

PROCEEDING

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: This is my
pleasure to call to order the 246 th meeting of the Massachusetts Gaming Commission. It is about quarter of six on June 26 th, and we are at the aging center in the Town of Plainville unusually. We appreciate very much everybody coming out. We felt we had some important information to share not only the quarterly update from the Plainridge Park Casino folks but also and much more unusually some of the results of the research project that we've done to assess the impacts, economic and social impacts of the casino on not only Plainville but each of its surrounding communities as well. We'll be talking a lot more about that.

But before I get started, there are a handful of real VIPs here, all of whom we met -- many of whom we met in the early days who are with us now. Senator Richard Ross is back here and has been a party to
this process all along. Representative Liz Poirier is here, who has also been involved in this from the very beginning. Jennifer Thompson, the new town administrator, new to us but we worked with Joe Fernandez, and we've heard great things about Jennifer Thompson filling Joe Fernandez's shoe. We also have two members of the board of selectmen, George Sutherland and Jeff Johnson. They're also the chief of all the public safety, Chief Alfred and Chief Alexander, who's the IT, thank you very much. So to you all folks, and particularly everybody is busy, we really appreciate you being here.

We will start out, as we always do, with the approval of the minutes of the prior meeting, and we'll ask Commissioner Stebbins to do that.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Good
evening. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Before I move that the minutes be approved, if I could direct your attention to page six up at the top, this is something that we are
trying with the meeting minutes to kind of highlight and put some focus on follow-up items.

So the meeting we had back on June 7th, I believe, it was Commissioner O'Brien asked the representatives from Encore Boston Harbor to maybe come back and update us and provide some more detail about their daycare plan both for employees and potentially for the should read gaming school students not employees.

So it's kind of just a technique in putting the meeting minutes together for future use at the public can quickly look through the meeting minutes, see what topics might have been discussed and what there might be some follow-up on as well as maybe support our team and the staff to make sure that items don't slip through the cracks, but we've highlighted them, talk about them and hopefully carry them over to an additional meeting. So we're trying it out. I'm happy to take any feedback. But if there isn't any, I'm happy to move that
the minutes be approved.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: I just noticed it.
I think it's great. I think it's a really good idea. Second? We haven't moved.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Mr.
Chairman, I'll move the minutes --
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: We're new at this.
Bear with us.
COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: 240 times, we'll get it right. Mr. Chairman, I move that the Commission approve the minutes of the June 7, 2018 meeting subject to correction for any typographical errors or other nonmaterial matters.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Second?
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Second. CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Any discussion?

All in favor? Aye.
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye.
COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye.
COMMISSIONER O'BRIEN: Aye.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: The ayes have it unanimously. Commissioner Zuniga is not with us today, so the vote was four to
zero. The next item on the agenda is Ombudsman Ziemba. John Ziemba has been the ombudsman for the gaming commission for very much near the beginning of our time. He has been responsible for coordinating the relationships with many of you reps and senators in the process, town officials, when the bidding was going on and then has been in charge of maintaining the relationships with the surrounding and host communities as well as particularly with the facility themselves. In this case, Plainridge Park Casino. He orchestrates a quarterly update by each of our licensees, and today I think he will introduce Plainridge Park Casino.

MR. ZIEMBA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and Commissioners, good evening. We have, as you noted, Mr. Chairman, we have a quarterly report from Plainridge Park for the first quarter of this year, 2018. Joining us from Plainridge Park are Ruben Warren, vice president of finance, Kim Dixon, vice president of human resources
and Michele Collins, vice president of marketing. So let me just turn it over to the Plainridge Park crew.

MR. WARREN: Hi. First of all, I
want to thank the City of Plainville, our host community, for inviting us here and allowing us to have the meeting in the facility. I think the lights just went out purposely. So we want to thank them for welcoming us here. And so for us, we want to present our first quarter, 2018, financials.

For us the first quarter, since we've been open in the three years, we've had our best quarter in 2018. That's with all the weather events in the winter. So 42 million net slot revenues is what we produced in the first quarter, state taxes of 20.7 million, total taxes with the state taxes being 16.9 million of that total, racehorse taxes 3.8 percent. Again, for us this is a great story. This is a 10 percent increase over the prior two quarters, first quarter since we've been in
operation.
Great partnership with lottery. The lottery sales, this is the highest figure since we have been in operation, $\$ 894,000$ for the first quarter of 2018. That's 19 percent over prior year. And, so, great story for the lottery, great partnership. And, again, for these guys 20 percent increase and for the property 10 percent increase for the first quarter. So all of the things that we're doing to plan and to bring exciting things to the property, it's showing itself and it's coming to fruition for us.

Our total qualified spend by state, we spent, you know, \$1.5 million. This is -- I apologize, let me find the other slide. So 1.5 million in the state, and we kept 74 percent of that total in the State of Massachusetts. So 1.1 million was spent in the state and the other states, Missouri, Michigan, Florida, Connecticut, Iowa were the top five other states that we spent money to support the operation.

Local spend, so this is the spend that we spend in our host and surrounding communities. Just under $\$ 200,000$ for the first quarter, Wrentham being the top allocation of these funds, 73 percent or about $\$ 140,000$ of that. That's mainly due to a project that we had in the first quarter to replace barn roofs for the racing area.

And so diversity spend, what we're showing here on the chart is in the blue what our goals are for the property, 21 percent for a total diversity spend and for women-owned enterprise 12 percent is the goal, for minority-owned enterprise six percent and for veteran-owned businesses three percent of our total spend is what we go out to try to accomplish in these categories.

The orange is our first quarter. And, so, overall we accomplished the goal in the first quarter with 22 percent overall of our total spend allocated to diversity areas. Women-owned right at the
goal of 12 percent, minority-owned at
7 percent and our veteran-owned category at 3 percent.

So, again, great strides with getting out in the community to do our best to find new venders and also projects that we have coming up making sure we invite anyone that's in our database to bid on those projects to make sure we maintain.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: What's the Q4 spend?

MR. WARREN: Our Q4 is the gray. So we just wanted to --

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: From last prior to

MR. WARREN: 2017, yes. Again, that was due to an LED lighting project. So we had a local Plainville vender that we gave that project to. And, again, 39 percent was the goal that we met in the fourth quarter of last year.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Ruben, at some point, we should probably work with you guys on your veteran businesses that
you have partnerships with. As you know, the state now certifies veteran-owned businesses. It's a free and relatively quick process we hope, but that not only kind of gets them in the queue to be accounted as a veteran for your purposes but at the same time might open them up to other bidding opportunities around the state.

