		Page 1
1	THE COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS	
2	MASSACHUSETTS GAMING COMMISSION	
3	PUBLIC MEETING #133	
4		
5		
6		
7	COMMISSIONERS	
8	Gayle Cameron	
9	James F. McHugh	
LO	Bruce W. Stebbins	
L1	Enrique Zuniga	
L2		
L3		
L4		
L5		
L6	September 4, 2014 10:30 a.m.	
L7	BOSTON EXHIBITION AND CONVENTION CENTER	
L8	415 Summer Street, Room 102B	
L9	Boston, Massachusetts	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

PROCEEDINGS:

COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: We are ready
to call to order public meeting number 133 of
the Massachusetts Gaming Commission on

September 4, 2014 at the Convention Center.

Our first order of business today, we're going to start in a way that we don't usually and that is with a public hearing on regulations specifically 205 CMR 134, which are amendments to the process governing licensing of gaming vendors, primarily affecting the information and documents the gaming vendor applicants are required to provide as part of the licensing and qualification process.

That's the first set of regulations.

The second is 205 CMR 137, new regulations establishing the standards and requirements for certified gaming schools in Massachusetts. Both of these are important components of the Gaming Commission's business.

The licensing of gaming vendors is enormously important for the ongoing business relationships between vendors and the gaming

establishments and the Commission's oversight of those vendors. That is people who have continuing relationships with the gaming establishments. Not everybody who does anything related to a gaming establishment has to be licensed but people with ongoing business relationships do.

Then the standards and requirements for certified gaming schools of course are enormously important as well, because that's the process for training people to take the jobs that these facilities are designed to create and to ensuring that they have the capabilities to act in a professional and a training manner.

And also to get people from the ranks of the unemployed, people who may not have jobs now, give them the skills that they need to take advantage of these new jobs. So, this is the final or semifinal part of the process promulgating these regulations.

They've been out for public comment for some period of time.

We've heard the public comment and

we'll take that into account in issuing the final regulations. And under the statute

General Laws 30A section 2, we are required to hold a public hearing to take public comment on that topic. So, that's what we're doing here today as our first item of business.

We advertised this and we have three individuals who have signed up. Mark Kmetz is the first from the Division of Public Licensure. Mr. Kmetz, are you here? Good morning, Sir. Nice to see you again.

MR. KMETZ: Good morning. My name is Mark Kmetz. I'm the Director of the Division of Professional Licensure. I'm here with board counsel Rachel Pavee. We'd like to speak with you just a few short minutes on the proposed regulations of the Gaming Commission establishing standards and requirements for certified gaming schools in the Commonwealth.

DPL, my agency, licenses and regulates non-degreed granting private occupational schools and their sales representatives in Massachusetts. Our jurisdiction is not limited by subject matter.

So, today we license about 165 different schools within the state in a wide variety of occupational fields.

Many occupational schools are subject to oversight both by DPL and by another state agency or professional board that regulates the industry for which the school trains students. For example, nurse aid training schools are subject to oversight by both DPL and the Department of Public Health. And plumbing and electrical schools are subject to oversight by both DPL and the respective professional licensing board.

With the promulgation of these regulations, gaming schools likewise would now be subject to dual oversight by DPL and by the Gaming Commission. And I wanted to focus my comments this morning on an issue relating to this dual oversight relationship.

Just a couple of other background comments on the DPL licensing process. Under the law in order to be considered for licensure, a school first must satisfy the Office of the State Auditor that the school is

financially sound. If it is, the Auditor's office will set the amount of a surety that the school must provide based on the amount of tuition at risk at any given time in order to protect students from financial loss in the event that the school closes precipitously.

If the Auditor determines that the school is financially sound and if the school satisfies the surety amount, then DPL reviews the school's application for licensure. This application calls for information and documentation on a wide variety of things including the ownership and management of the school, insurance requirements, compliance with local building and fire codes, tax employment laws and so on. Applicants are also required to submit a closure plan in the event that they close to help ensure that students are not stranded.

DPL also will review the enrollment agreement. We are a consumer protection agency, and we want to ensure that students know what they are signing on for and are protected in the event that they don't get what

they signed on for.

Part of the approval process is the approval of curriculum, instructors and equipment for the program. This takes us beyond the generic business and consumer protection aspects of the school to the underlying substance of the training program directly affecting its quality and whether it will properly prepare students for employment in the trade or profession.

In other areas where an occupational training program falls within the jurisdiction and subject matter expertise of another agency, DPL looks to that other agency for program approval. So, I mentioned electricians for instance, where the training programs are first approved by the Board of State Examiners of Electricians, and then DPL will pursue the licensing process.

Training programs for students seeking to become nurse aids are first approved by the Department of Public Health, and then DPL relies on that. In short, we believe that the board or the professional or the agency

governing the field is best equipped to determine the standards and to determine whether a program meets those standards.

The Gaming Commission -- The proposed regulations sets out specific standards and requirements for curriculum, instructors and equipment at gaming schools. As drafted, the regulations appear to contemplate that DPL would determine whether the Commission's standards have been met by a gaming school.

We believe, as I mentioned, that approval of the curriculum, instructors and equipment should be performed by the Gaming Commission, which is the state authority with expertise in this area and a direct interest in the success of the gaming training programs. This will ensure that the requisite subject matter expertise is brought to bear and that the determinations are uniform no matter what agency ultimately licenses the school.

So, I do want to thank the Gaming Commission and its counsel for working with DPL over the past several weeks in these regards.

2.2

1 And we look forward to final regulations 2 reflecting a cooperative and coordinated 3 process whereby program approvals by the Gaming 4 Commission and financial approval by the State Auditor take place before licensure by DPL and 5 6 final certification by the Gaming Commission. We believe that this best protects 8 the public interest and promote a properly 9 trained workforce for the gaming industry in 10 the Commonwealth. So, I want to thank you 11 again for your time. We are happy to answer 12 any questions. That's our statement this 13 morning. 14 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Thank you very 15 much. That's very helpful. Questions or 16 comments by Commissioners? 17 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: The comment 18 you made --19 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: I don't know 20 if your mic is on. 21 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: As you provided the comment today is that incorporated 22 23 some of the draft that we have here or would 24 that leave us to have our counsel incorporate

that?

MR. KMETZ: I believe our counsel and your counsel have worked together. And I think the current draft does reflect the approach that we were suggesting. And we're thankful for that.

COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Anything else?

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: No, thank

you.

COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: We started down this path a while ago. We had an earlier meeting with you. And at the time of that meeting, we were in a real quandary as to whether we wanted to get into the gaming school regulation business.

