN: So when they asked for the money the first time and the Commission declined --

MR. ZIEMBA: We never declined. Last year we first noted that the application was premature
because MGM Springfield had continued the lease. So they first came to us and they said hey we're about to be evicted, and then sometime thereafter we heard from MGM that no, we are going to actually extend their lease. So we basically withdrew their application because we said it's not right. They're not actually being evicted at the current moment, so then they reapplied for this funding grant.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: But even then they were thinking about the prospect of having to relocate for which they needed money to go build out their new space.

COMMISSIONER O'BRIEN: And what is the prospect of them renewing for some period of time where they are now?

MR. ZIEMBA: We don't know. We know that both the City has expressed how important that corner it is to the City to be a use that is complimentary to the MGM Springfield facility. And MGM certainly has told us that they are pursuing tenants for that location. So we think it is highly likely that Focus will have to move at some point. I'm not sure if it will be as early as March of 2019, but we think that they will eventually have to
move.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: I think this is a building that MGM owns that initially was going to be slated for demolition because there was going to be a tower there and they changed, and I don't know if they are going to hold onto this building because now they don't need it. We just voted recently to exclude all of the floors above the first floor although Focus is on the first floor to exclude it from the gaming establishment. It is conceivable that MGM could sell it or hold onto it.

I think again in either case, Focus should be going to MGM for, in my opinion, for consideration, if they want them gone, they need to help them build out wherever they are going.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: On the other hand, you know, things change. Somebody might have kicked them out even if it was John Smith, a new landlord, and they would have to figure out a way to move, so that's the way of the world. We don't have an obligation, and we have the right, but we certainly don't have the obligation to fix it. Neither does MGM. It's just sort of that's life.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Well, they have a
lease and they have some provisions.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: That's why they get the termination money. That's legit, but for anybody to feel like -- if you got the goodwill, fine; but there's no real business obligation.

John, is there anybody else besides DLS to ask, like, would the general counsel of A\&F be somebody to ask or to get an opinion just to make sure that there is no --

MR. ZIEMBA: I don't know.
MS. BLUE: I don't think so. You know, I think if the City of Springfield wants to go someplace to get an opinion, they are welcome to it. I think DLS is the one that deals with this most often and has the most experience with it. They would be the ones that would be coming to a municipality who they felt didn't comply with it. So I mean, I think that's the best first place to go.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Right.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Well, to me there's enough questions about anti-aid provision and moving pieces of the parties here to simply deny the application at this point and let them either figure
out a timetable or a process or a mechanism really or if the City wants to do this for them to seek counsel, as to the process and sort of go from there and they can come back anytime during the year.

MR. ZIEMBA: They wouldn't be able to come back until after February 1st of next year.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: For which we would approve a waiver if they did.

MR. ZIEMBA: But I don't think we could accept any application between now and February 1st from them for any funding, and they have noticed us about this eight to nine-month period for moving. So if we denied it, in effect, that could cause some rather significant difficulties for them.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Well, don't we have the ability to grant waivers on our own regulations and guidelines?

MS. BLUE: On this particular -- so this is a statutory deadline, the February 1st. So on this one, if you were to deny it outright, they would need to come back next February and apply for funding or propose whatever they wanted to propose.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: I think what was confusing to everybody was we are denying it, but
we're granting 300,000 , which isn't what you're saying, so everybody is saying this feels weird. It may be we can accomplish both -- straighten that out by not -- not denying it outright, but saying we don't approve this, but we leave it open and authorize the staff to talk. Putting the 300 is kind of an artificial weird number that's a little confusing, but the intention is clear, I think, on the staff's part, and I think all of us are agreeing that if we can come up with a mechanism which is legal, we are very much open to the idea of helping out within whatever our parameters are. So maybe rather than say no but 300 , we say no, but let's leave it open and let staff discuss.

COMMISSIONER O'BRIEN: Is there a way to defer?

MR. ZIEMBA: We can certainly hold on this. We don't have to act on anything.

COMMISSIONER O'BRIEN: Why don't we defer action. I mean that eight to nine months, they should have a plan. We are already at that point from February 1 to make that seem like it's too late. They should already have a plan. So I don't want to cut their legs out from under them, but it
doesn't seem to me like there's a viable application in front of us.

MS. BLUE: We could definitely defer it.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Defer it. I agree.
COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Defer, but I think there is a message to be sent about the number that we are targeting. There might be a chance that other parties come up with more match, and we might want to take that into consideration, but kind of deferring the action and accepting the recommendation as to where it is right now.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Do we need a motion to defer?

MS. BLUE: I think that would be helpful, yes, because last time we just sort of deferred it by agreement, and then we got kind of far down the road, and we had to come back to you and ask for a final decision. So, I think if you would move to defer it with the idea that staff will continue conversations and come back to you if there is a counterproposal or a better way to address it, that would work.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: So I'll take a stab at that. Did you want to take a stab at it?