MR. WARREN: We love the idea. We do work with Derek Glennon and Jill Griffin to make sure that when we have projects is there anything that we're missing, so we do work with that group. But, absolutely, we look forward to working with the state on that.

From a compliance standpoint, again, great story. It's a very clean story for us in the first quarter. We invite on average about a quarter million people through our doors every month. And, so, you can see here on average we check at our doors in the first quarter just under 24,000 patrons. We have three entrances to
the casino. We have -- it's staffed with security officers that make sure that we check for IDs for anyone that looks under the age.

And so for here, they checked under 24,000 people and about 380 we prevented from coming into the facility because either they didn't have IDs or five were minors and 73 were under the age of 18 years old or 21 years old.

We did have one patron in January that did get past our security. Took a few steps. They realized that they did not ID that person so we quickly escorted them off the floor. They didn't game. They didn't drink. They didn't do any of that. So, I think, kudos to the property to have roughly 700,000 folks come through our door and to not have an incident $I$ think is a great story to tell.

I'm going to turn it over to Kim Dixon for an employee update.

MS. DIXON: For employment in Q1, our total employees are about 465. Of
that, 308 were full time and 157 were part time. This remains pretty consistent with our numbers from Q4. Our turnover continues to trend down. We typically have about 10 percent of our positions open at any given time.

For diversity for our employee makeup, we're at about 25 percent. So we're up about two percentage points from Q4. Our veterans remain the same at five percent. Massachusetts hiring is at 65 percent. Our local is down slightly. It's 34 percent.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Local means Plainville or Plainville and surrounding?

MS. DIXON: Plainville and surrounding communities. Male and female makeup, so we're at 46 percent male and 54 percent female. We're up about six percentage points for our females from last quarter. We continue to focus on our in state hiring. So we do about three to four talent auditions per month. We have done the recruit military and the BBC job fairs
in the spring. That's Q2, so I'll hold that for the next meeting.

Next slide. So women leading at Penn, so women leading at Penn is an initiative that our parent company has started. So of that, Michele and I are co-champions for a group of 16 women at Plainridge Park. So we've been working with them and mentoring them, putting them in a program.

We also I am a board member on the United Regional Chamber of Commerce. So we're partnering with them in their women's impact network to do a women's expo on September 25 th of this year. Gayle has graciously accepted our offer to be our keynote speaker, so she will be our keynote speaker in September. It's about a half day program. We have asked Gayle to speak at the lunchtime.

We will have women focused businesses for part of the expo as well as four different breakout sessions. So two different session where they can choose
between one session or the other and at two points in time. And then at the end of the day, we're following that up with live after five for networking opportunity with the chamber where everyone is invited.

I'll pass it over to Michele who is going to talk about -MS. COLLINS: Good afternoon. So for local community, we continue to contribute to many of the associations, including Relay For Life. We partnered with the Red Sox. We do Winning Wednesdays. So for every win they get on a Wednesday, we donate $\$ 777$ to Relay For Life of North Attleboro. YMCA, Wrentham Polar Plunge, which a few of our, including Ruben, participated in this I heard very cold and frigid. Dancing with the Stars -CHAIRMAN CROSBY: What day was that? MR. WARREN: It was in the middle of the winter. Snow still on the ground and water very cold. It was for a good cause. It was a good time. MS. COLLINS: Habitat through

Humanity and Lenore's Pantry. One of the things we were able to do with Lenore's Pantry was we had leftover ham and turkey certificates that the customers didn't claim. So it was about $\$ 8,000$ worth equivalent to about 400 turkeys or hams that they were able to utilize for local community, so, yes, it was nice. And then New Hope, we sponsored a comedy show with Dan Candell in our loft.

Q1 partnerships, these remain consistent with what we've been doing quarter over quarter. Again, hotel stay and play packages for our VIP quests, Wrentham Village Premium Outlets, we sponsor valet there, NESN, Bruins, the radio stations and of course the Celtics, who disappointed me, but we're looking forward to the next season.

And some marketing highlights. We did another event with Murphy's Boxing. We did a Celtic's viewing party at Flutie's and Mark Gerard's Big Swing Band. We did that in the loft where it was actually a
swing band that performed and guests could participate.

Some highlights for this quarter include our three-year anniversary, which we just had on Sunday. Happy birthday, Plainridge. VIP sneak peak for our high limit area. We are adding a new high limit area and lounge. So that high limit area is 500 square feet larger than the current area. It's going to have new product and machines for our high limit guests. And then the VIP lounge will be open to those who have an Icon card, which is our highest level tier level.

So it will allow them to go into an area, quiet place, they can sit down, have a snack and just relax and get away from the gaming if they choose. We also did a national pet day donation where we had 400 guests come in and donate for animals, and we donated it to North Attleboro shelter.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Do you see opportunities coming up as we get into the warmer months, TPC, the Xfinity Center,
things like that?
MS. COLLINS: Absolutely. With the Xfinity Center, we're doing a promotion with the Beaslie where we are hosting a pre-party at Flutie's, and then there is a shuttle bus going over that takes all the winners from the contest to the concert. And then for TPC, we are sponsoring the Dell championship this year, and we also just signed an agreement with them so that we can send our guests to play at TPC. That's for quarter two updates.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: I'm sorry, I'm jumping the gun.

MS. COLLINS: You're stealing my thunder.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: I'll sound just as excited at the next quarterly meeting.

MS. COLLINS: Okay, good.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Any other comments or questions or feedback?

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: It's apparent you're paying attention to all of these
issues. It's really nice to see and, you know, just keep up the good work. Look forward to the women's leadership program. It's a good thing you're doing for folks. And just quickly, you mentioned some of it, but what else are you doing with these 16 women?

MS. COLLINS: So we've broken it up into two groups. I'm with eight of them, and she's with the remainder of them. We are meeting with them one on one to kind of go over their career goals and what they want to do. We're getting them involved in training and programs to help them develop their career path so that they can get there and really monitor what happens over the course of the next year and into their future to make sure what they are doing is part of what they want to be doing and not something we're just saying, hey, be part of this group and not really develop them. So our first session starts this month where we're kind of reviewing that book we had talked about at the meeting. So we're
excited to see how that goes.
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: If Representative Poirier doesn't mind, I'm going to tell you all something that she said to me that the feedback from her is that you all have been really attentive to the community and paid attention to nonprofit groups and so forth, and it is noticeable I'm told by one of the main public officials from around here, so that's a great credit, you know. It's what we're going to talk about in a minute is what else has happened as a consequence, but you've followed through on commitments like this and that's great. We're proud of that.

