Educational Forum on Casino Gaming sponsored by

The Massachusetts Gaming Commission

Wednesday, August 8, 2012

Western New England University

1215 Wilbraham Road

Rivers Memorial Hall

Springfield, Massachusetts 01119

PARTICIPANTS

Massachusetts Gaming Commission:

Stephen P. Crosby, Chairman

Gayle Cameron, Commissioner

James F. McHugh, Commissioner

Bruce W. Stebbins, Commissioner

Enrique Zuniga, Commissioner

Panelists:

Speros A. Batistatos, President and CEO South Shore Convention and Visitors Authority (Indiana)

Tim Brennan, Executive Director Pioneer Valley Planning Commission

Marie Downey, Executive Director BEST Corp./Local 26 Hotel Training Center

Ed Harrison, Chair Western Masschusetts Casino Task Force

Monica Lamboy, Former Executive Director City of Somerville Office of Strategic Planning and Community Development

Scott Madden, Senior Partner Connelly Partners

Larry Martin, Business Services and Special Projects Manager

Hampden County Regional Employment Board

William Messner, President Holyoke Community College

Peter Rosskothen, President and CEO
The Delaney House and Log Cabin
and Chair
Greater Springfield Convention and Visitors Bureau

Laurie J. Salame, J.D., Lecturer Department of Hospitality and Tourism Management, Isenberg School of Management, University of Massachusetts
Jeffrey Simon, Director Commonwealth of Massachusetts Recovery and Investment Office
Betsy Wall, Director Massachusetts Office of Travel and Tourism
I N D E X
WNEU Welcome
Arthur Wolf, Director
Overview and Introduction
Tim Brennan, Executive Director
Welcoming Remarks
The Honorable Gale Candaras
Legislative Viewpoint on Expanded Gaming Act
The Honorable Stan Rosenberg
Introduction of Panel Session
Bruce Stebbins, Commissioner
Panel Discussion: Community Mitigation Tim Brennan, Moderator
Ed Harrison, Chair Western Masschusetts Casino Task Force

Jeffrey Simon, Director Commonwealth of Massachusetts Recovery and Investment Office

Monica Lamboy, Former Executive Director City of Somerville Office of Strategic Planning and Community Development

Panel Discussion: Tourism - Connecting Casino
Gaming to the Massachusetts Tourism and
Hospitality Industry

Betsy Wall, Moderator......Page 81 Director, Massachusetts Office of Travel and Tourism

Speros A. Batistatos, President and CEO South Shore Convention and Visitors Authority (Indiana)

Peter Rosskothen, President and CEO
The Delaney House and Log Cabin
and Chair
Greater Springfield Convention and Visitors Bureau

Scott Madden, Senior Partner Connelly Partners

<u>Panel Discussion: Creating Career Opportunities</u>
<u>in Gaming For Massachusetts Residents</u>

William Messner, President Holyoke Community College

Marie Downey, Executive Director BEST Corp./Local 26 Hotel Training Center

Laurie J. Salame, J.D., Lecturer

Department of Hospitality and Tourism Management,

Isenberg School of Management, University of

Massachusetts

	5
Congluding Demonts	
Concluding Remarks	
Stephen Crosby, Chair	7

1	
2	1:05 p.m.
3	
4	MR. WOLF: Good afternoon, everyone, and
5	welcome to Western New England University. I'm Art Wolf.
6	I have the privilege of serving as the Director of our
7	Institute for Legislative and Administrative Affairs.
8	President Andy Caprio could not be here
9	today. He's out of town on official business. So, he's
10	asked me to bring a warm welcome to each of you for being
11	here today. We especially thank Chairman Crosby and the
12	members of the Commission for their organizing this
13	educational forum for all of us here in the west, and maybe
14	east as well, to learn more about the siting issues that
15	arise in the course of the Casino Gambling Gaming
16	Commission's proceedings.
17	So, again, we welcome you all here. We hope
18	you have an enjoyable afternoon, and I have the additional
19	privilege of introducing you to the Executive Director of
20	the Pioneer Valley Planning Commission, Mr. Tim Brennan.
21	MR. BRENNAN: Good afternoon, everybody, and
22	welcome to this educational forum and I put the emphasis on
23	"educational". I especially want to welcome, to western

Massachusetts, Chairman Crosby and the other members of the

24

1 | Casino Gaming Commission.

We are here to learn today, and I'm happy to say that this particular forum is the best attended thus far, which I think is a credit to western Massachusetts and our level of interest in learning and helping one another to go through this process.

We have an ambitious agenda this afternoon. As opposed to previous forums, we are actually tackling three subjects, community mitigation, which will be the first panel that I'll moderate. The second being the alignment or the attempt to align a casino resort here in western Mass. with the hospitality industry, and last but certainly not least, the issue of workers — how workers connect to the jobs that this casino, for the western part of the state, will generate and the municipality at large.

To get it started though, this afternoon, I want to welcome a legislator, who was part of the architects' team that put this legislation together.

Senator Gale Candaras represents a good portion of the City of Springfield, but I can recall that long ago when the legislature crafted this bill, it was evident that her credentials as a former local official, finance board member, planning board member helped her kind of understand the kind of concerns that we had. So, please welcome

Senator Gale Candaras.

SENATOR CANDARAS: Thank you, Tim, and to all of our distinguished guests here today, it is an honor to have been able to participate in putting this together.

Obviously, we are very excited that you are all here at the Legislative Institute for Governmental Affairs.

This venue was created expressly -- the institute was created expressly to provide venues for just these types of events and make available to the people of western Massachusetts the kinds of opportunities they have in the eastern part of the state.

I am delighted with the level of interest that has been shown by potential developers to obtain -- be the winners of the license here to develop a western Mass. casino.

A little bit of the back story. When Senator Rosenberg, whom I'll have the privilege of introducing shortly, was working on this legislation, we all had an opportunity to provide input.

One of the things they do in Boston from time to time is hire consultants, and they had a consultant who actually was saying that we would have to work extra hard in Massachusetts, maybe even lowering the licensing fees, because they doubted there would be much interest in

a western Massachusetts license by developers; and so we pointed out to them, Senator Rosenberg and Senator Welch, who has joined us in the back, that we thought we knew our district better than the consultants and we were pretty sure there was going to be intense interest by developers in a western Mass. license.

As you can see, western Mass. is absolutely the hot spot in the entire state for developers -- proposed casino developers. So, we are really excited about the way this has shaken out here in western Massachusetts.

It is my distinct pleasure to introduce to you today about as fine a gentleman as I've ever met. He is the architect of the gaming legislation that is going to be discussed today. His name is Senator Stan Rosenberg. He is also the Senate President Pro Tempore of the Massachusetts Senate.

He was first elected in 1991. He is
Massachusetts born and bred and a UMass graduate. He has
always, always done extraordinary work in the Senate. He
is the gentleman, who, twice now, twice now, has handled
the redistricting. As you know, we redistrict every ten
years, and he has done it under the most adverse
circumstances imaginable.

He is about as well regarded in the Senate

and throughout the Commonwealth as anyone who has ever, 1 2 ever served. He has a very broad range and a lot of big responsibility. So, without further ado, it is my pleasure 3 4 and privilege to present my great colleague, Senator Stan 5

Rosenberg.

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

SENATOR ROSENBERG: Thank you, Senator Candaras, and thank you to Western New England University for hosting this event, and thank you especially to the Commission for moving around the state and providing an opportunity for people to learn, firsthand from the people who are working to make this law work, exactly what's going on and how this all is coming together.

I want to offer great commendations to my dear colleague, Senator Candaras, who has served this region so well both in the House and the Senate, and she understates her role on economic-development matters, including this matter, and I just want to give her a pat on the back.

Senator Jim Welch, who is also here, and a relative newcomer to the Senate, but a very welcome one having succeeded in the House and moved over to the Senate where we do it even better.

So, my job today is to create a context for the conversation today, and I'm supposed to tell you how we got here, and how we got here was a long and torturous route that went over several decades as there has been discussion in Massachusetts about joining the now 38 other states, but back in the time when we started, there were probably 15 or 20 states, but now we are state number 38 to involve ourselves with expanding gaming, including resort casinos.

The initial debates were around slots and racetracks and eventually expanded to a question of resort-style casinos because that's where the jobs and the maximum revenue is if you have resort-style casinos, and we know this very well because we are just a stone's throw away from Foxwoods and Mohegan Sun, and we see what has happened down there in terms of the economic development and tax revenues. So, we can see the model right there.

When we reach the point where Governor

Patrick was taking office, he was introduced to this topic

because the Mashpee Wampanoag tribe had an application

pending before the Bureau of Indian Affairs for federal

recognition.

That application was there for a while, but it was getting very close to the point where it would be approved. The Mashpee said when they got their recognition and when they got their land in trust, land in trust is

reservation, they would exercise their federally granted and protected rights to gambling on their land.

The Governor understood, at that point, that if they went down that path, and they said they would, they would be untaxed, unregulated, and there could be no state interference because the Mashpee would be a recognized sovereign people, and our state government could not interfere in their operations in relation to gaming and that they would be able to do gaming at the highest level that the Commonwealth's laws allowed at the time.

At the time, bingo licenses were issued by the government, as well as Las Vegas night licenses, to non-profit organizations. That means that the tribe would be able to do bingo of their choosing, including electronic bingo.

Electronic bingo, to the average person, is indistinguishable from slot machines. There are actually some technical differences. If you want me to bore you later, I will be happy to explain them but suffice it to say that they are effectively slot machines, and those would be untaxed, unregulated, and there would be no state interference with them.

However, if they wanted to do casinos, the law would have to be changed, and if the law were changed

to allow casinos, then a compact would have to be
negotiated between the tribe, as a sovereign people, and
Massachusetts state government.

That compact negotiation is the mechanism by which the state gets to enter into an agreement that includes taxation, regulation, law enforcement, and a range of other things that can be and are usually negotiated as part of the compact. Again, the word "compact" in this case is actually a substitute for the word "treaty" between two governments.

So, the reality set in that the tribe was about to be federally recognized. They would get their land in trust. They would then do gambling on that land, and if we didn't move to resort-style casinos, the Governor knew that he would not be able to do any taxation or any regulation.

So, at that point, he dispatched his staff to prepare a gaming bill. The gaming bill went through the legislative process and died in the House. The next term, separate bills were filed by different people. Some of them looked like the Governor's bill. Some of them were very different.

A two-year process ensued. At the end of that two-year process, a bill actually got to the

Governor's desk. The Governor didn't like some provisions of it and sent it back with amendments. It was at the end of the legislative term -- excuse me. It was at the end of the legislative formal sessions, which meant that, after July 31st, any single member could stop consideration of any bill. It was clear this bill was dead because there was no time to entertain the Governor's amendments.

We come back for the term that we are in now, and you all know the story. Otherwise, we wouldn't be sitting here today with petitions and people wearing T-shirts of various colors and people trying to get themselves ready and organized to compete for the license.

So, the law is now in place. I was reminded that the law was signed nine months ago, and the Commission was fully formed April 1st, which was about four months ago; and this week, from yesterday's meeting until tomorrow, they went through the process, the Gaming Commission, of agreeing to start the process of receiving applications with a \$400,000 filing fee, non-refundable, in order to apply to pre-qualify for consideration for submitting an actual application.

I would argue that's a lot of work in a short period of time and done properly and done very well. So, now the race is on, and if any of you happen to have

your \$400,000 check with you, before you give it to the
Commissioners, could I hold it for just a minute because
I've never held a \$400,000 check? I want to see what it
feels like to hold that check, but the game is now moving
to the next level.

The Commission will move on to pre-qualifying and checking the credentials and checking the veracity of people who want to put in an actual application and then the application — the competition will be on for considering how many licenses, where within each region they would be, and who will be the outfit selected to move on to actually receive a license and construct the gaming operation.

So, that's the story. That's how we got to today. You're going to hear from a lot of good people today about a lot of particulars of what's involved, in putting this together, in greater detail.

I think I've discarded my duty of giving you the overview of how we got there, and I'll wish you all a very successful forum and hope that you all get information you need so that, as citizens, you can participate actively in this extraordinary social and economic change that is in the process of being unfolded before us. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Thank you, Senator.

- I've been told that over the past, I think, 15 to 20 years, 1 2 Senator Rosenberg has traveled around the country. He's 3 added a few days on to his vacation to meet gaming 4 authorities in other states and jurisdictions so that when 5 a bill was finally passed, and myself and my colleagues on the Commission have heard this numerous times, we've been 6
- 8 So, it's a credit to the legislative process. It's a credit to Senator Rosenberg, Senator 9 10 Candaras, Senator Welch, and the legislators who are here 11 with us.

told Massachusetts got it right.

7

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

2.4

- I'd like to introduce myself. I'm Bruce Stebbins. I'm a member of the Massachusetts Gaming Commission. As the Senator said, we have been on the job officially as a team for about four months.
- I'm glad to welcome my four colleagues to western Massachusetts. I'm glad they were able to experience the commute I get every once in a while. I did send them via Pittsfield so it made it seem a little bit longer.
- I just want to take a minute and share with 22 you really the purpose of these forums. We have held several of these around the state. This is the first one in western Massachusetts. As Tim Brennan mentioned, it is

certainly the most well attended.

The purpose of these forums is really to educate the five of us. There are a number of topics related to the bill that we are trying to get our hands around.

How do we craft regulations? We do that with getting a lot of good information from experts, panelists, and from general comments from the public.

We invite you to be a part of this education process because we want to give you the opportunity to hear the same information that we are hearing. After the panel speaks, the Commissioners will have an opportunity to ask some questions.

At the end, we will have an open meeting in the Commission to talk amongst ourselves about some of the topics, but we also want to take an opportunity to hear from you.

What we're doing to accommodate that is -you'll see over against the wall. We have a nice box. It
just shows you the extent to which the Commission will
spend money to get a nice box.

We invite you to go over, drop in questions, drop in comments. I can promise you every comment and question will be responded to, but we will go through those

```
comments and questions and take out those and, again, we
 1
 2
    hope that you address those comments and questions, in
 3
    particular, to the topics you are hearing about today.
                   I would be remiss if I didn't tell you you
 4
 5
    can also follow the Commission's activities through a
 6
    variety of means. We have a general comments e-mail line.
 7
    We encourage everybody to drop us a note to the general
 8
    comments e-mail line.
                   You can also follow us on Facebook, Twitter.
10
    We won't tell you when we are stepping out for lunch, but
11
    we will share with you more important details than that.
                   Just to mention, we have three very
12
    important panels, and this is the first time that we have
13
14
    tried to address three important panels in one forum.
15
                   Community mitigation, which is a big issue
16
    for the Commission itself to get our arms around. For
17
    western Massachusetts, the idea of a half-a-billion-dollar
    construction project is a rather daunting task.
18
19
                   We think we have some good panelists up here
20
    to help the communities to get a better understanding of:
21
    How do we facilitate that? How do communities deal with
22
    that large a project coming into their community?
23
                   Secondly, we want to talk about tourism.
24
    Throughout the legislation, I don't think this was any
```

accident, the legislature made it clear that they wanted
gaming to have a positive impact on tourism. Tourism and
hospitality is the third biggest industry in the
Commonwealth of Massachusetts. We certainly want to make
sure that there's a marriage between gaming and tourism

Finally, job training and workforce development. One thing that we are anxious not to see is once the license is approved that there is a big importation of people to fill jobs when we feel we can create the training environment and resources to help people from Massachusetts be able to apply for the permanent jobs.

that will bolster not only jobs but revenues for the state.

I want to thank Senator Candaras on this last point. She reminded me the other day that this bill really was passed because it is a jobs bill, not only the temporary construction jobs but the permanent tourism and hospitality and gaming jobs as well as what we hope would be the ancillary jobs throughout the community.

