

PROCEEDINGS

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Good afternoon everybody. My name's Enrique Zuniga. I will be chairing this meeting of the commission today. With us is Chairman Crosby remotely, but I am pleased to order -- to call to order the meeting number 235 of the gaming commission on our offices -- at our offices on February 7th.

I want to make sure we establish that Commissioner Crosby is meeting and can hear, as well. His attendance here is unreasonably difficult, which is why he's joining us by phone. Chairman Crosby, can you hear us?

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: I can hear you fine, Commissioner Zuniga. Thank you very much. Needless to say, I'm sorry that I'm not going to be there. I had skipped the first three days of my family reunion to be there last week, but couldn't get there. I just found out about this big development last night. There was just no way I could
get back. But I'm pleased to be able to participate from afar, and thank you for taking over the chairmanship. COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Yeah. Thank you for joining us. This clearly was -turned out to be a working vacation for Chair Crosby. We can here you well. Because of remote participation, all votes that we take at this commission meeting will be done by roll call.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Okay.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: So we will go to the first item on the agenda, the approval of the minutes. Commissioner Macdonald?

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Yes,
Mr. Acting Chairman. I move that we approve the minutes of the meeting of January 18, 2018 as they appear in the packet, subject to correction and for typographical errors and for other unmaterial matters. COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Is there a second?

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Second.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Thank you. I
did have a comment on one item that I'd just like to bring up, and maybe we can just review the record at a later time. But at around 12:30 of the minutes on page four, it says that -- at the middle of the page, Commissioner Zuniga added that he did not want the commission to accept prior history of how the IEB handles certain things as part of the determination to exempt a position. I'm not exactly sure that's in the right context.

I remember my objection was to the notion that licensees might themselves petition different positions, and that could give us some kind of different approaches and that was not going to be good. But if we could back -- go back to that, Catherine or Ed, just to clarify. That sentence just doesn't feel like -- like it's what I --

MS. BLUE: We can -- we will check the record on that. We can fix that.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Okay.
Secondly, at a later time, at 1:20, there was discussion initiated by Chairman Crosby about
the same topic, the SERs. And there was one category that was added by -- by consensus of three commissioners. Those people who would not be in areas close to the casino floor but, perhaps, say, the entertainment block, in the case of MGM. And that paragraph at 120 reads well, as my recollection. But there was a second category that $I$ forget, as well. That we could -- if we are going back to the record, if we could also include that, I would really appreciate that. MS. BLUE: We'll check that, as well.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: I'll amend the motion just to incorporate those reservations by the acting chairman. COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Any further questions or comments? All those in favor, Commissioner Stebbins? COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye. COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Commissioner Cameron?

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye. COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Commissioner

Macdonald?
COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Commissioner
Crosby?
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: And
Commissioner Zuniga votes aye. The ayes have
it unanimously. All right. Second item on the agenda, Executive Director Bedrosian.

MR. BEDROSIAN: Good afternoon, Commissioners.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Good afternoon.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Good afternoon.

MR. BEDROSIAN: I'm going to change up the order of the updates slightly. General update, I don't have anything. I will have items under both $B$ and C. But before we do that, I am going to move up Director Lennon for the quarterly budget update first, and then I'll go into those other two items, if that's okay with the Commission.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: That's fine by
us.
MR. LENNON: Thank you, Executive Director. Good afternoon, Commissioners.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Good afternoon.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Good afternoon.

MR. LENNON: I'm here joined by Agnes Beaulieu, and we're here to present to you the second quarterly budget update, fiscal year 2018.

The Massachusetts Gaming Commission approved a FY '18 budget for the Gaming Control Fund of 29.15 million, which required an assessment of 24.45 million on licensees. After first quarter adjustments, roll forward of FY '17 surplus revenue, and increases for hiring related to the opening of MGM, the MGC's revised approved budget is 29.7 million requiring a $\$ 24.15$ million assessment. This update is seeking approval to increase the budget by 565,000 without a corresponding increase in the assessment.

The approved FY '18 budget of 29.7 million is composed of five areas in your memo, with 19.2 million directly attributable to gaming regulatory costs. The memo on corresponding attachments details the increased request of 565,000 , which is inclusive of a decrease of 85,000 in salary, pension, insurance and indirect costs related to delayed hires and attrition, an increase of 645,000 to support, mainly, ongoing litigation in the legal department, and an increase of 5,000 for stenographer costs.

The Commission's revenue is generated from a daily fee for slot machines, licensing revenues, and an assessment on licensees. Licensing revenues to date are exceeding projections. The majority of that come from the final cost of primary gaming vendor investigations exceeding the minimum licensing fee and ongoing suitability investigations, as well.

This has resulted in an increase in revenue projection by, approximately, 92,000. Staff is not recommending an increase to the
assessment at this time. 205 CMR 121
describes how the Commission shall assess its operational costs on licensees, including any increases or decreases that result of over or underspending. Section 4 of that reg, paragraph three, allows the Commission to assess additional costs, if, at any time the Commission determines actual costs will exceed projected revenues.

MGC administration and finance staff cannot determine, at this point, whether actual costs will exceed actual revenues. The combined impact of the increased costs with the projected increased revenue leaves the Commission's budget with a projected deficit of about 449,000.

However, the MGC has reverted funds in the Gaming Control Fund each year. And, annually, the reversions have exceeded the current, projected deficit. In addition, a determination has not been made as to the source of funding for the training costs of the public safety personnel associated with the Category 1 facilities.

Based on the information currently available, staff is recommending to not adjust the assessment on licensees until the close of the third or fourth quarter of FY '18. The MGC office of administration and finance has discussed this approach with the three licensees responsible for the assessment, and they are in agreement that they would favor waiting until the close of fourth quarter.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Okay.
Actually, I should have mentioned something, as well, in my opening remarks. Our stenographer is not currently -- could not be here today. She's attending streaming, and we'll have a transcript of this meeting, like we always do. But because she -- they're also remotely, we should try to be slowly when we make our remarks and a little bit more clear. But thank you for that update, Director. Are there any questions from the commissioners?

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Pretty straightforward.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Any comments?
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Thank you, as
always for...
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Thank you.
It's -- as you mentioned, it's an important piece that we do, these quarterly updates. In the past, we've reverted monies back to the licensees. But our -- as you project, this might necessitate some revision, but we can always come back and review it at a later commission meeting.

MR. LENNON: Thank you.
MR. BEDROSIAN: So with that --
MR. LENNON: Well, Agnes has a
diversity update, too.
MS. BEAULIEU: Good afternoon, Commissioners.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Good afternoon.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Good
afternoon.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Good
afternoon.
COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Good
afternoon.
MS. BEAULIEU: In your packet, you have the supplier diversity program benchmarks, and Massachusetts Gaming Commission's total spending and encumbrances to date through the second quarter.

Currently, we have reached and surpassed our benchmark for the small businesses, and we are continuing to work toward our benchmark for the minority-owned businesses, which, at this time, we are on target to reach by the end of the fiscal year. We have at least one more encumbrance for the minority businesses that we will be preparing in the coming weeks that should bring us to our goal.

Currently, we are on target for our women-owned business goals that we have set. There is also one more encumbrances that we will be adding that should bring us over the target. We are still reaching out to all of our vendors to see if they have any subcontractors that can be applied to these categories. The final category of service
disabled veterans-owned businesses remains a bit of a challenge, but we have identified a vendor for office supplies and are continuing to work with them. We are looking to use this vendor whenever it is economically prudent to do so.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Thank you for that update, Ms. Beaulieu. Any questions from the commissioners?