MS. COLLINS: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Anything else on
this item? All right. I'm going to get to Item No. 4, which is the social and economic impacts of Plainridge Park Casino on Plainville and its other surrounding communities. Take a minute or two to set up.
(A recess was taken)

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: We are ready for Item No. 4. Can everybody hear me all right? We were out here probably, I don't know four, four and a half years ago talking to public officials, talking to representatives of the cities and towns in this area getting feedback on the pros and cons, getting feedback on the community's views of whether or not to have a casino here and hearing a great deal from Plainridge Park Casino, Penn National at that point, on what they would offer and what they were promising to bring to the casino or to the communities.

Two days ago was the third anniversary, as the folks from Plainridge told you, and we now have about two full year's data of what has actually happened to the economics and the social fabric of this town and its surrounding communities. The hardest part of this whole project, by the way, was trying to figure out how you
spell Attleboro and North Attleboro and Foxborough. So if I got it wrong, I tried. We will start out at the beginning, which as Senator Ross knows, the research project was founded in the legislative mandate in the Gaming Act to establish an annual research agenda to assist and understand the social and economic effects of casino-gambling in Massachusetts.

This is an absolutely unprecedented research project literally in the world. There's never been a time when the legislature established a mandate in the law before the casinos were set up to understand the baseline conditions, what are the gambling problems? What are the gambling attitudes? What are the crime rates? What are the traffic patterns? What are the unemployment rates? What are the business starts? All of those baseline conditions before the casinos come in and then track them on a longitudinal basis year after year after year after year. So the local communities, who host
this project, and regulators like us and the legislature and our partners at the Department of Public Health have real data to know what has been going on. There's never been anything like this done before literally in the world.

There are two key people to introduce before I get any further here who made this possible. First is Mark Vander Linden, who is our director of research and responsible gaming. When we saw this mandate in the law, there's also a mandate in the law that the legislature gave us to take responsible gambling and problem gambling very, very seriously. We needed to find somebody who had this breath of experience, the passion, the interest to take -- to lead this project.

We found Mark in Iowa. I proposed that he come to Massachusetts, and he said no. But we signed up a few more allies, and we brought him and his wife to the north end and took them out to dinner and eventually Mark and his wife and two kids
moved to Massachusetts from Iowa, and he has been an indispensable part. All of this work that you're going to see comes under him in our office.

The second is Dr. Rachel Volberg. Rachel is the principal -- the lead principal investigator of all of the stuff you're about to see and, by the way, more. She is one of the top probably five, six or seven gambling researchers in the world. She is headquartered now at the School of Public Health at UMass Amherst and her team that she leads is made up of people not only from the School of Public Health of UMass Amherst but also the Donohue Institute in the president's office at UMass, also Lethbridge University in Canada where our co-principal investigator, Rob Williams, resides and also members of the faculty from the University of Nevada Reno. So it's a big, big team.

Rachel originally suggested to us how we might want to do this kind of tentatively not really believing that maybe
we really would want to take on this massive project, but we did. We had a competitive bid. Her team won. And like Mark, if it weren't for Rachel drinking out of a firehose for the last five years, this project wouldn't have happened. Incidentally, she brought Elissa Mazur with her, who is her project manager. Just like we couldn't survive without Rachel, Rachel couldn't survive without Elissa.

So, quickly, there are two major studies. The Social and Economic Impacts of Gambling in Massachusetts what we call SEIGMA. That's the one that we have the baseline study of all the variables that might be affected, and the changes that occur to those variables over time.

There is a second study called the Massachusetts Gambling Impact Cohort, MAGIC, which studies a cohort of Massachusetts citizens. Three, four, five thousand Massachusetts citizens heavy up on people who have gambling problems or potentially gambling problems, and we study
them year after year after year after year to see how they move in and out of gambling, what kinds of co-morbidities associated mental health or other problems do they have, what encourages them to get into problem gambling, what encourages them to get out. That's a whole other incredibly important study, but it doesn't have to do directly with the social and economic impact. So that will be the last you hear of MAGIC.

The only issue here, you know the background of Plainridge Park Casino, the only issue here to highlight is June 24th, the opening date almost exactly three years from today.

There are a handful of variables that are methodological principals for the study. Most of the methodology I don't understand. You wouldn't either, but there are some key points here. Critically identifying how much money is involved, where is it coming from and where is it going, not only the gambling money but the
money from the casino to the communities, all of the different ways money flows from the government to take care of the casino problems, identify how much money is involved, where is it coming from and where is it going. Second is, as I said, assess impacts for years before and for years after the introduction of new gambling venues.

One of the things all the commissioners and I learned or heard when we were in the licensing process was much of the conversation about casinos pro and con was basically people yelling bumper stickers at each other. Casinos are great because of jobs and revenue. Casinos are terrible because of crime and prostitution. Very little data on what was really going on. Understanding that is obviously the single most critical variable.

Comprehensively assess all potential economic and social impacts, and utilize multiple sources of information. I'll talk about all the different social and economic
impacts. Multiple sources of information is not only all the quantitative data you're going to see here but also we have a bunch of key informant interviews, like Jennifer Thompson, that we talked to important people in town who might have or in these towns who might have a view, so we get qualitative perceptions of what is going on here as well as quantitative perception.

And the final astonishing last point is that, in addition, we've identified communities around New England that are like Plainville and like Springfield and like Everett. So if something happens in Plainville, for example, if unemployment rises dramatically and we are trying to figure out did this have to do with the casino, we can also look at Hadam, Connecticut. What's that, New Hampshire? I can't read my handwriting. Pepperell, Maine, Portland, Connecticut and Sturbridge, Mass. Those are towns which are demographically very similar to

Plainville. So we can go see maybe did unemployment rise everywhere.

So we compare what's happening here to other matched communities, similar communities to make sure we have an accurate understanding of what really happens here. We don't want to just know what happens in Plainville. We want to know whether or not the casino was responsible for it, good or bad. That's what we are here to try to figure out.

So, first of all, the social
impacts. This will blow your mind, but here are the ones that we look at. Under problem gambling, we look at the prevalence and incidents, incidents being the reoccurrence of gambling, treatment seeking, personal bankruptcy, divorce, domestic violence, et cetera, crime. We look at overall crime rates. We'll talk about this at length.

Attitudes towards gambling, population health, that is physical, psychological health, substance use and
addictions, leisure activities. Does having the casino affect people's use of other leisure activities? Demographic changes and the environment, traffic and noise and other environmental issues that we can think of. That's a lot of stuff.

