## **January 31, 2018**

ĺ		
		Page 1
1	THE COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS	
2	MASSACHUSETTS GAMING COMMISSION	
3	234 PUBLIC HEARING	
4		
5		
6		
7	CHAIRMAN	
8	Stephen P. Crosby	
9		
10	COMMISSIONERS	
11	Lloyd Macdonald	
12	Bruce W. Stebbins	
13	Enrique Zuniga	
14	Gayle Cameron	
15		
16		
17		
18	January 31, 2018, 1:58 p.m. to 2:41 p.m.	
19	Massachusetts Gaming Commission	
20	101 Federal Street, 12th Floor	
21	Boston, Massachusetts	
22		
23	*****	
24	Court Reporter: Amie D. Rumbo	

Page 2

## PROCEEDINGS

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Good morning -good afternoon, I guess, today. I am calling
to order the Public Commission Meeting
Number 234 of the Massachusetts Gaming
Commission at our offices in Boston at two
o'clock on January 31st. Because this is a
particularly important meeting, I want to
open with a little more formal statement than
I usually do to start our meetings.

On Friday, January 26th, the
Massachusetts Gaming Commission was made
aware of allegations of sexual misconduct
involving Steve Wynn. These allegations are
deeply troubling and have triggered an
aggressive and immediate response from the
Commission. As you know, the Gaming
Commission operates under the strict
constraints of an open public meeting law.
Accordingly, today's forum provides the first
opportunity for the five Commissioners to
discuss this troubling manner and to discuss
a path forward.

The purpose of today's meeting is

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 3

twofold. First, to undertake a process to determine significance of these appalling accusations on the suitability status of Mr. Wynn, of other principals of the Wynn organization, or on the Wynn licensee itself. Second, to assure the people of Massachusetts of our absolute commitment to the first words of the Massachusetts casino gambling law, and I quote, "ensuring public confidence in the integrity of the gaming licensing process and in the strict oversight of gaming establishments through a rigorous regulatory scheme is the paramount objective of this statute." That's been our guiding principle from the outset of this Commission and that remains our guiding principle today.

The Wall Street Journal is a highly reputable news organization with a respected commitment to journalistic integrity. Their news report was the catalyst for our regulatory review; however, the Commission's ultimate assessment of this matter and any resulting decisions of any consequence cannot be based on anonymous allegations in a news

Page 4

article but must be firmly grounded in facts established by our investigators combined with the relative legal guidance from the Gaming Statute.

As we do this work, we will try to balance two critical principles. One, we will not be party to impugning the character of a person or an organization without appropriate process and clear evidence. Two, we will not tolerate behavior by our qualifier companies or individuals that we believe puts confidence in the casino operations of our state at risk.

My fellow Commissioners and I look forward to hearing from the Investigations and Enforcement Bureau about the status of their efforts so far and to gain a more comprehensive understanding of their intended approach to this matter. The Commission will also hear from our General Counsel who will speak more generally to our responsibility and our authority under our statute.

Before we begin, I'd like to reiterate that we have a shared sense of

Page 5

urgency about this serious matter, but careful diligence must be a top priority. The stakes are enormous and many lives are involved, from the lives of the women allegedly abused, to the lives of the men and women in Everret who are now working on this project, to the lives of senior executives and board members of Wynn Resorts. We will get this right, and we will get it right as quickly as we can.

I understand that we're at the beginning of this process, and there will be limitations to what we can discuss pubically today. It would also, obviously, be irresponsible for us to speculate or to engage in hypothetical discussions before we have the facts. With those limitations in place, I believe we're ready to begin.