Senator Rosenberg said that the work of this Commission and our purpose is not to fight the notion of gaming. That is all going to happen. Within your own community, when all of you, as residents, have a chance -- should your community potentially be a host community,

you'll have the opportunity to go to the polls and voice 1 2 your opinion in that manner, but Senator Rosenberg said 3 that it is the job of this Commission to make the law work, 4 to protect the interest, to mitigate unintended 5 consequences, maximize job development, and create a robust 6 gaming environment. 7 So, I want to thank you for all turning out 8 today. This is a great crowd. We greatly appreciate it. With that, I will turn it over to Tim Brennan to begin the 10 first panel. 11 So, the subject is community MR. BRENNAN: mitigation, and I'm going to play two roles. I'm going to 12 13 play the role of moderator but also speaker. We have two 14 others in addition. I'm going to introduce them 15 individually. To kick off, I'm going to call on Ed 16 17 Harrison. Ed has and continues to chair the Western Mass. Casino Advisory Task Force, a group that actually came 18 together in 2007. So, we have been at this for quite a 19 20 long time. 21 Ed grew up, spent a good portion of his

youth here in the city, retired from a career at MassMutual

and has been spending much of his retirement time doing

duty as a select board member on a variety of local

22

23

24

1 committees. Ed.

MR. HARRISON: Thank you, Tim, and thanks to
the Commission for allowing us to make a little
presentation here on behalf of the Western Mass. Casino
Task Force, the acronym for which is WMCAT. If you hear
WMCAT, then you know that's us.

Anyway, as Tim pointed out, we were formed in the fall of 2007 once the board of selectmen became a little concerned when Mohegan Sun said Palmer might be a great site for a casino, and we are saying, living right next door to them, What does that mean for us?

Since we are a small community, 8,500 or so, we said, It might be a good idea to involve some of the other communities in our area in looking at what the impact might be to their communities.

So, we got folks together in initially 10 surrounding communities and now about 14, including Belchertown, Brimfield, Brookfield, Hampden, Holland, Ludlow, Monson, Palmer, Sturbridge, Wales, Ware, Warren West Brookfield, and Wilbraham. So, this was all pretty much centered on the Palmer area.

If this were Lenox or Lee, we probably wouldn't have come into existence, and the size and location may change depending on where the ultimate

1 licensee in western Mass. turns out to be.

We developed a mission statement early on
that said, "To ensure that the economic, social, and
quality-of-life interests of communities within the western
Massachusetts region are protected and all impacts
resulting from the development of Class 3 casino gambling
within the region are recognized."

We had three objectives. Basically, to ensure that the western-region communities are included in every step of the public-policy process for community development. That is, we really wanted a voice at the table. We wanted an opportunity to influence the legislation and whatever the legislation entailed going on once it was implemented.

The second was to ensure that the state commits the funding for a professional, comprehensive, and unbiased study of the potential impacts, both positive and negative, of casino gambling on the western-region communities. That is one of the things I don't think we really accomplished, and we had been harping on that for about five years but so be it.

The third one, and perhaps the most important, was to ensure that casino-gambling legislation is amended to guarantee that the western-region communities

receive adequate compensation for and mitigation of any negative impacts of casino development and operation.

It was in March of, actually, 2008 at Quinnipiac University where they hosted an informal forum on casino gambling and the social problems and impacts that are often ignored in the debates about them. The most important take away -- I wasn't there. I think Tim was there.

It was not very well attended, but the most important take away, bar none, was the response that Connecticut Attorney General Blumenthal gave in response to the question put to him, which was essentially, What is the biggest mistake that Connecticut made when it legalized casinos more than a decade ago that Massachusetts should learn from and strive hard not to repeat?

So, the Attorney General, without a moment of hesitation, said that Connecticut had completely failed to properly account for and proactively address the physical and social impacts that would fall to the communities surrounding the host community, and this was and continues -- I emphasize continues -- to be a serious omission with lots of adverse consequences that are regrettable.

So, WMCAT's official position on casinos is

that we are neither pro- nor anti-casino. We have

maintained that stance for this last five years. There are

a lot of groups out there who are flag waving for casinos

for lots of reasons, and there are anti-casino groups out

there.

We are not one of those. We are neutral, and I think that has really opened the doors for us to be able to talk to legislators, to people who will listen to our case, and we are very grateful for that. What have we done for the past five years? Well, it's like one year all over again every couple of years because we had to do this three times.

First and most importantly, I think we enlisted the support of the Pioneer Valley Planning

Commission, and they have been enormously helpful to us. I don't think we would have been able to do a very good job of this if it hadn't been for their support. They take our meetings, record our minutes, and provide us with sound advice on how to proceed in dealing with the legislature and what not.

We studied the proposed casino-enabling legislation three times, met with local legislators, including Senators Candaras and Rosenberg and our own local Senator out in our area, Senator Brewer. We developed a

list of 23 concerns, which are, we feel, generic enough for use by all potential host and surrounding communities who might be impacted, and copies of these -- I think Tim left 100 copies or so up on the table in the back if anybody would care to look at that.

We communicated our concerns on multiple occasions to the general court and executive branches of Mass. government, mostly by letter; testified at public hearings held by the Joint Commission of Economic Development and Emerging Technologies several times.

We did make a presentation, just once, to the Metropolitan Area Planning Council, who was interested in our work, and I think it was very well received by them and I think they worked very well together with their own group in the eastern part of the state.

When the last set of legislation came up, we submitted amendments to proposed casino legislation through our regional legislators, and ultimately, today, we have produced a draft of a community-impact checklist of items that communities should address prior to the casino-licensing process, and I emphasize prior because if you start doing this after the applications have been submitted, it may be too late; and copies of that should be included in the packet that you picked up with the agenda,

and we welcome any suggestions or changes or additions,
whatever you want. You can give them to either PVPC or
myself. Whatever.

I would like to think that the efforts of WMCAT had a positive influence on the Massachusetts casino-enabling legislation for this past 2011.

From a local perspective, putting my selectman's hat on, what are we concerned about? We are always concerned about monies. Municipal budgets are what we live and die by on a day-to-day basis.

I'm sure all of you are aware of the -especially the town officials are aware of what they've had
to do to cut expenses due to the recession. Like them, we
have foregone raises, laid off staff, reduced staff hours,
and cut services. We don't have a lot of spare money to
work on Memoranda of Understanding with potential casino
licensees. So, we help in that area, and I think the
legislation does allow for this.

It's there in black and white, and for us, for example, being close to the Springfield area, we are considered a surrounded community, and that's a concern to us. Are we going to make that cut? If we were Palmer, it would be a no brainer. If it were Springfield, there might be some question. We might be looking at three or four

casino applications, so that's three or four Memoranda of Understanding that we have to come up with.

Part of that process, I think is to -- in order to be able to do that kind of negotiation is to develop a baseline of community resources, as infrastructure, transportation, public safety, education, housing, economic development, workforce development, public health, et cetera -- all those kinds of things where we have resources within our individual communities. So, each community has to do that for themselves.

I think that's a critical inventory to have because it allows us to demonstrate where the impacts might be and once the impacts do occur, if they do, that they are documented. So, that would be the baseline for giving us a methodology for tracking impacts.

We realize or thought that the mitigation funds were not sufficient but are grateful for what we did get in the legislation. It's probably more than what was initially anticipated. There will be a great deal of competition, we think, for the mitigation funds. Since the host is included in the group with the surrounding communities, as a surrounding-community selectman, we may not see a lot of that mitigation coming our way.

Of course, there's always a quality of life

for our community. I'm not sure a lot of folks pay

attention to that unless you can put an economic price on

it.

So, how can the Mass. Gaming Commission help us and the host communities as well as the surrounding communities? Communities need short-term help with the MOU process. We can't afford to hire legal counsel and consultants to be sure that the results are going to be fair to everybody.

Now, I was very pleased to see, on July 24th, that there was a press release by the MGC. It's number 12-019. I'm not going to take the time to read it here, but it really says that the Commission has thought about this. They've taken to heart the message that we tried to get across; that mitigation is important to not only the host but the surrounding communities.

I think they could help -- this Commission could help by developing appropriate templates for the information that has to be submitted to them, whether it's an MOU template or community checklist or whatever kinds of information you folks need to do your job in selecting a licensee or approving a licensee. It might be helpful to have a template so everybody is playing with the same set of rules. It's kind of like an RFP, if you will.

The community checklist, which I think you have copies of now, is something that WMCAT produced and it's a draft. We welcome any kind of comments from anybody who wants to change that, but I think it might be useful for communities to consider.

We realize that one size does not fit all.

That came across very loud and clear at the last

presentation. I never thought it was an issue because we

all realize, being such small communities competing with

larger communities, say, like Springfield or Boston or Fall

River, that it was pretty obvious. Different communities

have different requirements. It depends on location and

everything else.

We think it's a good idea to retain the resources of regional-planning agencies throughout the state for other regions, not just ours. They've been so helpful to us that I think it's a good approach to bring together those communities who think they are going to be impacted by a casino.

WMCAT may morph into something quite differently depending on where the approved licensee winds up. I think keeping the lines of communication open between us is pretty important going forward. Like I say, opportunities like this to communicate are well received.

So, that's pretty much all I'd like to say except to thank you once again and to thank Tim for all he does.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Tim, are we going to hold questions? How do you want this to operate?

MR. BRENNAN: It's up to you.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Well, I just wanted to -you raised a really interesting point, Mr. Harrison, about
how the mitigation monies -- can everybody hear me? Is
this working right? How the mitigation monies will be
allocated, and one point that I wanted to make is you were
afraid the host communities might eat up the lion's share
of the community-mitigation monies that are generated each
year as a small percentage of the revenues from the
casinos, and the surrounding communities wouldn't get
anything.

One thing I want to seize on immediately is both the host and surrounding communities have the option to negotiate with the casino operator. Both the surrounding communities and host communities have to have signed agreements before the proposal could come to us.

So, the first line of defense with the mitigation monies ought to be your own direct negotiations as a host or surrounding community. Get everything you can

```
possibly think of at that point so that the Commission
 1
 2
    monies -- the mitigation money that come to the Commission
 3
    is left for generally unanticipated or -- whatever.
                   You should not be thinking about, Let's go
 4
 5
    get our money from the community-mitigation fund. Every
    community should be thinking about getting whatever is
 6
 7
    appropriate mitigation monies from the operator up front.
 8
                   MR. HARRISON: Is this live? Yes.
                                                        It is.
    Point well taken. That's the first bite of the apple, and
 9
10
    it's kind of an unknown out there, what's going to happen.
11
    We can only speculate as to what the impacts are going to
    be, but if we can't get an agreement and we've got a scant
12
13
    30 days to accomplish that --
14
                   CHAIRMAN CROSBY: What is the 30 days?
                   MR. HARRISON: I think we have 30 days.
15
    Well, according to the legislation, I think it says we have
16
17
    30 days to negotiate an MOU; otherwise, the MGC steps in
    and makes sure the license application includes that.
18
19
                   COMMISSIONER McHUGH: If I could interject.
20
    I think the Commissioner -- the Chairman is talking about
21
    even before the application process starts. The 30-day
22
    application only begins and kicks in after what -- what we
23
    are calling the Phase 2 application occurs.
24
                   MR. HARRISON: Right.
```

COMMISSIONER McHUGH: The regulations that 1 2 were promulgated yesterday, at least began the process of 3 promulgating yesterday, anticipate the possibility of 4 negotiations early on between host and surrounding 5 communities and the developer for the kinds of things the 6 Chairman was talking about. MR. HARRISON: Okay. Point well taken. 7 Ι 8 just want to make one point though. Mitigation is not, in our eyes anyway, a one-shot deal that finishes with the 10 completion of the construction of the project. It includes 11 operation, not just development, and that could have an impact over years. 12 13 If we had to hire two policemen, for 14 example, to handle the increased DUIs or something like 15 that, that's \$100,000 or \$150,000 perhaps when you consider 16 if you have to provide those on a three-shift basis. 17 That's an ongoing commitment for a long time that would take a small community like ours to absorb. 18 19 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: I just want to enforce 20 this though. That ought to be one of the things that 21 you're talking about to the developer up front. It should 22 be -- that ought to be what's part of the initial 23 negotiation. 24 When they come to you as a surrounding

community or you purport to be a surrounding community, if
you can't agree, then ultimately, we will weigh in on that,
but for the most part, the developers are going to want to
have working relationships with surrounding communities,
and part of the job should be to anticipate you need two
extra cops up front.

Don't let that stuff slide because we will run out of money quickly if the surrounding communities and host communities don't do a good job -- a really buttoned job of negotiating effectively with developers way at the beginning of the process.

MR. HARRISON: So, I assume part of the criteria for selecting a licensee might be how well do you mitigate --

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Absolutely. This conversation is reinforcing that. This is a really important point, I think. If other communities have been really thinking this way, we would absolutely look very favorably. I think I'm speaking for myself, but I'm sure we all would look very favorably on proposals that do a really good job of paying for mitigation with both host and surrounding communities. The legislation requires us to.

MR. HARRISON: Thank you very much.

MR. BRENNAN: I'm going to try to tag team

with Ed and go a little bit deeper. In the spirit of what
Commissioner Stebbins said at the outset, that this is an
educational forum and particularly the Gaming Commission
members are looking to receive information, I tried to ask
myself six questions that you might and answer them.

The first question is: Is there a couple of definitions that are key when we talk about mitigation, and I think there are. In a general sense, mitigation typically means when you try to lessen, to the extent possible, any adverse consequences of a development. This one happens to be a casino, but it could be any kind of development, but not all the mitigation measures are the same.

There are three categories. One is prevention measures, things that can be done up front, as the project is actually designed, that would either minimize or eliminate the possible adverse effects.

Second is compensatory. Example being you clear the site, take down a lot of trees, replace the trees. Maybe not precisely the same location but you place them on the development site.

Then last but not least, remediation where damage is done but efforts are made, as part of the whole development scheme, to fix that damage or to restore a

1 | wetland, perhaps, that gets disturbed.

This definition is a typical one and planners like me use it all the time, but I think, in this instance, it doesn't go far enough, and I would tweak it and say that there are also positive impacts of this kind of development.

The premier might be the one that's already been mentioned several times. Jobs, but I think to work that advantage takes work on the part of the host and abutting communities as well as the Gaming Commission, and I think you are going to hear some ideas about that from panelists.

The same is true with something like the hospitality industry. How can you work it at the front end so that there's mutual benefits rather than a winner and a loser?

Casinos, to a planner like me, is a DRI.

Not DUI. DRI. Development of regional impact. Not
unusual. They exist all the time. Think shopping center.

Think airport. Think large, planned residential community,
and many places as close as Cape Cod have explicit
procedures for dealing with this. Some states do.

I just heard from a colleague where the State of Georgia absolutely overhauled their procedures,

and all those procedures are statewide although they are implemented at each individual regional level.

This is, I think, where the checklist, which Ed alluded to, comes in to play. It's not intended to be a be all and end all. It's intended to be a starting point.

We were at the forum in Framingham, and we heard that subject came up and we heard your interest in it, and we thought our contribution to this would be to start. Get something on paper that could be shaped and reshaped over time.

Second question. So, what's the big deal about casinos? If DRIs, as I just said, exist all the time and everywhere, then why do these particular types of developments demand a higher level of attention?

Well, this is an extraordinary DRI, and there are a lot of reasons for that. I'm just going to call out a few and some are probably obvious. First, the scale. This is a super-sized DRI. It's not a shopping center. It's not even something like an airport, although they might be similar.

It's a project with many pieces and it's a generator of traffic, whether that means people traffic or traffic that arrives in vehicles.