The first variable in the social is problem gambling and related indices, and we see that there are no significant changes in problem gambling or related indices in the Plainridge Park host and surrounding communities subsequent to the opening of Plainridge Park Casino. That's the bottom line assessment.

There is specifically no significant change in problem gambling or at risk gambling from the baseline targeted survey. We had a thousand people targeted survey for this area, and then we had a follow-up, the FTPS is the follow on targeted population survey. So from 2014 to 2016, you can see at risk gamblers in Plainville and surrounding communities were 6.7 percent. They went to 8.2. Problem
gamblers went from 2.6 to 1.8. They more or less wash out -- there is no great statistical significance.

I have taken these slides -- these are slides from Rachel Volberg's team. You see this logo down here, this is not the gaming commission's logo. We are not interpreting this information for you. We're taking what we've been told by our research team. I have dumbed this down a little bit so I can understand this. Don't take 19.8 as an absolute percent versus 19.3 as an absolute percent. But in orders of magnitude, these are accurate. If we get into any details and if you want real serious background information on this, Rachel can give it to you, but I've taken this down to a simpler format.

There is no significant change from the baseline study for two years later the follow-up study in problem gamblers who are wanting help. There were 16 problem gamblers study -- in the study in the first round. There were 12 in the second round.

There were 16 problem gamblers seeking help. There were 12 in the second round. No significant increase in problem gamblers wanting help or seeking help.

No significant change from the baseline study, the preopening study to the follow along study in 2016 of regular gamblers reporting any negative impacts due to their gambling. There were about 471 regular gamblers in that thousand person survey. They were about -- reported of those 471, eight reported financial problems as a result of their gambling. Two years later about the same number, 6, 1.7 to 1.4 percent.

Same for all these other -- we asked all regular gamblers, do you have problems or do you have any of these incidents in your life as a consequence of your problem gambling? And there's no appreciable change in any of these consequences of problem gambling.

There is no significant -- did I do this right? Yes. No reported change in
the number of gamblers anonymous meetings in Plainville. This is the first one of the key informant interviews. We also went and talked to the man who was the secretary, $I$ think it's a man, who was the secretary for the New England Gamblers Anonymous. He said, we have got gamblers anonymous meetings here for 20 years. At present about 15 people attend these meetings each week. We have not seen any increase in attendance since the Plainridge Park Casino opened.

No change in personal bankruptcy filings in Norfolk County. We can't get bankruptcy filings by each town. We can only get it by county. But Norfolk County, the red line here, no change in personal bankrupt filings in Norfolk County where Plainville is situated. No changes in divorce filings, restraining orders or cases of child welfare involvement in Norfolk County. All of these numbers, if anything, you see are going down a little bit.

Plainville key informants reported this. No obvious impacts. I don't really see any impact on the health and well-being of the people of Plainville. Conditions now seem to be as they were prior to the opening of the casino, Lou LeBlanc, Chairman of the Board of Health.

Residents have not come to us with concerns that there have been an increase in problem gambling, Jennifer Thompson, Town Administrator.

Now crime rates. We work with Chief Alfred in Plainville, and the chiefs in all of the surrounding communities to go over their crime incidents item by item by item to determine whether they have anything to do with Plainridge Park Casino. Here's the bottom line in those two years. PPC, Plainridge Park Casino itself, has not significantly increased overall crime in the host and surrounding communities but there was an increase, a temporary increase in credit card fraud, and there have been reports of lost property and suspicious
activity in Plainville likely attributable to PPC. Overall in crime, not much of an issue but an increase in credit card fraud and not a significant increase in reports of lost property and suspicious activity. COMMISSIONER CAMERON: May I. CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Sure, please. Commissioner Cameron is the former lieutenant corneal of the New Jersey State Police and is our liaison with all of our crime research with Chief Alfred.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: And this one is always -- I think our crime analyst, there was no other reasonable explanation for a rise in credit card fraud, so thus the term "likely." Chief Alfred will attest to the fact he has scrubbed every single report, and there is no evidence that any of this crime is attributed to the casino, am I correct?

CHIEF ALFRED: That's correct. Good evening. When Chris came up with those numbers --

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Chris is our crime
analyst.
CHIEF ALFRED: He does an outstanding job, by the way, very comprehensive. So Chris had contacted me. So we looked at each report to see if we could draw any connection to Plainridge whatsoever, and we couldn't. In fact, many of the victims of these crimes, the identity theft may have happened in Arizona, but they come to the local PD to report that they were contacted about their identity being used in another state.

We asked them, you know, where had they been, do they have any idea where they thought they may have lost their credit card or some type of identification. Nothing pointing to Plainridge so far. So I can't speak to the other cities and towns, but I can tell you that we couldn't draw a correlation between Plainridge in that slide. And I would add that we get spikes in different types of crimes in different years, so this isn't necessarily unusual.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: And the good news is the second year there was no increase. In fact, it went back to the level that it was before that.

CHIEF ALFRED: Right.
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: So whatever happened that one year is pretty much --

CHIEF ALFRED: We identify groups that come out of New Jersey and New York that will be in the area. They will commit several identity thefts, which might spike those numbers, and then they are back to taking off out of the area. So we do have groups that come up from other states using identities from those other states or stolen gift cards and things like that that are all lumped into that identity theft.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Thank you, Chief.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Thank you. This is really an interesting point. I think pretty much all of the chiefs felt that they could not figure out any relation between the credit card fraud and the
casino, but our researchers said I can't prove that it is not because of the casino. Since I can't prove that, I have to put it out there that it's possible that it had to do with the casino.

I think that tells you, and I hope this is important and the people hear this, we're not whitewashing these numbers. We follow the Chief's suggestion pretty much taken credit card fraud away, but we can't prove that it didn't come from the casino so we're going to put it out there as something that we need to think about.

Plainville police statistics show an increase in property crime, total crime and calls for service at the casino itself in the two years after it became a casino compared to the two prior years. Total crime offenses at the facility itself went from 10 average prior two years to 90 subsequently.

Property crime offenses went averaged from six to 47. There were no violent crime offenses calls for service to
the department went up substantially from 1,100 to almost 1,600 . This is just at the facility itself. However, increased crime --

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Chief, you had something there?

CHIEF ALFRED: Just a note on that. You put forward 6,000 people on a daily basis on any piece of property and we will see an increase. We didn't see any, as you mentioned, any serious crimes there. We just have a number of people there, and with that comes an increase in calls of service. It's not a spike in crimes and, as you said, no violent crimes.