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: No. Just -just to comment. You know, again we strive to preach what we ask our licensees to go through, but I think it -- we should take note of the fact that service diversity -supplier diversity office for the state regularly commends you, commends

Jill Griffin, Derek Chaves for their efforts to just set an example, in terms of outreach to diverse suppliers. So kudos for your continued good work.

MS. BEAULIEU: Thank you.
MR. LENNON: Thank you.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Thank you. COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Thank you
both.
MR. BEDROSIAN: So with that, Commissioners, I will move on to the MGM opening update.

So at a staff level, we continue to work closely with MGM Springfield's team. We have monthly senior leadership meetings at which we run down a to-do list of items with both our senior teams. MGM Springfield, as you know, I think Mr. Mathis has been here, has added leadership at such positions as security, surveillance, table games, slots, marketing, human resources to support their opening preparations.

We've started to use our office space at the actual property. Angela Smith, our lead gaming agent, is there daily, and is working with MGM Springfield's heads of security, surveillance, table and slots on a daily basis. This is really for two reasons. Advantage of having Angela there during construction, is she can help identify potential issues ahead of time, and in the long run, obviously, it develops great
working relationships among the people who have to collaborate on a daily basis.

We've also been having meetings with MGM Springfield's gaming technology about getting the slot floor up and running. Installations of slot machines may begin as early as March. Director Connelly, in charge of our licensing, works closely with Marikate Murren at MGM Springfield on getting new, senior executives licensed, and preparing for what we have called the tsunami of licensing and registering employees in the next six months.

Our legal division, General Counsel Blue and her staff, is working with the IEB to help promulgate the necessary regulations with enough lead time, and you will see some of those regulations today. We have started to work on recruiting and hiring new gaming agents. We're working with both the state and Springfield police to form the gaming enforcement unit that will both do licensing of gaming employees and policing of the MGM Springfield property. Both the new agents
and the law enforcement will need to undergo specialized gaming -- excuse me, training. Director Vander Linden is working on responsible gaming commitments and having GameSense agents ready to go at opening. Director Griffin continues to work on constructure and hiring commitments.

In terms of upcoming issues for the Commission to deal with, here's what $I$ see on the short-term radar, regulations. Assume you will see new or updated regulations at most of our meetings. Service employee exemptions. We are working with MGM to finalize suggestions to positions the Commission's to exempt from service employees. I anticipate Director Connelly making a presentation to the commission later this month.

In March, I anticipate a presentation by Ombudsman Ziemba and Construction Manager Delaney, who have been reviewing both the construction and licensing commitments. Sometime after that, potentially, in April, the Commission will
have to approve a final design of the gaming establishment, and you also need a final approval of what the gaming establishment boundaries and the gaming floor boundaries are.

In summary, staff continues to work diligently at making sure we can fulfill our regulator duties to open MGM Springfield on time. If you have any questions for me happy to answer them.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Just two
quick comments. First of all,
Director Griffin has also been working with the head of the regional employment board and a group of stakeholders around operational workforce issues. They're meeting on a monthly basis. I think have another meeting scheduled at the end of this month. Actually, after we maybe give some clarity on some of the SER positions.

I also had the chance to chat with Director Vander Linden the other day, because his team was responsible for doing employee surveys as people were coming through to get
licensed. So we're going to meet on that topic shortly. But people that were coming through that were obviously at a point of employment that they were coming to us for fingerprinting go through a series of questions on a tablet talking about, what you were doing before you came here? What was your level of training, and some of the other baseline measurements we have as to the effectiveness of the efforts to hire locally and recruit locally. But so just, I guess, two addendums to your report.

MR. BEDROSIAN: Yeah. Thank you, to make sure I did not shortchange both Directors Vander Linden and Griffin. They are, obviously, doing very important, busy work.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Ed, you
mentioned on your remarks that we would be approving the design. There's also a schedule element to the -- to this approval that will -- you know, based on the review that we'll be conducting in March; is that fair to say?

MR. BEDROSIAN: Sure. Yeah. That, sort of, tracks through to the opening date, and there are some milestones. And, you know, Ombudsman Ziemba and Construction Manager Delaney are very much on top of that. But yeah, I would anticipate that sometime in March or April. We probably will do a meeting in Springfield sometime, potentially, in April, also.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Yeah.
MR. BEDROSIAN: Or suggest. We'll suggest that we --

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Yeah. No. Plenty of time for us to figure out. There's a lot going on over in Springfield and here, as a result of all that ramp-up that clearly continues.

MR. BEDROSIAN: Absolutely.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Other
questions --
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Commissioner Zuniga --

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Yes, yes.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: I just had one
point. I couldn't quite hear
Commissioner Stebbins. He may have referred to this, but just for the record, I think it's important for people to know that, also, our research team headquartered at UMass Amherst is completing its complete baseline research on issues like problem gaming in the host and surrounding community.

And then, all the other issues that we've been studying, like crime rates and property values and unemployment and so forth, we're completing the building of that database, five to 10 years of data on those issues, so that we can track those issues going forward. And that has to be completed -- like all these other things, that has to be completed prior to the opening of MGM Springfield, and it will be.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Yeah, that's a very good point. There's -- part of the unique aspect of this research is that there's both statewide and targeted samples. Targeted samples are very relevant to the area around the casino, the host and
surrounding communities. And there's quite a bit of research that is coming up in the next few months, as well, as we close this fiscal year. Thank you for that point, Mr. Chairman.

Other questions from commissioners, or comments about the MGM review?

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: No.
MR. BEDROSIAN: So with that, I'll move on to the Wynn investigation update.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Okay. Please.
MR. BEDROSIAN: So, obviously, there was a significant event last night and Steve Wynn's resignation from Wynn Resorts. As for our investigation --

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Ed Bedrosian, would you speak very clearly towards the mic. I want to make sure $I$ don't miss any of your words.

MR. BEDROSIAN: Okay. Mr. Chairman, can you hear me?

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Actually, let me -- do you want to move that mic over to your side?

MR. BEDROSIAN: Let me see if I can -- hold on for one second.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: This one.
MR. BEDROSIAN: Mr. Chairman, can
you hear me clearly?
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Wow. That's perfect. Thank you.

MR. BEDROSIAN: You're welcome. Obviously, there was a significant event last night in Steve Wynn's resignation from Wynn Resorts. As for the investigation update, the resignation, in the short run, raises additional considerations.

Those considerations include Steve Wynn's continued stock ownership, management and control influence, and brand and financial impact. These are issues that will need to be considered. I am telling you that our investigation aggressively continues. And our expectation of cooperation on behalf of our licensee is very high, given the gravity of these issues.

I'd like to remind you of the four components of the Investigation and

Enforcement Bureau's review, as articulated at the last meeting by Director Wells, which continue to remain relevant, despite last night's developments.

First, a review of the suitability of individual qualifiers, including Steve Wynn and other qualifiers potentially involved in this matter.

Second, a review of any corporate action, or lack therefore, contemporaneous with the alleged misconduct. The question, who knew what when and what, if anything, did he or she do about it.

Third, the monitoring and reporting back to the Commission on the corporate response, the information that is clearly now in the public domain. We will be looking at how the company from the board down handles the allegations.

And fourth, a review of how the current situation potentially impacts the financial stability of the company.