Second is the footprint. Clearly, if you

- got my idea that it's a DRI, the footprint is regional. 1 2 It's not going to be only in the host community. impacts will spill out, which brings us to why this 3 4 committee I just explained to you was created five years 5 ago, and I know there's work going on in this regard 6 elsewhere, including in Springfield. 7 Time line. I'm going to come back to this. 8 The time line is long. This is not a project that is proposed this week and built perhaps in the next 6 to 12 10 months. It has a long pre-game warm up related to it 11 before an actual shovel gets in the ground, and that
 - Complexity. Exceedingly high. Demands the expertise of multiple disciplines but not working unilaterally but working together. I think your own composition is a way of reflecting that.

changes the dynamics of the process and the way to treat

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

the project.

Ed already alluded to community resources are limited, and some may argue, in some cases, austere. What I want to undersCORIs it's not just a matter of constraints in terms of money resources; it's also a constraint in terms of human resources. How many staff are in that town hall with the kinds of time and expertise that are going to be needed to respond appropriately to this?

Last but not least, but I think this is why 1 2 this is an educational -- there's not a lot of precedent. 3 It's not like you can run to some shelf and find wonderful 4 Sure. Casinos exist elsewhere, but I think, if 5 you know anything about the legislation process, this is 6 very, very unique. So, we are learning and doing at the 7 same time. It's not the easiest thing. It's possible, and 8 it's necessary to do. 9 Question three. Why is a wholesale 10 understanding of the time line important here? I think, 11 again going back to an earlier statement, in terms of --ultimately, this project will evolve in four separate 12 13 time zones. 14 Zone one is planning, permitting, licensing, 15 the period we are in now and, by the Commission's estimate, 16 will probably go to perhaps the fall of 2014. 17 Following that, there's design, although presumably some design can go on before a particular 18 19 licensee knows that they are successful. 20 Third, construction phase, which, again, 21 does not necessarily happen in all one bite. It very well 22 may happen in phases, and it may take time to do. 23 And third -- or fourth, excuse me. 24 four. The casino as an operation. Again, as Ed alluded

1 to, it's in operation presumably in perpetuity.

The key point I want to make now in terms of mitigation: The most important period, time wise, is this one, zone one, before an actual selection is made. I think that's a point that Chairman Crosby was just making. This is a time where you can shape things in a way that is, again, beneficial to all the parties. Later, it may be much more difficult or impossible to achieve.

There's one question about mitigation that hardly ever gets asked, but I'm going to ask. I'm going to give you my answer, but I don't necessarily think it's going to get unanimous agreement.

The question is: Is it realistic to believe every impact of a casino, in this case, but, again, it could be another type of project, can be mitigated? I think the answer to that is probably not.

So, I think, again, when you think about mitigation, probably there's three principles that ought to come into play. The first is be realistic about the mitigation efforts.

Second, because you can't do everything, be targeted in the way you apply those mitigation efforts.

Thirdly, mitigation needs to be funded to the extent that mitigation is possible to afford.

Question four. Are the types of projects' impacts more or less the same? Again, whether it be a large-scale project or, in this case, a casino-resort facility, no. Once again, I think there's three distinct types of impacts to be thinking about, worrying about, and planning for.

First would be the direct impacts. I'm just going to give some hypothetical examples. There's an intersection close to the casino location that ultimately gets picked. That intersection fails based upon the traffic. Direct impact, and something needs to be done, compensated for that factor.

Indirect impacts. Again, very, very important. What about the school system that's two communities out that suddenly has an explosion of school population and a population that also has special needs that's never been anticipated? We heard a lot about that when we were in Connecticut. So, something you have to think about.

I imagine that a project like this is going to be built in phases, but you have to anticipate what the human impacts are going to be. Not when the parking lot is finished or even when the casino is finished but all the pieces. You

```
have to think beyond what actually gets built is Phase 1 or
 1
    2 or 3.
 2
                   What kind of considerations go into this
 3
 4
    bowl -- salad bowl of mitigation? Well, there will be a
 5
    lot, but probably the premier ones would be physical. How
 6
    is the infrastructure going to deal with this development?
 7
                   Natural. What's going to happen to the
 8
    water quality or air pollution?
                   Social. How about things like public safety
 9
10
    and problem gaming?
11
                   Fiscal. We already heard Ed talk about
12
    those.
13
                   Legal. There's a lot of complicated legal
14
    agreements and, again, many of the communities that we try
    to serve would not have that expertise in town hall.
15
16
                   Economic. Again, how you make sure the
17
    benefits from the economic side are there.
18
                   And then staffing. How do we get the
19
    capacity, staff wise, and expertise that we need to make
20
    this front end, this zone-one time zone that I'm alluding
    to, work the best.
21
22
                   If I had to guess, sitting in the seat of a
23
    municipal official that's worrying about these kinds of
```

issues, there's probably three in this moment that are most

24

compelling, most urgent, and I think you've heard them many times before, but I'm going to repeat them.

Staffing -- staffing capacity, legal expertise, and answers to the fiscal questions that have come up repeatedly.

Question five. Who is responsible for maximizing the chances that the mitigation mix that you put together are effective and successful? The short answer is: Everybody. Everybody at interest. There's a role for the Gaming Commission.

In that regard, one thing you're going to struggle with, because we have, and that is what are the surrounding communities? Where does that begin and end? That's a call that the Gaming Commission is going to have to make at some point in time. That's probably something where group thinking would be very helpful.

We struggle with it and we have, as Ed alluded to, 14 or 15 different communities, but I think we all would acknowledge that the impacts in the 14 or 15 municipalities are not going to be the same.

The host community has a role in terms of its negotiation. Impacted communities have a role in terms of what they see as a priority of mitigation measures.

24 | What are the top priorities?

The developer clearly has a role both in the early stage of negotiation but, likewise, to follow through on design because, again, those preventative measures are the ones that are the cheapest but get you the biggest positive bounce. The more they are built into the actual construction, the more the benefits for all.

Clearly, the developer, once they get a yea or nay from the Commission, will be in the driver's seat in that regard. For that matter, the public at large has a role in terms of having their questions brought forward and answered or bringing suggestions forward. We have certainly benefited from that over the last five years.

Question six. Ed already started, and I'm going to give you what I would suggest as success of the Gaming Commission in the context of the mitigation, and I would say right up front that some of these are repeats, and they are repeats purposefully because they are important and they need to be underscored yet again.

So, my list would go something like this:

Recognize, through your process and regulations, that the one-size-fits-all proposition is real and that a level of flexibility is built in so that the way that's responded to a site in western Mass. is not necessarily a mere copy of what might happen in the greater Boston area.

Continue, because you've already started, to pursue measures and to provide resources that level the playing field essentially between the developer and the communities, whether that be the host community or that be the surrounding communities. A level playing field, again, fits all, but you have to help in that regard, and I know you already have started down that road.

Self-serving, but use the regional planning agencies to advantage. Most of us were created a half century ago for exactly this purpose. We typically bring expertise in some of the key areas, transportation, environment, mitigation, et cetera, but also in the sense we are the region's kitchen table. We are the place where communities come together. That is our customer. That's who we work with all the time. I think to the extent that you can use the RPAs that is beneficial to the region and to your work as well.

Fourth. Look for ways to reward good behavior on the part of the casino developers. I think you've, again, suggested a couple of ways, even today, about that, but I would look for different ways in all of those time zones that the criteria that you include in your weighing the decision, the hard one as to which will be the project winner, is really important and that includes this

phase as well.

Ed has already said this but, again, I'll reinforce. Maximize the Gaming Commission as the hub of sustained communication between and among all the parties because, again, I think that's helpful. You've already done that, whether through your Web site, through your forums, through the fact you've taped the forums, so if you couldn't get to them, you could benefit. That's all important in terms of a maximum communication strategy.

Your Chairman just did it, so I'm going to repeat it. Champion the notion that the time to be engaged and to be proactive and to act is now. It's not next week. It's not next month. It's certainly not two years from now. The time is now because, from a mitigation point of view, early in means much more benefit.

I do want to join Ed in thanking the Gaming Commission because it's clear from this forum and previous ones that you have been listening, and I think that's given us a high level of comfort in the communities, particularly the ones that I've been working with over the last five years that have struggled with the mitigation issue and many others. Thanks.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: One point of clarification. You referred to the licensing phase, the

first of the three phases, as being over, you said, by the fall of '14. That was actually out. We said between the fall of '13 and fall of '14.

MR. BRENNAN: I said estimate. That was my best guess as what you meant, but the time table was also helpful in terms of framing, to some degree. It's not just a black space. Okay.

Our next panelist is Jeffrey Simon, who serves as the director of the Massachusetts Recovery and Investment Office. It's a key office established in the Commonwealth under direct supervision of the Governor that dealt with the over \$7 billion of stimulus money that came to the Commonwealth over the last several years.

Before he was -- returned to sort of public service, he has worked both in the public and private sector. I think the bedrock of his career has always been related to real estate development, whether it be in Massachusetts as the Director of Land Bank, as we once knew it, or working in off-shore locations re-planning former military bases. So, I think, again, his perspective to this discussion will be very helpful. Jeff.

MR. SIMON: Thank you very much, and thank you for inviting me here today. As Tim mentioned, I have a fair amount of experience in large-scale development

working on a lot of really great projects all over

Massachusetts, and I've had the opportunity to be both the

public partner and the private partner in some really great

public/private partnerships.

So, the perspective that I bring, like all of us, is tempered by our experience, but what I've learned is that understanding the perspective of both sides and trying to de-demonize the use of the term "sides," is really a key to success, particularly for communities.

The most on-point experience that I've had and I want to talk to you a little bit about today is that I was the director of the redevelopment of Fort Devens for four years.

When Fort Devens closed, it was in four communities, Ayer, Harvard, Shirley, and Lancaster. We had a little bit of a different issue; that when the United States Army created Fort Devens, they really weren't cognizant, in any way, of town boundaries. So, you had major buildings with town boundaries going right through the middle of them.

When we sat down and tried to look at the regulatory framework and how we would actually encourage development from both the state and the municipal point of view, it just didn't seem to make sense to have the

building inspector for Ayer to be responsible for one end of the building and the building inspector from Harvard be responsible for the other.

Situations like that really force you to take a different approach I think, you, quite frankly, take for granted. What you learn in large-scale development is that large-scale development is really, at its essence, about reconciling competing and opposing interests.

It is all about balance, what the economists call Perato optimality, which is simply doing the most positives -- getting the most positives with the least negatives. It's about balancing the developer's interest with the community's interests, the short-term considerations, long-term considerations, and economic gains with the social impact and potential changes to the quality of life.

I've done a lot of speaking on the impacts of large-scale development, and one of the experiences that I've had that I like to relate is a conversation that I've had a number of times. It's a very difficult conversation. A difficult conversation is talking about a 20-year development with a two-year mayor.

It takes the time frames and it butts them up against each other and I never fail -- you can always

tell the people who actually are in municipal government, like Jay Ash sitting in the front here, because when I say that, they all smile and shake their heads because they've had to deal with that. They've had to deal with those competing interests.

Regardless of the type of development, that issue is really, at its essence, what we all struggle with, particularly when you recognize that, in Massachusetts, the regulatory system is based on a whole lot of volunteer citizens, who meet every two weeks, once a month maybe, and it really doesn't contemplate -- it doesn't anticipate the kind of major development impacts that a large development of any kind -- and everything that I say today will be regardless of the type of development. I'm not speaking specifically to casino development. I'm talking about the impacts of large-scale developments. Those impacts, when I think about them -- I want to talk about dividing them up into four different kinds of quadrants.

One of the things that I try and do is try and keep up on what other people do in the field. There is a professor at the University of Illinois named Mary Edwards, who has kind of a framework that I would like to use.

The impacts end up divided up, for today,

- the community impacts, into four different, general
 categories -- two general and two specific.
- Fiscal, which is really dealing with community costs and revenues.
- Socioeconomic. Dealing with the
 demographics, some of the things people have mentioned
 before.
- Community image and aesthetics. Dealing

 with what I've heard so much, particularly on working with

 large-scale development. It is generally that elusive

 character of the community. When you do a lot of this kind

 of development, you get kind of a mental image of some guy

 running around with a T-shirt and, There goes the character

 in the community.
 - It really is what makes that community unique, what makes people want to live there who do live there. What is it you value, and what is it you want to preserve?

And then third, the environmental impacts.

Some of these are obvious. You talked about before. Air,
water, vegetation, wildlife. Sometimes the environmental
impacts, particularly in some of the work that I've done on
military base redevelopment, can be quite positive, quite
frankly. If you can have positive impacts on clean up or

1 environmental remediation worked into the process, that's a 2 real boom.

Lastly, and it's of particular interest

here. Traffic. Traffic always deserves kind of its own

section, its own consideration.

I'd like to just talk briefly about each one.

Fiscal analysis. So, this really looks at the impact on the government of the community, and Massachusetts, in particular, where we have some different kinds of forms of government, some of which are all volunteer without any real permanent or full-time staff — it really is important to look at the cost and the impacts on that government in terms of revenue and in terms of its ability to do that kind of costing.

There are two ways generally that the economists look at it. One is the average cost. So, you just kind of look at what your costs are to provide schools, fire, police, trash pick up, all of those kinds of issues. You figure out how many new people there will be and you just multiply. Very straightforward, but what that doesn't really take into consideration is the way that services grow and the way the communities grow.

That gets into the second approach, which is

called a margin-costing approach. It looks at the cost at 1 2 the margin of providing one more unit of whatever service 3 that is. That becomes particularly important to cities and 4 towns. If you think about how they staff up because development occurs -- development occurs, as Tim talked 5 6 about, at a fairly predictable kind of smooth rate. Yes. 7 There are different plateaus, but it's fairly predictable. It's reasonably well known. 8 Your staff, however, doesn't, particularly 10 in a town. So that what will happen is that you'll hire 11 that staff. They'll come in and start to deal with it, and you won't even think about going to the legislative body, 12 13 the selectmen or the alderman or the city council, until 14 that staff member is so overworked that he or she can't see 15 straight and then you're going to hire one more. 16 not going to hire two more. You're going to hire one more. 17 Then that same pattern is going to repeat itself. As the development picks up, then you'll have two 18 19 people, and two people are going to go nuts, and when they 20 are right at the point where they are ready to hang it up 21 and move to Vermont, you're going to hire one more, and 22 that's kind of how the pattern will go. 23 The difficulty in that is that that lumpy 24 nature of providing public services gets repeated all

throughout the different departments of town government,
and in projecting the fiscal impacts, it's important to
recognize that you can't have a real -- a real smooth kind
of progression.

So, generally, you start by looking at the population and the employment changes. You try to disaggregate the budgets into residential impacts and non-residential impacts. You look at both the revenues and the costs for each one of those two major kind of divides and then they come back together at the bottom, and you start to be able to project fiscal impacts on your city or town.

One of the major limitations of that impact analysis is that it doesn't really look at the interactions among those users. It just looks at kind of an additive effect, and there are interactions that take place. It also only looks at a single unit of government. It kind of puts blinders on as if the impact didn't kind of spill over in lots of different ways.

So, a couple of questions. What are the spending categories that major developers are going to impact in your city or town? Are there new services that are not existing at all today that will be necessary? Will it prompt changes in the way you deliver services?

I know -- I was on the school committee in my town for nine years, and when we looked at fiscal impact, we tried to anticipate wholesale changes to the way that those services would be provided and it's very difficult.

In addition to the staff, you need to look at the impact on things like police response times or fire response times and the location of those services and the cost.

Now, on socioeconomic-impact assessment, that really looks at the other side, which is the impact on community life. It looks at demographics. It looks at retail analysis. It looks at housing-market analysis. It looks at the demand for public services but not necessarily the costs of those services, and it looks at the changes in impact -- excuse me -- employment and income levels that might take place through the change of demographics in your community.

It tries to roll all that up into that aesthetic quality of the community that ends up with you looking at yourself and saying, What do we want this community to be? Not today. Not tomorrow but in 50 or 100 years.

It really deals with the hopes and dreams

and aspirations that all of us have in the communities that
we live in, and that's such an important part of the system
of American democracy. It looks at the density and
distribution of people within the town. It looks at the
mix between permanent workers and temporary workers and the

difference in demand that that makes.