COMMISSIONER O'BRIEN: I would also -- I'd like to defer it but with a status update maybe three months out. Because to have it just -- I don't want to be in the situation again where nothing has happened, instead put some sort of tickle on it so that Springfield when they come back to us.

I would move that we defer the application submitted by Springfield for relocation costs in connection with Focus Springfield with the condition that the mitigation group come back to us with a status report within 90 days of today's hearing.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Second.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Further discussion? All
in favor? Aye.
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye.
COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Aye.
COMMISSIONER O'BRIEN: Aye.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Opposed? The ayes have it unanimously.

MR. ZIEMBA: And now for the final one of the most difficult category, Springfield Valet program. I do note Commissioners that I do -- we
have been executing a contract for the final portion of the previous grants, and we can sign that up today, the final signature to enable them to continue through September. I just wanted to bring to the Commission's attention that we had originally anticipated that MGM Springfield would be open in September time frame. They're going to be open earlier. But we don't believe that it's in our interest to limit the grant to that August date that we can just grant the full amount of the previously authorized amounts. That's how we constructed the contract, so $I$ just wanted to make sure that that was okay with the Commission. I think it's not a substantial period of time.

COMMISSIONER O'BRIEN: Sounds appropriate.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Sounds reasonable.
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: I agree with the committee's recommendation here that the valet program should not be continued.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Is that a motion?
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Well, it seems it was absolutely designed to be a mitigation for the construction effects, no question about it, and the operating presumption is that there won't be any
ongoing effects from construction for sure or that the operation of the casino will have some kind of comparable effects because, in part, because of the available, but it's like a little bit like the District Attorney. This is a matter of fact. You know, either there is a problem or there isn't a problem, and at the moment $I$ think in anticipation it seems reasonable to say there won't be, but if there is, they can always come back and say, hey something has happened. You know, and we could think about it.

MR. ZIEMBA: So in terms of construction period impacts because they were mindful of the restriction and our regulations, they stated that some ancillary development resulting from the casino should be counted as construction-related impacts. There are some hotels that are being anticipated, non-MGM hotels. We believe at the review team that that was not the type of construction-related impact that was anticipated in the 2018 guidelines. There is some potential for some construction-related activity, and we know Dave's Retail will come online at some point. We're not exactly sure what that schedule would be, but we don't believe that that
project is going to cause rather significant cars in the area. There could be some potential, but there are other documents that guide that as well. There's some parking that needs to be provided to the neighborhood nearby, and as we mentioned at the very beginning, after MGM is open, there is going to be a very big garage that is available for parking for folks in the neighborhood. It is a little bit further away from Caring. I think we had pointed and the rest of the neighbors. We pointed out that valet does serve and helps with some of them, some of the patients that go to Caring, but there is a little bit further away a few more blocks down the road the parking garage.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: I would view the additional construction as actually a good thing. It's kind of one of the economic benefits.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Yes, that's what we were hoping for.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: We were hoping for. But that aside I do think -- I do hope that some of the local authorities decide to figure out how they are going to address the increased need, the MGM parking garage is only kind of one solution to it.

It would be great if some local planning was going on trying to figure out some long-term solutions as we realize whatever impacts there are.

MR. ZIEMBA: And one thing to note is that we review our guidelines every year, and next year we will be reviewing what type of operationalrelated impacts should be funded, so we'll engage in those discussions almost immediately after we get through these grants.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Okay.
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: SO, Mr. Chair, I move that this Commission deny the application of Springfield for the continuation of the valet program until 2019.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: I second.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Further discussion? All
in favor? Aye.
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye.
COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Aye.
COMMISSIONER O'BRIEN: Aye.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Opposed? Ayes have it unanimously.

MR. ZIEMBA: All right. We'll move on to
one that hopefully will be a little bit easier. We have transportation planning grants. Commissioners, as you know, pursuant to the 2018 guidelines, the Commission authorized transportation planning grants for certain transportation planning activities. The planning project must be clearly related to addressing transportation issues or impacts directly related to the gaming facility, and then we specify that eligible planning projects must have a defined area or issue that will be investigated as well as a clear path for implementation of the results.

The City of Attleboro requests funding for a traffic study to identify improvements for Route 1, 1A, and Route 123 as a result of operations at the Plainridge Park Casino.

The review team recommends approval of the use of Attleboro's reserve for this purpose. The grant documents will specify that Attleboro must seek the Commission's staff approval prior to the implementation of any measures. The review team believes that the increase in traffic shown by Attleboro sufficiently justifies further traffic study.

Boston, the City of Boston is requesting
funds to cover costs associated with the engineering and design services for the reconstruction of Sullivan Square, Rutherford Ave. and Charlestown. The review team believes that Boston's application meets all of the criteria specified in the transportation planning grants. The review team strongly agrees that Boston's review of the design for the Sullivan Square, Rutherford Ave. improvements is clearly related to the transportation issues or impacts directly related to the gaming facility.