It's an area that we don't have the expertise. And the thought of creating a staff to do that -- The cooperation we've received from DPL, this integrated approach to competencies and the combination of competencies strikes me as state government at its best. And we are very grateful to you to for the cooperative spirit you've demonstrated.

I think this will work beautifully.

1 It's a good system. We take advantage of your 2 undoubted expertise in the things that you do 3 so well and bring subject matter expertise as 4 you've described to the content of the 5 curriculum. And then have your assistance in 6 enforcing it. So, I want to say thank you back to 8 you for the cooperative collaboration you have 9 given us. 10 MR. KMETZ: Appreciate that, thank 11 you. 12 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: I just want 13 to make one last note. This is the second 14 When we were transitioning racing, we 15 also had a cooperative relationship. I know 16 that took an awful lot of work on your part to 17 kind of make that work. So, I wanted to thank 18 you for that as well. So, this is another 19 example of working collaboratively. 20 MR. KMETZ: Team effort. 21 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Thank you very 22 much. 23 The next person who signed up is 24 Counsel Raymond Buso. Good morning, Mr. Buso.

1 | Who are you, Sir?

MR. ROTONDO: My name is George
Rotondo. He's my attorney. I've been trying
to contact the Gaming Commission regarding a
particular issue for well over two months and
frankly gotten no response.

COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Does this have to do with our regulations, Sir?

MR. ROTONDO: No. It has to do with Mayor Rizzo being a convicted criminal and no vetting --

COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Wait a minute, wait a minute, wait a minute. You were asked before you signed up whether you had something to say about the regulations. This is limited to the regulations. That's what we are here to talk about today.

This is not an open public hearing at which people are invited to give their views on whatever issue strikes their mind. So, if you have something to say about the regulations, I'd be happy to hear you. If you don't have something to say about the regulations, thank you very much for coming,

1 but I'm not prepared to hear you. 2 MR. ROTONDO: Very well, thank you. 3 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Thank you very 4 much. Thank you, Mr. Buso. 5 MR. BUSO: I had something actually 6 to present. 7 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: About the 8 regulations? 9 MR. BUSO: Yes. 10 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: All right. 11 I'll hear you about the regulations. 12 MR. BUSO: Not being familiar with 13 the Gaming Commission, it was very helpful to 14 go and read the mission statement that states 15 very clearly that you have an unyielding 16 commitment to participatory, transparent and a 17 fair process. And I assume that this is a part 18 of that process. 19 And that the value of an 20 uncompromising commitment to the integrity of 21 licensing and regulatory process and strict 22 adherence to both the letter and the spirit of

that is primary in your mission statement.

In fact, you've created an enhanced

23

code of ethics used to vet, in this case, the process of the gaming schools and who can participate and who cannot.

My question first of all is is there a process by which if the scheduled meeting is not for a specific topic that it is simply an open forum? Because as you just --

COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: There is no such process, Mr. Buso.

MR. BUSO: So, anybody who has a problem with --

are here to talk about the regulations, Sir.

If you have something to say about the regulations, I'd be happy to hear you. If you have something to say about the general way we run our business, MGC Comments is the particular and proper forum for addressing those, Mr. Buso. That is the way to do it.

This is not an open forum today. We do run our meetings as open forums for people to come in. We do have occasions when we do that. We've done that on a number of occasions in which we've had open forums in which people

could come in and sign up. This is not one of them.

So, thank you very much for being here today, Mr. Buso.

MR. BUSO: But you haven't answered my question.

COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: I'm not going to answer your question, Sir, because it doesn't pertain to the regulations. I've made myself clear. This is to deal with the regulations. It's not to deal with our general business practices.

MR. BUSO: I simply didn't get to finish my question to you. If you don't want to answer it that's fine. I have nothing but respect for you personally.

But my question is if a process has passed by and people did not come in for a prior meeting either because they weren't aware or they haven't -- are you saying that there really is no process for them to be able to come in and ask the questions? Because you said there is not and then you said we do have open forums.

Page 16 1 Can you just tell me when the next 2 open forum that would invite issues perhaps 3 like Mr. Papparlado's to be discussed. 4 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: It was Mr. 5 Rotondo. MR. BUSO: Oh, I'm sorry. 6 7 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Your client. 8 MR. BUSO: Yes. 9 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: It was not Mr. 10 Papparlado. It was Mr. Rotondo. 11 MR. BUSO: Yes. Is there an 12 upcoming process by which we can say a month 13 from now, three months from now? 14 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Yes, Sir. 15 Comments is the proper place to direct those. 16 So, thank you. 17 MR. BUSO: Isn't that a written 18 process? 19 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Yes.

- 20 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Mr. Buso, I
 21 have made it very clear that we are today to
- 21 have made it very clear that we are today to
- 22 listen to comments about the regulations. I
- don't know how much clearer I can make it.
- 24 If you have something to say about

Page 17 1 the written regulations, the draft regulations 2 that are in progress, I would be delighted to 3 hear it. Otherwise, thank you very much for 4 coming. I'm not going to say it again. 5 MR. BUSO: You're just sending me, 6 Your Honor --7 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: We will be in 8 recess for just a minute. 9 10 (A recess was taken) 11 12 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: We are 13 prepared to resume now the 133rd meeting of the 14 Massachusetts Gaming Commission. The next item 15 on the agenda is the approval of the minutes. 16 Do we have --17 MR. BUSO: I take it then Your 18 Honor, you've just stopped me and I'm not going 19 to be able to be even finish the question that 20 I had for you? 21 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Mr. Buso --22 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: That is 23 correct, Sir. 24 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: We are

The next item of business is the 1 finished. 2 minutes. Do we have minutes today, General 3 Counsel Blue? Do we have minutes for today's 4 hearing? 5 MS. BLUE: In your packet is the 6 minutes of our last meeting, August 21. 7 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Can I ask a 8 question? Do we want to leave open the public 9 hearing portion and then come close it at the 10 end of our meeting? Is that effectively what 11 we are doing? 12 I'd COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Yes. 13 thought we'd do that in case any people who 14 show up late to deal with the regulations. 15 16 (Regulations Hearing suspended 10:50 a.m.) 17 18 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: All right the 19 minutes of the August 21 meeting are in the 20 packet. I would move their adoption in the 21 form that they exist there with the usual 22 reservation of rights for correction of 23 mechanical and typographical errors. 24 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Second.