I think we will start with statements from both Director Wells, the head of our Investigations and Enforcement Bureau, and also Catherine Blue, our general counsel, and then the Commissioners will react to those statements after we've had the full

Page 6 1 background presentations from the two of you. Director Wells. 2 3 MS. WELLS: Thank you. Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman and members of the 4 Commission. As the Commission is aware, on 5 Friday, January 26th, the Wall Street Journal 6 published an article detailing profoundly 7 disturbing allegations of sexual misconduct 8 by Steve Wynn. Given the incredibly serious 9 10 nature of the allegations, the IEB immediately began a regulatory review of the 11 subject matter and that investigation is 12 13 ongoing. 14 By statute, the licensee and all 15 qualifiers must demonstrate suitability by clear and convincing evidence. That 16 obligation is ongoing, and the Commission has 17 18 the power to review any suitability finding at any time. The allegations here clearly 19 warrant an intense review not only of 20 21 Mr. Wynn's suitability, but also of other individual qualifiers and the entity 22 23 qualifier Wynn Resorts. To be clear for the record, the 24

Page 7 1 licensee in Massachusetts is Wynn Mass. LLC, a subsidiary of Wynn Resorts, a pubically 2 3 traded company. As part of the suitability determination, other individual qualifiers, 4 including Steve Wynn, and the entity 5 qualifier, Wynn Resorts, also went through 6 the suitability process and were found 7 suitable as qualifiers. Notably, the 8 qualifiers are not individually licensed the 9 10 way we license casino employees. qualifier's suitability is a component of the 11 overall suitability of the licensee. 12 13 Therefore, any potential Commission finding 14 regarding Steve Wynn, or any other 15 qualifier's suitability, will potentially impact the suitability finding of Wynn Mass. 16 17 LLC, our licensee. The Commission has broad authority 18 over the license itself and any appropriate 19 license conditions according to Massachusetts 20 21 General Laws Chapter 23K. General Counsel Blue is expected to touch on this further 22 23 during her presentation. I have spoken with investigators 24

Page 8

regarding the 2013 suitability investigation to determine whether or not evidence of the concerning conduct was identified back in 2013, or at any time subsequent to that, particularly, the \$7.5 million settlement agreement referenced in the Wall Street Journal article. Both the lead investigator for the consultant law firm of Michael and Carroll as well as the state police detective assigned to the investigation confirmed to me that the allegations and the settlement agreement were not identified at the time and notably were not disclosed by any of the Wynn qualifiers prior to the public release of allegations on Friday.

I corroborated that information with counsel for Wynn Resorts who confirmed that there was in fact a settlement, and it was not disclosed to investigators upon advice of counsel. She also confirmed that the settlement agreement itself was not part of any court action or litigation and that no lawsuit was filed at any time. There were no court documents filed that could have been

Page 9

identified in the course of the investigation. This was a private agreement and steps were taken to keep it from the public domain.

\$7.5 million settlement and the decision not to disclose it to investigators during the suitability investigation remain a critical element of this review. The scope and methodology of the investigation were identified in pages 5 through 10 of the 2013 suitability report, which was posted online at that time and remains posted on the Commission's website.

Gaming suitability investigations are historically focused on financial stability, financial integrity, and corporate responsibility on an entity level, as well as professional good character, integrity, honesty and reputation of individual qualifiers. That component of the individual qualifier review traditionally includes an analysis of that individual's criminal history, whether there exists any ties to

Page 10

criminal associates, organized crime, or corruption, as well as an evaluation of personal financial stability and integrity.

That being said, the Commission has the authority to consider any information brought to its attention as it pertains to the honesty, integrity, good character or reputation of any of the licensee's qualifiers, and we need to be flexible in opening up any sort of inquiry that warrants attention.

The IEB investigatory plan going forward, mindful that our role is not to conduct a criminal investigation into sexual assault, is to continue on a regulatory review of this matter which will consist of four components.