Our investigations and enforcement bureau is working, even as we speak, to get
answers to these new issues, and will consider Steve Wynn's resignation in their analysis. However, it will not stop their work.

Indeed, Director Wells and the IEB, including the state police assigned to this investigation, recognize the urgency of getting answers so the Commission can make appropriate decisions on the ongoing suitability of Wynn Mass, LLC. In the meantime the company continues to build a project.

Finally, even with our sense of urgency, investigations, which need to be based on facts, take time. Moving forward, the Commission will be updated as appropriate.

With that, I'll try and answer any questions $I$ can, given these circumstances.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Commissioner?
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Yes.
Executive Director Bedrosian, I think you really did cover some of the main issues of concern. Mainly, that our investigation has
to continue. Finding out exactly what happened when, as you put it, is really critical to any decisions we make. And I know the team has a -- a sense that they have to move quickly. But, also, they know that we're expecting them to get it right. So as you just pointed out, that could take some time. So those are the things that were of concern to me, and you mentioned every single one of them so thank you for that.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Commissioner Macdonald? Oh, do you want to make a comment, Commissioner Crosby?

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Go ahead with Commissioner Macdonald. Excuse me, I thought there was a pause.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: I will be brief. Mr. Bedrosian, I thought your statement very aptly summarized the state of the investigation and the intentions of our IEB investigative team. I spoke last week at some length. Someone suggested an excessive length about my priorities for the investigation. I would just reaffirm what I
said last week. And I have total confidence in the work of Director Wells and the expanded investigative team.

MR. BEDROSIAN: Thank you, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Commissioner Crosby, did you want to make a comment?

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Yeah. I had a couple. One commissioner -- or Director Bedrosian, Wynn board appointed a new CEO, I believe, Matt Maddox, and a new director -- I mean, a new board chair by the name Wayson. Do we know whether either of both of those people had any knowledge of the events of the $\$ 7.5$ million settlement?

MR. BEDROSIAN: I cannot answer that at this time, Mr. Chairman. That would certainly seem to be a part of the ongoing investigation.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Okay. I mean, I understand the issue about, you know, needing to be sensitive. But just to reiterate what you said, in terminology that would be familiar to many, the question -- a central
question is, what did the board of directors and staff know and when did they know it, about the settlement and the associated allegations? That's a critical point for us, and for the public of Massachusetts, to know ASAP.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Yep. One
central reason why this investigation continues, as you say, we've requested documentation, as I understand it, and some of that documentation is being delivered; is that a fair statement?

MR. BEDROSIAN: That would be the normal course in an investigation like this. COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Commissioner Stebbins, any questions or remarks?

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: No. Just to add, and I made this point, also, at the last meeting, obviously, our team is conducting the investigation the way investigations are handled. I would only ask that, again, we be -- that this commission be alerted, if, for some reason, we are not receiving cooperation from any party to this
investigation. That stuff should be more immediately be brought to our attention. But, obviously, you know, the ins and outs of the investigation we'll hear at the culmination of our team's work.

MR. BEDROSIAN: Understood.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Absolutely.
Well, I, for one, take their comments at face value, the public remarks, that Mr. Wynn feels that he could no longer continue doing -- performing his duties, his roles, given all of these allegations. But, again, the question for us is broader. One about other qualifiers and how -- that all of those controls around protecting employees and whatnot are really implemented and followed up on.

So it's only fair to continue diligently, like I know you are and you will. And in a fair way so that we can get it right. I know there's a -- there's a sense of speed here, there's a sense of urgency. But I would -- I would suggest that it's more important to understand and get things right
at this point.
MR. BEDROSIAN: Understood.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: I just have one other point, Mr. Zuniga, if that's all right. This also was -- has been effectively stated by Director Bedrosian. But he made a point of talking about all of the work that's going on. We had a big job in front of us with MGM, and the Investigations and Enforcement Bureau had a big job in front of it, just to get MGM opened on time.

But I want the public to know that we have the resources available to us to do both jobs quickly and well, and we will. There's no conflict between getting MGM opened quickly, expeditiously and with absolute integrity, while, at the same time, we pursue these issues to the endpoint, also, as quickly as possible.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: That's totally on point and I agree.

MR. BEDROSIAN: Yeah. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Obviously, it goes without stating from staff's point of view, we
recognize both those things are critically important.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Right. Thanks.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Other
questions or comments from commissioners on this matter?

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: No.
THE FLOOR: Is there going to be -COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: This is not a public hearing, ma'am.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: This is not a hearing.

THE FLOOR: Well, he's talking about the public so I -- will you schedule something for the public to be heard on this issue, as it's been addressed at this time? COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: As we stated last meeting, we don't have a hearing scheduled. But, certainly, MGC comments is our e-mail for any public comment. And all of those e-mails are shared among the five commissioners.

THE FLOOR: I was just asking -COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Duly noted. Actually, we planned on taking a break after this. There may be some questions from the press, perhaps. So we're going to -- we're going to take a break, five-minute recess, and we'll be right back. Stay on line, Mr. Chairman.
(A recess was taken)

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: All right. We are reconvening the meeting of the commission, No. 235. Next item on the agenda, I believe, is Ombudsman Ziemba.

MR. ZIEMBA: Commissioners, today I provide a brief review of the 2018 Community Mitigation Fund applications we received by the February 1st deadline. My purpose here today, is to give you a brief overview of process that we're going to take to review all of these applications. I'm not planning to get into any detail regarding any one of these applications. And the review teams have yet to meet. But $I$ just want to remind
the commissioners and remind those in our audience what our review process will be.

In total, the Commission received 27
applications worth almost $\$ 9$ million. Our target spending for the year is $\$ 6$ million. We have, approximately, \$10 million left in the fund that has not been awarded or otherwise allocated. Approximately, \$1 million of the $\$ 9$ million of the $\$ 9$ million in applications has already been planned. For example, we have a tribal technical assistance application that is a refile of an application that was awarded in 2017 but has not been utilized to date, due to uncertainties regarding that project.

As you'll see in your packet, we have a memo that summarizes these funding amounts. And it gives you a little detail regarding the applications that we received. It matches up the applications we receive versus the program targets that we set when we established the 2018 guidelines back in December.

What I'd like to do now is work
backwards a little bit. We're hoping to have determinations regarding these grant applications well before the new fiscal year, which begins on July 1st. We're hoping to get decisions well before that date so that we can help municipalities and others from a fiscal planning perspective.

In order to reach that date, we're going to quickly convene our review team. The review team right now includes myself, Commissioner Macdonald, Catherine Blue, Derek Lennon, Jill Griffin, Joe Delaney, Mary Thurlow. We will also ask the IEB for assistance with some of the public safety items that have been included in the applications.

In addition to looking at the applications, we will also look at the waiver requests that we have received. Perhaps, we'll come back to the commission in short order early in our reviews to discuss the waivers with the Commission.

As you know, Commissioner Macdonald, he, as the commission's appointee to the

Community Mitigation Subcommittee, he's been attending a lot of the Local Community Mitigation Advisory Committees, as well as some of the other commissioners, so he'll be very helpful in our reviews as we go forward.

So almost immediately, we will reach
out to our licensees. Indeed, we have already forwarded the applications to the licensees, and we will ask them for their opinions of their -- of these applications. We will also reach out to the regional planning agencies to see how these applications may mesh with any regional planning plans that they're engaged in, or other items that they are currently reviewing.