1 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Any 2 discussion? All in favor, aye. 3 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye. 4 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Aye. 5 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye. 6 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: The minutes 7 are approved. The next item of business is 8 horse racing, Director Durenberger is not here. 9 MS. BLUE: Commissioner McHugh, 10 Commissioner Cameron and I will take that 11 matter up for Director Durenberger. 12 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: All right, do 13 you want to start Counsel Blue? 14 MS. BLUE: Yes. So, what you have 15 in your packet before you is the final report 16 from the Horse Racing Advisory Committee. 17 as you may recall, the purpose, one of the 18 purposes of that committee was to determine the 19 split of the use of the funds in the Race Horse 20 Development Fund. 21 And you will see in the report that 22 the Horse Racing Committee went through a long 23 and involved process, through many meetings to 24 come to a determination of the split.

1 This report comes before the Commission. It's not for the Commission to 2 3 approve the split. But one of the reasons we 4 have it before you today is because you will note a recommendation from the committee 5 6 regarding proposed regulations in the event that one of the breeds were to no longer race. 8 We do think that there is a need for the Commission to draft regulations that may 9 10 perhaps hold that money in escrow for a certain 11 amount of time if one of the breeds no longer 12 raced in the Commonwealth. So, what we're asking is for the 13 14 Commission today to authorize the staff to go 15 back and create draft regulations to that effect that will then come back for the 16 Commission's review at a later date. 17 18 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: All right, 19 Commissioner Cameron? 20 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: As a member 21 of the committee, I would just like to reiterate that the five members of the 2.2 23 committee worked very hard to listen to

everyone, to listen to experts about this

matter, to evaluate the five -- the criteria laid out in the legislation.

We tried our very best to adhere to that as well as some of the things we looked at what some of the other states were doing as well. And we had a consensus that this was -- for the Commonwealth this was the proper split.

Secondly, it was important that we thought about the fact that, and this is not part of our responsibilities to talk about an escrow, but we thought it was important. And the reason for that would be if for whatever reason one of the tracks were to go dark, there would be an incentive for someone else to possibly pick up that license and continue that breed of racing in the Commonwealth.

So, as you can see, it is paragraph five on the third page, rather numbered five, we're looking for a three-year, the monies to be held in escrow for three years which we look to Michigan who have something similar. Other states actually write it into their legislation what would happen -- both the split number as well as any kind of an escrow.

1 So, that's the piece I think we need 2 a vote on by the members of the Commission. 3 The fact that we're recommending to the 4 Commission that we hold those monies if in fact either one of our two tracks were to go dark. 5 6 I think report itself is pretty 7 self-explanatory. I'd be happy to answer any 8 questions since I attended every meeting and was part of the group making this 9 10 recommendation. 11 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Ouestions? 12 I had one COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: 13 question. I know that the group of students 14 you identified were doing the survey of the 15 thoroughbred and the standardbred farms. 16 had some challenges which only allowed them to 17 maybe conduct half of the surveys? 18 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Yes. 19 challenges revolve around not being able to 20 reach anyone at that particular farm. 21 there is the situation in racing where people travel from one state to another. 22 23 The list came from the industries 24 themselves on how many farms. So yes, we were

1 a bit disappointed to be honest. And there was 2 a really good-faith effort made by these 3 students, these college students continuous 4 calls to try to set up an appointment to go 5 out. We thought it was important to verify the 6 information just are these farms still in business? Do they have race horses? How many 8 of each breed? 9 So, that was not as helpful to us as we would've liked. 10 11 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Okay. 12 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: But maybe just 13 for the record, there were a lot of desk review 14 of other jurisdictions and examples that the 15 committee took to come up with a 16 recommendation. 17 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Absolutely. 18 There's a lot of work. I know it is limited 19 report, it's just the highlights. 20 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: It doesn't do 21 it justice. 22 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Well, it's 23 just highlight of the work that was done. Dr. 24 Durenberger assisted us with subject matter

expertise on some best practices in other
jurisdictions. In particular, there's a list
of criteria you will see on page three as well
that we'd like to look at each year in order to
make sure we're capturing every aspect of the
industry and deciding whether or not the split
we recommend continues to be equitable for both
industries in the Commonwealth.

So, I think there was a lot of work and a lot of review done on what others do.

And this expert Dr. Ray who we called in also to review all of the materials.

So, I think the committee feels -Certainly, on the committee there was one
member from the standardbred industry and one
member from the thoroughbred industry. And
frankly of course they would have wanted the
split to be more in favor with their
constituents.

But I think we can say everything was taken into consideration and the committee feels strongly about the recommendations and there's a consensus here.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Just to be

clear, we're not approving any of that. That's not under or purview.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Correct.

It's a notification to the Gaming Commission

and the Legislature.

6 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: For your decision on the split.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Yes. The piece we would seek approval for is the fact that we believe that there should be monies -- those monies put in escrow if in fact one of the two tracks were to go dark. I am speaking Dr. Kochevar, she is the chair of the committee the Dean of Tufts Veterinarian School was not able to be here today.

COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: So, what happens to this now on the piece for which we are not responsible? It goes to the Legislature, and the Legislature has the right to say no. And if they don't do anything, it becomes effective or do they have to approve it?

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Please correct me, my understanding of this is if

1 someone either the Gaming Commission or the 2 Legislature could suggest something different, 3 we could go back as a committee and take a 4 look. But actually it would be the committee 5 that has the final say on what that split 6 should be. MS. BLUE: That's correct. 8 to the committee. 9 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Both the 10 Legislature and the Gaming Commission are 11 advisors or commentators on what the committee 12 has done. 13 MS. BLUE: Yes, that's right. 14 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Then as to the 15 escrow piece of this recommendation, we do have 16 a role. We have to approve that. And we're 17 approving it in principle now subject to 18 drafting regulations that will implement it. 19 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Correct. 20 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Okay. All 21 right. Any discussion about that piece? 22 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: No. I think 23 it's very reasonable. I'm imagining that the 24 regulations would be very straightforward to

1 draft and implement. So, I think it's a great 2 recommendation. 3 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: And there is 4 precedent for this. 5 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Doing this 6 kind of thing? COMMISSIONER CAMERON: 8 MS. BLUE: Yes, in other 9 jurisdictions. We are in the process of 10 reviewing and revising the racing regulations 11 in toto. So, we would put this in as part of 12 it. So, you will get to see it as part of the 13 overall regulatory scheme. 14 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: All right. 15 Any further discussion on that piece of it? 16 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Thanks to Commissioner Cameron for all of her time and 17 18 input. She's been our horse racing expert 19 since we opened the door. 20 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: I wouldn't 21 say expert, Commissioner, but certainly a 22 willing participant. 23 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Certainly. 24 This is a good piece of work. And this is a

Page 28 1 good safety valve to have for whatever happens 2 down the line. So, do I hear a motion to implement it? 3 4 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Yes. I would move that this Commission take the 5 6 recommendations as presented and discussed today to establish an escrow for monies coming 7 8 to the Race Horse Development Fund in case it 9 would be needed. 10 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: All right. Is 11 there a second? 12 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Second. 13 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Discussion? 14 All in favor say aye, aye. 15 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye. 16 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: 17 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye. 18 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: The ayes have 19 it unanimously. And the regulation drafting 20 process then can begin when it's appropriate to 21 do so. 22 MS. BLUE: That's correct. 23 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Thank you.