It looks at the existing land use and the zoning plans to deal with those land uses and needs to be examined for the impact on the new development that is occurring and the impact of the new development that's occurring.

So, again, it's both sides of those same questions. It also looks at the impact on your retail areas. I did my work at Fort Devens. We saw dramatic changes to downtown Ayer, for example, when that base closed. 17,000 people went to work there every day.

When I came in, those 17,000 people were gone. Restaurants, dry cleaners, building suppliers, carpet sellers. All of those kinds of people -- all had significant impact on the retail side.

On public services, the mix that's appropriate today may not be the mix that's appropriate for tomorrow.

Third are the environmental impacts.

There's a whole industry built around dealing with environmental impacts and a lot of people who do a great job of this, but it generally looks at the size and the nature of development, the location of the development, the character of the environment that's being impacted, and then the spill-over effects of those environmental impacts, and it categorizes those into the subject areas of open space, air, and water on both sides. Water provision and water treatment or waste-water treatment.

So, there's a whole cycle that needs to be looked at, and today, one of the most important considerations ends up being the demand for power. Power is becoming such a commodity that is determining development and a determinant of development that its environmental impacts need to be included.

Fourth and last, deserving its own category, as I mentioned, is traffic. Tim talked about a lot of the issues of traffic that need to be looked at by a really solid traffic engineer. You need to look at the impacts across your community on primary roads, on secondary roads, on feeder roads, on tertiary roads, on driveways, quite frankly. You need to look at how those existing patterns will be influenced and where your failing intersections are going to be and what kind of a knock-on effect that is

1 going to have going down the line.

I know the intersection that is right in the middle of Ayer, for those of you who drive that area, had huge effects on the Town of Groton, but the Town of Groton wasn't really involved in the process of redevelopment, much like you have communities impacting the surrounding communities and then the surrounding surrounding communities -- I may not be using the terms right but I think you know where I'm going with that.

Probably those larger impacts need to be addressed on a regional basis, but the projections need to be realistic, and we have dealt in large-scale development with all kinds of projections on both sides, either completely minimizing the impact or just taking a worse case and way overstating it, but you need to remember that it's reasonably hard to change traffic issues once they've occurred. So, you really want to think them through. It's not impossible, but major, drastic changes are hard to do.

So, overall, I'd say evaluating both the positive impacts and the negative impacts of development is important. Focusing on significant impacts and this is a real discipline -- focusing on the significant impacts and not on those nominal impacts of development is a huge challenge, particularly because there will be someone in

your community who is only focused 100 percent on a very
small impact but that's what they care about, and that
impact -- that opinion deserves consideration but it can't
dictate the development.

- Looking at direct impacts and, as Tim said, cumulative impacts of each one of those decisions today and down the line will give you a better sense of where you are going overall, and looking at the long-term goals and trying to assess what they are for the community will be the guide.
- I think there's a great opportunity here to actually think this through up front and to negotiate with all the potential developers or operators beforehand. So, I give you a couple points in conclusion.
- First of all, people need to participate.

 It's been said before, but it bears repeating, that democracy is not a spectator sport. You need to participate.
- Second, realize the limitations. Recognize the limitations, particularly of your governments, and plan for them. Hire consultants. I've run a number of major development competitions, and the goal of the development competition is to get as many developers with as high-quality proposals knocking at everyone's door as

possible and realizing that you don't want to shut the door. You want to make it easy for your communities to deal with developers.

So, therefore, you need to organize for success. You need to plan for success. You can't put up the walls and barriers because that, frankly, won't serve your population very well. You can't, at the same time, pretend that major development is just like any other development and say, Okay. Planning board meets once a month. That's good enough for this development. They are just going to have to wait. Because that won't serve your community well.

The certainty of regulation fairly applied is what the development community really looks for. Notice I didn't talk about the amount of regulation or the intensity of regulation at all. It's just certainty of regulation.

If they do A, B, C, and D that you asked them to do, they know, at the end of that, that permit will be forthcoming, and they know that not only are they being asked to do A, B, C, and D but all developers are being asked to do that, and it's the same A, the same B, the same C, and the same D.

Make that developer a partner in the

community. It's in their interest, and it is in your interest. Think creatively and work regionally. Very few impacts recognize town boundaries.

So, I would say in conclusion, thinking through the approach, minimizing emotional impacts -- excuse me -- emotional responses and maximizing professional responses will really serve your community well.

Last. Just plan for the long term. Recognize that this is a 100-year decision that you are undertaking today and tomorrow. Treat it with the respect it deserves, and I think you will serve your communities very well. Thank you very much.

MR. BRENNAN: Thank you, Jeff. Very helpful. Last but not least, we are joined by Monica Lamboy. Monica is now associated with the Collins Center at UMass, but I think her role today is really talking about her most recent experience before that, which was working in Somerville, where they have a large project called Assembly Square, if I'm not mistaken, and also bringing a transit line to which Monica was trying, again, to align the transit system with some of the development particularly at the mills. Monica.

MS. LAMBOY: Thank you. Good afternoon. My name is Monica Lamboy, and I'm a senior associate at the

Edward J. Collins Center for Public Management at UMass

Boston. If you are not yet familiar with the Collins

Center, we were created by the state legislature about four years ago to offer technical assistance to cities and towns in the areas such as government, charter reform, executive improvement, organizational studies.

So, we are here to help, but what I'm here to do on this forum in mitigation is to talk about relationships. What specifically I'd like to talk about is the relationship between the community, the municipality, and the developer, and I allege that if those three groups can work together successfully, you can get through the mitigation process in a forthright manner that creates good outcomes and you can get through the construction and the operation phases, but care and attention needs to be paid to the relationship.

You could liken it to a three-legged stool where the community, the developer, and the municipality are all individual legs of that stool. So, they each need to be strong in and of themselves, but they have to come together as one to create a stable environment for the conversation and the work.

Another way to think about it is this is your next long-term relationship that you are embarking on

because the developer and operator will be here not only
through the construction process but hopefully for years to
come as they are operating in the community, and those
types of relationships need care and attention.

What I'd like to do is highlight a project I
had the good fortune to work on for four years and pull

had the good fortune to work on for four years and pull back and think of the some of the lessons I learned and saw in the process.

So, the Assembly Square project, Assembly Row, is in Somerville, and it's a few short miles away from downtown Boston. It will ultimately be three transit stops on the Orange Line from North Station. So, it's right (inaudible) in the way with little or no development.

This is just a little bit closer where you can see the Orange Line running north and south through the area and along the Mystic River, which is a beautiful waterway that doesn't get a lot of attention.

Zooming a little bit further, the entire urban renewal area is 145 acres in size. The development that is called Assembly Row is 56.2 of those acres. So, it's a very large project, but it's really a subset of what's going to become quite a large neighborhood.

The history is long. The project is long.

The history is long. The Ford car manufacturer opened an

```
assembly plant on this site in 1926. That then closed in
 1
 2
    1958 leaving a very large building, a large shell, and some
 3
    other buildings on the site. It was converted into a
 4
    shopping mall that failed in 1999, and then the question
 5
    is: What happens next?
                   In 2000, an Ikea store was permitted on the
 6
 7
    waterfront, meaning literally on the Mystic River, and
 8
    while there were some design elements that were different
    from the standard Ikea, the basic large box in a sea of
10
    surface parking was the basic design of the facility. This
    was adjacent to the mall area.
11
                   What happened then was a group of residents,
12
13
    called the Mystic River Task Force, came together to
14
    litigate against the permit, and here's what's interesting.
15
    They didn't just say, We don't want this.
16
                   They actually said, We want that.
17
                   What they said they wanted was a
    transit-oriented, pedestrian-oriented, mixed-use
18
19
    development with a large amount of commercial square
20
    footage to improve the tax base of the city and a
21
    waterfront that was open and welcoming to all.
22
                   So, they didn't just say, No. They said,
23
    Yes. We want this.
```

As a result of their clear picture of what

24

1 they wanted, a developer came forward and said, Yes. I can 2 offer that.

That's the Federal Realty Investment Trust that had done tremendous work in Bethesda Row, Rockville Town Square, in Maryland and Santana Row in California, and they marry the community's vision with their business model and said, We think we might have a partnership here.

So, after discussion, a settlement agreement was reached in 2007 letting the project start to go forward. What we have planned -- is planned was 1.75 million square feet of new office space, 852,000 square feet of retail, a 200-room hotel, and 2,100 residential units built on a grid pattern looking out on the water, accessed by a train station because people had an idea of a vision and others responded to that.

What does this mean in terms of numbers? \$1.36 billion in private and public investment on the site in infrastructure, open space, and amenities including a \$50 million new transit system, which is pictured on the top left two pictures, and a new five-acre open-space park on the waterfront. That's supposed to produce around 10,000 permanent and 10,000 construction jobs.

So, the pictures look pretty, but where are we today? It's actually under construction. They are

building the first three blocks and there's also a small retail building, and the work on the train station has started.

So, reflecting on where we are in our economy, how could such a large development launch at such a time? What were the pieces that helped make that successful, albeit over a long term, and why do they think it's an okay risk to do at this time period?

What I want to offer is a few key elements to success. First. Establish community or common vision. Second. For the municipalities, provide support but to maintain its objectivity. Everyone should know that there's going to be bumps in the road and to recognize that change is difficult. It's not fast.

So, in terms of establishing a vision, importantly, cultivating leadership at all levels is vitally important from the elected officials to the staff to the public agencies to the developers and the community at the table. The residents that are involved can speak to other residents in a way that no one else can. Business leaders can get involved, can talk to other businesses to understand their concerns, their questions, and can relay and also give information back.

That network of people who all come to the

table with different skill sets and different strengths can create a tremendous team that will help carry you through those challenging periods.

Acknowledge existing conditions. Kind of what Jeff was talking about. What about our community is really precious to us that we want to retain? Is it the design of the city center? Is it the certain aspect of our quality of life? What are the things that are not too successful right now? Do we have congestion, or are some areas that we dealt with unsuccessful? Is there infrastructure involved? Are there impacts from some transportation decisions? Have our neighborhoods been severed by roadways that haven't been successful? Are there public-health impacts of some of the aspects that we are dealing with?

Importantly, looking at the services that we offer today or we would really like to offer, do we have the revenue base to provide what the community needs, and is there an imbalance between the residential tax base and the commercial property tax base that could be remedied through thoughtful development? What does it mean if you have limited infrastructure, as part of our town is on sewer and on well? Do we have the capacity to offer other kinds of infrastructure if we move together forward?

While figuring out your vision, look at who has been successful. There's nothing wrong with stealing ideas of somebody who has been successful and faced the same challenges that you have. Of course, your community is special and different, but there may be something to be gleaned.

This is a project I talk about a lot, the Fruitvale Transit Village in Oakland, California, where a BART service lot separated the transit station from the neighborhood and the public -- the BART agency was about to build a parking structure completely in between the neighborhood and the train station. Here's an example, again, where the residents came together and said, We don't want that. What we want to do is connect to the train station.

After many years of work, there's a mixed-use project with a library and senior center and housing, and there's a direct connection from that neighborhood to that train station. They built the parking off to the side, which is always an option.

Promote a culture that's open to change.

Community participation is vitally important when you are talking about change, and there are so many tools and so many great ways to do it right now that there doesn't have

to be a forum where there's just one microphone and one person talking where everyone is sitting and listening.

There are electronic tools here. There's visual modeling where you can zoom in and feel what a site looks like. There's new ways of having conversations, like world cafes, where lots of conversations happen in the same room and they coalesce at the end so that everybody is participating and you're not -- the intensity when someone takes the microphone doesn't take place as much as having lots of small conversations.

Provide support but maintain objectivity is me really talking to my peers out there in the municipalities. We have to walk a fine tightrope between the community and the developer at times or maybe a balance beam might be a more apt allusion to use at this point in time.

As soon as it looks or it feels that you're leaning one way or leaning another way, people will grab onto that, and you will have lost trust. So, maintaining that objectivity throughout the process is important.

The technical team. Assemble your technical team. There needs to be information to the elected officials, to the members of the community. Who needs to be on your team? What are the technicians that you need to

1 have access to, and how do you get that information out so 2 that people can review it?

There were many times in my job where community people would read intense environmental documents that we were trying to read at the same time, and they would have picked up something we would have missed. Fortunately, we had them available, and people will do that if you give them access to the information.

Establish a working relationship early. How often are you going to meet? When are you going to meet? Who is going to be there? Write it down. What pieces of the project is the town going to be responsible for? What's the developer going to be responsible for? Who else is going to be doing things? Document, document. Create a work plan.

Maintain design control. I think it's important for the municipality to maintain design control.

One. It helps create the reflection of that community character that you wanted. Two. As things get underway and resources get tight, sometimes there's a desire to downgrade or modify a design because it's more affordable, but it's important for the community to maintain the design control so that they can at least have that conversation in front of the board, the planning board, or any public

1 forum.

On the other hand, I would say it's important for some level of discretion to be at the staff level so you don't lose time when tiny, minor changes have to be made in design because time lost means money.

You can really get some great work. On the slide here on the bottom right-hand side, that's actually a public-storage building that's in a high-profile location. We said we really want to have a really great, really rich design that you don't normally see in the storage facility.

Additionally, because of the design process, we were able to require them to build floor plates that could be converted to other uses in the future. So, the building is convertible to office if the market shifts at some point in time. So, it was only because they had to go through a permit process that we could have such a dialogue about what we really wanted it to look like.

Get into your words exactly what the materials are that are going to be out there, and then the people who go out to inspect can make sure that's what you got. Put in writing what you're going to get.

Know there's going to be bumps in the road. Everyone can hope for the best, but how often does that always happen, not to be discouraging, or if it did, how

many bumps would you have to get through to get there?

So, there's big things that happen. The national and regional economy, financing challenges, things that looked like they were going to come through that didn't. Regulatory agencies that come out of nowhere, that you didn't know how to roll in the project, that suddenly say, Here I am. Now you have to pay attention to me.

Market timing, and sometimes there's positive bumps. The error monies was a positive bump in the road that was not expected, and everybody had to come together to really take advantage of that opportunity, and people really did from the state to the local level to get money for the project.

The development agreement, in terms of challenges, is going to be an important tool to lay out specific deliverables, specific time lines, and what are the accountability measures if the time lines are missed, whether it's the time line that the municipality was supposed to undertake or something that the development team was going to undertake. What is the repercussion if that happens?

Consider what's going to trigger a major amendment versus minor amendment, things outside the agreement. Figure out what is plan B, what's plan C, maybe

what's plan D. I mean I hate to say this, but what is the worst-case scenario for the proposal that you're working with, and how do you address that if it happens? If it stops mid-construction, how does the municipality get that site active again? Do they have an opportunity to take eminent domain? Do they have a right of first refusal? What is that scenario that you never want to have happen and think of it now and try to plan for it in your written agreement.

Also, an important thing to think of is:
What is the do-not-cross line? When is that too big that
we have to say, despite all the years of work we put in, we
can't cross this line? It's very easy to sort of
incrementally increase the public commitment to the project
and then, at the end of the day, sit back down and realize,
Wow. This is a totally different package than we started
with. So, consider a line that is a do-not-cross line.

Recognize that change is difficult. If we think in our own lives, when your spouse asks you to stop putting that wet towel on the bed, how hard is it to change our behavior? We are actually talking about a large development, which is going to change people's lives. We can mitigate and it's great and it's helpful, but there is change, and the default is to do nothing. The community

- knows what the status quo is. I know what life is like today. I may not be totally excited about it. It may not be perfect but I know it. I've touched it. I can feel it. I know what it is.
- Now we are trying to introduce something

 completely new. So, they have to feel comfortable enough

 to know that that new thing is something they want because

 the knee-jerk reaction is to say, No. I'm safe today.

 What you're offering me is too much change and too much

 chaos, and I don't know what it really means.
- So, the default is to do nothing, and that's probably not good for anybody.