Both the Encore Boston Harbor improvements to Sullivan Square required under the applicable MEPA Section 61 Findings and a review of Boston's longer term designs for the area have been significant considerations in the Commissioner's ongoing review of the Encore Boston Harbor project and the license conditions. Therefore, we recommend that the Commission fund this request.

Everett Somerville joint transportation application. The cities of Everett and Somerville are requesting funding for the design of a connector to the assembly row MBTA Station. This connector would enable access over the tracks to the station
from the Draw Seven Park in Somerville. In tandem with the proposed bike and pedestrian bridge across the Mystic River, exciting new transit connections could be made to the City of Everett and the Encore Boston Harbor Casino.

The review team was unanimous in its support for this application. The review team felt this is exactly the type of project envisioned for the use of Transportation Planning Funds.

Chelsea Transportation. The City of Chelsea seeks funds for a hundred percent design engineering of Beacham Williams Street corridor. The review team recommends that the Commission provide full funding as this application meets all the criteria. The review team was excited to learn that as a result of a previously-awarded community mitigation fund grant, the City was able to secure a three million dollar economic development administration grant to assist the city in this project, and the City is also dedicating more money itself to the planning activities.

Medford Transportation. The city of
Medford is requesting funding for a survey, completion of documents, and permitting for the

South Medford Connector, a pedestrian and bike trail in the region.

The review team recommends that the Commission provide the funding requested which builds upon the Commission's prior grant.

Revere and Saugus plan to use $\$ 150,000$ granted in 2017 for the services of a transportation consultancy firm and 275,000 to fund a preliminary conceptual design of actions to advance the Route 1 improvement project in regard to the Route 1 Route 99 Corridor. The joint applicant sought to accelerate the work of the consultancy firm and requested an additional $\$ 150,000$ for the firm for the upcoming year.

As the funding for the first year, the planning services remains available. The review team does not recommend the acceleration proposed by the joint applicants. Instead of accelerating last year's funds and authorizing additional funds now, the review team believes that the existing funding could be utilized during the upcoming year.

The review team recommends funding of 275,000 for the Route 1 improvements subject to conditions detailed in the analysis by the review
team.
West Springfield Transportation. West
Springfield seeks funding for a professional engineering consultant to collect data, analyze, and design improvements to Route 20 intersections. The review team recommends this application as it complements the work authorized in the 2017 Commission award.

Those are the summaries for the transportation items.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: John, one question on the West Springfield piece. As you pointed out, they're kind of tackling Route 20 in chunks. Part of this application looks at addressing the Route 20 rotary before you hit the north end bridge. Is that part of any remediation work that MGM had to undertake in terms of traffic? I remember some plans to realign stuff at the rotary.

MR. ZIEMBA: Joe, can tell you there are some improvements, right over the bridge to some of in the infrastructure. It's mainly sidewalk work and ADA compliance, but the main focus of the mitigation required for West Springfield involved Memorial and Memorial bridge connector. This area
was not a focus of the mitigation award, and we authorized funds for this important corridor last year noting that a significant percentage of the traffic traveling to MGM Springfield will come from Route 20 points north over the bridge. Also there is some important pedestrian and bike connections.

Once you go over the north end bridge, there's a riverwalk area that connects directly to the facility, so not only will this be important to improving motor vehicle potential impacts, but it would help with the mode share goals of the facility.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: But MGM didn't have any requirements under Section 61 to that rotary at all?

JOE: No. MassDOT had done some restriping there themselves ahead of that, so that essentially took care of that issue.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Can $I$ just ask, so the only recommendation for your eliminating one of the requests or partially one of the from Revere and Saugus.

MR. ZIEMBA: So, we didn't recommend full funding the Revere Saugus application, but we did
recommend $\$ 275,000$ in funding.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: And remind me again.
I know you mentioned this. You are not recommending the $\$ 150,000$ in funds for a planner?

MR. ZIEMBA: In essence. So it would represent the second year's funding of the transportation planning activities that we funded in this year, because we think that the $\$ 150,000$ should be -- could be directed throughout the course of the year for those activities.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Okay.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: If we don't have any
objections -- does anybody have any objections to any of these? Let's go for them all en masse. Let's go for it.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Mr. Chair, I
recommend the Commission approve all the recommendations for the transportation planning applications as outlined by the Committee; Attleboro, Boston, Chelsea, Everett, Somerville, Medford, Revere, Saugus, and West Springfield.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Second.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Any discussions? All in favor? Aye.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye.
COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Aye.
COMMISSIONER O'BRIEN: Aye.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Opposed? That was quick.
MR. ZIEMBA: So next up, Commissioners, are the workforce development pilot program grant applications. Just by way of background in this past year's guidelines, we authorized funding for an aid program in eastern region and region $A$ and a program in the western region, region B. Our goal was to have a consolidated application funded of 300,000 in each region. We have a consolidated application in a western region. We received two different applications in the east region, but the review team found merit in the approaches and, given the immediacy of the potential opening of the Encore Boston Harbor Facility, it did make recommendations to move forward with portions of one of the applications and funding for the other application.