That finishes item four on the agenda.

five is Investigations and Enforcement Bureau,
Director Wells and Mr. Grossman, good morning.

MS. WELLS: Good morning,

Commissioners. The first thing I have on the agenda this morning is the first draft of the internal control regulations, which we are putting out for initial public comment.

The yeoman's work on this set of regulations was done by Todd Grossman here with me this morning and Bruce Band who unfortunately due to circumstances beyond his control cannot be here this morning.

Every effort was made to provide licensees with flexibility regarding their back of the house operations in these regulations while also ensuring the integrity of the operations on the floor by having standardized protocols.

We are certainly interested in any comments as we proceed to the next draft of the regulations by any interested party including applicants, licensees, Commissioners and the public. As examples of areas in which we would welcome input, I point the retention schedule

for records. Does it make sense; is it reasonable?

We also have in the regulations throughout the document regulations involving coin and token use in machines. We expect there to be little if any use of this type of machine. We would like to know if that is correct. And what are the expectations in the industry? There may be circumstances where a casino may want to go a little retro, have some coin slot operations. Is that going to happen? And would that impact the regulations?

I'd also point to the credentialing system as a security measure. Will that comport with the operational plans in the casino? So, those are the kinds of things we're looking for comments on.

I will note for the Commission that we will need to reconcile any provisions here with our racing regulations and we will be consulting with Director Durenberger on that as we go forward in the process.

With me is Attorney Grossman. I'd like to thank him and Bruce Band for all of

their work on this draft. It was a somewhat arduous process. If he has any comments, I'd welcome him to comment to the Commission. We are available for questions or comments.

On some of the specifics, Bruce Band may be more helpful on the floor regulations and why some of the regulations are written how they are written. He should be back in the early part of next week. So, the Commission — he would be available and we can also discuss that further when this goes out for the next round of public comment.

COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: All right.

Thank you. Mr. Grossman do you have any comments? This obviously once again represents a great deal of thoughtful work. We've all had a chance to look at drafts as they were progressing. And this draft represents a great deal of conversation and highly detailed work. It's really a fine product.

And I'd welcome any comments you have if you have any. The work may speak for itself, but you're welcome to speak for it.

MR. GROSSMAN: Thank you. Thank you

1 for the opportunity. It is as Director Wells 2 mentioned just a very rough draft at this 3 point. So, we'd certainly welcome input with 4 the understanding that this is not the final product. 5 This is not what we're recommending 6 being adopted at this point. It's just the beginning of the conversation as to getting a 8 good set of internal controls in place. 9 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: I'd be 10 interested, are there casinos out there now 11 that have gone retro and are using coins? 12 MS. WELLS: I think they may have a 13 section by what Mr. Band tells me. There may 14 be an appeal to that. And we wanted to put it 15 in the regulations in case the licensees wanted 16 to do that. There may be a market for that 17 because it's entertaining and can be fun for 18 the customer. But in most part, I would not 19 expect --20 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Likes to hear 21 the coins drop? 22 Right. So, we'll see MS. WELLS: 23 how that goes. That's up for discussion, but 24 we'll see how the plans progress.

1 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: All right. 2 Anything further on that? So, all we're doing 3 today is putting those regulations out for 4 public comment, which is the first step in the 5 approval process. And we don't need a vote to 6 do that or do we? 7 MS. WELLS: I don't believe so. 8 MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think so. 9 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: So, they'll go 10 out for public comment. We'll establish a 11 process and a mechanism for the comments. And 12 then set a hearing date like we had this 13 morning. Well, not exactly like we had this 14 morning. 15 Probably not like MR. GROSSMAN: 16 So, the Commission is prepared to move 17 these on the path to the public hearing at this 18 point? 19 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Right. 20 MR. GROSSMAN: So, we will file the 21 notices and all of the necessary paperwork. 22 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Right. Great. 23 Good. It's an important step forward. 24 MS. WELLS: The next thing I have on

the agenda this morning are the results of the suitability and investigation for Mary

Christine Gay. She was determined to be a qualifier for the Blue Tarp Redevelopment, LLC licensee as she was appointed as a compensated director of MGM Resorts International.

Ms. Gay has held that position as a member of the board of directors since February of 2014. She attended Towson University of Maryland and received a Bachelor of Science in finance in 1989. She also attended the University of Maryland abroad in the UK although not obtaining a degree there. But she also attended Loyola University in Maryland, received a MS, a Master's of Science in finance degree in 1997.

She holds no active non-gaming or gaming licenses currently. She's presently a trustee of the Severn School in Severna Park, Maryland and a member of the advisory board for Network 2000, Inc. in Baltimore, Maryland.

Ms. Gay spent her career at Legg
Mason Capital Management from June 1989 to June
of 2013 reaching the level of senior vice

president and portfolio manager. She submitted all required forms to the IEB.

And our investigators conducted our standard rigorous background check for applicant and licensee qualifiers, did her employment history, criminal record, education, directorship and stockholder interests, civil litigation, bankruptcies, property ownership, political contributions, references and media coverage. Investigators also conducted a financial responsibility evaluation.

All of the investigative materials came back positive. She demonstrated by clear and convincing evidence that she is suitable for licensure in Massachusetts to investigators. And therefore, the IEB is recommending that this Commission find her suitable. We're not recommending any conditions on that suitability at this time.

COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: All right.

Thank you. And thank you for the careful background report as usual. Comments?

Ouestions?