- In closing, the real message is to communicate, communicate. There are so many ways to communicate right now that there really shouldn't be a situation where somebody comes to the table and says, I'm opposing this because you didn't tell me.
- You never want to fight the fight, You didn't tell me; therefore, I don't want it.
- Because you can't win that argument and you have to go back to the beginning and go through that same conversation you had with everybody else with that person in order to bring them to where you are. So, think about the communication plan and think about how to keep this

```
relationship strong throughout the process. Thank you.
 1
 2
                   MR. BRENNAN:
                                 So, I guess this is the place
 3
    for any other questions that the Commission may have before
 4
    we go to break.
 5
                   COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: I had a question.
 6
    Ed Harrison alluded to the fact that, as you considered
 7
    budgetary issues, how did education pop up or did it?
 8
                   MR. HARRISON:
                                    I'm sorry?
                   COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Did you ever have a
 9
10
    question about school funding or --
11
                   MR. HARRISON: That's one of the 23 items on
    our list of concerns that we initially developed.
12
13
    education and, especially on an ongoing basis, if there's
14
    English-language-learning requirements that come along
15
    where we haven't anticipated where we have to hire more
    teachers with different skills, but schools are definitely
16
17
    part of that.
                   I know there are towns in our group who say,
18
19
    If we have one more student that comes into the town, they
20
    are going to have to build a new school.
                   I mean that's a little bit of poor planning
21
22
    and foresight of what's going to happen in the future
23
    because most towns are growing at some rate.
                   MR. BRENNAN: If I could add a P.S. to that.
24
```

This mitigation measure can be effective there, too.

That's hiring from within the region and the community in

which the casino is going to happen. Again, when we looked

at Connecticut, it appeared that the workforce essentially

came in from out of state, pushed the population up, and

then led to these other needs.

So, to the extent -- and that's a separate battle -- that you're able to employ folks that are here in the Valley, I think that's a mitigation strategy, actually, on the fiscal side.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: My second question would be to Jeff and Monica. You gave a lot of great advice and recommendations as to how a community can prepare to negotiate a host agreement, potentially prepare for all of the impacts, as well as what could be called the behavioral attitude they bring to the discussion, the objectivity but obviously interest in seeing the project go forward.

Turn your hat around and think of yourself as a developer. What recommendations do you have for the developer to also have a positive effect on the process?

MR. SIMON: As a developer, I would much rather deal with a competent, experienced person on the other side of the table, who has the opposite opinion of

mine, than I would to deal with someone who just doesn't
have the experience or understanding of the terms of the
discussion. That's hard.

I don't know that people with those kinds of skills exist in all the communities that are going to be impacted. So, I think that hiring people to advise you on your side to help you negotiate, who speak that language, who understand the constraints financing puts on any development, and who understand some of the development process details would really stand you in good stead. I'm not sure how else you do it if you don't have people who really have that experience.

I just agree with Monica's point that we need to know where the line is and get right up to it and not go over it.

MS. LAMBOY: I would add to that. I would think, for a developer, money well spent is money given for technical assistance to help the communities because if the hard questions don't get asked and answered, you are not going to be able to create the compelling package that says, Yes. We should go forward with this.

If people feel that there's been a stone that hasn't been turned over, they're going to ask for it. So, being able to staff up the communities or get them

1 | access to consultants that they need is vitally important.

2 COMMISSIONER McHUGH: I have a question.

I'm not sure if you can answer it today, but at least I can throw it out for future thinking.

The final application, the Phase 2 application, has 19 or 20 statutory criteria that have to be considered. We can add additional ones, but there are 19 or 20 of them. Two of those focus on regional impact, and the application has to be accompanied by studies showing the regional impact.

From the outset, I've been concerned about how to evaluate those studies. What does the Commission do when it looks at those studies and particularly studies in the competitive environment where you have two to three or four, or however many it is, proposals, each of which has an assessment of its regional impact and they probably will not be contrary?

So, I would welcome some assistance from the regional authorities, regional groups, statutory groups on the creation of a mechanism for evaluating -- help us evaluate the accuracy and liability and merit of those various studies. Now, it seems to me to be the time to get thinking about that and planning for it and coming up with proposals because it seems to be, too, that the indigenous

perspective -- neutral indigenous perspective or, at least,
the neutral perspective would be the most helpful.

MR. BRENNAN: I think the best answer I could find is that all of these planning agencies, including my own, which has responsibility for 43 cities and towns, review every single MEPA that comes into the office. Every project that's subject to the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act comes in to this kind of scrutiny.

We, oftentimes, are the surrogate staff for the communities in which this particular project is going to be, and we feel our job is to do a good job in teasing out whether the mitigation measures are appropriate. Ones that are positive, celebrate them. Those that are missing, falter. We don't actually get the final decision. We get to recommend, but that's a role we played for decades.

MR. SIMON: If I could just add to that and underscore. There was a lot of talk in the various people's comments about making the regional planning commissions partner of the municipality, but they also should be the partner of the Commission, just as the Commission needs to understand those regional impacts and doesn't necessarily have the ability, as you said, to look at all the different reports on the same project and know which ones are really standing the test of time; and then

1 the second thing is I think what you can do is look at two 2 things.

One is the methodology that's used and the second is the source of information, and if the methodology is correct and the information sources are the right sources, then the result of that should stand scrutiny.

MR. BRENNAN: Just one other P.S. that hasn't come up, to my recollection. Another part of mitigation that often comes up in these MEPA reviews is the proponent says, This is what's going to happen with traffic and they've done a good job, but we are not sure they are on the money.

What we will say is, Monitor. See what actually happens in a year or two or three. Put that into the permit.

Then if they are right, hands off, but if the mitigation has sort of been understated, bring in more after the fact, which seems a fair way to try to deal with situations that are not absolutely black and white.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: That was something I was just thinking about, Tim. The host and surrounding communities ought to put those kind of clauses in their agreements as well because you're not going to be able to know for sure what's happening, but if part of your

agreement is you'll be open for discussion in three years,
two years, whatever, then you're set for it, but if you
look at it in one snapshot in time -- I think it's a good
didea. The host and surrounding communities ought to be

aware of that as well.

I had one question. We talked about this at our other mitigation board in Framingham. Ultimately, we discussed the mission we will have before us to determine what will be considered, quote, a surrounding community and what will not, and of course, we haven't given any thought to it yet, but we are going to need some kind of mechanism by which we determine what is the surrounding community, other than just our own ad hoc whimsy. I can sort of imagine there must be some kind of community that has a material adverse effect and what's that?

Probably in the planning world, in the regional-planning world, there are ways to measure this that would be helpful to us, to come up with a metric, a template, format, a value system, something that would help us when the time comes to determine what is a surrounding community.

You can speak to it now if you want to, but

I would just ask you all and everyone else who listens that
this is a scenario we are going to need help with as time

moves forward.

1

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

2 MR. BRENNAN: I'm happy to hear that because I think this is one of those times when the wisdom of the 3 4 crowd comes to bear. There are materials out there. 5 looked at some from other states, from other regions, but 6 as I say, the difference here is that this process in 7 Massachusetts is quite different from other places. I 8 think you can cherry pick some ideas out but there's going to have to be some judgment calls, but it's a hard 10 decision, and we'd be happy to help you any way we can. 11 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Thank you, all. will take a quick break, and we'll set up for the next 12 13 panel. Thank you very much.

(A recess was taken.)

MS. WALL: Good afternoon. We are going to start up the next panel discussion now. My name is Betsy Wall. I'm the Executive Director of the Massachusetts Office of Travel and Tourism. That's the state agency that's charged with marketing Massachusetts as a leisure destination.

I'll tell you very quickly why state government cares so strongly about tourism in the Commonwealth. Every year, there are approximately 20 million visitors to Massachusetts. Of them, about 2

- million comes from international markets. That makes

 Massachusetts the sixth largest destination among the US
 states.

 Those visitors, when they're here, spend
- Those visitors, when they're here, spend

 about \$16 billion in our communities across the

 Commonwealth. The money they spend supports about 124,000

 jobs across the Commonwealth.
- 8 So, the stakes are very high for the tourism 9 industry when there's any change on the horizon, and 10 obviously, this is potentially a very large change. The 11 tourism industry brings a tremendous number of assets to the visitor industry, to the life in Massachusetts, and I 12 13 think they are very interested in knowing that if the new 14 gaming should come to Massachusetts, and when it comes to 15 Massachusetts, it will be an asset that enhances existing tourism businesses. 16
- So, I'd like to introduce the panelists for
 their perspective. Right to my left is Scott Madden, who
 is a senior partner at Connelly Partners, which is a
 Boston-based advertising agency, which represents the Mass.
 Office of Travel and Tourism and manages our
 domestic-marketing programs.
- Next to him is Peter Rosskothen. He's well known in this region as a business leader in the

```
hospitality industry and is, in fact, a leader in the
 1
 2
    Commonwealth tourism industry and is the current Chairman
    of the Convention and Visitors Bureau here in Springfield,
 3
 4
    and our guest from Indiana is -- we are going to hear from
 5
    him first.
                   This is Speros -- I'm going to screw this
 6
 7
    up. I did fine without the microphone. Speros Batistatos.
 8
    He's the President and CEO of the South Shore Convention
    and Visitors Authority in Indiana, which is his area of the
    state along the coast of Lake Michigan. He has significant
10
11
    experience in that region of the country and also in
    Atlantic City. So, we will hear from him first about what
12
13
    he has seen and participated in.
14
                   MR. BATISTATOS: Good afternoon, everyone.
15
    Let's try that again. Good afternoon, everyone. Much
16
    better. It's my pleasure to be here. As introduced, my
17
    name is Speros Batistatos. I'm the President of the South
    Shore Convention and Visitors Authority.
18
19
                   Members of the Commission; Senators; members
20
    of the Massachusetts House, local, elected officials;
21
    business leaders; and hospitality-industry leaders, it is a
22
    great pleasure for me to join you today in this process.
23
                   Having been through gaming, I was one of the
24
    people who -- in 1989, we started the legalization of
```

gaming in Indiana for the express purpose of creating jobs and economic benefits for Gary, Indiana.

Gary is my home town. It's where we reside today. We passed -- there were six of us lobbyists, who basically camped out at the State House in Indiana for all of 1993. Over a gubernatorial veto in special session, the Indiana House and Senate passed House bill -- and there's no -- it was number 1107.

So, with that, I am really, really pleased to be here and to be able to serve the Commission of Mass. or answer any questions they might ask.

I was struck by someone's comments earlier about your need to amass someone with expertise, and I did a little research about John Spence, who recently authored Awesomely Simple, and he talks about expertise requiring the four Ps, passion, persistence, practice, and pattern recognition.

I was especially struck during a portion of the discussion on practice where it says that in order to be an expert, you need seven to ten years or more than 100,000 hours to do any one thing to become expert at it.

That struck me that as outstanding as this Gaming Commission is, you don't have seven to ten years. So, all of us in this room and all the expertise that we

```
have to share are here that we can more rapidly get you to
 1
 2
    that level that you need to make all these critical
    decisions that the industry and the state and the residents
 3
    so desperately are waiting for. I think it was actually
 4
 5
    Mr. Brennan who said, Allow us to serve as your experts.
 6
                   And I am very pleased to be able to do so.
 7
    I brought a very brief four-minute video that I wanted to
 8
    share with you because I've been doing gaming for 21 years.
    I started my convention visitors bureau, which at the time
10
    was, in -- 1993 when I started -- I'm sorry -- 1989 was the
    Lake County Convention and Visitors Bureau. We had a
11
    budget of $280,000 and a staff of two.
12
13
                   Today, in 2012, we are a
14
    four-and-a-half-million-dollar agency, a full-time staff of
15
    19, and we have done that, in large part, by a partnership
16
    in gaming and by very, very judiciously managing the
17
    revenue that it brought us, but beyond that, gaming is just
    a step on the way of what we want to do as a destination.
18
19
    That's why, after some lengthy conversation, I thought if
20
    you would indulge me for about four minutes, you can get a
21
    sense of, A, where we are located and what we do, and, B,
22
    how gaming fits into the greater kind of destination
23
    management that we are trying to do as an entity.
24
                   So, if I could have whoever is going to do
```

that, cue up the video for me, that would be great. I want
to warn you that the talent in this video is miserable.
It's all we could afford.

2.4

(PowerPoint Presentation.)

Hey, guys. Why don't you take a break.

The industry has been careful in this economy for decades. I'm here to tell you a story about a community that's been built by steel and changed by tourism.

Our journey begins in 1983 with an economy almost as bad as it is today. A group of business people approached the Indiana General Assembly, and born out of necessity and means of better economic times was the Lake County Convention and Visitors Bureau, the thinking very simple, a place for hotels, have it paid for by visitors, and use that money to market the community and secure conventions or import goods, even for a short period of time. My how things have changed.

So, with a \$208,000 budget, we went to market. We added hotels, restaurants, attractions, and retail along the way, but we learned very quickly the Convention Bureau needed to do something that no one hotel could do by itself. That was going for a bigger piece of the business.

So, we started in 1986 with the men's state bowling tournament. We found out very quickly in 1991 by taking a hoosier past time, men's basketball, and turning it into the very first special event we had, bringing in thousands of people from throughout the midwest.

Our emphasis in premium facilities has never changed. We placed a very big emphasis on meeting the Indiana General Assembly in 1993. Gaming was legalized, and we knew our product was going to work. New work in Gary, Indiana; Chicago created tens of thousands jobs and hundreds of millions of dollars in investment.

This brought us new visitors and helped the community expand our attraction base, but we needed a home. How do we tell people about our multi-ethnicity, the deep industrial background, who are we as people?

So, we built the Indiana Welcome Center, which took a lot of work and became reality. We told the story of who we are and what we do, and more importantly, we added a very good, simple regional headquarters to our industry.

Our growth continues. Today, we are an \$840-billion industry, more than 45,000 jobs. We have great attractions, great meeting space, and we are one of the fastest growing destinations in the midwest as well as

one of the most technologically advanced convention bureaus, but we needed to change our name. Why not the Lake County name when people recognize the South Shore and the uncommon brand that it brings with it? Large businesses and small businesses all invested in making the South Shore brand widely recognized. It's embraced throughout the region and brings us economic benefit everywhere. We also do something our competition don't. We continue to make our investment in amateur sports pay off.

In 2007, we brought in the largest piece of business ever, the National Softball Association's world series. 10,000 athletes, coaches, and families came from all over the country and descended on northwest Indiana. We also took over the air show and kept a great (inaudible) in the midwest, but the real sell, the real sell, begins right here at our hotels.

We wanted to purposefully bring people from all over the midwest, and when we do, our staff makes them feel welcome, tells them the stories they need to know, where they can go spend money, have great ethnic food, do all the things that make our region excellent.

We showed you our conviction today, and it's a partnership with sports and technology. We listen to our

customers because they tell us they don't see county lines
and neither do we. If we were to add a convention center
to the mix, I can guarantee we are going to have a
billion-dollar industry.

As we continue to penetrate Chicago and Indianapolis, our biggest markets, we are confident that profits are going to grow and more jobs will be created, and we are very proud of our people, our heritage, and our industries.

While we have been built by steel, we are going to continue to grow our product by diversifying the economy, and we are confident that we are going to be a great place to work and live and play all along the South Shore.

15 (PowerPoint Presentation Ends.)

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: How many takes did that

17 take?

MR. BATISTATOS: Mr. Chairman, that was nine takes. We lost the sunlight, so we actually had to tilt it around and go at it backward. There's a much longer story that I'll tell you at another time. That is our branding position, built by steel, changed by tourism. Travel does matter. There are some quick statistics. You can see that video is a little bit old.