But let me turn it over to Jill for the rest of this.

MS. GRIFFIN: Sure. So the Workforce
Development Program was developed to provide
interested residents in the gaming region the ability to obtain academic and occupational credentials needed to most in the most in demand occupations related to the gaming industry.

Additionally, it was established to assist the underemployed for unemployed to either get a GED or adult basic education, which would position them to get future jobs either in the industry or help with the backfilling. So, I'm going to start actually with region $A$. We, the staff, made it clear that we anticipated one collaborative application. We spoke to both applicants, but as John said we got two.

So the Metro North Regional Employment Board requests $\$ 300,000$ to fund the continuation of several programs, including their culinary training program run by the New England Center for Arts and Technology and also a continuation of their community engagement outreach and career advising. They call this the Casino Career Advisors. This is a group that has been meeting quarterly with Encore Boston Harbor and include mostly in kind staff from the Cities of Everett, Chelsea, Malden, Cambridge Somerville, Boston and one-stop career centers as
well and Metro North Boston.
And they're proposing a continuation of that, and also the expansion to other cities. They meet with high-level staff at Encore. They hear about more information about the jobs, and they are able to spread the word.

Some new components of this program, the English for employment classes, English for Speakers of Other Languages that specifically contextulize to the hospitality industry, that vocabulary and focused on employment outcomes.

Additionally, job readiness training, preparation such as resume writing, interviewing skills, workplace communication, and the soft skills, and program management to support all of the above.

So the recommendation by the committee -the Committee was all in agreement and recommended that the Commission approve $\$ 300,000$ for the Metro North Casino Careers Consortium. There is one concern, regarding making sure that the ESOL the English classes be granted to experienced and high performing providers in a timely manner. That's something that an MGC staff will work with the
regional employment board to ensure that those concerns are addressed.

Additionally, as a condition of funding, the Committee would like to recommend that the Metro North Regional Employment Board meet with the other regional employment board grant applicant to further discuss concrete areas for collaboration. They came in as two separate applicants. We'd like further communication regarding this. So that's the Metro North Regional Employment Board.

Next the Private Industry Council. The Boston Private Industry Council requests 300,000 . And this was a totally new application. So most of these efforts are new. The Committee had concerns about this application, but found some innovative aspects, but I'll just review kind of the request.

They requested funding for hospitality classes also contextualized for hospitality. They requested funding for a staff physician and casino career navigator to manage the day-to-day aspects of the project and also to be this liaison between Encore Boston Harbor and other employers.

They also requested funding for upskilling of housekeepers, current employees in the
housekeeping industry, teaching them kind of the Wynn Boston Harbor way, giving them resume and interviewing skills.

Community supports, this is funding to provide local support to ensure that residents in some of the areas of higher unemployment that these residents enter the pipeline and get the support and encouragement they need to continue, and connect -better connect kind of disengaged individuals into the traditional workforce system.

The Committee actually found this to be very innovative and wants to support this area with some conditions.

Gaming scholarships. The group requested funding for 35 scholarships and funding for 12 high school students to have part-time employment for six months and class to introduce folks or kind of expose them to the potential of the culinary industry.

So the Committee actually had some concerns. They agreed that the application contained some really strong components, including the occupational training in gaming and hospitality as well as the English for hospitality class. And
they also recognized using volunteers for community mentoring and support, to outreach for the purposes of workforce development was innovative and has potential for engaging those who are disconnected from the workforce system.

We saw little -- we saw some issues in
Western Mass about this, and we thought this could be a really interesting solution. So although the review team agreed with the Community Support Strategy was innovative, they asked for supplemental information regarding measurable goals. The Committee felt that this was an area that needed further development regarding clear specific goals and reporting for that strategy.

The Committee is concerned that the strategy is very broad, and focused on many strands of work. So the Committee is recommending a reconfiguration of funding.

So, for example, the funding recommendation is as follows: $\$ 300,000$ and funding as requested for the English for Hospitality Classes; funding as requested for the Community Supports for the six community-based organizations to provide services and mentoring and outreach. We recommend revisions.

We actually recommended funding 50 gaming scholarships rather than 38 , so a significant increase, an increase of 16,500 .

We recommend funding 25 slots invests preapprentice program. That's an increase of funding to $\$ 125,000$ redirected from 21,600 , rather than upskilling current houseworkers actually getting new folks into the industry.

And we noted the Private Industry Council's overhead, the areas in question that we wanted to talk to the applicant about because we actually have not had this conversation, but the 25 or the 20,000 estimated for the debt of management we want to understand that more and the marketing.