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: After reading

Page 36 1 the report, I see no reason we shouldn't find 2 her suitable. 3 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Do we need a 4 vote for that? 5 MS. WELLS: Yes, Sir. 6 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: We'll hear a 7 motion. 8 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: So, I would 9 move that this Commission find Mary Christine 10 Gay suitable as a qualifier with the MGM 11 Corporation. 12 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Is there a 13 second? 14 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Second. 15 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: All in favor, 16 aye. 17 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye. 18 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Aye. 19 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye. 20 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: The ayes have 21 it unanimously. Thank you very much. 22 MS. WELLS: Thank you. That's all I 23 have this morning. 24 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Thank you.

The next item is workforce, supplier and diversity development, Director Griffin, good morning.

MS. GRIFFIN: Good morning. I'm here to give you a general update on my work and also to go over my work plan.

So, as you know my work focuses on three main areas: creating systems to ensure diversity, ensuring a ready and trained workforce so that Massachusetts residents are ready to work at the casinos, and maximizing the economic development impact ensuring that small Massachusetts businesses have the opportunities to be suppliers at a casino.

Given that I wanted to just talk about some recent work and then go over the work plan. So, I continue to work with Penn National regarding their hiring and contracting with vendors during construction. Penn National Gaming has authorized the contractor, Turner Construction, to award about \$33 million of contracts. And of that, 28 percent has gone to MBE and WBE vendors, which is far higher than the goals that they set initially, four

percent MBE and seven percent WBE.

2.1

So, we have worked closely with them. Our Vendor Task Force has provided connections to suppliers, helped them with their vendor fairs and that sort of thing. One challenge that we're working with Penn National on is their vendor business, I mean their veteran business enterprise, the VBE. That continues to be a challenge.

So, I have recently connected Turner Construction and Penn National to members of our Vendor Advisory Task Force who work closely with veterans. But I do want to mention that this is a newer area and many veterans are not yet certified as a VBE.

COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Are those the only "veterans" that qualify under our standards? In other words, suppose somebody is a veteran but has not gone through the qualification process, is he or she somebody who doesn't count in the statistics?

MS. GRIFFIN: We have tried to make it as easy as possible. Director Acosta has created a process to easily be recognized as a

veteran by the Mass. Gaming Commission. We also recognize VetBiz, which is the government certification for contracts. But, I think it remains an area of some challenge.

question is definitional. If somebody is in fact a veteran and he/she has a small business, say a linen supply business or something and wants to register, but has not gone through the official state or federal veteran registration business qualification process, and he or she come to the Commission directly and say I'm a veteran, here's my discharge papers and proof of service. I want to be wanting to get that preference.

MS. GRIFFIN: Absolutely. And we encourage them to do so. They show their DD214 and become recognized by the Commission for the purposes of doing business with a casino or being employed.

COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Are we doing our independent outreach or are we relying on our terrific staff of advisors and partners to do that for us?

MS. GRIFFIN: Both. We're working with Veteran Affairs and the US Small Business Administration to plan an event in November that is focused on veterans and veteran businesses. So, actually we're tying into some existing events where we will bring information about casino opportunities.

COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: I was just thinking, and I'm sure you've already thought of it, but just for example sending notice of that fair to very VFW Hall or Post in the Commonwealth and those kinds of -- getting some kind of an email listing that would broadcast information to -- VFWs aren't the only ones. There's a group of others. And just having them, so that if we had something, we could hit a button and every one of them gets it, those kinds of things. But I'm sure those kinds of things are in the works.

MS. GRIFFIN: Right. We've recognized that this is an area that needs more focused attention. And I'll be glad to sit down with you and get your ideas.

COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Right, okay.

commissioner stebbins: To follow up on Director Griffin's comments, there's no state certification process. The only federal identification process is one for a veteran to use if they want to do business with the US Department of Veterans Affairs, which again may be a limited population.

Director Acosta came up with a simple way for us to count a veteran business. We've had meetings with Secretary Nee. We've encouraged all of our licensees or our licensee designee to reach out. Every community has a veteran's affairs officer. Those are folks who probably know everybody who has returned from conflict or not even the most recent one, but certainly has a business account at.

And there's active other sources that I think we're trying to tap in to. But I think we're also working towards an event in the middle of November. And demonstrating the SBA's products, inviting our licensees to talk about the opportunities they're going to have.

I think to Jill's credit and David's credit, jumping out ahead and finding a way of

1 us to count those businesses amongst the WBE, 2 MBE and VBE participation that we've asked our 3 licensees hit has been a huge step forward, but there's more to be done. It's a whole new 4 field for everybody out there. 5 6 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Yes. And I 7 recognize and it's terrific that we've taken 8 that leading role. And I've just been 9 struggling as I know we all have at thinking 10 about how to get the word out to groups that 11 are not part of some federally or state 12 recognized body. And that requires some extra 13 work on our part. 14 And it is a pool of typically 15 highly-disciplined people who are highly 16 trained in organizational behavior. They have 17 all kinds of skills. They've gotten great 18 training in the military in various 19 disciplines. And it's a great labor pool. 20 they often need a hand. So, I'm not saying 21 anything we don't all already recognize, but 22 I'm glad we're making this effort. 23 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: On your

point about the labor pool though, Penn and

1 Turner have seen some relatively positive 2 success in engaging veterans on the 3 construction workforce, not the business side, 4 but participating in the workforce. 5 MS. GRIFFIN: That's right, 6 Commissioner Stebbins. The construction 7 workforce 13 percent minority, four percent 8 female and 10 percent veteran. So, on the 9 workforce side they have seen some strength. 10 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Is that 11 through their efforts or is that us or both? 12 MS. GRIFFIN: I have to say they 13 have worked hard both Penn National and Turner 14 Construction and worked closely with the unions 15 as well. 16 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Right. Great. 17 Thank you. 18 MS. GRIFFIN: And we continue to 19 work with Penn National in terms of planning 20 for their upcoming opening. In fact, in mid-21 September, they plan a career center where they will start to provide information about their 22 23 operations positions for area residents. 24 At the end of the month, we'll work

together regarding vendor fair. This time this
vendor fair will be focused on operations and
some of their needs during operations. They
also have asked us to participate in job
information sessions and train the trainer
sessions with the career centers, which will
happen at the beginning of October. So, we've
been pretty busy.

Additionally, at the end of August our Vendor Advisory team met with MGM
Springfield. This was the first meeting between our Vendor Advisory Team and MGM. MGM highlighted the project itself, their supplier needs, their typical company spend and their historic commitment to diversity as well as their diversity goals for the Springfield project.

MGM has held several vendor fairs in Springfield and has worked closely with the Springfield Chamber of Commerce about outreach to their businesses. And they've analyzed their need based on the initial registration of businesses in Springfield and the surrounding communities who have registered on their

1 website.