One, a review of the suitability of individual qualifiers, including Steve Wynn and other qualifiers potentially involved in this matter. Two, a review of any corporate action or lack thereof contemporaneous with the alleged misconduct, the questions who knew what, when, and what, if anything, did

Page 11 he or she do about it. Three, monitoring and 1 reporting back to the Commission on the 2 3 corporate response to the information that is clearly now in the public domain. We will be 4 looking at how the company, from the board on 5 down, handles these allegations. And four, a 6 review of how the current situation 7 potentially impacts the financial stability 8 of the company. 9 10 So that's my statement for the Commission. At this point, I believe that 11 General Counsel Blue has more information for 12 the Commission. 13 14 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Great. Please. MS. BLUE: Good afternoon, 15 Commissioners. 16 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Good afternoon. 17 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Good 18 afternoon. 19 20 MS. BLUE: The legal department has 21 reviewed Chapter 23K and the Commission's regulations relating to the Commission's and 22 23 the IEB's authority to conduct a regulatory review of the type described by IEB 24

Page 12

Director Wells. The statute and the regulations provide the Commission with broad authority to address matters regarding the suitability of qualifiers and licensees.

Section 4 of Chapter 23K in

Subsection 15 provides the Commission with
the authority to limit, condition, restrict,
revoke, or suspend a license, registration,
finding of suitability, or approval, or to
fine a person licensed, registered, found
suitable, or proved for any cause that the
Commission determines reasonable.

Section 12 of Chapter 23K outlines the areas that the Commission shall consider when evaluating suitability. Those areas include, but are not limited to, the integrity, honesty, and good character and reputation of the suitability applicant, the financial stability, integrity, and background of the suitability applicant, the business practices and the business ability of the applicant to establish and maintain a successful gaming establishment, and whether the applicant at the time of application is a

Page 13

defendant in litigation involving its business practices.

Section 35 of Chapter 23K grants to the IEB the authority to issue orders to cease and desist in activities which violate any provision of Chapter 23K or any provision of the Commission's regulations or any law relating to gaming in the Commonwealth. Upon the recommendation of the IEB, the Commission may condition, suspend, or revoke a license issued under Chapter 23K.

Section 36 of Chapter 23K grants to the IEB the authority to assess a civil administrative penalty on any licensee who fails to comply with any provision of Chapter 23K or any of the Commission's regulations.

The Commission's regulations of outline in more detail the obligations of licensees and qualifiers. 205 CMR 112 imposes upon licensees and qualifiers the obligation to provide all information and documents requested by the Commission, the IEB, or Commission staff. This regulation further requires the licensee or qualifier to

Page 14

be truthful with the Commission and to not knowingly provide false or misleading information. A determination by the Commission that a qualifier or licensee knowingly provided false or misleading information shall result in the conditioning, suspension, or revocation of the license or qualification.

205 CMR 115 places a continuing duty on gaming licensees and qualifiers to maintain suitability and requires both licensees and qualifiers to notify the Commission of a whole host of matters that impact the suitability of the licensee or qualifiers.

Finally, 205 CMR 116 provides that an unsuitable qualifier may not hold an interest in a gaming license, and it requires that a gaming licensee must have a mechanism in place by which the gaming licensee may effectuate divestiture or redemption of securities or a like process in the event of a negative determination of suitability.

Wynn Massachusetts LLC is the

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 15

Qualifiers in the Wynn Mass. LLC license application included Steve Wynn and others.

A complete list of the original qualifiers in the Wynn Mass. LLC application is found in

6 the December 16th, 2013 suitability report

Region A Category 1 gaming licensee.

7 posted on the Commission's website.

It is very important to note that notwithstanding the broad authority granted to the Commission in regulating gaming licensees and qualifiers, gaming licensees and qualifiers are entitled to due process as codified in the State Administrative Procedure Act contained in Mass. General Laws Chapter 30A and associated jurisprudence. This means that after the regulatory review is complete and the facts of this matter are determined, the gaming licensee and qualifiers are entitled to notice and a hearing before the Commission before any final action is taken by the Commission. process and procedures for that process is described in 205 CMR 101 of the Commission's regulation.