We recommend denials for the casino career navigator position, the future chefs, and the upskilling of the current housekeepers and the advisory committee funding.

The Committee thought that the casino navigator position as it was agreed the work -- or should be denied as it was agreed that the work could potentially being accomplished utilizing existing staff and other resources. Given Encore Boston Harbor's commitment to establish neighborhood
satellite career centers and to hold outreach sessions throughout their host and surrounding communities. The committee recommended shifting the funding to best preapprentice program, because we believe that this will maximize impact allowing more individuals without existing experience an opportunity to begin rewarding hospitality careers.

So, with that I guess I'll stop and see if you have any questions.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: They originally requested 300, and you're saying yes to the 300 , but you moved it around.

MS. GRIFFIN: Exactly.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: So it's a different 300. Okay.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: You feel like, although you say right up top, there is only 300,000 for each region, you found both of these strong enough and it's a big enough region to have both of these applications move forward?

MS. GRIFFIN: We found the need to be so great in region A that we thought we should reconsider one of the applications, and with some revisions, we thought that we could work with the
applicant and ensure that it is strengthened.
COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: I think also, Jill, and correct me if I'm wrong, regional employment boards covers specific geographic territories, and Metro North does great work, but unfortunately they don't come to the City of Boston. So it would be almost excluding any kind of workforce development work within the City of Boston by not having an applicant that we could work with, right?

MS. GRIFFIN: That's right. And Boston we know is important, as a large region so we thought that would be important too.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: This morning, Director, we heard a lot about the tight labor market and you mentioned briefly here some of the efforts for recruiting new people, but how does that all tie together in your estimation?

MS. GRIFFIN: Well, many of the partners that were mentioned are actually part of both proposals. And I would say that both -- the entities in both proposals, the groups that are mentioned had been partners in working with Encore Boston Harbor for quite sometime. And this honestly gives them the resources maybe to expand their work
and formalize their work.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: You referred to some of the money from the pick going to gaming scholarships. Is that scholarships to the 1100 dollar program we were just talking about this morning?

MS. GRIFFIN: That's right.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: So there's like 80 scholarships.

MS. GRIFFIN: Encore funded 50, and this would be an additional 50.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Oh, 50, so there's a hundred. Are those full scholarships?

MS. GRIFFIN: Or just under. What did I say?

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: So they're full scholarships.

MS. GRIFFIN: That's right.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: So we already have a hundred of those that are fully paid.

MS. GRIFFIN: That's right.
COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: I have to add I think it's pretty unique between these two applications, if they're both approved, that
leveraging additional financial resources in kind contributions, both proposals together will amount to close to a million dollar workforce development program in the Greater Boston area for addressing the needs of the gaming industry. That's pretty amazing.

MS. GRIFFIN: Commissioner, that's really significant given the limited funds in this area. That's a really good point.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: We've already focused on this. Does somebody want to speak -- have a motion relative to --

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: I'll move that the Commission approve all three Workforce Development applications in the manner that they were adjusted by the review team here today for the Boston Private Industry Council, the Holyoke College, and the Metro North Regional Employment Board.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: She didn't cover Holyoke.
MS. GRIFFIN: We just talked about Region A.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Strike that. I'll move that the Commission approve the Boston Private Industry Council as well as the Metro North Regional

Employment Board applications as discussed and modified by the review team here today.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Second.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Further discussion? All
if favor? Aye.
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye.
COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Aye.
COMMISSIONER O'BRIEN: Aye.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Opposed? The ayes have it unanimously.

MS. GRIFFIN: So perhaps Commissioner Zuniga is signaling his support of Region B. COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: I was. A need for a break, too.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: We'll break right after Region B.

MS. GRIFFIN: I'll make my summary short. So you have already approved funding for gaming scholarships earlier. We took that out. We expedited that. Additionally, there is a new line cook training that is involved in this program, and this is really exciting, and it expands the culinary training to Springfield in addition to Holyoke. So
utilizing the vo-tech school and STCC Community College, so additional opportunities for people to get trained in many culinary -- hundreds of culinary jobs at MGM.

They're proposing to extend the City of Springfield's literacy and math GED and HiSET program. They are also proposing an extension of the Hampden Prep. That's the Springfield Technical Community College accelerated high school diploma program, and I think that's it.

So we're recommending full funding at 300,000. There is one change is that we are recommending that $\$ 10,000$ that was originally requested for the needs assessment be repurposed for additional gaming scholarships.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: So you are recommending the 300,000 , but 60 we had already approved?

MR. ZIEMBA: That's correct.
MS. GRIFFIN: They already have 60, so that would be included.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Okay. Do we have a motion?