It will be no different than our Target plaza where we respond to that actually more than we do Plainridge. And why, because we have a larger number of people on that property on a daily basis. So a lot of that is just about population in one area.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: And the interesting thing here too, Chief, and you
can attest to this, is they are all solved. Because there are cameras everywhere in the casino, our success rate for solving and they are -- and, you know, someone will steal someone's tickets, right, from the machine. But the combined efforts of Plainridge PD and the state police working hand-in-hand, they solve all these crime. It's an amazing --

CHIEF ALFRED: They have an outstanding camera system. You really can't get away with anything.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: I will tell you I wasn't predisposed to crime anyway. But if I were, I learned that the stupidest place to be a criminal is at a casino.

CHIEF ALFRED: Yes, that's true.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Thank you. I was going to make that point later on. There's more traffic issues, because there's more traffic. There's more criminal incidents and calls for service, because there is more people. Nevertheless, these are the facts. However, increased crime at

Plainridge Park Casino itself has not produced increased crimed in Plainville from the 2010 to 2015 preopening period to the two years of operation.

So you see down here that actually overall in Plainville total crime offenses have decreased, property crimes have decreased, violent crimes have gone up. This is what the researchers call not statistically significant. It's a very small sample. Because there are so few violent crimes in Plainville, they go up and down. Nevertheless, this is something to watch. We don't think anything in the moment that this has anything to do with the casino. The Chief is back there shaking his head, but it's something that we will watch.

That being said, of the 46
individual types of crime calls for service that we check into, seven did significantly increase from 20 from the baseline period to the operating period whereas one of the 46 significantly decreased. Examination of
the original written police reports suggest that credit card fraud only, lost property reports and reports of suspicious activity are the only incidents likely attributable to PPC. Are you on your way, Senator?

SENATOR ROSS: I'm on my way.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Thank you very much for coming.

SENATOR ROSS: The only thing I want to do is thank you very much for sharing this information. It's exactly the information that we had gathered. I'm proud to have been involved with this. Before this was licensed in Massachusetts, I was told by a previous governor it would never happen and I'm proud that we are the only place that's up and running in the Commonwealth, so congratulations to Plainville and everybody here.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Thank you, Representative, appreciate you coming.

SENATOR ROSS: You guys have done a great job on working with both of you in coming up with a commission that works so
hard. Thank you very much.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Thank you. It's all my doing. I came up with it. SENATOR ROSS: I know it's Gayle, New Jersey State Police. CHAIRMAN CROSBY: She runs the shop. MS. POIRIER: We are grateful to the expertise and the significance of the way the people who run Plainridge do it and for your oversight and for all the locals who have helped make it work. We're very grateful.

SENATOR ROSS: Now if you can help us get opioids under control.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: We will send Commissioner Cameron off to talk to you. SENATOR ROSS: Thank you. CHAIRMAN CROSBY: No significant change -- to get back here, I am very much aware that I'm doing something speakers are not supposed to do, which is pouring out a ton of information. It's all going to be on the web. Anybody that wants -- you are not going to pick up very much of this, but

I thought it was important that you get a firehose of information that gives you a sense of the totality of this project and a broadbrush as to what the problems have been and where the problems have not been. So my apologies for the amount of information, but $I$ hope that by the end of this you'll feel it was time well spent.

There has been no significant change in the number of illegal gambling offenses in Plainville, kind of interesting. The collective police force statistics for the host and surrounding community defines a significant decrease in property in total crimes but a small increase in violent crimes. The same as Plainville itself, decrease in total, decrease in property, increase in violent. Again, not associated as anybody could figure out with the casino but something to keep an eye on. This is the same thing. Some did go up, and some were down.

What I want to point out here is examination of the original written
reports. Our police analyst, crime analyst works with each of the five or six police departments goes through their written incident reports, helps them make sure that they do assign causality where they came.

It's a DUI. Where was he last drinking? Was it at Plainridge Park Casino? We've even hired and paid for, if I'm not mistaken, a partial crime analyst for the Town of Mansfield, if I'm right, so they could do their part of helping us analyze this data. So it's that level of detail when we're coming up with these kinds of conclusions.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Just one comment there. I am so grateful to the police chiefs for embracing this project. To our knowledge, it's never been done anywhere. I've spoken about this project in Las Vegas and other places and they've said, how did you get busy police departments to work with you? I said, we asked nicely.

And they really did jump right in
and embrace this and, you know, sit around brainstorming and it's good for everyone really. We are very, very -- I am very, very grateful and it's good for all of you, because the police departments are working really hard to try to figure out what's going on and to keep this whole area safe and secure, so I just wanted to --

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: And we are building on this experience, on your experience with your chiefs, your delve of chiefs in Springfield and Everett to work out these same kinds of relations so we are using the success we've had with you all, so thank you for that as well.

Last crime data, no significant change from the baseline period to the operation period in the number of regular gamblers who report that their gambling has caused them to commit an illegal act and be arrested. It was very few of them copped to an illegal act either in 2014 or 2016, no increase that we can see. So that's crime.

The next category in the problem gambling is attitudes. There's evidence of a significant shift of attitudes towards gambling in the host and surrounding communities. There is a decrease in the percentage of people who think gambling is not available enough. They used to be 27 percent said there is not enough gambling. Now it's down to 12. That makes some sense. And there's an increase in the percent that the current availability is fine about the same number going the other way.

So obviously having a casino here has satisfied people who thought there was too little and dissatisfied people who thought it was already too much. In addition, there's a decrease in the percent of people who think the casinos are beneficial to Massachusetts. Now this is interesting.

It's the casinos here that it's gone from 44 percent believing they're beneficial to 33 percent believing they're
beneficial. This is one snapshot in time. Remember, this is not a perfect picture. Ten years from now you'll see these same numbers, and then you can really see trends. But in the near term, that's a significant change. And there's an increase in the percentage who believe that the casinos are neither beneficial nor harmful about the same number.

Plainville key informants about positive attitudes. Overwhelmingly, the people in Plainville are happy that the casino here, Jennifer Thompson, Town Administrator.

I would have to say that everyone I come across is pleased with the casino to this point. It's come through with all the promises that were made, Lou LeBlanc, Chairman of the Board of Health.

Next we get to population health.
There is no evidence of any change in population health. No significant change from the baseline period to the post-operating period in health, happiness
or stress. No significant change from baseline to operations in the percent of people who reported seeking help for their use of alcohol or drugs or who reported having behavioral addiction. No significant change from baseline preopening to operations rated -- that changed their rating of importance of gambling as a recreational activity.