Page 16 1 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Thank you both. Ouestions for either? 2 3 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: No. Thank That's helpful. Director Wells, I 4 you. agree with your assessment of how serious 5 this matter is and the investigative steps 6 you laid out. I assume that you're going to 7 make this a priority and you'll dedicate the 8 available resources in which it'll be 9 10 necessary to do this. MS. WELLS: Absolutely. We 11 understand the urgency of the matter. 12 13 understand that the Commission may want some 14 kind of time frame. It's impossible for me to report back out to you exactly how long 15 the investigation is going to take. As you 16 know, Commission Cameron, investigations 17 18 depending on what you find as you look, you know, can go in different directions. 19 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: 20 I wanted to 21 reiterate that, that knowing that you're making it a priority, but with my background 22 23 in investigations, you just don't know until you start interviews and start gathering 24

Page 17

information what the next steps will be and where you need to go to follow up on information.

So I wanted to assure you that I understand that and that you will have the time to do this properly. Certainly, we just heard about our responsibilities, you know, to everyone involved here, legal responsibilities, but first things first, we need to get the investigation done properly. So I understand you can't give us a time.

The second thought I had when you were speaking is certainly the -- and you mentioned some of this, the responsibility that the qualifier has to establish and then maintain suitability. That's their responsibility. So I think it'll be really important that we will be watching the board of directors to see how they handle this matter. That's really important. But at the same time, we're conducting our own due diligence and our own investigation to verify whatever we can with these allegations.

So I really thought your point

Page 18 about their responsibility and observing how 1 they handle this very serious matter. So I 2 3 just kind of wanted to make note of that. Thanks. 4 MS. WELLS: Thank you. 5 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: For anybody who's 6 new to the Massachusetts Gaming Commission, 7 Commissioner Cameron is the retired 8 Lieutenant Colonel from the New Jersey State 9 10 Police. Anybody else have questions? 11 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Yeah, to what 12 Commissioner Cameron was alluding. 13 14 there's also been reports about two other 15 investigations, one from the board and one just this morning from the Nevada Gaming 16 17 Control Board, as well as, I understand, the 18 Macau regulators are looking into this. Anything you can tell us at this 19 point relative to efforts to coordinate or 20 21 understand better what those other regulators are doing? 22 23 MS. WELLS: I mean, I think any time you're involved in an investigation 24

Page 19 where multiple parties are involved, the 1 prudent approach is to be efficient regarding 2 3 investigatory techniques, but I will have to coordinate directly with the other regulators 4 and see what their position is on that as 5 6 well. 7 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Anything else, Commissioner? 8 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: No. Well, 9 10 just to echo some of your remarks, Mr. Chairman. There's a real sense of 11 urgency, obviously, and it's important to get 12 13 the facts right because the stakes are very 14 high. It would be great to eventually get a sense of the scope and the timing of those 15 three other investigations, and I suppose all 16 17 of that is in a fluid state. So you'll come 18 back and update us as necessary. CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Commissioner 19 20 Stebbins. 21 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Just to pick up. Commissioner Zuniga asked one of 22 23 the questions I had. But picking up Commissioner Cameron's point about our 24

Page 20

interest in watching how the board handles this. You know, going back and looking at the suitability hearing, there was a great deal of testimony given to the company's investigation of that time around either the activities of another board member or an incident of potential expansion of their business opportunities, I think, into the Philippines. What they highlighted in that testimony was the due diligence and the high degree of integrity they associated with that investigation. In fact, they pointed to the appointment to the former director of the FBI to conduct that investigation.

MS. WELLS: Right.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: So my point being, I think to Commissioner Cameron's point as well, it's our hope that the board will conduct that investigation with the same degree of integrity and due diligence that they did in that other matter, and, you know, we are watching how they proceed.

The only other point, Mr. Chairman,

I don't want -- and I echo your opening

Page 21

statement, but obviously, as has been our practice, folks that wish to weigh in or offer public comments are encouraged to do so, and we have the means to do that as we have throughout our licensing process, and remind individuals that we are all distributed those e-mail comments and we all take the opportunity to read them as well.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Yes

Thank you. I have some observations to make with regard to what Director Wells as very ably described as the nature of the review that is going on. As those of you who are either part of the Commission or on the staff know, I'm a relatively new member of the Gaming Commission, and I was not present back in December of 2013 when the suitability hearing relating to Mr. Wynn and Wynn Resorts was conducted before the Commission. So I went into the Commission's public archives yesterday and reviewed the testimony of Mr. Wynn and then also reviewed the course of the hearing. And I think it's apt that in

Page 22

Mr. Wynn's opening comments, that in addressing himself to the suitability stage of the inquiry, he stated that the most pressing issue in these moments is that of suitability, and he capsuled what the Commission's core concern in that regard as, and I'm quoting again, "our sensitivity to compliance and the way we run our business."