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Yeah, I move that we
approve the application of Holyoke Community College for $\$ 240,000$ added the additional 60 that we already approved with the modification as outlined by Director Griffin.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Second.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Further discussion? All
in favor? Aye.
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye.
COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Aye.
COMMISSIONER O'BRIEN: Aye.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Opposed? The ayes have it unanimously. We'll take a quick break and come back to non-transportation.
(A short recess was taken.)
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: We are reconvening Public Meeting No. 244. We are back to Ombudsman Ziemba. I have something at 4:00, so I'll be slipping out around 3:30 or a little thereafter, but Commissioner Zuniga will pick up for me.

MR. ZIEMBA: Commissioners, we now begin the review of the nontransportation planning grants. Up first is Everett. The City of Everett proposed a wayfinding system to connect visitors to the Encore

Boston Harbor facility to other parts of Everett. The review team does not recommend that the Commission authorize at this time as it involves transportation planning and because of questions about how funding for the project would be made available. The review team noted that Everett requested the maximum transportation planning award. The review team did note that Everett did demonstrate important economic development objectives in its proposal.

I noticed that we meant to include some of the further detail from Everett's response in our memo, but for some reason the version that is on the web page now is absent, some of those additional details. So make sure that the Commission has the full benefit of what we intended the Commission to know. I'm just going to give a little more detail regarding the benefits of this proposal.

So we asked Everett to please respond to our request of why this is not a transportation planning item, and why an economic development purpose should be viewed as the more predominant purpose of the grant, and they responded that a successful wayfinding system is much more than a
collection of visual signs. They are a requisite piece of a larger puzzle that will help shape a community's brand relative to economic community development.

Looked at through the context of design wayfinding establishes image vitality by way of memorable reenforcement of civic or institutional identity. Our greatest cities and regions around the world exhibit this visual energy with exuberance. Smaller towns and cities like Everett can also embrace their own community branding.

And as the world becomes more and more urbanized, there is a clear need for a city or town to find ways to differentiate itself to bolster its visible distinction for the sake of projecting an individual character and public character defining and character building contributes to perceptions of civic pride and pride of place.

So within this context, the review team did find that Everett put forth very viable economic development purpose; namely, to try to connect our visitors to the Wynn facility to other areas of the City of Everett, but it did also note that the regulations -- excuse me the requirements and the
guidelines specifically we created a nontransportation planning category for a reason, and this creation of signs and a wayfinding system didn't involve transportation planning and design. Indeed, most transportation projects do have a dual purpose of transportation and economic development. We were planning this particular category for more nontransportation planning activities. This had that dual purpose. So even though we found a good deal of merit in the activities that Everett was proposing, we did not feel that we could recommend this for your approval.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: John, just sort of as a matter of principle, it's not really dealing with -never mind that it's transportation planning, but it's not really dealing with an impact. It's kind of building on the positives. Is that the kind of thing that you would think would fall under our --

MR. ZIEMBA: Yeah.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: It's more like the kinds of things the Commissioner Stebbins has been talking about to come out of the Gaming Economic Development Fund, I would think.

MR. ZIEMBA: Well, it's similar, but when
we created the planning grants both in the Community Mitigation Fund reserve also the transportation planning reserve, and this category. We anticipated that the communities could both to promote -- to try to remedy specific impacts with funding through the reserve, or to try to capture opportunities that may arise from the facilities, and when we've had this conversation in previous years regarding that, the opportunity creation is the flip side of mitigation. So by creating further economic opportunities, you're helping to also mitigate potential harms of a decrease in economic activity from the facility, but you are doing it in a preventive fashion, rather than a reactive fashion.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Okay. So you would consider this consistent?

MR. ZIEMBA: Yeah, it is consistent with our planning activities. The only thing that we did also mention was that there is a significant expense for the wayfinding system up to 500,000, and Everett did note some potential sources including city capital dollars for those, but it didn't seem to us that they were immediately ascertainable whether those would be available to actually create the full
wayfinding system.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Okay.
MR. ZIEMBA: Malden. The City would like to engage a planning consultant to assist in the completion of specific Broadway corridor framework plan that will enable Malden to develop an action plan related to land use and economic development as they relate to gaming facility-related impacts on this important corridor that connects down to the facility.

The review team recommends that the Commission provide the funding requested.

Revere. Revere seeks funding for the continuation of a consultant to focus on better positioning the City for economic development opportunities that will result from the Encore of Boston Harbor project. This would represent the second year of this funding. The review team recommends the Commission provide the funding requested.

Saugus. Saugus is requesting funding to connect the Northern Strand Community Trail to the future Saugus Riverwalk. The review team recommends not approving this application as transportation
planning is ineligible for this funding. The review team noted that Saugus and Revere applied for the maximum transportation planning grant. We do recognize that the riverwalk offers a really good opportunity for Saugus to be competitive once the facility opens.

West Springfield. West Springfield is requesting funding for an architectural and engineering consultant to conduct a police facility needs assessment and location study. The review team recommends that the Commission approve this application. The town demonstrated that it may be experiencing space difficulties due to the hiring of police officers directly hired for the purpose of the new MGM Springfield facility.