In addition to those, we will also reach out to the Mass Department of Transportation, MassDOT, as we did last year. They were very -- very important on the reviews that we did last year, on the mini transportation items that are included in their applications.

As we did last year, we will put all
of the applications on a very specific part of our website. And we invite public comment on any of these applications that should be up in very short order, as well. The review teams take all of those comments into consideration during our reviews, and they're also forwarded to the commissioners.

After the internal reviews, we will then reach out to all of the applicants, and we will invite them to meet with the review teams. And we will hope to, in the course of those review meetings, to flush out any questions that the reviewers have, and to get a general understanding of the applications. Following that, we submit a written letter of questions to each of the applicants. They respond in writing, and those are included in the packet.

Indeed, if commissioners have any questions regarding the applications, either before or after these meetings, they're encouraged to talk to -- to any of the reviewers, and we will try to get those questions answered. And, certainly, after we
conduct our reviews, there'll be, you know, significant questions by the commissioners. So I welcome any questions. And I think that's all we have for our review.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Thank you.
John, any questions from commissioners.
COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Well, I
just --
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: As usual, it's incredibly thorough. Thank you, Ombudsman Ziemba.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Thank you, Mr. Crosby. Commissioner Macdonald? COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Yeah. I just might say that I'm thrilled to be on the cusp of a new -- a new season of being part of the review team. I look forward to reviewing the thousands of pages that our staff -- God bless Mary Thurlow, has organized for us. And I was very impressed with everybody's work last year. And on the serious note, am, in fact, looking forward to participating in the process again this year so...

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Other
questions or comments?
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Thank you for all the work, and very well-organized. Look forward to when you have time to receive comments and then give us further details about what direction, so thanks.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Yeah. I want to emphasize that notion about all the work that goes, not just at the beginning when we receive all these applications, but there's a lot of documents that go back and forth between applicants, and for this mitigation grants and our review team for clarification relative to how they apply to and connect to the casino and what can be expected, as well as other prior efforts that have been made in the past. So I know it's a great -- great task and look forward to it.

Just one question. I think you mentioned this on your remarks. There's \$9 million currently, in the fund uncommitted?

MR. ZIEMBA: $\$ 10$ million in the fund
that's uncommitted. But we received, you know, almost $\$ 9$ million worth of applications.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: For those applications. Right. But those are commitments. Everything else, that doesn't mean balance. There's, perhaps, a little bit more because some of those commitments are taking time to spend down. In the case of, for example, the reserves, they're not spent until we later authorize, et cetera.

MR. ZIEMBA: That's exactly right.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Yeah. Okay. So we're well within --

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: John?
MR. ZIEMBA: Yes.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Excuse me. John, remind us, the fund begins to be replenished, once MGM opens, right?

MR. ZIEMBA: Exactly.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: What's the --
what's the expected revenues in fiscal -what would it be, '19, I guess?

MR. ZIEMBA: Yeah. We're being very
conservative with all of our anticipated revenues. But back in December, when we discussed this, we said that we can probably count on at least a million-and-a-half to \$2 million to be deposited back into the fund for next year. So if we had $\$ 10$ million, roughly, left in the fund, $\$ 6$ million for this year's program, we would have, roughly, the same amount going into next year, speaking very conservatively.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Okay. Good. That makes sense. Thanks.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Just a reminder.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: And I think that's a very good approach, because we don't want to deplete it all upfront, let's say, prior to the opening, counting on those revenues. Those revenues begin to escalate as money comes in. So in our yearly funding round, we need to be mindful to maintain reasonable levels of funding capacity, which I know this could effectively allow us to do. MR. ZIEMBA: I want to -- I wanted
to make -- I'd be remiss, if I didn't thank Mary for all of the work she does, and the review team. But I also want to thank all of our Local Community Mitigation Advisory Committee members from the communities and the Community Mitigation Subcommittee, and all of the advisory committees that help put this together each year. And, undoubtedly, as we get close to opening MGM Springfield, we'll review a whole new can of beans on the types of issues that we'll be looking at for the next funding round.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: What is the next major milestone? When do we expect to come before with some funding recommendations, broadly?

MR. ZIEMBA: I think probably, we might come back to the Commission with some questions regarding the waiver requests that we received. And after we assemble and discuss the applications, perhaps, we will come back on some of those waiver requests. And, you know, some of the applicants have discussed if there's any way that we could
expedite the reviews, because of some fiscal issues that they're experiencing, we'll have to come back with that, as well.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Is not the deadline, though, John, the end of June?

MR. ZIEMBA: We want to make sure that we have decisions before July 1st for -so they can have a full fiscal year to get these grants underway.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: It truly has become, kind of, a year-long process. Not just the review, but after you make awards, there's a contract process you go through, and then it seems like you roll right into the next round of local community mitigation guideline meetings so...

MR. ZIEMBA: Yeah. We actually are going to do a little bit of an overlap this year, so we're going to start working on a lot of the details of next year's program this spring and into the summer. Just so we can get a head-start, because we have, like I said, a whole new group of issues going into the operations phase for MGM Springfield.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Okay.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Very good. So
if there's no more questions for Mr. Ziemba, we can move on to number five, the racing division. Give you a minute to get set up. MS. LIGHTBOWN: Good afternoon, Commissioners.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Good afternoon, Dr. Lightbown.

MS. LIGHTBOWN: Good afternoon. Today, we have some, basically, standard operating procedure items for the racing division. The uncashed tickets get, by law, 128A, Section 5 -- 5A has -- we have to wait a year before they can be given out. So what we do is, Doug O'Donnell has met with the parimutuel officer at Suffolk Downs to go over -- they have four unclaimed tickets, and he has checked with them and verified them.

So the recommendation for that is to pay those individuals. And it comes to a total of $\$ 407$, so we need a vote on that one.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: So I move that we -- that we request -- that we approve
the request of Sterling Suffolk Racecourse for tickets, payments from 2016, for a total of $\$ 407$.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Motion's made on second?

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Second.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Any questions or comments from commissioners. Dr. Lightbown, is this a reflection of the decreasing race days in Suffolk Downs for the first time, or have we had very little --

MS. LIGHTBOWN: It's very similar to last year. Last year, it was $\$ 471$, and it was three claimed tickets so it was very similar. And it's interesting, the amounts of unclaimed ticket recovery that we're going to get through for the next four items, again, the item -- the numbers were very similar for the tracks that are -- you know, have live racing. They -- Raynham went down a little bit, but the other -- one going on -- Plainridge and Suffolk, those numbers all were very close to what they were last year.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Very close to last year's. Okay. Motion's made on second. Commissioner Stebbins?

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Commissioner Cameron?

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Commissioner
Macdonald?
COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Commissioner Crosby?

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Commissioner Zuniga votes aye. The ayes have it unanimously.

MS. LIGHTBOWN: So Item B is
recovery of the unclaimed tickets for 2016 from Suffolk Downs. So they had a total amount of $218,121.67$. And we subtract the 407 from that to give us a total of 217,714.67 that we would recover from Suffolk on the unclaimed tickets, and that requires a vote.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: SO I
recommend that the commission approve the payment of 217.714 .67 from Sterling Suffolk Racecourse to the Commonwealth of Massachusetts for the 216 unclaimed winnings. COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Second. COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: A motion's made on second. Any other questions or comments from commissioners? COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Can we put up signs and say keep your ticket, check your ticket?

MS. LIGHTBOWN: It's always a little surprising how much it adds up to.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Yeah. And, again, this is similar to prior years, just roughly?