We are the second largest industry in our 1 2 corner of the state. We talk about the tax savings that we 3 generate per household from having the hospitality industry 4 here, something we found resonates with people because if 5 you didn't have hotels, restaurants, banquet facilities, 6 casinos, all of those things, our property taxes, in order to sustain the level of service we have, would be a 7 8 thousand dollars higher for every household we have. We are now a \$1.62 billion industry. 9 10 Visitors spend about 1.37 -- that number should be billion, 11 not million. Combined with Porter and LaPorte, our two next-door neighbors, we have a visitors industry as large 12 13 as Indianapolis. 14 So, I want to kind of put it in perspective 15 for you what our product looks like, who we are, where we 16 are located just outside of Chicago. There's the map. You 17 can see the competitive steps for gaming in the great Chicago market. 18 The four licenses that are in my service 19 territory are A, B, C, and D. You can see them right there 20 21 on the southern tip of Lake Michigan. That's Horseshoe, 22 Ameristar. The Majestic 1 and 2 is C, and then Bluechip

Just to the far right of your screen, you

23

24

Casino in Michigan City.

see an Indian gaming reservation in Michigan. The day they opened, took 30 percent from Bluechip, first day, and they stayed in that role for a very, very long time. You can see the suburban markets, Joliet, and some of the other ones, but the only one that is inaccurate -- and it's funny what a casino name does to a community. The letter to the left, H, is a nightclub in Chicago called Casino Nights, and we couldn't get it off Google maps. So, there is no casino in downtown Chicago.

That gives you a sense of what we have in our community and the size of it. To give you a greater sense of the level of play, there you can see the number of tables and slots that we have for the four. Again, there are ten licenses in Indiana. Four of them are our service territory.

From January 1st to June 30th, those four casinos had a take of \$571 billion. In 2011, gross for the state of Indiana was 2.774 billion with 42 percent of that coming out of the northwest corner of our state near Chicago. So, gaming obviously is a very, very important part of what we do and the taxes that we pay to the state of Indiana to the cities and towns that do this.

So, a couple of things I want to point out to the people that are in this room. The Indiana Gaming

Commission process didn't look anything like this. My
congratulations to you. I truly think you got it right.

We did not have an opportunity to, as a convention bureau
or hospitality industry or average resident, to go through
this kind of comprehensive regional look at what's going to

happen.

The Gaming Commission was formed and rapidly moved ahead. It allowed the cities to cut deals with their preferred operator, and it really took a lot of this kind of process and left us now -- I'm a 20-year veteran of gaming. Left me with a product that if I could go back to the beginning and have this be the room, I guarantee you the product we have today would look a lot different than what we are stuck with, and one of the things that we made a mistake on, and I'll touch on that later, is we failed to cluster our licenses.

We got into an individual thinking
mentality. Gary has got to have its licenses. Gary has
got to have its license, and Chicago, Hammond, Michigan
City and you can see -- go back to had we clustered all
four of those licenses in our -- and created one
megacomplex, and again, that's not the Massachusetts model,
but I'm talking about what we could have done better. We
would have had a destination. We would have had four huge

casinos, two or three hotels, an entertainment district. 1 Something that had its own life outside the casino, which 2 had rights -- we did didn't do that. 3 So, we have those four items of 4 5 entertainment that are completely separate from anything 6 else that's going on in the community. So, that's one 7 thing that we didn't do very well. We had asked ourselves, 8 What are we going to do with gaming? We gave a large portion of money directly to 10 the Convention and Visitors Bureau. We knew that if we 11 didn't do something meaningful and very impactful that we would be a target for, Those guys don't need all that 12 money. 13 14 So, our strategic plan was based on building 15 what you see there as quietly recognized in the industry. 16 It was a \$10 million project. It was 26,000 square foot. 17 In architectural language, from the far right of your screen, the stainless steel waves of Lake Michigan crashing 18 into the sand dunes, which is Little Dune Wall. 19

We give a visual symbol for who we are as a community and what we stand for and that put us in debt, and that allowed us to use the money wisely, which was a

come to the smoke stacks of industry, and on the south, on

the left, the muddy waters of industry and agriculture.

20

21

22

23

24

game changer and I'm going to talk about that a little bit later.

What is your plan for capital investment and game changers? A casino on its own is going to bring you a lot of visitors. It is not going to change your hospitality industry per se. I say that again. The small business people in this room, the entrepreneurs, if you think -- I had the great fortune last night of having a wonderful dinner at Theodore's, and I went to Student Prince, sat there, and had a cocktail myself.

If the owners of those two businesses think that a casino is going to open up somewhere in western Mass. and the people are just going to beat down their doors, it's not going to happen. You, as the owner; you, as an industry; you, as a CVB; you, as a chamber have to be very aggressive about working on that partnership with whoever the eventual operator is, creating -- is the loyalty card for the casino going to be recognized and rewarded at every retail shop in your downtown? Are we going to have cross promotions on the Web? Are we going to share databases? What are we going to do?

Because left alone and I go back to -- I think it was Mr. Brennan's comment. No. It was the other gentleman. Forgive me for -- Mr. Simon. He talked about

the clash. He talked about the inherent conflict and trying not to make that emotional but it exists.

The casino is a completely different 3 4 business than we are most of the time. We need to 5 recognize that and embrace it and understand it as a 6 different industry to be successful. I would urge, unlike 7 what I've seen in some destinations and I'll let the 8 Commission ask questions -- do not use your revenue for general fund purposes. I understand governments are 10 running lean right now. We don't have as many cops. 11 don't have as many lawyers. We don't have as many 12 whatever, private service, but if you use your casino to 13 balance communities' budgets, you'll be making the greatest 14 mistake you ever made because that money eventually is 15 going to decline, if not go away.

I never thought I'd see the day that we have bankruptcy in an Indiana casino but we do. Had that city planned on using that money to balance its general fund, where would it be? We always talked about having the well-communicated plan that outlives both the casino management and administrations.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

The joke about I got a 20-year plan and a two-year mayor -- well, I also have a 20-year plan with a four-year casino general manager, and I'm spoiled by having

the Horseshoe, the closest one to Chicago, the highest grossing, thus the most community-impactive casino -- the original general manager was there for 12 years. He knew everyone, knew how we got there, knew what we went through to pass the bill, understood who the senator was, knew it all.

The new guy? He's one more step removed from that. He doesn't know who the players are, and guess what? He doesn't necessarily care. This is now like a military post. One guy gets promoted. A new guy comes in. He's further removed from the deal.

So, if you don't have a very clearly articulated community plan that is indifferent to politics and tied -- I think the CVB here has done an outstanding job. Mary Kay and her board chairman -- you guys -- if we could have had part of this process, we would have had been well ahead but tied to renewal, tied to certain things so that they can't just change course when the general manager changes or when economic times change; that there is a greater plan in play for the community. I summed it up by saying some operators have forgotten how they got there.

You guys right now are the belles of the ball. They are loving you. They want to take you on a date. They want to buy you dinner. They want to have fun,

you know, hold your hand like it's a date. Guess what?

Ten years from now, they are not going to know who you are,

and they really are not going to care. Their business is

there and they are established.

Again, that's not meant to be negative.

They are just -- the longer it goes, the further removed they get from knowing what we went through to do this or understand it. That deal was cut with those two cops on the street -- it's part -- they are just going to start picking apart the spreadsheet, and that's something I would ask you to keep an eye out for.

Community partnership cannot exist without recognition, the dynamic tension of each partner, and their role. Casinos want to bring people into their casino.

Keep them at the table or the slot machine. If they want something to eat, you want to complement their buffet.

They want to come back and play some more. If they are really good players, they want to spend the night in their hotel. See if they can come back and play some more.

Their business model is to keep them in the casino. That's their business. We shouldn't be surprised by this. We shouldn't -- I mean if any of us think that they are going to sit there and go, Gee. You know what? What can I do to get people into Peter's restaurants, that

thought doesn't come to them, and part of the reason why
that thought doesn't occur to them is because they are
simply focused on time on machine and table play.

Those are technical terms that they study, and the way casinos can slice and dice information is -- Big Brother would be jealous. These guys can tell you stuff that are absolutely frightening. Part of the other attitude is that they are the heaviest regulated and most taxed industry that exists.

About 46 percent of every dollar collected in an Indiana casino goes back to taxes, whether it's property tax, payroll tax, win tax, commission tax, income tax. You name it, but if your business were being taxed 46 percent on the dollar, you're going to be real careful about what else you're getting involved in that you don't have to do. So, I think that it's incumbent on us to realize how much we ask of that business and how much taxes they pay at all levels of government.

The magnitude of the player data is mind boggling. They can tell you from the time I parked my car at valet -- and would you like to know this as a restaurateur? The time I parked my car to the time I get in. When the first slot machine is pulled or the dice thrown at the table the first time. They can tell me what

I drink. They can tell me how fast the waitress can give me my drink, and if I have a spouse in the house who is a 3 slot player and I'm a tables player, I might be getting two I'm getting two different promotional pieces -- not like. sent directly to me because they know what I do. computer tracking and information they have is staggering. So, their ability to do mass customization to their markets and keep those players loyal is a very important part of what they do.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Part of the things that I wanted to talk about a little bit with -- a couple of things we talked earlier about was defining -- somebody defined terms. think Mr. Brennan or Mr. Simon, but we talked about -- I hear about destination resorts.

In my business, that has a very specific meaning. A destination is something that is very purposefully built to drive you to that destination. A resort -- think about that. Any resort we have gone to, if it's in Jamaica or Mexico or one of the great American old-fashioned resorts, you go there, park the car, and you stay. You have horseback riding and tennis and spas and great dinner. All of those things. Those two words together, to me, send a very strong signal of you're putting these people here and they are going to be there.

So, maybe the legislative/non-legislative intent with the use of those two terms in the industry means something different than what I think you guys do with that. Just as a side note.

Can we theme -- this is -- Massachusetts is where basketball got invented. I passed the museum on my way in. Can we talk to the operator and have part of -- I guarantee they've have got archives and things not on display -- through the new restaurant called Hoops that's going to be in the casino, and in that casino, they'll tell people about basketball and urge them to visit.

Can we do some cross theming of player -- we talked about the business community being ready to open their doors and give special discounts and offers. Are we linked with them on the Web? Are we cross promoting with them on the Web?

One of the things I urge you to consider is that a casino room is not a hotel room. That was Atlantic City's biggest problem when I was there. One of the very, very early things that I got called to task on was I had this big, beautiful, half-billion-dollar convention center in Atlantic City. You know, it's gorgeous and it sat empty, and my board put their collective foot in my kiester and said, We've got to book more city money.

Okay. So, I ran out and started talking to my sales staff and said, Find out what the city wants.

Again, come to find out, unlike the Massachusetts model, the New Jersey model has more authorities involved than you can shake a stick at, but each developer had to fashion an agreement with the casino redevelopment authority, and the casino redevelopment authority wasn't in tune with the needs of the community.

So, the hotels that got built weren't hotel inventory for me. They are promotional items for the casino. I had a city of 14,000 hotel rooms that ran 94 percent of the time, and I couldn't book a city-wide convention because why would Ballys give me 400 rooms and Trump Taj Mahal give me 400 rooms and everybody else give me 400 rooms, and maybe I didn't sell them? Maybe I didn't get the convention.

They need those rooms for their real players who are coming in from New York and Philly and those places. They don't care about the convention center. So, there was an inherent disconnection between the various facets of government and the various agencies that are trying to do work to try to get aligned in the same city.

So, when you're talking with your developers about how do we build 400 rooms, your question needs to be

1 to them: Is that 400 rooms for your casino-marketing 2 department?

Yes. It is.

Okay. In that case, our conventioneer is telling us -- the convention center is telling us we need another 200 rooms to really kick start the convention center and book more citywides. I want to talk to you about these other 200 rooms, and are you -- will you be willing to make them committable all the time so that we can sell the city?

Because a casino development is not part of my inventory for what I sell when softball comes to town or when the air show comes to town or whatever else comes in. They are filled with players for the casinos. Again, that's the casino's job. We shouldn't be surprised by this, but if we want to meaningfully impact inventory, in our minds, we have to differentiate committable rooms from those that they can occupy.

We do a little thing in northwest Indiana called soup to nuts where we focus on all the things that are grown in our area. If Massachusetts is anything like New Jersey, there's a lot of great produce. There's a lot of great things made here. What are we involving in the casino? Will the casino have the world cheeses, the milks,

the produce, the things that are seasonal here to get people aware of what goes on in Massachusetts?

Somebody told me there's Yankee Candle out here somewhere. I don't know what you do with Yankee Candle, but I'm just thinking out loud. How do we bring that kind of activity into the casino in a partnership manner so that my experience may start in that item of the casino, and I may go there for two nights, never come in to downtown Springfield, but at least when I leave, I've seen a message on the TV. There's a visitors' guide. There's something that says, Hey. The next time we go, we need to take an extra hour to stop by that Yankee Candle original store. We need to go downtown and hit this blues bar.

So, that is an entry into the community because -- we still struggle with it, and I've been having these discussions since 1995. Every day we talk about, with my staff, how do we work visiting folks into our communities, and that problem is never going to go away as long as we are here.

I would really like to keep this brief or as brief as I can because there's many more great speakers to come in. I'll answer questions the Commission may have.

In my conversations earlier and for the next panel that comes up, I would be remiss if I did not tell

```
you a little bit about employee retention and employee

pilfering by casinos. It was so obvious to me that I was

stunned when I didn't think of it. The number one industry

tapped by casinos -- I was thinking about waiters,

waitresses, cooks, chefs, you know, people that command

concerns of the small-business managers.
```

These guys are going to come in and they are going to pay more money and they are going to take my people.

Yeah. They are. So, start now. Give them some love, up their pay a little bit. Sit down and calculate how much money you've got invested in every person in your restaurant, bar, tavern, hotel, front desk and put monetary value to that and then realize two things are going to happen.

If you lose that person, you lose all of the training, experience, expertise. Everything that they've been giving your business walks out the door. Secondly, you're going to get an inferior person that you're going to have to train doubly as hard, that's going to put your customer at risk, who maybe doesn't understand your core values. You're going to get somebody who is unemployed or way under-employed, and now they are your front line. That's the person I see when I'm checking into the

Marriott.

So, it's a double whammy for the business pool, but the one I completely missed -- and I walked into the boardroom for a business economic-development group meeting one day, and I got basically stoned by this industry. They were ready to string me up. Bankers, and it was so obvious I don't know how I missed it, but bank tellers, people who handle cash, people who are in the money industry are going to be a highly-sought-after commodity by casinos.

When I looked at them, I thought, I never even thought of it.

Because I was focused on my industry and what goes on there. So, yes. Employers out in the market, you're going to have people come after your employees. So, the good position -- you've got time to really focus in and point out why it's good to stay in your business. Give them a little love. Give them some things that will make them not want to leave you because they will leave you, and when they do, all their experience and all the money you poured into them will walk out the door with them.