That is the sum of the applications in this category.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Comments? Questions?
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: John, remind me the Malden Broadway corridor, this is the second year that we're also pointing something similar in that city?

MR. ZIEMBA: Similar. This is a different corridor. Joe can give you a little more detail on
the geographic location. It's a little bit somewhat south of the location, and there's a number of different potential business needs in that area. I think there's some industrial activities, and I think what they'd really like to do is figure out how to make the corridor connections a lot more beneficial to Malden to get increased opportunities from Encore Boston Harbor facility.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: But is it fair to say that they are both along the same lines of what we funded before just in different areas?

MR. ZIEMBA: Yep. We funded -- in the past we funded a planning activity to move forward in analyzing other opportunities and to get businesses within Malden more information about how they can organize to get more business from the Encore Boston Harbor Facility. This is more designed to take a look at the planning that might be necessary for the corridor, more akin to similar activities that we looked at down in the Plainridge area for Wrentham where they took a look at the whole corridor to see what they needed to do for planning, and we have an offshoot of that this current year where they want to then take that in turn into the zoning
regulations that will help them encourage business.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Okay. Anything else?
Anybody object to any of these?
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Should we do two and two, one would be the denials and one would be the approvals?

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Yeah, sounds good.
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: I'll start,
Mr. Chair. I move that the Commission deny Everett and Saugus applications as they do not meet the requirements of the nontransportation planning grants.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Second.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Further discussion? All
in favor? Aye.
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye.
COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Aye.
COMMISSIONER O'BRIEN: Aye.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Opposed? The ayes have it.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Secondly, I move that the Commission approve the Malden, Revere, and West Springfield applications as they do meet the
requirements.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Second?
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Second.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Further discussion? All
if favor? Aye.
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye.
COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Aye.
COMMISSIONER O'BRIEN: Aye.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Opposed? The ayes have it unanimously.

MR. ZIEMBA: Okay. Commissioners, reserve planning and tribal gaming and technical assistance grants. I now provide you with just a little bit of an overview of the reserve request. As you are aware, we have established a hundred thousand dollar reserves to 28 communities totaling $\$ 2.8$ million, unlike our annual funding rounds where applicants must apply by February 1st of each year, communities may apply for the use of reserves on a rolling basis. Once these needs are identified, pursuant to our guidelines, reserves may be used to cover specific impacts in 2018 or thereafter, and reserve may be used for planning even if it determined how
to achieve further benefits from a facility or to avoid or to minimize any adverse effects.

Holyoke Reserve. Holyoke seeks funding for economic development focusing on Holyoke as a destination as opposed to some of the challenges they anticipate from the MGM Springfield facility. The review team believes that Holyoke has complied with requirements under the mitigation fund guidelines, funding for the tourism plan, similar to other plans that have been approved by the Commission would require submission to the Commission staff for approval before they move forward with the aspects of the implementation of the tourism plan.

Wrentham. Wrentham reserve. This would be the creation of the zoning regulations for the town following the comprehensive land use transportation and marketing study in the corridor which used 50,000 of the Wrentham's reserve in 2017.

The review team believes that Wrentham has complied with the requirements under the mitigation guidelines and recommends this request.

Southeast Regional Planning and Economic Development District, SRPEDD, anticipates planning
request for studies to assist communities in geographic proximity to the potential tribal gaming facility in Taunton with regard to traffic capacity and operational impacts should the construction of the tribal gaming facility move forward.

The review team proposes that the Commission grant a continuation of the 200,000 for such technical assistance program. In order to activate this reserve such determination regarding Taunton's potential construction would first need to be made by the Commission.

So in other words, the Commission would have to take action to activate this reserve should it determine that the tribal facility would move forward, but until that time, no funds would be made available under the reserve to SRPEDD.

The review team recommends that the commission would review the details of any such program at any future date should such a determination be made.

So with that as a summary, I welcome any questions on these items.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: So the SRPEDD reserve we approved before and they have not used. We just
come back and approve every year essentially.
MR. ZIEMBA: That's right. We don't count their money actually towards our available funding because it was already included in what we reserved. So this is just a continuation of that reserve until such time that we deem that the tribal facility would not more forward.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Did we ever fund anything for the Brockton proposal for the same region?

MR. ZIEMBA: Well, there was a -- it's hard for me to remember if --

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Is it also SRPEDD?
MR. ZIEMBA: It was SRPEDD, but unlike that one, there was the regular --

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Community process --
MR. ZIEMBA: Technical assistance grants program.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Yes, yes, sorry.
MR. ZIEMBA: And I think based on my recollection $I$ believe that a lot of the details were worked out between the applicant and the communities regarding that, rather than coming to us for a voluntary grant or other purposes.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Part of the nonrefundable fee was for host community planning and all that stuff.