So, this is interesting. They have expressed a concern about the number of minority, women and disadvantaged businesses in the Western Massachusetts area who are actually certified by the state.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: I'm sorry.
Who expressed that concern, MGM or the Vendor
Advisory group.

MS. GRIFFIN: MGM has expressed that concern in terms of the number of minority and women businesses who are certified.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Was the advisory group helpful in trying to identify businesses that they know of in that regard from that area?

MS. GRIFFIN: On Monday, one of our Vendor Advisory team members is Reggie Nunnally from of supplier diversity office. And there is a certification fair on Monday. And I'll be attending that. That's in Springfield with the Springfield Redevelopment Authority. We will work also with the Springfield Chamber for another event in November perhaps and maybe

1 December as well.

2.1

So, the issue is not so much identifying businesses which the vendor group will continue to do but ensuring that those businesses are certified and that they have the capacity to actually meet the needs of MGM.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Right.

MS. GRIFFIN: Chairman Crosby and I recently met with the policy group on trades women's issues which is a group composed of union officials. And they've been meeting for the last eight or so years regarding increasing diversity, hiring efforts in construction projects, specifically focusing on women.

And I plan to come back to you on October 9. And I've invited them to come and present their best practices that they've just released. I think it will be really interesting.

COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: We look forward to that.

MS. GRIFFIN: I also continue to work with the community colleges, the statewide consortium. And they are very active working

on further workforce development plans. The Western Mass. contingent is working with MGM and the regional employment board to make sure that their workforce development plans are up to date and just what MGM needs.

They are also planning efforts to support the hospitality industry in Western Mass. and the other regions as well. These efforts are generally to support things like training for restaurants, hotels and entertainment venues.

If you don't mind, I would like to go to my work plan and talk a little bit about the work moving forward. The Gant chart before you represents general activities on my work plan from now I think it goes up to 2017. The vertical yellow line represents the present day. And the activities on the left of the yellow line represent activities that have already been completed.

For example, the development of the training school licensing regulations that I was involved with, formation of the Vendor Advisory group, and the clean technology fair

in December of 2013.

And then it is further divided by region. So for example, under the slot parlor in Plainville, you can see that I have been working on diversity plans both for design and construction and for operations.

One activity that I would like to highlight is the small business capacity building grant that we issued for small businesses in Plainville. We received a lack of responses for that grant of \$20,000. We'll be making some changes to the grant application moving forward. And I'd like to invite Commissioner Stebbins to join in if he has any comments as well.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: I have been watching Jill assemble her work plan, just kind of demonstrating all of her activities as she goes through the rest of the year and obviously beyond. It's great when you've got job security out through 2017.

To pick up on just a couple of points, the grant program that we had designed to help communities build capacity as small

business vendors, I think what we realized is that each region is unique and has different needs.

MGM is obviously concerned about the opportunity to contract with local minority and women-owned businesses. We may be able to refine the program to allow the local chamber and the organization in partnership with our licensee to apply to us for that even though it's a modest amount of money, but to hopefully address an issue that's of particular concern to them based on where they're located.

We originally had the grant program for assisting them with financing. That may not be the need in where we potentially in the foreseeable future have allocated the money for the slots parlor and Region A and Region B.

So, we're going to be reissuing that grant submission RFP and hopefully again give some more flexibility to the local organizations to apply to us for money to help solve their local need.

We're also working with Mass.
Convention Center Authority and MassPort to

address issues and kind of also learn from their best practices when it comes to minority and women-owned business enterprise and VBE participation in a lot of their construction projects.

Also talking with them about organizing a discussion and panel around financing for small businesses. Having our licensees come in and talking about what their payment plans are so financing institutions and financing organizations can have some idea of how they can loan to small businesses who might be in line to get a large vending contract.

So, I think Jill, Director Griffin,
Director Griffin -- Jill continues to do a
great job. I'm glad she had a chance to talk
about what her activities are as we move ahead
with this process.

It was interesting, this Vendor

Advisory group as talking with each licensee

they seem to want to work with different

components of the Vendor Advisory Team. I

think MGM is going to quickly jump in and start

working with the groups that are focused around

2.1

identifying businesses for them as opposed to maybe the technical assistance side and the financing piece.

But again, this extends to Penn and Turner and to MGM that they haven't quite got the reception from business folks in Massachusetts and other jurisdictions. So, they've been pleasantly surprised by our offer to help. And we give them Jill and we certainly connect them with Director Acosta so small businesses understand the licensing requirements as well.

MS. GRIFFIN: So, to I guess close out my report, I would say you'll notice that much of my time has been spent on working with licensees on diversity reports, making sure that those are transparent, putting those reports out for public comment. But in the future, I anticipate moving that transparency onto reporting on how the licensees are doing.

For example, a diversity report in June talking about how licensees are doing in terms of hiring and putting that up on our website. We have, as Commissioner Stebbins

mentioned, we have this emerging effort with
the labor unions to work on increasing
diversity within the construction period,
especially for the upcoming Category 1 casinos.

So, those are some of my outward looking future efforts.

COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: That's all very impressive. Are there questions, comments? It strikes me that the kind of detailed analysis and outreach and planning and organizing that you're doing is really a key to the promise of this entire legislation. One of its main components obviously a jobs piece of legislation.

And we have said from the outset that we're interested in reaching out to the underemployed, the unemployed, to minorities as well as to establish businesses to participate in this job creation process.

We often hear that we can't find them. We just have run, we've done a lot of effort to find people and we just don't find any qualified, you fill in the blank. And that's because in the main, the outreach hasn't

been precise enough.So, this

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

So, this kind of effort that you're undertaking is really important to make this thing work. The career training institute, the union participation, the devising our own system for finding veterans is what we need to do. And the plans you are bringing to us and the examples of success you are bringing to us are really a key ingredient of the success of the overall thing.

So, this is a great report and I thank you for the energy you're putting into this. It was exactly what, I as one Commissioner, I think I share -- everybody shares the views of what we hoped would come out of this. So, thank you.

MS. GRIFFIN: Great, thank you.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Thank you.

19 Very informative.

20 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: All right.

21 The next item of business is the legal

22 division, General Counsel Blue.

23 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Can we take a

24 brief break.