Finally, I think my last couple of comments will be -- were on the news on the way here. I was getting dressed, and Gamesys and Facebook announced they will be

```
doing legalized bingo for money in the UK. So, if you
 1
 2
    think gaming is stopping at how we know it today, think
    about a world where your Facebook account -- at some point
 3
    in the future, you can gamble on line in this country.
 4
                   Gambling knows no boundary. It's like
 5
 6
    water.
            It will seep everywhere it can get. So, what we
 7
    are talking about today, in ten years from now -- we
 8
    haven't even thought about how the gambling industry will
    evolve and even undercut its capital assets in ways that
10
    weren't thought of, and that takes me to mistakes and the
11
    long, unintended consequences in the area.
                   I can tell you -- in my home town, did we
12
    put 10,000, 12,000 people to work? Absolutely we did.
13
14
    Were most of them from Gary? Absolutely they were. Where
15
    do they live now? Not Gary. It was a leg up, a step up.
16
    They got out as fast as they could because Gary didn't plan
17
    because the mayor at the time had a horrible plan -- didn't
18
    have a plan.
19
                   So, all these people that had been through
20
    the worst times of the city and should be those beacons of
21
    change that are going to stay and make the city better, out
22
    to the suburbs they went because we didn't have plans in
23
    place for re-gentrification of the neighborhoods.
```

didn't have plans in place for traffic, business. We

24

- 1 | didn't have plans in place for even the schools. We didn't
- 2 do the things to follow up what casinos were going to do
- 3 for us. They took their paycheck and their family and
- 4 left.
- 5 With that, I'll answer any question you have
- 6 but very, very brief. Again, not because I'm in the
- 7 | business of -- great CVB. Mary Kay opened my eyes to some
- 8 | of the great work she's been doing in RFP. You're very
- 9 fortunate to have some capable leadership on the local
- 10 | level. I'll answer any questions that the Commission might
- 11 have.
- 12 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Thank you.
- COMMISSIONER CAMERON: When were you in
- 14 | Atlantic City?
- MR. BATISTATOS: I'm sorry?
- 16 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: When you were in
- 17 | Atlantic City?
- 18 MR. BATISTATOS: I was in Atlantic City in
- 19 2000 and 2001.
- 20 | COMMISSIONER CAMERON: When the convention
- 21 | center was there or --
- 22 MR. BATISTATOS: Yes. I just got there --
- 23 | it was probably two years old.
- 24 | COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Were you responsible

for the Miss America at the Sheraton? Was that your -
MR. BATISTATOS: Yes. I was charged with a

couple of things. One of them -- I got dumped, and I was

very upset when I found out. I had a 17-year contract for

the place with Miss America. I had a contract through 2016

or 2017, and they broke the contract on me.

Atlantic City is its own set of, you know -and it's a great place and tremendous opportunity, but you
see Governor Christie doing the things he's doing in that
state today because we were unable to get what we needed
done in the early part of this decade when we were saying,
Guys. There's a problem here and competition is coming.
We are going -- we are really going to get kicked.

And they're like, yeah, yeah, yeah, yeah.

So, you know, the action the Governor is taking now with the casino district, the extra cops, trying to force some new development -- the Baltimore group put the mall in front of the Sheraton. Beautiful project. Ten years too late.

Without rooms, which is still the number one issue -- without committable rooms, the Atlantic City product is never going to get anything more than motor coach or leisure. There will be no viable convention center. In my opinion, from my experience, now ten years

```
1 later -- I want to make sure I temper that because I don't
2 want to say -- I loved it. I'd go back in a heartbeat, but
3 they've got to do some structural changes.
```

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Are there other issues

that you'd be interested for us to hear your perspective

on? You said if you could have had a chance to plan your

expanded game business, your casino business, how you would

have done it a lot differently. One was cluster people

differently -- cluster facilities different.

Are there other quick insights that come to mind about how you'd do it differently -- would have done it differently?

MR. BATISTATOS: I want to be very careful here because I have two casinos that are on my board of directors, and one of them is bidding on the projects here. So, I want to be very, very careful on how I answer. Every choice site has -- and I cannot be as articulate as the last panel. I'm just a heads-and-beds and sheets-and-seats quy.

Every option you have has cost and benefit.

I will tell you that you guys are going to do it better
than we did in Indiana. So, you will have better
opportunity for the hospitality industry to find linkages,
and I can tell you immediately, regardless of where they're

built, you will get more of these casino patrons, whether

it's the Ameristar property or the MGM-proposed property or

whatever -- I saw yellow T-shirts or whatever it was. Your

process drives a better income.

Atlantic City has jaded me. Put it where you want the people. I mean it's as simple as that because, generally speaking -- and if you are able to carve out 12 percent of the visitation, get them back into the industry somehow -- and I'm carving out two percent, you've had a huge success, but that still leaves us 88 percent of the people that are driving to this island that we never see. So, if you want pedestrian traffic, if you want -- if the charges and the goals are to do X, Y, and Z, then do that.

I mean if we wanted to change downtown Gary, why did we put the boat out in a former marina used by US Steel? I mean for us, the concept of these riverboat licenses having to float on Lake Michigan -- and now we are ready to go through a blood bath in the Indiana General Assembly about land-based gaming because Gary is sitting there saying, We never got the economic impact we started out for.

And I've got four other operators who say,
Whoa. Wait a minute. I have to be out on the lake. I'm

not going to support your land-based initiatives to put it 1 2 next to the interstate.

3

5

6

7

8

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So, again these kinds -- these decisions we 4 are facing now are going to last with you for a long time. If you want it out there and you feel it's important to, you know, do what you need and you're going to manage the money differently to do downtown re-gentrification and this or that and the other thing, great. If you want to sell it or you want a chance at people lengthening their stay and 10 doing some different things, then force them. It's your 11 call.

They will come no matter where it's built. That's the one thing -- don't let anybody tell you. Wherever you put that casino, people will come to, and that is the decision that rests uniquely with the Commission, and so I hope that answered your question, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Are there communities where -- jurisdictions where they have done a particularly good job of forcing, you know, the relationships, partnerships between the hospitality industry and the casinos?

MR. BATISTATOS. I think, in my mind, the best one that I can think of, again, in Indiana is French Lick. First of all, it's land based. There's no water --

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: French Lick? 1 2 MR. BATISTATOS: French Lick, Indiana. 3 There was an existing resort, beautiful, old 1990s -- I 4 mean 1900-something hotel in West Bay Springs, which used 5 to be a huge attraction in the 1800s. The Cook brothers --6 the medical Cook brothers got their license and poured in 7 what people say is 500 million -- I think it's closer to 8 1.2 billion -- and took these old hotels and put the casino there, and now businesses are springing up around them. They put it right in the heart of French 10 11 Lick. There are actually two properties. There are four There are riding stables. It is truly, in 12 golf courses. 13 all sense of the word, a resort destination. 14 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Why is that? How did that 15 happen? 16 MR. BATISTATOS: Because there were two hotels that were existing. Because those two great, old 17 hotels were there, and the casino was a way to really make 18 19 that happen. Now, they had a real problem with workforce 20 development in French Lick. I mean everything has a trade 21 off. You go over there -- Orange County is a community of 22 40,000 people. So, now you're looking around for a 23 workforce, but they are finally catching up. 24 So, every decision you make, you have to

weigh workforce availability, economic needs, impact, and all those things, but you know, to me, if your priority is the revitalization of a certain city, then be bold and revitalize that city. Come up with programs that keep the paychecks there, you know.

- Do the Atlantic City model. One thing they did great -- I'm going to try to be quick because I'm real long. The 3 percent rate they offer for people who are on government payroll. When I was there, mortgages were 7 percent. If you were a congressman or a dog catcher, it didn't matter.
 - If you bought a house in Atlantic City, you got a 3 percent mortgage. You had to be there for ten years. You had to do a few other things, but guess what? The whole Chelsea Heights community where, you know, your generation might have grown up, cops and firemen and EMTs went back. They are buying their parents' house. They are buying their grandparents' house. So, those paychecks came back into the city.
- That's one thing Atlantic City did well.

 They managed to capture and use that money to leverage other money to keep you in the city.
- With that, I'll be happy to talk afterward.

 I feel like I've extended my time.

```
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Great. Thank you.
 1
 2
                   MR. BATISTATOS: Thank you very much.
 3
                   MS. WALL: Thank you, Speros. You're right
 4
    when you said that this region has an authentic and
 5
    uniquely Massachusetts-bred tourism product. Somehow a guy
 6
    from Austria runs two of those businesses but Peter
    Rosskothen.
 7
 8
                   MR. ROSSKOTHEN: I think that's Betsy's way
    to say, This is a guy coming with a little bit of an
 9
10
    accent. I'm very grateful to all of you and everybody else
    here today to allow us, the Convention and Visitors Bureau
11
    -- the Greater Springfield Convention and Visitors Bureau,
12
13
    to tell you a little bit about our thoughts.
14
                   We have members in four counties in western
15
           So, we look at ourselves as a regional organization.
    We are really proud of this area, and I'm an import to this
16
17
    area and I've got to tell you, if you haven't spent time in
    this area, it's an amazing area. We're really lucky.
18
19
    mentioned earlier, we've got Yankee Candle at one end, and
20
    we use that as a big attraction. It is probably the
21
    largest tourist attraction -- one of the largest in
22
    Massachusetts. We got Six Flags right on the other end.
23
                    We got things like the Springfield Museum,
24
    Basketball Hall of Fame, and then we take everything else
```

together, and what we do at the Bureau is we work very hard today to take those visitors we have and find ways to convince them to stay longer, if it's the whole day -- hopefully, it's more than a day and it's multiple days.

Our position on gaming started really early in the process. We were probably one of the very first organizations in western Mass. that had an entire board vote on, Where do we stand on casinos? What do we want to do with this?

We voted in favor of casinos. It was quite a controversial vote, and I need you to hear that because I think we put a lot of thought into it, as, Yeah. Are you going to be afraid of casinos? Of course you are.

It's something that, when you're a small business owner, you look at and say, Huh. How do I deal with this labor issue or how do I deal with some of my dollars that are being spent in my restaurant going to the casino?

But we took the approach that we wanted to look at the big picture and my key things -- my key part of the presentation is to tell you about this big picture that we are taking on at the Greater Springfield Convention and Visitors Bureau with the casinos.

We are really looking for regional

- partnership with these casinos. Speros, you couldn't have said it better. I wish we would have talked to you earlier because maybe we could have incorporated some of your thoughts into this, but we are really asking you, the Commission, and, specifically, the developer to find a way to break the model and turn this into a regional partnership and truly a partnership. There's not two sides to the same, but turn it into a regional partnership so we can grow together. The casino can attract its visitors and the region can attract its visitors, and we can keep people for a couple extra days or longer stay.
 - We are -- we heard what you were saying,
 Speros, and we've been on the same track. We want
 something concrete. We want don't want a wishy-washy
 proposal. We do not want something that is not going to
 survive 15 or 20 years from now. We want to get to a point
 with a developer, whoever the developer is, and have an
 agreement that lasts a long time. This partnership -- this
 marketing-focus partnership needs to last a long time.

So, we are seeking a Memorandum of
Understanding with these developers. What we have done to
create this Memorandum -- and the Commission has this
packet from us and you have a copy of our RFP. We put a
lot of thought into this RFP. There's a lot of points that

are important to us, as the Convention and Visitors Bureau that represents this region.

We want a developer to give us a proposal
that, if it's a favorable proposal, that we use that
proposal and engage in a Memorandum of Understanding. Some
of the key things that we are asking is the cross promotion
with attractions and restaurants. We understand they want
to keep people in the building.

We want to see, What can we do for the surrounding communities?

We have an amazing community up in Northampton, Mass., which has incredible shopping and restaurants. How do they get a benefit of this? And some sort of cross promotion is kind of the best way to deal with this.

We want to understand how they are going to move people in the Valley. Move them around. If the casino is outside of Springfield, how can you bring them to Springfield? If the casino is in Springfield, how do you bring them to Northampton, or how do you bring them to Palmer? How do you move some of the guests around so everybody benefits?

We want the casino developer to commit to marketing. We feel that we can rise all tides; that we can

fill our convention space. We have some incredible meeting 1 2 space here in this area with a beautiful MassMutual Center, but there's other facilities. Let's fill them up. Let's 3 4 make it more successful. How do we do this? How do we 5 grow that? One other point that was very important to 6 7 us, and there's a lot of points, but is also how is this casino developer going to interact with local businesses? 8 Who are they buying from? Who are they integrating 10 themselves with? Are they outsourcing out of the area, or are they actually using local businesses? 11 So, we are very interested in this RFP. 12 13 It's a completed RFP. We have already presented that RFP 14 to two developers that are interested, and hopefully, the rest of them will take it on, and our goal is to engage in 15 a Memorandum of Understanding with each one of them. 16 17 Our key ask of you and it's a really important ask -- it's my hope and it's the Bureau's hope 18 19 that you integrate this process that we are doing and how 20 you look at applications. It would be really helpful to

I know I was a lot shorter than you, but that's my message. I'd be happy to answer any questions.

specifically following the comments.

our process, and I think it's the right thing for tourism,

21

22

23

24

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Well, you asked a lot of questions, and we are looking for answers. You said, How do we do this? How do we do that? How are we going to get them to Northampton?

Anything you all, your organizations, can do to adopt -- there are answers to these questions. These things been done, not maybe as well as they could be done, but the Speroses of the world are beginning to understand, in operating mode, how you can create these cross-marketing deals and so forth.

So, as much as this industry can tell us the kinds of best practices that we might incorporate into our RFP -- we will certainly want to take a look at your RFP, and you know, we'll be predisposed to taking these kinds of constructive ideas and incorporating them into our RFA process, but as much as your industry can give us the tools, give us the strategies that you want us to put into the spec, and put points for -- a credit against these proposals, you know, that would be -- that's really helpful.

MR. ROSSKOTHEN: Mr. Chair, that's the intent of the RFP, and I think I want to explain myself a little bit. When you go point by point on the RFP, when I say, What are you going to do about this or that, what we

1 | mean is the casino developer.

So, we want the casino developer

specifically to tell you what they are doing with

transportation. How are you, the developer, planning on

moving people around the area so other restaurants and

other attractions can benefit, or specifically, what are

you proposing for a relationship with the CVB? What are

examples of that?

So, when you read the RFP, you see how we approach that. Our internal process is a grading process of the replies to these points in the RFP, and if we feel that we have a positive proposal, we hope to engage in this Memorandum of Understanding with a developer.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Right. I get that. There are kind of two different ways to approach this. One is to say to the developer, You're smart. You're in the business. You tell us how you're going to go about doing this.

But another way is for us to be prescriptive and for us to know, and either way we still want to know -- we want to know, ourselves, what the best practices are. We want to be smarter than anybody in assessing how well this is being done. So, both -- obviously getting them to be creative is terrific and coming up with ideas is

```
terrific, but also we would like to be as informed as we
 1
 2
    can possibly be about what practice -- what the best
 3
    practices already are.
 4
                   MR. ROSSKOTHEN: Well said.
 5
                   CHAIRMAN CROSBY:
                                      Anyone else?
 6
                   COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: I just want to pick up
 7
    a little bit on both of you, Speros and Peter, relative to
 8
    a point you make on the data -- on how casinos have a lot
    of data available. Can you hear me? You can hear me.
10
                   How casinos have a lot of available data
    that may be beneficial to the likes of the Visitors Bureau.
11
    So, can you expound a little bit on that thought and how --
12
13
    whether that would be useful for your case.
14
                   MR. BATISTATOS: For those of you who might
    have had difficulty hearing the Commissioner, he asked
15
16
    about the volume of data that casino operators have and
17
    what the likelihood, if I understood your question,
    Commissioner, properly -- what the likelihood of them
18
19
    sharing that with us, as an industry, would be.
20
                   I would not want to speak for any casino
21
    operator who might have people in the room here today.
22
    That would be something I would ask your applicants
23
    directly.
```

My experience is we're not going to see it.

24

```
That's highly proprietary. It is something -- it's years
 1
 2
    of their proprietary information and knowledge and data
    that drives -- it's at such the core of their marketing
 3
 4
    that I Would be surprised if you were even allowed to see
 5
    the room where the machine lives that collects the
 6
    information. It's very, very secret.
 7
                   I think though -- my experience with some of
 8
    our operators have been, in broader context, when asked a
    broader question like where are you seeing X, you know,
10
    percentage of people or can you talk broader demographics,
11
    we have always had very productive conversations.
                   I can almost -- again, I'm not speaking for
12
13
    any of them. My experience tells me it will be a very cold
14
    day before we would ever see that information in a very
15
    meaningful, detailed manner. As it should be. I'm not
16
    suggesting we need to see that. It's their proprietary
17
    work product, and I doubt that anyone would give it up.
                   MR. ROSSKOTHEN: I'm not so sure,
18
19
    Mr. Zuniga, I'm qualified to answer that but I'm taking
20
    your question in the direction of -- I think what we're
21
    looking for -- not I think. I know what we are looking for
    is to break the mold a little bit that the consumer needs
22
```

We want a little bit outside of that.