He then continued on and observed, also aptly in my mind, as I've come to become familiar with the gaming industry, and I'm quoting here, "gaming is a business that is unique. We in this industry have sort of a presumption that we need to prove we need to know the difference between right and wrong and we know how to conduct our business ethically." He went on to say, "I think that presumption is due to the unsavory and colorful history of gaming as it was conducted illegally earlier since the depression and bootlegging days and through the early days of Las Vegas. I am fully sensitive to that," he said.

Now, these observations of Mr. Wynn

Page 23

are actually right on point, that they reflect the -- his view is reflected in the very words of our statute that guide everything that we do here on the Commission. And the thrust of that statute is their obligation is to focus on integrity, integrity, and integrity of our licensees and people who require to be qualified, that...

The Chairman has already quoted from part of the statute, but let me quote again from Section 1 of the statute in which the general court is finding and declaring as to what the purposes of the statute are.

Number one, and that was quoted by Chairman Crosby but it bears repetition,
"Ensuring public confidence in the integrity of the gaming licensing process and in the strict oversight of all gaming establishments through a rigorous regulatory scheme is the paramount policy objective of this chapter."

But then goes on to provide, "Number two, establishing the financial stability and integrity of gaming licensees as well as the integrity of their sources of financing is an

Page 24

integral and essential element of regulation and control of gaming under this chapter."

And Section 3 is, "gaming licensees shall be held to the highest standards of licensing and shall have a continuing duty to maintain their integrity and financial stability."

That's the essence of our statutory purpose, and it reflects what Director Wells had quoted again from the statute, focusing at this point of the suitability process on the, quote, professional, good character, integrity, honesty, and reputation of individual qualifiers. There is, in fact, on the applicant and on a qualifier seeking to be found suitable or to maintain his or her suitability a burden of proof to establish by clear and convincing evidence that they are, in fact, suitable.

Now, what I, as a Commissioner at this point, urge of the IEB, our bureau, is that as it goes about this task that it be simultaneously scrupulously fair to Wynn Resorts and to Mr. Wynn himself, but equally scrupulously diligent. I urge you

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 25

not to rest until you have credible answers to each of the subject matter areas that you describe that you would be focusing on. all of my experience, prior experience, before coming to the Commission as a state prosecutor, later or a federal prosecutor, and then as a private lawyer dealing regularly with cases and circumstances, while not identical to this, nevertheless complex cases where wrongdoing was seriously suspected, is that the single most important thing at this stage is to get control of the facts by finding out what they are as soon as possible. Speed, thoroughness, and objectivity are essential, and I trust that that is the way the Commission's investigation will proceed.

We have the advantage here of the Wall Street Journal article. It provides, in my judgment, in my professional judgment, a reasonable roadmap of proceeding at least at the beginning of this investigation, as has been noted, that it confirmed the settlement of the seven-and-a-half million dollar

Page 26

dispute settled privately relating to allegations of sexual misconduct, but that its significance and utility at this point in time goes beyond that. The journal referenced that it had contacted over 150 people in the course of its investigations, and it reported to the world thereafter, and here I quote, "dozens of people interviewed described a, quote, decade-long pattern of sexual misconduct."