MS. LIGHTBOWN: Yeah. Last year, it came -- let's see, to 208,000. A little over 208,000 this year. You know, 217,000 so it's very similar. That might be a reflection of added race days. We had eight in '16 and, yeah, we had a little bit more than the year before so...

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Okay. So motion's made on second. Commissioner Stebbins?

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Yes.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Commissioner Cameron?

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Commissioner
Macdonald?
COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Commissioner Crosby?

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Commissioner Zuniga votes aye. The ayes have it unanimously.

MS. LIGHTBOWN: So Item C is recovery of the unclaimed winnings from Wonderland. And that total came to 20,514.54. And, again, we need a vote on that one.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Mr. Chair, I move that the Commission approve the payment of $\$ 20,514.54$ from Wonderland Greyhound Park
to the Commonwealth of Massachusetts for 2016 unclaimed winnings.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Second.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Any
discussion? Motion's made on second.
Commissioner Stebbins?
COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Commissioner
Cameron?
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Commissioner
Macdonald?
COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Commissioner
Crosby?
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: And
Commissioner Zuniga votes aye. The ayes have it unanimously.

MS. LIGHTBOWN: Just as a point of interest, for 2015 the Wonderland amount was a little over 200 -- over 17,000 in this -for '16 it was 20,000.

So the next item is the same issue
for Plainridge. And the amounts came to a total of $174,558.68$. And, again, we need a vote on that. In '15, Plainridge's total came to a little over 158,000 so...

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Could that be attributed a little bit to increased activity, or am $I$ reading a little too much into figures?

MS. LIGHTBOWN: It might be. And, also, they did have a significant, you know, number of added days, so I think that might have contributed, also.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Right. Okay.
Is there a motion?
COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Yeah. I
move that the Commission approve the payment of $\$ 174,558.68$ from the Plainridge Racecourse to the Commonwealth of Massachusetts for 2016 unclaimed winnings.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Second.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Motion's made on second. Any more discussion?

Commissioner Stebbins?
COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye.
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COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Commissioner Cameron?

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Commissioner
Macdonald?
COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Commissioner Crosby?

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: And
Commissioner Zuniga votes aye. The ayes have it unanimously.

MS. LIGHTBOWN: So the next item is for the same idea for Raynham-Taunton. This year, the amount for 2016 recovery is 168,414.50. And just for -- since you were interested, in '15 the return amount was 180,953. So that one has gone down a little bit this year. And, again, this requires a vote.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: I move that the Commission approve the payment of \$168,414.50 from Raynham-Taunton Massasoit Greyhound Associations to the Commonwealth of

Massachusetts for the 2016 unclaimed winnings.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Second.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Any more
discussion? Motion's made on second.
Commissioner Stebbins?
COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Commissioner
Cameron?
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Commissioner
Macdonald?
COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Commissioner
Crosby?
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: And
Commissioner Zuniga votes aye. The ayes have it unanimously.

MS. LIGHTBOWN: So our next item, Item $F$, is a promotional fund payment request for Suffolk Downs. Bruce Barnett is here today representing Suffolk, if there's any questions. And I'll turn it over to

Doug O'Donnell for that item and the local aid payments.

MR. O'DONNELL: Good afternoon, Commissioners.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Good afternoon.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Good afternoon.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Good afternoon.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Good afternoon. What you have in front of you is a request for reimbursement from the Suffolk Downs Promotional Trust Fund. It is for project number SPT 2011-1. And the total amount of the request is $\$ 185.219 .60$. This will actually be the balance due on this particular project so there will be a zero balance.

And in the trust fund, after this is paid out with your approval, we'll have $\$ 2,367.54$. This is primarily for advertising and promotional items for the year 2011. We're going back that far with this
particular project, but we will need your vote on that.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Do I remember at least -- tell me if I'm getting my years correct. Does this -- have we approved payments from these trust fund for years prior -- for years after 2011?

MR. O'DONNELL: After 2011, no, not for this -- for the promotional trust fund we have not. No. We're staying in sequence on an annual basis. So the next -- in fact, Mr. Tuttle had submitted a letter. And I believe it's the second letter in the packet, you'll see when the next project is for 2012, which we'll be requesting reimbursement for, once the funds have built up enough to -that we can do that.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Right. Right. So there will always be a bit of a -- quite a bit of backlog, I suppose, right?

MR. O'DONNELL: Yeah.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: It's a
function of backlog, not a function of when these promotional monies are expended.

MR. O'DONNELL: Right. Back then, in these particular years they were spending a lot more on promoting racing than the promotional funds that were coming.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Right.
MR. O'DONNELL: So it's kind of on the flip side with the Capital Improvement Trust Fund. They have -- you know, they have an excess amount of money in the cap fund, and they're doing projects now to try to expend that money.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Right.
COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Doug,
remind me as to what the origin of the funds in the trust fund are, is it a statutory?

MR. O'DONNELL: Yes, it is. Yes.
And it was primarily for the purpose of promoting the track. We're basically holding the funds for them so we will know that they will be -- you know, and improvements with the capital expenditures, and advertising and promotional items for promoting the track.

MR. ZUNIGA: There's how many funds that come out of those -- out takeout --

MR. O'DONNELL: It's a cap and promo fund. The racing stabilization fund comes out with the dog handles, which is a totally separate issue.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Yeah, this is all statutory. I guess the equivalent in gaming is the 3 percent of gross gaming revenues that they're required to put back in. But it's not through a trust fund, it's really through a plan. This is a particular work of racing.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Doug -- oh, I'm sorry.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Please.
COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Doug, the
letter from Mr. Tuttle references 2011, 2012, 2013. Are we holding promotional monies for '14, '15, '16 and '17?

MR. O'DONNELL: They haven't submitted anything for those years as of yet.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Okay.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: But, again, you know, it's the monies that are -actually we have to build before we can
disburse anything. Okay. Anymore discussion? Do I hear a motion?

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: So I move that we approve the request for reimbursement by Suffolk Downs Promotional Trust Fund in the amount of $185,219.60$, as outlined in the memo dated February 7, 2018.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Second.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Any more
discussion? Motion's made on second.
Commissioner Stebbins?
COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Commissioner
Cameron?
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Commissioner
Macdonald?
COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Commissioner
Crosby?
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Commissioner
Zuniga votes aye. The ayes have it unanimously.

MR. O'DONNELL: Thank you. COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Thank you. COMMISSIONER CAMERON: thank you. COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Are those -MS. LIGHTBOWN: The next item is the local aid. Doug will do that one also. COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Okay.

MR. O'DONNELL: So what we have here is the quarterly local aid distribution. These are monies that are submitted to the local towns where racing is taking place and simulcasting. These are done on a quarterly basis, and at six-month in arrears.

So this is for the December 31st payment, which would be April, May and June of 2017. The total amount of handles and the . 35 percent that's going to be paid to the towns is $\$ 245,768.79$. And on the second page, you will see the computation sheet, which has each city and town listed as to what the disbursements will be to them, totaling that amount, and we will need your vote on it.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: I have a --
and, again, maybe I have this question the same time every time. But has also -- has some of these monies changed dramatically with the change in activity at the -- at Suffolk Downs, in terms of live racing, or is this really more treatment by the simulcasting?