Page 54 1 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Surely. you want to take a five, 10-minute recess? 2 3 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Yes, 10 minute 4 recess. COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: 5 Surely. 6 General Counsel Blue, you'll standby for 10 minutes. 8 9 (A recess was taken) 10 11 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: We are ready 12 to resume with public meeting 133. And we will 13 pick up right where we left off with General Counsel Blue. 14 15 MS. BLUE: Commissioners, in your 16 packet today you have three small business 17 impact statements, one for the capital 18 investment regulations, which we filed by 19 emergency some time ago, the surveillance 20 regulations and the search and seizure 21 regulations. 22 The purpose of the small business 23 impact statements is to allow us to proceed 24 through the formal process for each of these

1 regulations. We have a hearing on the regs. 2 tentatively scheduled for October 7. So, we 3 will be trying to file these small business 4 impact statements along with the first draft of 5 the regs. with the Secretary of the 6 Commonwealth tomorrow. So, we're just asking your approval 8 on these statements and the approval to go ahead and file. 9 10 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: All right. 11 Any questions? Counsel Holmes has been working 12 on these as well as usual. They seem to me to 13 be in order. Any questions that anybody has? 14 These are really, as you said, the 15 formality that helps small businesses 16 understand whether and to what extent these 17 kinds of regulations or any regulations will 18 have an impact on them and give small 19 businesses a chance through this group to 20 comment on things that could be streamlined for 21 small business purposes. So, it's an important 22 step in the process. 23 All right, do I hear a motion? 24 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: I move that

Page 56 1 the Commission approve -- Do you want to take 2 these all as a group? 3 MS. BLUE: Yes, that would be fine. 4 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: I move that 5 the Commission approve the drafted small 6 business impact statements relative to 7 regulations around capital investment, 8 surveillance in gaming establishment and 9 regulatory monitoring and inspections. 10 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Is there a 11 second? 12 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Second. 13 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Is there any 14 discussion? All in favor then say aye. 15 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye. 16 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Aye. 17 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye. 18 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Aye. The ayes 19 have it unanimously. And we thank you and 20 those are now on their way to the public 21 hearing. 22 MS. BLUE: Yes. 23 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Thank you very

much. All right, the last item on our agenda

is a Region A update. Do you want to say anything Mr. Ziemba? You're not listed as a speaker but -- Yes, Sir, something useful to say.

MR. ZIEMBA: So, at our recent Commission meeting, we discussed the steps that we'll be taking during evaluation week or week plus.

Obviously, there are a lot of questions about what we might be doing on a particular day. And I think we talked about at our last meeting is that it's almost impossible for us to predict with certainty what will happen on a particular day based on the length of the presentations, the complexity of any material error and the ability of the applicants to respond to any conditions that are put forward.

But over the next day or so, we will have conversations with the applicants to try to further hone the plan in the best of circumstances. And then deal with any issues that come up that would cause any further delays.

Obviously, we're all trying to achieve a decision as soon as we possibly can, but not at the expense of making sure that our decisions are the best ones possible. So, we're going to proceed in that regard.

COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: That's really helpful. And you've done a great deal of work with the applicants and with this process as have you, General Counsel Blue. So, I know we're all looking forward to beginning next week.

I just thought I'd spend a minute just at a high level running through a couple of thoughts that I had that I think people ought to be aware of. And then I welcome any thoughts that my colleagues have here as well.

The steps we've outlined in some detail, we had those steps outlined at a meeting, but at a very high level they involve the presentations, one by each of the Commissioners. We have five areas. Each one of us will do one and then together we will do another.

We will respond to claims of factual

1 error that we may make during the presentation.

And there is a process for getting those claims

3 to us. We'll ultimately talk about and then as

4 a group recommend and put out for consideration

5 | by the applicants conditions that we would want

6 | satisfied if we granted them the license. And

7 | get responses to those conditions.

Now that's an important part of the process because -- and one that will involve some real discussion, as all of this will, because the applicants in many cases won't know what the conditions are until they hear them during the course of that process.

And they may need, depending on their complexity, a little time to consult with each other and with others as to how to respond to the conditions we're recommending.

The entire Commission has to approve those recommended conditions. So, that as we proceed with our individual reports, we may make recommendations but it's not until all of us have had the chance to chew them over that they go to the applicants.

Then come to the deliberations when

2

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

we finish that process. And the deliberations will begin with a discussion of the weight to be given different factors in the evaluation process. There is a tendency sometimes I think to take a look at the ratings that are given in the course of our presentations and start a counting process. That's not what this is about.

We need to focus and agree on, and I think we'll agree on fairly quickly what the weight to be given the various factors is. But it is important for people to understand that it is not a mechanical process. It's a judgment and a qualitative process. And the discussion about that qualitative process will take place right here in the process, and then ultimately a decision.

This is going to be a real public discussion. I'm not sure what my colleagues are going to say. And I'm sure they're not sure what I'm going to say because we haven't discussed it at all. This takes place in a public setting.

The purpose of the discussion is to

understand the way and to evaluate the judgments, the opinions, the thoughts, the analysis put in to the various parts of these very complex applications by each of the Commissioners and the consultants on whom the Commissioners are relying.

So, it's a process of bringing what we've been doing individually together in a forum in which some real discussions are going to occur.

The discussions we had in the slots parlor applications are a model for this. This is the same kind of discussions that we had there. These applications and the issues here are more complex. They're bigger. They have bigger numbers attached to them. They've got bigger numbers of jobs but the model is the same.

And it's important to understand that this means that before the week ends, Commissioners who start out with one opinion on some subject may very well wind up with a different opinion after listening to their colleagues and putting things in context, and

1 taking out of their own isolated, and I use 2 that in a non-pejorative sense, isolated 3 analysis of the particular part of the 4 application assigned to them. Putting that in 5 a larger context may change an opinion and may 6 therefore start out in a position that they don't wind up in. So it's important to let 8 this and understand that this debate is going 9 to play out. And it is organic in its outcome. 10 At the end of all that there will be 11 decision. We will meet next week beginning on 12 Monday. We might take a break, probably will 13 take a break at some point during the week to 14 give the applicants time to consider the 15 conditions that the Commission approves and get back to us with written responses. 16 17 Written responses will be required. 18 And those responses will be posted on our 19 website. So, we will take a break at some point. And then we will resume. 20 21 We've posted meetings into the

We've posted meetings into the following week if it's necessary. We'll make announcements here as to when we're next going to get together so that nobody misses a beat.

22

23

And there will be information on the website as to how we're going to proceed.

But at the end of that there will be a decision. It could be a decision and we're looking forward to a decision on a license award. It is conceivable that we'll make a decision to one or the other. It's conceivable, we have the power to make a decision to neither.