MR. ZIEMBA: Yep. Yep. and we did have some of those activities at the time between I think it was both MAPC and SRPEDD, if I recall, but I'm not recalling exactly.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Any other discussion, or do we have a motion?

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Do you want one motion on SRPEDD and one on the other two?

MR. ZIEMBA: Sure.
COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Mr. Chair, I'd move that the Commission approve the grant continuation of the $\$ 200,000$ planning assigned to the Southeast Regional Planning and Economic Development -- I don't know what the last $D$ is.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Second?
COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: District.
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Second.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Any discussion? All in
favor? Aye.
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye.
COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Aye.
COMMISSIONER O'BRIEN: Aye.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Opposed? The ayes have it unanimously.

MR. ZIEMBA: In summary, Commissioners, I do ask the Commission approve these recommendations which it has done section by section as staff proceeds to execute grants with the awardees. In such contracts, we include conditions that are consistent with our -- conditions as we determine are necessary to effectuate the purposes of the Commission. And we'll keep the review team and the commission informed about the status of the conditions for these contracts.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: We need one more motion.
COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Mr. Chair, I move that the Commission approve the use of the reserves as prescribed to Holyoke and Wrentham with the attached staff conditions as conveyed by Mr. Ziemba.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Second.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Discussion? All in
favor? Aye.
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Aye.
COMMISSIONER O'BRIEN: Aye.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Opposed? The ayes have it unanimously.

Do we have any commission updates that
was --
COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: I got two.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: I do one.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Go ahead.
COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: First of all, I had the opportunity the other night to go to the Boston Foundation for an award event. The Boston Foundation awarded the Deval Patrick prize to community colleges, to Holyoke Community College because of their culinary program and partnership with MGM. It was, I think, a 50,000-dollar award which $I$ expect somehow will find its way back into the scholarships.

But the interesting part was there was a student there who over the past year or so has been taking culinary classes with the sole intention of approaching MGM, and that day he heard he had gotten an invitation to come back and apply for one on the culinary spots. So our message of the early prep
training positions you well when the job becomes available.

And next Monday I'm going to be speaking to the Governor's Advisory Committee on Travel and Tourism talking about our projects and money that is going to start being generated when our class one licensees. So that is all the regional tourism bureaus. Some of the big tourism assets around Massachusetts and representatives as well.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Great.
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Excellent.
MS. BLUE: Commissioner Zuniga, if I might jump in just before yours because I know Chairman Crosby has to leave. If you look at the agenda, we have a notation here of legislative updates, and what we just wanted to remind the commission is that we are now in a conference committee position with the budget, and there are daily fantasy sports bills, the racing bill is out there. You have previously given Chairman Crosby the ability to address those issues because they may come between meetings. We just wanted to let you know that we are in an active kind of period now, and to make sure if you had questions or make sure you are still
comfortable with that as we proceed, because they have appointed both the conference committee sides.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Do you need a vote?
MS. BLUE: I don't think we need a vote, but we just need you to confirm that you want Chairman Crosby to act on these matters while we are between commission meetings.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: I think that is the best way to move forward, continue with that approach.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Absolutely.
MS. BLUE: Okay. Great. Thank you.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Real quick because I know you need to leave, but I attended a training session in National Harbor for MGM and a lot of their employees there I went with Mark Vander Linden and a couple of people from the Mass Council of Compulsive Gambling, and I continued to be very impressed with their efforts in this arena. They are really employing the GameSense brand and approach company wide, and they are training their employees very thoroughly.

Before I was recognized as a Commissioner from Massachusetts, I spoke to a number of employees
during the training because part of it is interactive with our tables, and the feedback from those employees was unequivocal and they were very happy that they are taking these kind of training. I won't go into details but because this speaks to the operations of MGM, but they really are empowering their employees to address the topic of responsible gaming the way GameSense is meant to do. They are not just empowering them but incentivizing them and rewarding them when those conversations happen.

And the big concern that we had initially was whether and how the program that we have here in Massachusetts was going to work with their efforts that they have corporate wide, and suffice it to say that everybody came back feeling very reassured that they are essentially willing to do whatever we need them to do, and suffice it to say, they are going above and beyond in their other properties.

So that was a very positive day, and I really look forward to their continued participation in this effort.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Excellent.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: That's great. I hope --
was Mark with you?
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Mark was there. Marlene. A couple of GameSense advisors. I heard from Allen that their own employees had gotten a lot of great feedback. They had gotten a lot of great feedback from their own employees, but I was able to corroborate that firsthand, and that was really, indeed, positive.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Great. Anything else?
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Move to adjourn.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Second.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: All in favor? Aye.
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye.
COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Aye.
COMMISSIONER O'BRIEN: Aye.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: We are adjourned.
(Proceeding concluded at 3:34 p.m.)
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