MR. O'DONNELL: With the live racing, it does increase a little bit. So this is April, May and June. So we're going to see more of a bump in this quarter. It's 245,000. Whereas, I believe last quarter was 166,000. So during the live racing season, you're going see the handles high and so you'll see more of a disbursement.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Right. And what is just an order of magnitude of the total every year that gets distributed from these -- from these monies to the cities and towns, a million dollars, like, four times this amount?

MR. O'DONNELL: It's --
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: It varies?
MR. O'DONNELL: There's a cap at
1.1 million. But we haven't reached that since, I'd say, back in 2008 or nine. It's -- last year was $\$ 754,000$.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Okay.
MR. O'DONNELL: So this year, it may be a little bit more because there was a little bit of an increase for the handles. But, you know, it stays within that -- within that realm right there.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Yeah. Okay. Are there -- if there's no more questions for Mr. O'Donnell, do I hear a motion?

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Mr. Chair, I
move that the Commission approve the local aid quarterly payment dated December 31, 2017 for $245,768.79$ with the distribution as outlined in the packet.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Second. COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Motion's made on second. Commissioner Stebbins?

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Commissioner
Cameron?
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Commissioner
Macdonald?
COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Commissioner Crosby?

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Commissioner Zuniga votes aye. The ayes have it unanimously.

MS. LIGHTBOWN: Thank you.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Thank you.
COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Thank you both.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Thank you.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: So that
concludes the -- number five, the racing
division, and we move on to the legal
division. General Counsel Blue --
MS. BLUE: Good afternoon, Commissioners.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: -- and Deputy
Counsel Grossman.
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Good
afternoon.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Good afternoon.

MS. BLUE: As Executive Director Bedrosian mentioned, the Commission has been seeing and will continue to see regulations, both new and amendments to existing regulations, as we move towards the opening of our Category 1 casinos. I also want to advise the Commission that, oftentimes, when an issue arises, that requires amendments to more than one regulation because, oftentimes, issues go across various regulations, and the regulations are, in fact, interconnected.

So sometimes, for example, we'll
talk about 6A, if an amendment to address a certain matter has to impact a couple of different regulations. So we are very mindful of trying to make sure we track issues through the entire body of our regulatory regulations.

So on 6A, we're asking you today to let us begin the promulgation process for amendments to the alcohol beverage license. And as you recall, the legislature gave the

Commission the ability to allow licensees to apply for additional alcohol beverage service between the hours of two and 4:00 a.m., if requested, and only on service on the gaming floor and the Commission approves it.

The purpose of these amendments are simply to conform the statute and the regulation. There is no other -- no other change to that. We have -- since this is beginning, we have not put this out for public comment yet, but we will, and take it through the process. So there is time for the commissioners to consider it, to look at those comments as they come in. We will have our normal public hearing on that. But what we're asking you today, is to approve the Small Business Impact Statement and the amendments to these regulations, and to start the promulgation process.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Okay. Any
questions for Counsel Blue?
COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Yeah. More of just a comment. Obviously, this was, you know, the statute changed allowing a licensee
to request beverage service from two to four in the morning. You know, just, I think we want to be cognizant about the fact we did hear from some law enforcement groups on this issue. I also found a letter from Senator Lewis, who, at the time, was a chair of the senate public health committee, outlining the senator's concerns about this change.

As I went through the -- went
through the regs, and obviously it's more, as you pointed out, just to fold into our regulations what the statute says, and it's up to us on the other side to come up for a process for reviewing this, you know, we may want to consider, at least have staff consider some element of a public hearing for a license application. If we were going to open up a bar in any town across Massachusetts, you have to have a public hearing. It would seem to make sense that we would fold that in -- somewhere into our evaluation on that type of request.

MR. BEDROSIAN: Yeah, we are --

Commissioner, good point. We're obviously working on that in conversations with all our licensees and recognize the vital importance of public input. But to be clear, as both you and General Counsel Blue have said, literally, we're trying to conform with the law right now. But we do recognize, potentially, a very sensitive issue. And we would anticipate the Commission would want public input on this. No question about it. So we're also trying to build that in as I -- I talk about my opening timeline. We're trying to back that up to fit in so there's enough process, so the Commission has enough information to eventually make those decisions. This isn't the traditional 2:00 a.m. business.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: And so that the record is clear, our affirmative vote on this does not reflect a vote in favor of our establishments.

MR. BEDROSIAN: Absolutely not.
It's just, literally, that the laws change and our regs need to conform with the law,
reserving the authority to be exercised, we're not, at a later time.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Right.
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: If and when we receive an application.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Correct.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: That was the
point I was going to make. We first have to get an application.

MR. BEDROSIAN: Yep. Absolutely.
So either way, our regs will conform with the law, and then we'll go through another process, substantive process.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: And all the comments that we receive, we'll continue to file them appropriately, whenever we have to come back and make decisions along these lines. Okay. So if there's no more discussion, can I -- do I hear a motion? COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: I can move -- I move that the Commission approve the amendments to 205 CMR 136 and 138.12, as included in the packet and authorize the staff to take the steps necessary to file the
regulation with the secretary of the commonwealth, and to proceed with the regulation promulgation process.

Further, I move that the Commission approve, for filing, the associated Small Business Impact Statement as included in the packet.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Second. COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Okay. Any more discussion? Motion's made on second. Commissioner Stebbins?

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Commissioner
Cameron?
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Commissioner
Macdonald?
COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Commissioner
Crosby?
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Commissioner
Zuniga votes aye. The ayes have it unanimously.

MS. BLUE: Thank you. Item 6B, with the permission of Executive Director Bedrosian and the Commission, I would like to defer action on 6B. We have had some more discussion amongst ourselves and our colleagues, and we think there's some more work to be done on this. So we will bring this back to you at a later time with more information and a new redraft of the regulation.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: That's fine by me and, looks like, others. Was this -- what part of the promulgation process was there? Did we go to a public hearing?

MS. BLUE: This one we haven't started at all.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: We haven't started?

MS. BLUE: No, we have not. We had a discussion in front of the Commission at the last meeting, and we're just trying to get the right reg language together.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Okay.
MS. BLUE: So we'll bring it back to
you to start the process.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Okay. So it hasn't even started the process?

MS. BLUE: That's right. It has not.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: That's
harmless. Up to Item C.
MS. BLUE: Item 6C, we are asking you to approve the Small Business Impact Statement for the changes to 205 CMR 152. This is the excluded persons list. We brought this regulation and the amendments to the Commission before. You asked us to make changes. We have done that. This time is just for the Small Business Impact Statement so we can take this through the process.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Okay. Any more questions, or any questions to -- for Counsel Blue?

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: No.
COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: No. I'm happy to see the changes that were made.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: I wasn't. But
this is for the Small Business Impact

Statement so...
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: So I move that the Commission approve for filing, the Small Business Impact Statement as included in the packet, relative to the amendments 205 CMR 152 involving individuals excluded from the gaming establishment that were previously approved for filing.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Motion --
COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Second.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Okay.
Motion's made on second. Any more
discussion? Hearing none, Commissioner Stebbins?

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Commissioner
Cameron?
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Commissioner

Macdonald?
COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Commissioner
Crosby?
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Aye.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: And Commissioner Zuniga votes aye. The ayes have it unanimously.

MS. BLUE: Item 6D is the Small
Business Impact Statement for the SER registration exemption language. As you recall, we brought that back to amend that section, because we wanted that to conform with the law, as well. We've made those changes. You have approved the amendments to that section, now, we're just asking for the approval of the Small Business Impact statement so we can take this through the process, too.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Okay. And does this Small Business Impact Statement, is this an affirmative one, or do we feel that no small business is affected?