It's conceivable that we make a decision that we need to get even further information although we define the parameters of what that information is. So, a number of outcomes are conceivable and possible, but a decision that narrows exactly what we are looking at will come at the end of the process that we're beginning next week. And it will come before midweek of the following week. And we're going to work very hard to make that happen.

So, that's how I see it. I'm looking forward to it beginning. I know that the staff is looking forward to it beginning.

And a great deal of energy has gone into this.

And we have two very competitive applicants and applications.

And the process of working our way through that to ultimately realize for the people of Massachusetts the goals that this legislation was designed to reach is going to be both fascinating, energizing and I hope to the public a useful exercise.

So, that's how I see it at a very high level for next week. I welcome comments from others if there are any.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: To speak for myself, I look forward to the process. I know how much work we've each put into this as well as our staff and consultants. And I know we are ready to have our presentations and start the deliberations.

I think you accurately, as much as we can, predict how the process will go. I just look forward to starting next week.

COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: All right.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: I would echo those comments and just say I am pleased by the fact that we had competition, we have good

2.1

competition in this region. And I'm looking forward to the process next week.

This is not how every other jurisdiction carries it out. Oftentimes, it's behind closed doors. So, the fact that this is a public process with public discussion for everybody to weigh in and watch us conduct our business is exciting for me.

COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: For all of us I think. I don't think anybody does it quite this way but it is a good way. I felt very good about the process after we came out of the slots parlor discussions. And I'm anticipating a really good discussion and process here as well.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Same here. I look forward to that too. I think I am really looking forward and have been struggling a little bit in my own section to try to summarize as best as possible what is a very lengthy application.

That is true on every section, I am sure, but this has certainly been the case.

The numbers are bigger, and that means a lot of

additional things to consider, which is why having the flexibility in conditions and the time allotment is going to be, in my opinion very important and thus hard to predict the exact timing.

But the flexibility is embedded here. I think it's been well put forward by the staff and analyzed a number of ways which is where we arrived. And I'm really looking forward to how that comes to fruition.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: I just want to add, as I was listening to my colleagues, I think what people are going to be, I hope will be impressed or encouraged to hear is comments and discussion around issues that were raised to us by residents both through the surrounding community hearing process and the host community hearing process, as well as the hundreds if not thousands of comments we get over our comments line. I think there will be a good deal of that as well.

COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Yes. Those were important and remain an important source of topics to think about as we all have been

1 proceeding. I join you in thinking that those will be reflected in our discussions as we 2 3 proceed. All right. Any further thoughts? 4 Well, we'll be here nine o'clock 5 next Monday and ready to go and we look forward 6 to seeing all of you here. You may be joined 7 by a few others, maybe not. But in any event, 8 we'll be here at 9:00 next Monday and look forward to seeing you. 9 I think that is all of the business 10 11 that we have. Director Day, is there anything 12 else? 13 MR. DAY: No, that's it. 14 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Then I'd hear 15 and entertain a motion to adjourn. 16 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: So moved. 17 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Second? 18 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Second. COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: All in favor, 19 20 aye. 21 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye. 22 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Aye. 23 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye. 24 The ayes have COMMISSIONER MCHUGH:

```
Page 68
 1
     it unanimously. I'm sorry?
 2
 3
                (Meeting adjourned at 11:57 a.m.)
 4
 5
                COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: We've got to
 6
     close the hearing.
 7
                COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Yes, thank
 8
     you. I'd entertain now a motion to close the
 9
     regulations with which we began.
10
                COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Do you want to
11
     ask if there's any further comments from the
12
     public present?
13
                COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Not really.
14
     Are there any further comments on the
15
     regulations? No. Thank you.
16
                COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Move to close
17
     the hearing.
18
                COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Second?
19
                COMMISSIONER STEBBINS:
                                         Second.
20
                COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: All favor,
21
     aye.
22
                COMMISSIONER CAMERON:
                                       Aye.
23
                COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA:
                                      Aye.
24
                COMMISSIONER STEBBINS:
                                         Aye.
```

```
Page 69
1
                 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: The hearing on
 2
     the regulations is closed. Thank you.
 3
          (Regulations Hearing closed at 11:58 a.m.)
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

ATTACHMENTS:

2

- 3 1. Massachusetts Gaming Commission September
- 4 4, 2014 Notice of Meeting and Agenda
- 5 2. Massachusetts Gaming Commission Notice of Public Hearing
- 7 3. 205 CMR 137 Gaming Schools DRAFT
- 8 4. 205 CMR 134 Licensing and Registration of
 9 Employees, Vendors, Junket Enterprises and
 10 Representatives, and Labor Organizations DRAFT
- 5. Massachusetts Gaming Commission August 21,2014 Meeting Minutes
- 13 6. Horse Racing Committee Decision and Report
- 7. 205 CMR 138 Uniform Standards ofAccounting Procedures and Internal
- 16 Controls DRAFT
- 8. Massachusetts Gaming Commission August 28,
 2014 Memorandum Regarding Qualifier Mary
- 19 Christine Gay of Applicant Blue Tarp/MGM
- 9. Massachusetts Gaming Commission August 29,
- 21 2014 Master Schedule Update
- 22 | 10. Massachusetts Gaming Commission Small
- 23 Business Impact Statements RE: 205 CMR
- 24 | 122; 205 CMR 141; 205 CMR 142

		Page	71
1	GUEST SPEAKERS:		
2	Mark Kmetz, Division of Public Licensure		
3	Raymond Buso, Esq.		
4	George Rotondo		
5			
6	MASSACHUSETTS GAMING COMMISSION STAFF:		
7	Catherine Blue, General Counsel		
8	Jill Griffin, Director of Workforce, Supplier		
9	and Diversity Development		
10	Todd Grossman, Deputy General Counsel		
11	John Ziemba, Ombudsman		
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

	rage /z
1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I, Laurie J. Jordan, an Approved Court
4	Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing
5	is a true and accurate transcript from the
6	record of the proceedings.
7	
8	I, Laurie J. Jordan, further certify that the
9	foregoing is in compliance with the
10	Administrative Office of the Trial Court
11	Directive on Transcript Format.
12	I, Laurie J. Jordan, further certify I neither
13	am counsel for, related to, nor employed by any
14	of the parties to the action in which this
15	hearing was taken and further that I am not
16	financially nor otherwise interested in the
17	outcome of this action.
18	Proceedings recorded by Verbatim means, and
19	transcript produced from computer.
20	WITNESS MY HAND this 5th day of September,
21	2014.
22	aming Jonath 1
23	LAURIE J. JORDAN My Commission expires:
24	Notary Public May 11, 2018