These are the kinds of things that people do worry about when you hear a casino is coming. How is it going to interact? There's going to be more drugs, more drinking, more alcoholism, more drug addiction. No evidence of such change.

Demographics, does having the casino change the demographics of the host and surrounding communities? There is very little reason to anticipate changes in population or in demographic characteristics in the host and surrounding communities, because the casino isn't big enough to have a demographic impact and, in fact, there have been no changes
attributable to the Plainridge Park Casino. Plainville's population has increased faster than in other areas of the state, but this trend was already going on before the casino was here. The Plainridge Park Casino employee survey found that only 75 employees of Plainridge Park Casino reported moving to take their job, and of those only 13 moved into Plainville. So it's another problem that people worry about. There's going to be lots of people coming, lots of kids, more demand for our public service, more demands for our schools. That has not happened.

In fact, Lou LeBlanc says, we haven't seen an impact an influx in the school system, so they have not reported any direct impact from the casino.

Now we get to environment. There is evidence of some environmental impacts. There were noise complaints in Plainville associated with post -- with PCP construction, but there are not noise complaints in its operation. Plainville
has increased -- has experienced an increase in traffic volume. Plainville has experienced an increase in traffic complaints. Nontrivial numbers.

Traffic volume in Plainville has increased 9 percent from 2014 when construction began to 2016. Don't worry about these numbers, but the increase is a 9 percent increase in traffic volume in Plainville. Traffic complaints have a significant increase in Plainville and to a lesser extent in host and surrounding communities.

Plainville had a 5.4 percent increase from preopening to the operation period, 5.4 percent increase in traffic collisions, traffic complaints went up substantially, 40 percent increase in traffic complaints. Similar at the host and surrounding communities but traffic collision 8.5, traffic complaints

15 percent, much smaller. But obviously this is, in fact ma negative consequence of having a casino here. As the Chief said,
it's pretty similar to what would happen if you put a Target or a mall, nevertheless, these are, in fact, negative consequences of having the casino here.

Traffic fatalities in Norfolk County have increased slightly. Now, we're in the county again, not Plainville. Traffic fatalities in Norfolk County have increased slightly coincidence with the beginning of construction. 2013 Norfolk County had 28 fatalities. You see 48/38/33, a substantial increase in Norfolk County fatalities.

However, attribution to the casino was weak both because the traffic fatalities are for the entire county and because traffic fatalities have also increased in the state as a whole from 2013 351 statewide to 389 , similar to the increase in Norfolk. So it probably has nothing to do with the casino.

Plainville key informants, Lou
LeBlanc, Chairman of the Board of Health, during construction the Board of Health
received some complaints about construction activity, dust complaints, noise complaints. We went out there with noise meters, took ambient noise levels and everything was found to be within tolerance and specs for a construction site. There were no violations issued. We have received no noise complaints since construction has been completed.

Jennifer Thompson, we have virtually no issues in terms of traffic or congestion because of the casino. It actually runs better than it did before.

Kathleen Parker, Treasurer of Plainville, where the track and casino is located, it is the most dangerous intersection in town, but it was dangerous long before the casino got there. We actually lost a police officer in a road accident. He was killed by a driver while that intersection was being rebuilt. They have done a lot to make it safer.

So here's the summary of the social impacts of the casino on Plainridge and the
surrounding communities. No impacts to date in terms of problem gambling or related indices. The lack of the impacts of the casino on problem gambling and related issues is probably the high level of casino gambling in this area prior to Plainridge Park Casino opening, because you've got Connecticut and Rhode Island so close. 23 percent of adults in this area already gambled in casinos. So perhaps adding in Plainridge Park Casino was not that big a deal.

Crime, no impacts on overall crime in the host and surrounding communities. However, they did see a little of -- a temporary increase in credit card fraud. We've talked about the increase in reports of lost property and suspicious activity. Part of this increase is attributable simply to the increased volume of visitors, as the Chief said.

Summary of attitudes, there appears
to be a greater satisfaction with the current availability of gambling. There's also its the population is now more evenly split about whether they are beneficial -casinos are beneficial or not. Population health, no impacts to date. Demographics, no impacts to date. In the environment, there were noise complaints during construction, not during operation. Plainville has experienced substantial increase in traffic volume and traffic complaints.

All right, bear with me. We're getting there. This is the impacts of the casino -- economic impacts of the casino on Plainville and its surrounding communities.

Here again is the list of the economic issues that we look at. Impacts of the direct expenditure and revenue from the casino. We're looking at Plainridge. We're also looking at Springfield and Encore Boston Harbor. We look at the number of business establishments, the changes in industry mix, the casino impacts on other gambling, because that's a business. Levels of employment, labor
force participation, unemployment, wages poverty rate, gambling participation is a function of income, property values, residential building permits, rental costs, expenditure by the government and revenues to the government. That's the whole kitten-caboodle.

First is the impacts of the direct casino expenditure and revenue. The building and operation of Plainridge Park Casino has had several clear, positive economic impacts at both a statewide, very modest and regional level. There is a lot here. Plainridge spent 150 million-dollars to build the casino. Eighty almost seven percent of the money was spent within Massachusetts. I won't go through the rest of the details but 80 percent, 87 percent of 150 million-dollars was spent in Massachusetts, and a substantial amount of that was in Norfolk and Bristol Counties. The construction employment, the number of people on the construction payroll averaged about 554 across each of
the quarters of the construction period with about 81 or 2 percent from Massachusetts. The legislature envisioned this as a bill to employ people and create economic impact. It created 82 percent of the employment at this facility from people of Massachusetts.

The operating revenues from PPC overall started going up. This is horseracing, lottery sales and gambling revenue as well as gambling revenue, 104 million, the casino had only been opened for half a year, up to 176 million up to 187 million, 186 million in calendar 2017 was operating costs of the casino, and an additional 4 million or so was spent in 2016 on other retail establishments and shopping, other amenities in the area, which was part of the idea. Bring people in, get them to go to the casino but hope that they spend some time at other amenities, restaurants, et cetera, in the area.

Gambling constitutes 95 percent of
all of the operating revenue at the casino. You will be interested to know that in Las Vegas, I think, it's 40 percent of the overall revenue is gaming revenue. You think gambling is what Las Vegas is all about but over half of the revenue in Vegas is restaurants, hotels, shows and so forth. At Plainridge Park Casino, 95 percent of the revenue is from gambling.

Where are the sources? Where do these folks come from? Where does the money come from? The patron survey, we interviewed a bunch of the patrons on a random basis coming into the facility. 11.4 percent of the patrons came from Plainville and surrounding communities. 67 percent came from other parts of Massachusetts. 20 percent came from out of state.