MS. BLUE: I don't believe there's any small businesses. They're individuals. And it will actually make their lives simpler because they won't be registered so...

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Excellent
point. Okay. Any more discussion? Do I
hear a motion?
COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: I move that
the Commission approve for filing the Small
Business Impact Statement, as included in the packet, relative to the amendments to 205 CMR 134.03 involving the exemption of certain gaming service employees from the registration requirement that was previously approved for filing.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Second.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Any more discussion? Motion's made on second.

Commissioner Stebbins?
COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Commissioner
Cameron?
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Commissioner
Macdonald?
COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Commissioner
Crosby?
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Commissioner

Zuniga votes aye. The ayes have it unanimously.

MS. BLUE: Item 6E is the final version of the amendments and the amended Small Business Impact Statements for a host of regulations, there's four of them, they all -- they all conform and they all work together. We made these amendments in the past. We have taken this through the hearing process, and this is ready for final promulgation. So we're just asking you to approve the final version that you have in your package today, and the amended Small Business Impact Statement that goes with it. COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: And remind us, these amendments were as a result of the public hearing or some other --

MS. BLUE: There were some -- I'll defer to Deputy General Counsel Grossman on the details of those amendments. I think we got some amendments through public hearing, but mostly through our internal discussion process, and discussions with the commissioners, as well.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Okay.
MR. GROSSMAN: We did actually receive two separate sets of comments. One from Plainridge Park Casino, one from MGM Resorts. And they pertain to separate areas of this particular proposal.

So starting from the beginning, the first pertains to the amendment to the regulation governing the gaming day. And the comment in your packet from MGM Resorts asserts that our proposal would cause them a hardship, and requests that we not make that change. And, in fact, we allow them to set their own gaming day, for the reasons set forth in their letter.

I've asked Mr. Barroga to join us here today to explain exactly why we put this proposal forward in the first place, and how it affects our operations here, if we may.

MR. BARROGA: Good afternoon, Commissioners.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Good afternoon.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Good
afternoon.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Good afternoon.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Good afternoon.

MR. BARROGA: So within our initial review, our discussions with IGT, and taking into account the existing gaming day of 6:00 a.m. and adding a separate gaming day to manage multiple casinos is a substantial upgrade to the system.

Our system, which is IGT INTELLIGEN, which operates in other jurisdictions, such as Pennsylvania and Maryland, in those jurisdictions that system only operates under one gaming system.

So taking into account those other jurisdictions and operations, this would be a substantial upgrade for MGC. And, you know, we need to take into account the management, the upgrades, the testing associated with this upgrade. We would basically be reinventing the wheel for IGT INTELLIGEN.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: I'm not sure I
quite follow the hardship piece. Can you, perhaps, try to explain it one more time?

MR. BARROGA: So within INTELLIGEN, there's 50 reports that the Commission has available to the Commission. And within the reports, within the calculations of those reports, you have that gaming day time frame identified in those reports, so that every day at 6:00 a.m. the system identifies the meters and, basically, calculates your invoice for each casino property. And since it's associated individually with each report, that would correlate to IGT updating each report, each -- all 50 reports that I mentioned, along with other reporting of the system.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: So the hardship is one of monetary value to all of us because we'd have to upgrade, including the licensees, because we would have to significantly upgrade the software. MR. BARROGA: Yes. It's a significant upgrade that could take -COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: So what is the
alternative, and what is -- what have we either changed or kept? I'm still trying to follow the regulation.

MR. GROSSMAN: So, at present, you know, PPC operates on a set gaming day, according -- in accordance with our regulations, so we haven't had any issues there. The MGM gaming day would be different. And I'm not even sure what the Wynn one would be, ultimately. And my understanding is that it just -- it's very difficult to program, and this is basically what you just said, to have different gaming days in our CMS without, you know, be a significant expense for us to update that. And I obviously can't explain why that is exactly. But --

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Is it because it has to get to the milli-second or whatever, 5:59:59?

MR. GROSSMAN: Yeah, probably.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: An atomic
clock or something?
MR. GROSSMAN: I don't know. But it
would cost a lot of money and a lot of time to have, on our CMS, separate gaming days for the different gaming licensees. We're unique in that we have a CMS, of course. So many jurisdictions don't face this issue and they can have different gaming days. They don't have to, you know, deal with that element or problem.

We have a CMS that wasn't programmed to handle, as I understand it, or accommodate different gaming days for different licensees. So that's the issue we face. As you identified, it's really a financial hardship, if you will. We'd have to figure out exactly what it would cost to make such an upgrade, as compared to the hardship that MGM has identified. So it may be prudent, at the moment, to take a step back on this piece and see if we can gather a little more information on these issues. This part of it just came up.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: I think you explained it pretty well. We -- I think I understand the issue. And so, we're changing
the regs to accommodate that, right, so there will not be this --

MS. BLUE: We're changing the reg to set a uniform time frame.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Right.
MS. BLUE: MGM has expressed concern that they can't live with it. My recommendation would be that we move forward with this change and we figure out how to address it. You know, whether it requires some work on our part, or some work on MGM's part. I think we have a system, and a uniform gaming day is probably very important to us from an accounting perspective.

So while I appreciate their comments, my suggestion to the Commission would be that we approve this change to go forward and we can meet with our licensees to determine whether -- how -- what we need to do to make it work for everybody. And if we have to come back with another change, we can. But I think, at this point, we've gotten fairly far down this road in this process and you know, we should have a
uniform gaming day.
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Have we heard from the licensees yet, as to exactly why this is difficult for them; I mean, what their hardships are? Have we had those --

MS. BLUE: Well, they operate -- my understanding is MGM operates on a 3:00 a.m. to 3:00 a.m. gaming day, so there would be some necessity on their part to change their accounting to match our six to six. We have not heard, I don't believe, from Wynn, have we?

MR. GROSSMAN: We haven't heard from Wynn. It wouldn't be a problem for Penn because they operate on the time that we are prescribing. MGM described their hardship in the letter here. They essentially say they have an entity that, kind of, reconciles all of their gaming days across the country and otherwise, and they like to do it on a set time schedule, essentially.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: I actually --
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Did we hear from Wynn about this?

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: No. The answer was no, Steve. I actually see Jed Nosal shaking his head. Would you like to comment on this, Jed, or do you want to -no, you might not necessarily be prepared to do that now but...

MR. NOSAL: Thanks. Listen, I'm not going to be able to offer anything more but the technology. I think we set forth in our letter, the hardships MGM that are going to occur to this, due to the fact that they want to integrate this property into their financial-shared services model. They've been planning for that. This regulation's been in place since 2015.

I think that, you know, we certainly ought to go back and explore, sort of, some of these financial issues. My general understanding is, we could do, certainly a comparison of what it may cost to make changes to the CMS, which I understand would probably be a cost bourne by the licensees, as well. And this is a pretty significant issue for MGM, again, around what their
planning was.
And I would also suggest, that since the gaming day right now is a required part of the internal controls, which have to be approved by the Commission, if the Commission wants uniformity, it can accomplish that, essentially, through the approval of the internal controls.

I don't see a necessity for making this change right now, from a process perspective. And I think the cost issues are one that we ought to have an opportunity to, at least as Attorney Grossman said, to be able to straighten out.