Now, beyond just that
generalization and conclusion and beyond the
confirmation of the settlement of the
seven-and-a-half million dollar claim, that
the article also identified named sources.
So this wasn't just a situation in which a
newspaper or other media is reporting
unconfirmed or unstated, unidentified
sources. For example, that they quoted -they identified an individual by the name of
Jorgen Nielsen who was described as the
former artistic director at the Wynn of a
Wynn salon. It identified a Dennis Gomes or
Gomes who was a former Golden Nugget

Page 27

executive at Las Vegas who was involved in a lawsuit with them, with the Wynn organization, in the early 1990s. He is deceased, but the journal followed up and interviewed his wife, whom they identified and that she confirmed the substance of what her deceased husband had alleged contemporaneously.

A woman by the name of Shawn

Cardinal who was Elaine Wynn's former

personal assistant was also named. And

finally, a Doreen Whennen, a former senior

Wynn executive who allegedly criticized the

manicurist, who was behind this or is

involved in the seven-and-a-half million

dollar settlement, criticized the

manicurist's supervisor for having filed a

report to the human resources department at

Wynn.

Now, the journal noted that

Ms. Whennen had declined to be interviewed

for the article, but yesterday, I did my own

Google search and found a more recent article

about Ms. Whennen which it was reported that

Page 28 in connection with the pending litigation 1 between Mr. Wynn's former spouse and Mr. Wynn 2 3 that she had -- that notes that she had contemporaneously prepared memorializing the 4 report from the supervisor existed, and 5 furthermore, that her notes of that report 6 have recently been ordered disclosed by the 7 Nevada court that is presiding over the Wynn 8 litigation. And interestingly, that 9 10 litigation, according to several articles that I read, is scheduled for trial in April 11 of this year. 12 And so to Ms. Wells and all of us 13 14 who are part of the Commission, I will repeat 15 what I said before. I urge that we collectively, the Bureau specifically, be 16 17 scrupulously fair but equally scrupulously 18 diligent. Speed, thoroughness, and objectivity are key. And I look forward as 19 one of the Commissioners to the results of 20 21 that investigation and your further reports. CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Thank you, 22 23 Your Honor. I have a couple of questions. This has been alluded to many times, but just 24

Page 29 to clarify the fact that jumps out of this 1 story is the \$7.5 million settlement. 2 3 MS. WELLS: Right. CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Reiterate to me 4 your understanding of why that was not 5 apparent to us during the investigation. 6 MS. WELLS: Correct. So what we 7 have discovered is that was, in fact, a 8 private agreement; there were steps taken to 9 10 keep it private; there were no court records at the time for investigators to discover; 11 and that it was not disclosed by any 12 13 qualifier. Now, whether or not that was 14 appropriate will be looked at as part of this 15 investigation. So that sort of summarizes the landscape at the time. 16 17 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Yeah. I get I've also got a question for General 18 Counsel Blue. I forget what the citation is, 19 but one of the criteria for suitability or 20 21 the lack thereof is pattern of acts which maybe did not get prosecuted but a pattern of 22 23 behavior which indicates. Would you explain what that is again, how that section works? 24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

questions or --

Page 30

MS. BLUE: So Section 12 that we talked about in the overview talks about the things that the IEB and the Commission would look at to determine suitability. Section 16 talks about the things that can keep someone from being suitable. One of the parts of Section 16 and one of the possible ways someone would be deemed unsuitable would be if they had a pattern and practice of misconduct that did not result in a conviction but nevertheless was there and was able to discern and it was of some activity that caused concern to the IEB and the Commission. So there are a number of matters in Section 16, but the one that you're referring to is the pattern or practice of misconduct. CHAIRMAN CROSBY: So it doesn't have to be a conviction. There can merely be behavior that the IEB and then eventually the Commission believes is unsuitable. Any other

Sure.

Just

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS:

one quick one. I want to go back to

Page 31

something the legal department raised and
Director Wells seeing again. We're not sure
where the timeline might be, but obviously
there's certainly encouragement for
expediency and thoroughness. But one thing
that occurred to me is our request for any
particular documents, information that we
solicit from the licensee, would it be your
expectation that you would inform the Board
if you got pushback or denial of those types
of requests?