The sources of the revenue, PPC patron survey, the same survey indicates the largest source of revenue is what we call recaptured revenue from Mass. residents who would have gambled out of
state if we didn't bring Plainridge Park Casino to the state. Required recaptured spending by in-state patrons about 100 million-dollars, 58 million-dollars of the total revenue of the casino.

This was a critical feature in the decision to have casinos. We had all this money was going out of state. If we had our own casinos, we could bring that money back to the state, use it to employ Massachusetts citizens and take back our share of the tax revenue.

Interestingly, the impact on the other casinos in Connecticut and Rhode Island has not been that great. There definitely has been some, but it hasn't been that great. The blue is Connecticut. The yellow, whatever this is, is Rhode Island. They were dropping already for a while when Plainville opened -- Plainridge opened in 2015. They've sort of stayed steady. There hasn't been much change.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Mr.
Chairman, one of the disadvantages
everybody thought to Massachusetts casinos was going to be the fact that we don't allow smoking. And I had a chance to meet a couple who were coming from Connecticut, this is obviously just anecdotal, but coming from Connecticut to Plainridge for the sole purpose of the fact that smoking was not allowed inside the facilities.

We know -- we hear positive feedback from employees that don't have to put up with it everyday, but it's interesting that Massachusetts now has this niche for people that don't want to be around smoking that they are coming to Massachusetts casinos. CHAIRMAN CROSBY: It's not at all clear that that's a negative, you're right. That's really interesting. Again, in the employee survey in the first year,

Plainridge Park Casino hired 893 people, 64 percent full time. Of the 893, 41 percent were from southeastern Mass., 26 percent were from the metro area an additional and about almost 30 percent were from out of state. We told you 75 people
moved to take the employment. Only 13
people moved into Plainridge.
What's interesting about this is
they hired 893 people in the first year, but the average employ level was only a little over 500, so there was a tremendous turnover. You heard the people from Plainridge talking about it. I think, Kim, maybe it was you. Their turnover has gone way down as people have gotten accustomed to work, but this gives you an idea of the kind of turnover there was in the first instance but, also, how many people were hired locally, almost half from the southeastern Mass. region.

Significant portion of the jobs at Plainridge Park Casino were net new jobs. 15.5 percent of the people who took these jobs were completely unemployed, and 35 percent were employed part time. So these were taking people, which the legislation wanted to do, target unemployed and underemployed people and give them economic opportunity. 50 percent of the
jobs went to people who were either unemployed or who were employed part time previously.

Next category is business establishments. There may be, note the word "may" because our cautious researchers, there may be a small increase in the number of businesses in Plainville attributable to the casino. In terms of the impacts on other gambling industries, PPC has increased lottery sales in Plainville and has stabilized horseracing revenue at the park.

Businesses of Plainville increased 13 percent from 2009 to 2016, but only 2 percent of that happened subsequent to the casino opening in 2014. From 2009 to 2016 is a 10 percent increase in surrounding communities, 9 percent in Norfolk and Bristol Counties, 16 percent in Mass. So maybe there is a little bit of an impact on the casino in increasing businesses. I would say it's a fuzzy impact at best.

Bankruptcies from 2015 and '16 have increased in Norfolk County, again, not in the city but Norfolk County, to a greater extent than either Hampden County, which is were MGM is, or Middlesex where the Encore casino. However, this is within the natural year-to-year variation of bankruptcies, and there is no strong attribution to Plainridge park Casino.

Again, Plainville key informants on business establishments, wow, we've had an awful lot of influx of new businesses. We have no problem keeping restaurants in towns very healthy. That was one of the problems people were worried about, oh, it will knock the small restaurants out. No, that is not true. They are thriving, Kathleen Parker, Treasurer.

We've had a lot of interest in the surrounding areas along Route 1. The sections of Route 1 where you see the really large developments coming in, they were undeveloped, so there's nothing there. So it's not like they're driving out to
small businesses. The area is really becoming a destination, Jennifer Thompson.

On the corner of the diagonal
opposite of the casino, I've had plans come through my board for two hotels, a restaurant, a small waste water treatment and some housing all in that opposite corner, Lou LeBlanc, Chairman of the Board.

No significant change in
participation in any type of gambling except private betting. Private betting is if you just have bets on a card game or something with your friends or the outcome of your high school football game, no changes. Having the casino come did not change the percentage of people who were doing lottery games, raffles, out-of-state casinos, et cetera, no significant impact on other gambling.

There has been a revenue decline in charitable gambling, Bingo at churches, for example, in Norfolk County, not in Plainville and surrounding communities but in Norfolk County the decline is smaller
than the declines in Hampden Counties and Middlesex Counties, which don't have casinos. So we don't think there is any relationship between drop in charitable gambling and the casino.

Plainridge, the racehorses has a significant increase in the number of races from 780 in the year before opening to 1,092 now and have stabilized the amount wagered. We hope that going from 30 million in 2015 to 35 in 2016, I don't have the 2017 number, we hope it's going up. But at a minimum, it's been a very positive affect for the horseracing industry, many more horses, better horses and at least stabilized what was a declining amount of wagering.

Again, key informants, the conditions prior to the casino we did have a racetrack here, and it was struggling. It was almost economically unviable and now it's one of the prized places to race. So that has helped out the local economy and has rejuvenated the horseracing industry
here, Lou LeBlanc.
Twin Rivers has big billboards on
Route 1 right near the Plainridge Park Casino. They weren't doing that before. They do radio commercials. They also do TV commercials locally. I guess Lou LeBlanc is suggesting there is an impact on the gaming establishments in the other states.

Significant increase in lottery sales at Plainridge Park Casino subsequent to it becoming a casino. This is lottery sales in the rest of the state and in Plainridge before the lottery, and this is what happened to lottery sales at the casino itself. Nobody really expected this, but the casino has become a tremendous source of sales of lottery tickets. Again, something that the legislature was very concerned about. They insisted that the casino work with the lottery, set up a mechanism for selling lottery tickets, for promoting lottery tickets, and it's obviously had a tremendous impact in terms of lottery
sales.
Employment, there's evidence of an increase in employment numbers in the Town of Plainridge -- Plainville partly attributable to PPC. Plainville's 372 businesses employed 46/14 people in 2016, an increase of 17 percent. This compares to a 4 percent state growth and a 3.2 percent in Norfolk and Bristol Counties. There is reason to think that employment is being driven up by as a consequence of Plainridge Park Casino in Plainville.