MR. BEDROSIAN: Jed -- may I ask?
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Yes, please.
COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Do you know
what's happening, what they do in Maryland?
MR. NOSAL: I'm sorry, Director
Bedrosian, I do not.
COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: It seems to
me that the --
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: I --
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Hold on --

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Mr. Zuniga -COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Yes, go ahead, Chairman Crosby.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Again, I couldn't hear everything everybody said quite perfectly, but I'm inclined to agree with Attorney Grossman, that, you know, the cost of this is going to be bourne by either all of the licensees, or possibly by just one of the licensees, depending on how we worked it out, and they're the ones who are asking for the change.

So it seems to me that, if the primary issue is a financial one, it would make sense to take a step back and really -really assess it from a financial standpoint and do the cost-benefit analysis after we have that well in hand.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: I guess I'm concerned about our time frame here. We have an opening to accommodate, and this -- in one way or another, this issue has to move forward, correct?

MR. BEDROSIAN: Let me -- let me ask
my folks. And this might go to Floyd specifically. Do we have time to quickly do that analysis and come Back to the Commission with more information?

MR. BARROGA: Yes, we do have the time. But if -- if the decision is to manage multiple gaming days, updating the system and having it ready for your MGM opening would be at jeopardy. So we would have to, basically, mitigate the risk and go with an opening of a 6:00 a.m. while working on the updates to the system, and quarterly to the later update of, say, 3:00 a.m.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: I think I'm hearing you don't have time, then, to make the appropriate changes in order to --

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Well, I'm hearing that it's very tight.

MR. BARROGA: To determine the issue. Determine what --

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: It's very tight. Now, the current regulation states 6:00 a.m.

MS. BLUE: Well, I don't think
there's a particular time. We do 6:00 a.m. because that's what PPC does, and that's how we built our CMS; is that correct?

MR. GROSSMAN: That's right. So the current regulation, just to set the stage, basically says the gaming licensees can have whatever gaming day they want. They just need to tell us what it's going to be. The thinking at the time was that it didn't really matter to us. I don't recall that we had the CMS in place, or at least we were aware of this particular issue at the time. Since, of course, we've been up and running, we have the $C M S$ in place and we've inquired as to whether it's feasible to run different gaming days on our system and learn that the way it's presently configured it is not feasible, and that it would take a number of upgrades to accomplish that, we have been given a figure as to how much that would cost. And it would be a lot of money.

So, you know, it's -- that's kind of where we are at the moment. So the change that is being proposed, is that we move from
an open gaming day, where the licensees can just tell us what their gaming day would be to one that must be, essentially, a six to six.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Doesn't the statute speak to 6:00 a.m.; am I remembering? MS. BLUE: Well, that's their open, from 6:00 a.m. to 5:59. So, I mean, it does track the day, our casino day in our statute, is tracked in our reconciliation system, so yes.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: But conceivably, those could be different, you know, when we make our accounting and when they're open.

MS. BLUE: Yes.
COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: So I think we do anticipate -- and I need to work with the chairman on this scheduling of further meetings in February. I think we do anticipate a potential further meeting in February. Given that and the confusion, I would say, let's give it one more meeting. Let us go back and try and make some -- some
quick -- quick -- I don't know -- I don't know how much more information we're going to get, but at least try to explore that and come back and say, we can't -- maybe find out what happens in Maryland, and come back with a little more information.

But I take what Floyd says seriously. You know, the time frame of if we -- if we have to change it, we're going to 6:00 a.m. and then, sort of, noodling back 3:00 a.m. I think we should all be on the same page, including who's paying for it and the associated potential risk. I don't know if we would get any more information from, you know, the Wynn folks now about what they -- and if they thought about this, quite frankly. Because I'd hate to come back a third time and say guess what, we've got more money to spend for a 4:00 a.m.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Yeah, no.
There's enough reasons to try to postpone this for, at least, only a couple of weeks until the next meeting. I say we reach out to Wynn. What if they have, also, a 3:00
a.m. day, for example. And then, in which case --

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Or a 2:00 a.m. COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Or a 2:00 a.m. and then -- you know, and look at alternatives. By the way, what would it take, in terms of cost and hardship for -- to change to 3:00 a.m. or 2:00 a.m., whatever the case may be. Of course, this should be done as quickly as possibly, given the pending opening. But I seem to be hearing quite a bit of, you know, reason to at least postpone this promulgation at this point, and we can always come back to it in a following meeting.

MR. BEDROSIAN: We'll work as quickly as we can.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: I'm not averse to a postponement, provided that it doesn't place in jeopardy the timely opening of MGM.

I was struck by the language in MGM's letter being so similar to Floyd's rationale for the opposite. They're asking
for the flexibility to designate the gaming day, and that it -- the current proposal places in jeopardy MGM's ability to -- I'm now reading, "effectively integrate MGM into its operations, creating a one-off from its other properties. Whereas Floyd is saying, and I'm sympathetic to that, and for our purposes, our CMS purposes, it's very important to have a uniformity between the different -- the different operators so...

MR. BEDROSIAN: We can -- you know, Commissioner Macdonald, you make a good point. We can also call Maryland and Pennsylvania, two jurisdictions I know use CMSs and just inquire.

MR. BARROGA: And, Commissioners, we actually have a call scheduled later this week with Maryland Lottery, to determine exactly what they -- how they handle the MGM National Harbor location.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Okay. And they also have INTELLIGEN, right?

MR. BARROGA: Yes.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: They have not
only INTELLIGEN, but MGM?
MR. BARROGA: Yes.
MR. BEDROSIAN: Right.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: On more than one casino?

MR. BEDROSIAN: Yeah.
MR. BARROGA: Correct. And to add a -- based off of confirmation from IGT, the Maryland end of gaming day is 6:00 a.m.

MR. BEDROSIAN: All right. Well, we'll find out that information. It sounds like we, hopefully, can come back to the Commission in the next meeting.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Sounds good to me.

MR. BEDROSIAN: Thank you.
MS. BLUE: Okay. So we'll defer action on 6E. 6 F is the final amendments in the amended Small Business Impact Statement for the gaming school change. This is when we clarified how much of the responsible gaming training needed to be done. So it only has to be done once, not every time we take a course. And so, we would like your
approval to move this through the final promulgation process.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Okay. Any
more questions or comments for Counsel Blue?
COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: I move that
the Commission approve the amended Small
Business Impact Statement and final version of 205 CMR 137.02, as included in the packet, and authorize the staff to take all steps necessary and file the regulation with the secretary of the commonwealth and complete the regulation promulgation process.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Second.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Motion's made
on second. Commissioner Stebbins?
COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Commissioner
Cameron?
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Commissioner
Macdonald?
COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Commissioner
Crosby?

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: And Commissioner Zuniga votes aye. The ayes have it unanimously.

MS. BLUE: And that's all we have. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Thank you, Counsel. That concludes number six. The next item is the Commissioners Update, outstanding item. Are there any items to discuss from commissioners?

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Not me.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Commissioner Crosby?

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: No. Nothing from me.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Okay. Well, do I have a motion to adjourn?

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: So moved.
COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Second.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Motion's made on second to adjourn. Commissioner Stebbins?

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Commissioner

## Cameron?

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Commissioner
Macdonald?
COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Commissioner Crosby?

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: No, this is too much fun. Aye.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: I'm going to
take that as an aye. And Commissioner Zuniga votes aye. The ayes have it unanimously. And we're adjourned. Thank you.
(Proceeding concluded at 3:30 p.m.)
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