MS. WELLS: That is correct. So cooperation is a component and it's required. So any lack of cooperation would be reported back the Commission.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: This is a really important point, and you've now made this point a couple of times. But it's important to note that issue one, no doubt, would be this payment, this settlement, and all the associated events and allegations around it, but it concurrently is how the company responds to that. That is a measure of the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 32

suitability of the company and the principals in the company. So that's an important point to remember.

And on the same line, we have adopted a mantra that many of you will have heard that we are committed to have been our processes at this Commission be participatory, transparent, and fair. Commissioner Macdonald and I both spoke to the issues of fairness here, and they are utterly critical. Transparency is equally huge in this situation, and to the maximum extent possible, we don't want to be in a position where we're operating with knowledge that the public can't have. No doubt, there will be pressure and some of it will be legitimate pressure from the various qualifiers and institutions that you're talking to, but I hope you -- and I know you will, but please take it from us as well that we're going to look on disfavor to keeping things off the record. The public has the right to know, the people in Massachusetts have a right to know what the hell happened

Page 33

here and no punches pulled, good, bad, or indifferent. And for us to try to be in the position where we're having to hold back something will be something that this Commissioner will look unfavorably on. I mean, there are laws, there are rights under the law to certain kinds of confidentiality -- we respect that -- but a tremendous presumption of transparency to the maximum extent possible.

MS. WELLS: Correct. Mindful of the underlying allegations do involve sexual harassment and sexual assault, so we have to be mindful of protections regarding that kind of activity.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Right. And I fully get that. And I'm saying we will and should comply with whatever the legitimate constraints are. But, A, those are gray, they become gray; and, B, we just have to have an operating presumption which is to the maximum extent possible. We want the people of Massachusetts to know everything we know about what's going on here.

Page 34

Anything else?

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Can I mention something you highlighted at your initial remarks, and it may go without saying. But it's tempting to think of multiple scenarios in all of this, and I think we should really resist any of that. The theme here emerging obviously is one of fact finding first, and we can schedule a meeting just like this on very short notice and come back as many times as we need to and hear updates if that's the relevant course at that time.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Right.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: And I just think it's important to give the Bureau time to complete their investigation. That's critical. Typically, you won't have an update because that may affect a critical piece of the investigation which is ongoing. So I just want to be a little bit mindful of investigative methods and give the Bureau time to complete their investigation.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Absolutely.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: All right. Is

			1	
		Page	35	
1	there any other business on the table?			
2	Director Bedrosian, anything else?			
3	MR. BEDROSIAN: No. Other than I			
4	think my job now is to provide the IEB, legal			
5	division, and our staff with the resources			
6	they need to get this done.			
7	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: You know, and I			
8	would point out that we do have a casino to			
9	open in Springfield in about seven months.			
10	So we'll keep our we have a few things to			
11	be doing here. Anything else? Do I have a			
12	motion to adjourn?			
13	COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: So moved.			
14	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Second?			
15	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Second.			
16	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Further			
17	discussions? All in favor? Aye.			
18	COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Aye.			
19	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Aye.			
20	COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye.			
21	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye.			
22	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: The ayes have it			
23	unanimously.			
24	(Whereupon the hearing was adjourned at 2:41 p.m.)			
			ı	

## **January 31, 2018**

```
Page 36
                             SPEAKERS
 1
 2
 3
      MASSACHUSETTS GAMING COMMISSION:
 4
 5
       Ed Bedrosian, Executive Director
       Catherine Blue, General Counsel
 6
       Karen Wells, Director IEB
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

Page 37

4	
_	

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

## CERTIFICATE

I, Amie D. Rumbo, an Shorthand Court Reporter, 2 3 do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript from the record of the 4 proceedings. 5

I, Amie D. Rumbo, further certify I neither am counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this hearing was taken and further that I am not financially nor otherwise interested in the outcome of this action.

Proceedings recorded by verbatim Stenographic means, and transcript was produced from a computer.

WITNESS MY HAND this 31st day of January, 2018.

17

18

16

amie D. Remb

Amie D. Rumbo, Notary Public 19

20 21

22

23

24

My Commission expires: 10/23/2020