I mentioned this one before. This one is not only worth talking about. Relative to the key informants about employment, one of the key things that casino promised to do was to reach out to Plainville folks first in terms of employment, which they did. They kept their word. They are our largest employer in Plainville. It has certainly had an impact, Jennifer Thompson.

As far as employment goes, it has
definitely been a positive impact, Lou LeBlanc.

Personal income, it's possible that the slight increase in wages and slight decrease in poverty rate in Plainville is attributable to the casino. Plainville experienced a larger increase in average wage 8.2 percent than either Norfolk and Bristol Counties or the state, almost double the increase of personal income in Plainville from Bristol and Norfolk, 3.4, or the state 4.8. Our researchers think that this difference may have something to do with the casino.

This is an incredibly interesting one. Although the poverty rate increased slightly in Norfolk County and Massachusetts from pre-operation period to operations, it decreased in Plainville and the 3.6 percent current rate in Plainville is significantly lower than both Norfolk County and the state.

If we could all take that one to the bank, we would have some pretty serious
something to talk about. Potentially an increase in wages, not just as employment, but in wages and a decrease in poverty levels. Real estate and housing, it seems unlikely that Plainridge Park Casino has had an impact on property values or commercial or industrial real estates. I'm going to run through these quickly because that's the conclusion, that there is no real impact.

There has been a small rise in single-family home sale prices in Plainville, but the rise in home sale prices is comparable to the broader region in the state and, in fact, surrounding communities witnessed a more sizable increase. This is Plainville. It's going up. It looks like it's going up a lot, but I'm sorry to say that the casino probably cannot take credit for that impact.

Similarly, the opening of the casino was associated with higher median sale prices of condos in Plainville and the surrounding communities. However, this
simply may be a continuation of trends that started before the license was awarded. This is 2010. Still at the end of the recession, started to move up long before the casino opened. This one is when the casino -- the license was awarded. Here is when the casino opened. Probably the rising condo prices does not have to do with Plainridge Park Casino.

So preliminary conclusions on the residential impacts, Plainridge Park Casino did not negatively impact average home prices in Plainville and surrounding communities. Home ridge and condo prices have risen after Plainridge Park Casino opened. It's difficult to attribute this rise to PPC, because it's similar to more distant communities and the state and is probably part of a trend of increasing real estate values across the Commonwealth.

Key informants confirm, the sections of Route 1 where you see the really large developments coming in, they were undeveloped so there was nothing there.

It's not like they are driving out the small businesses, Jennifer Thompson.

I don't think the casino is big enough to have an impact on the real estate market. There wasn't a large enough influx of employees to drive the prices or make residents scarce. I think the whole market has been trending up, so it would be difficult to attribute that to Plainridge Park when it's happening all over the state, Lou LeBlanc. I think that corresponds almost exactly with the conclusions of our quantitative research as well.

Government and fiscal costs and revenues, there are some increased government costs, help the larger police department, so forth. However, this is offset by the significant financial gain from gross gaming revenue, the revenues to the casino, as well as the direct payments that go from the casino to the host and surrounding communities and the overall contribution of local aid that comes from
the tax on casino revenues almost all of which goes to local aid.

This is the distribution of local aid. Ignore these numbers down here. I just want to give you a synopsis. In approximately in round numbers, the total gross gaming revenue for Plainridge Park Casino in these two years we are studying gross gaming revenue is all of the money bet minus all of the money paid out in winnings.

Something people don't know very well is that of all the money you bet, the casino typically keeps about eight or nine, seven, eight, nine, percent. 92, 91, 93, 90 percent of the money gambled goes back in winnings. So gross gaming is the amount of -- gross gaming revenue is the amount of money that the casino keeps to cover all of its expenses, to pay taxes and to make a profit.

In these two years, the gross gaming revenue for Plainville has been about 320 million-dollars. The state share of that

320 million is about 160 million and local aid, aid to cities and towns, which is on this chart, went up by about 130 million. That's in two years.

But to get an idea, the lottery, which is the primary source of local aid to citizen towns and Massachusetts gives about million-dollars a year all of -- I'm sorry, a billion-dollars a year to cities and towns. Plainridge Park Casino itself is giving 60 to 70 million, six to seven percent from that one little facility. So it's an extraordinary contribution to local aid from the casino.

Summary of economic impacts, there have been significant economic impacts at a statewide level. All three casinos have done this. All three casinos are producing significant economic benefits at the regional level.

Business establishments, PPC has
increased lottery sales, stabilized horseracing revenue and may have increased the overall number of businesses. PPC has
increased local employment. PPC, there's a possibility of a slight impact at a regional level for an increase in average wages and a decrease in the poverty rate at Plainville. No impact at statewide level on the real estate and housing, no obvious impacts at the regional level, minor costs to the host and surrounding communities of having Plainridge there but completely offset and more by increases in revenue. That, my friends, is the end of what was 90 slides. So what I wanted to -- I will let you make your own conclusions as to whether this is good, bad or indifferent. How does it compare to what you were expecting. When I say "you," I hope I'm also talking to some people who are watching this online; if not, we've done an awful lot of talking to a few people. But we really thought it was important for you to understand how seriously we take the mandate to understand what's happening, good, bad or indifferent, what's happening to any of the variables that might be affected by casino gambling, everything from traffic that we all know about, problem gambling that we all know about to domestic violence rates, property values, unemployment, anything you can possibly think of.

Knock on wood right now, I think the bottom line is looking pretty good. Very, very few negative impacts. Quite a few substantial impacts. There are many more years to come. The other two casinos are much bigger. The impacts will be much greater. They may be much more negative in some cases. We hope they will also be much more positive.

But, again, our mission was to come back to you after we were out talking to you about whether or not you ought to have a casino in this town. And when Penn National and now Plainridge Park Casino were telling you what they were going to do and what the consequences of PPC were going to be and let you have the opportunity to draw your own conclusions. I'm happy to
take questions. I'm also happy to go home. Anybody? All right. With that, anything else from the other Commissioners?

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: No, excellent
job. I think it is a good, new story.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: I do, too. But I don't think that should not come from us. I want to say that, but $I$ want you all to make your own conclusions. All this information is readily available on our website. The two or three slides that I raced through wasn't because I didn't want you to see them. It was just too much detail. Every single thing we have is available to you at any time. So, I think, I'll have a motion to adjourn.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: So moved. COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Second. CHAIRMAN CROSBY: All in favor? Aye.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye. COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye.

COMMISSIONER O'BRIEN: Aye.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: The motion is
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