23

24

to stay in the casino.

```
give us that exposure so, hopefully, we get you to visit

the Basketball Hall of Fame. Give us that kind of exposure

that they go to Yankee Candle. Perhaps the data can help

understand how they're doing, and that's probably my quick

gut answer to what you're saying.
```

COMMISSIONER McHUGH: To follow up on that question, you pointed to French Lick as a place where they've done a particularly good job of getting people integrated into the community and other kinds of things.

How can we get a handle on other places in other states where they've done it well so that we all could perhaps spend some time looking at the models that they used and the criteria they used and the kinds of incentives they used in order to achieve the result that you described? Other places throughout the country. Is there a national organizations? Is there some place we all could go to find those paradigms?

MR. BATISTATOS: First of all, let me clarify. Success in the context I was talking about French Lick about who did it well and other businesses got involved -- when you take a look at strictly -- when you take a look at gross revenue, the leader in our state is Horseshoe. Two miles from Chicago. No questions asked. They do -- I want to be very careful about what we are

defining as --

COMMISSIONER McHUGH: My definition of success is this integration of the casino as a -- not only as a successful business entity on its own but as a gateway of other things in the region.

MR. BATISTATOS: Commissioner, I've never been asked that question before. So, pardon me for stuttering and stammering a little bit. I think that every casino jurisdiction has done some things well, and they've done some things not so well.

So, in my mind in trying to answer you openly and honestly, I think that we can look at, you know -- you can take a look at Atlantic City and see what it's doing well today and the changes they're making in addressing the problems.

I think perhaps your question is best answered by asking jurisdictions what problems are they correcting today from the decisions they made 20 years ago versus perhaps a best-case study because gaming, in any community, is reactive to the legislature, the local mayor. There are just so many variables. So, me, I would suggest perhaps this Commission frame it as, Dear Lake County, Indiana. What would you have done differently that would allow better integration with your existing hospitality

1 industry?

Dear Atlantic City. Dear Tunica. Dear
Mississippi Gulf Coast. And find out what policies they
are having to pursue now to correct unforeseen
circumstances that cropped up because -- you know, this is
1993 for us. In Indiana, we just didn't know -- we were
more interested in getting a leg up and getting boats
operated than we were anything else and we did that.
Therefore, by all arguments, we were successful, but we
still end up, 20 years later, with some things that we
would have had liked to have done differently. I hope that
answers your question in some form or fashion.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: I have a quick question for something that you said, Speros, about time in Atlantic City. Trying to get hotel space for conventions, and now I turn to Peter because there's been some growth and some small hotels going up, you know, across western Mass., mostly to feed a visitor industry.

Would you say, based on the experience Speros saw in Atlantic City -- would the hospitality industry in western Mass. feel threatened by a hotel attached to a resort, or do you have somewhat a level of comfort now to know that maybe that hotel is going to be maximized by the people going into the gaming facility as

opposed to maybe poaching your business? I mean you have a property that has some hotel rooms attached to it.

MR. ROSSKOTHEN: I still overwhelmingly believe that we need to rise all tides, and I think what will happen outside of the casino area, if it's well promoted and marketed -- I think all of us will do a little bit better. At least that's my approach to this. I think specifically to your question, and it's something that Speros said that I think really rang in my head that I think is extremely valid.

If there's a hotel attached to the casino, that hotel is probably designed to specifically serve the casino, and I think that if you have convention space and things like that, I think the point that you raise to actually make the hotel larger is an extremely valid point that I don't think I understood well until earlier today when I had a similar conversation with him.

Not exactly the answer to your question, but I think you need to design the hotel to handle the casino and, hopefully, some of the business that will happen in the immediate area, and then for properties like ours that is going to be somewhat removed because I don't believe my -- for the rest of the Commission, my properties, for example, are not close to a casino because it's a community

1 | that doesn't want a casino.

We still feel there's market that will grow.

I mean that's why I believe in this. I think if we can get
a casino developer to make some sort of arrangement where
we promote the market, I think all of us will gain
something out of this.

MR. BATISTATOS: To that end, again, I want to be putting my -- you know, reflecting the industry that I serve. The casinos are going to look at you and say, Okay. I hear you want me to build more rooms beyond what I need for casino marketing. Show me your lost-business book. What haven't you booked in Springfield because you haven't had these 200 rooms? Where is the lost business? Where's the new markets? How am I going to get a bite of those people? I mean maybe they are staying in my hotel, but are you going to do VIP welcome nights in my casino on a Tuesday night so I can help pay for these rooms that I built for you?

So -- I mean there's going to be a series of very legitimate questions coming out of the casino industry saying, Okay. I get it. This market needs more rooms to serve the convention center, but where is the convention center marketing plan? What markets are we going to be in? Show me the sales force. Show me the lost business.

All of those things are going to be incumbent on the CVB and the convention center to bring in and say, This is why we're making that ask.

So, I don't want my comments to be taken one way. I think any casino operator will tell you, Sure. Can I get more people Tuesday, Wednesday -- Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, midweek? Will that help my business? Sure it will, but there's also a price point at which building 200 extra rooms doesn't pencil out unless there is something very active in a marketing plan that they get.

MS. WALL: Thank you. Between the regional tourism councils and the state agency, the Mass. Office of Travel and Tourism, the taxpayers of Massachusetts will invest \$18 million in tourism marketing this year. So, it's critically important that the lead marketing plan for the state, for its efforts, be data driven, highly effective, and measurable.

In Massachusetts, we have a highly competitive process for selecting an ad agency. We have Connelly Partners in Boston, represented here by Scott Madden.

MR. MADDEN: Thank you. Good afternoon, everyone. I think there's been a lot of good suggestions directionally about ways we could maximize the impact of a

casino resort in this area for the tourism -- economic tourism impact outside the walls, if you will, of the resort.

I'll come at it from a different perspective and give you at least my understanding, as a marketer, what a casino would do, historically, from a marketing perspective.

They would come in and they would want to quickly establish awareness by blitzing media markets in their respective trade areas, so those dry markets, like New York, Hartford, Boston, and they would be spending probably the heaviest amounts of media dollars in the first two years of their presence with the idea of trying to ramp up awareness of their resort and trying to impact -- immediate traffic impact to come to their casino.

I think that presents an opportunity for the broader region, possibly through Peter's Memorandum of Understanding, to quantify ways that this casino would partner specifically with the region so that the region, as a whole, benefits beyond just the casino itself.

So, if you're looking for specific examples,

I would think -- from just a marketer's perspective, one

of the things I think you could talk to casinos about,

again, with an understanding of partnership, would be to

have or require a casino to promote, within its heavy-spent marketing dollars, the area at large. So, highlighting the hoop hall. Highlighting other aspects in and around the perimeter of not just Springfield but the Berkshires and broader Pioneer Valley, and by showing those broader areas of things you can do outside the walls of the casino, you are helping build a brand and the awareness of this region and these markets where you otherwise couldn't financially afford to do it.

On the flip side, it behooves the casino to do that because any casino that comes into this area is going to compete with Mohegan Sun. They are going to compete with Foxwoods. They're a casino. They all have gaming tables. They all offer the same base services. So, they need to create other distinctions, competitive advantages, as to why consumers should come to this area versus a Foxwoods, versus a Mohegan Sun, and one of those obvious assets they can leverage is the broader experience this region provides any would-be casino prospective traveler.

So, I think that's one place where you could require or, in the spirit of partnership, strongly encourage a casino partner to represent a broader visual representation of the region as a core component to their

```
marketing strategy. I think -- I would expect casinos to
 1
    be receptive to that idea because, if I were them, I would
 2
    be thinking that this is a competitive asset that I can
 3
 4
    leverage against those other two casinos I mentioned in
 5
    Connecticut.
 6
                   I think there's just more to do here.
 7
    Because of where these casinos potentially are going to be
 8
    located, they are less isolated, and there's an opportunity
    for people to conveniently leave the walls of that casino
10
    resort to do other things here. So, I think that's an
11
    advantage.
                   I think the idea of cross promoting -- as
12
13
    Speros said earlier, they are wildly sophisticated on their
14
    one-to-one marketing. Once someone walks in that door,
15
    they capture their name. They know all of that behavior,
16
    and they will put them into buckets of value, a high
17
    spender; a high, active gamer; a high entertainment person,
    and they are going to have a lot of direct communications
18
19
    with that person after they leave that first visit to their
20
    casino.
21
                   That's another easy, obvious place for you
22
    to quantify in that Memorandum of Understanding that we --
23
    they'll be pushing e-mails, if not monthly, more
```

frequently, to those folks to get them to come back.

24

they can include representation of the broader region in those marketing messages to those audiences, you would collectively benefit from that additional exposure.

I think whether you benefit from the specific trip, that person comes back to the resort, or you just benefit from the added awareness that this area receives from television advertising, radio communication, direct marketing, be it e-mail, digital, or snail mail, you benefit because you are raising awareness.

Although they might not visit the hoop hall, for example, on their next casino visit, the western part of Massachusetts, the Springfield area, becomes more top of mind for them the next time they are planning their spring vacation or their summer vacation. I think you can benefit from those types of requirements of partnership with the would-be casino.

So, from thinking of it from their perspective, the broader area around the outside the perimeter of the casino walls is a competitive asset for the casino coming into this area comparatively to Foxwoods, comparatively to Mohegan Sun.

You have to require some quantifying of what that partnership needs to entail versus talking general -- in generalities about it, but I think it would make sense

1 | for them as much as it would greatly benefit the region.

So, with that, I would open it up to any questions that you might have specifically for me. Than

4 you.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Just a quick question. How do you see gaming necessarily folding in to the state's overall marketing, not only domestically but internationally?

MR. MADDEN: I didn't get into that because I was being sensitive to time, but quickly, from a macro, statewide perspective, I think casinos add a very real and tangible asset for us from a broader state-marketing standpoint and certainly against our northeast competitive states that don't have gaming within their respective states.

We already have a really rich collection of assets that are unique to Massachusetts against most of our competitors that we certainly leverage on a very regular basis, but I do think that the inclusion of casinos would only strengthen that, and we think -- we would need to think certainly tactically about where and what channels of communication we would leverage the casinos.

We have a very rich database within -- a consumer database within MOT's servers. So, we have the

opportunity, much like the casinos themselves, to try to get a better handle on the behaviors of those folks as it relates to interest in gaming.

From that standpoint, we can serve messages directly to them on a regular basis in a very measurable and efficient way but I think -- even when you think from a broader brand perspective, I think we would have an opportunity to leverage the casinos collectively in the state.

It's just another strength to differentiate ourselves, especially from our northern New England competitors that don't have that. It will absolutely be an asset, and I think we would find the right place to leverage it.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Thank you very much.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: In the interest of time, can we make a quick switch and get our next panel up here as soon as possible? Thank you.

MR. MARTIN: Good afternoon, everybody. My name is Larry Martin. I'm the Business Services and Special Projects Manager for the Regional Employment Board of Hampden County, and due to the time, what I'm going to do is do a brief introduction of the panelists. I'm going to give you a quick overview of the Regional Employment

- board and our role in workforce development in this region,
 and then I'll hand it off to the panelists.
- 3 So, the first panelist that will be speaking
- 4 is William Messner, President of Holyoke Community College.
- 5 | Our second panelist is Marie Downey, Executive Director for
- 6 | Boston Education Skills and Training, who works in
- 7 | collaboration with the Greater Boston Hotel Employees/Local
- 8 | 26. Our final panelist will be Laurie Salame, lecturer
- 9 | from the Department of Hospitality and Tourism Management
- 10 | for the Isenberg School of Management at UMass Amherst.
- I was listening to the comment Speros made
- 12 about the data, and it sort of brought back memories
- 13 because when I was finishing my grad work, one of the
- 14 | projects we had to work on was data mining, and the model I
- 15 used was actually Harrah's and how they data mine customer
- 16 | service and how to build a better customer-service model.
- 17 | So, I found that very interesting.
- 18 My only concern about data is to make sure
- 19 | that our college graduates from this region get an
- 20 opportunity to go for a those types of data-mining jobs.
- 21 So, that's the approach we are taking at the
- 22 Regional Planning Board. So, you know, this is a very
- 23 exciting project. We've had a lot of great projects
- 24 | happening in this region. Bay State Health Systems

Hospital of the Future; a brand new vocational school,

Putnam Academy. We are going to be breaking ground on the
revitalization of Union Station. Lots of great projects.

The rail project, but all of those projects and their
visionary approach will not have the immediate workforce,

economic impact of this project.

- We are talking about 1,500 to 2,000 construction jobs during Phase 1 and 2,200 to 2,800 full-time occupations of various types of skill sets that are going to be created by this initiative. The Regional Employment Board of Hampden County has been the region's primary convener to address workforce development issues since 1980.
- Established by Federal and state law, the REB is a business-led, non-profit corporation that engages its members from business, education, labor, and community agencies to build and establish public policy that will build a better workforce from pre-K to gray. That's our primary mission.
- Meeting the existing and projected needs of business and industry and the labor force requires a collaborative effort, and the key to this effort is our regional one-stop career centers, Future Works located in Springfield and Career Point located in Holyoke.

These two organizations provide jobs-seeker assistance, career readiness, career counseling, case management, and access to rare training options. We also provide business services to include job postings and career fairs.

An initiative of this magnitude requires a collaborative workforce effort to comply with the requirements of the gaming legislation but to also create equitable, effective, and efficient policies and procedures to address local and regional outreach, recruitment, assessment, selection, and training of our residents throughout the region.

One of the things that Speros brought up was training the individuals that are within the community, and when I say "community," wherever this project lands, we have to make sure that those who live in that local, direct, and impacted community have an opportunity to apply and be trained for these positions; and also, keep in mind that if they don't make the first wave, you are not going to be left behind. Our vision is to also make sure we pull you up through additional training so you can come around in the next round. So, we don't leave anybody behind.

The second primary issue that Speros brought up -- and this is one of the things that I first thought

about when this came up because the Regional Employment

Board deals with a lot of sector initiatives. We respond

to sector initiatives especially when employers are

pilfering employees from one another because their industry

is growing, and they don't have enough skilled employees.

So, my main concern and the Regional

Employment Board's main concern is: What happens when this

gaming casino/hotel resort comes in? There's this huge

vacuum of job opportunities that are pulled into it, but

yet our other businesses and industry are going to be

impacted, whether it's restaurant, whether it's services,

financial sector.

So, our vision is to not only prepare an adequate workforce that has the skills and competencies to address the needs of whoever lands this project but also to support and continue to support the workforce pipeline for our existing business structure within this region.

One of our -- two of our primary partners in workforce development are Springfield Technical Community College and Holyoke Community College. They have been vital partners in all of our sector initiatives, as well as other colleges in the region. We work with K through 12 and other partners, but these two colleges are a primary entry way for -- to provide skilled workers to either

matriculate to four-year colleges or directly into the
workforce. They have also taken the lead and are
recognized as leaders in education, business, and workforce
development in the region.

Representing the community-college system today, it is a privilege to have HCC President William Messner here with us to discuss the strategic approach to training and support of western Massachusetts' gambling initiative. It is my pleasure to introduce William Messner.

MR. MESSNER: Thank you very much. It's a pleasure to be here today and to meet, again, with the Commission members. As Larry indicated and as you folks are aware, the community colleges, for the last six months, has been involved in an effort to put together a statewide collaborative approach toward meeting the unique workforce challenges that the advent of the casino industry brings to Massachusetts.

That challenge grows out of the unique nature of the workforce of the casino industry. Just to mention some dimensions of that workforce. Number one, as you're well aware, casinos generate lots of jobs. Here in western Massachusetts, each of the potential casino operators that we've talked to are talking in terms of a

minimum of 2,500 to 3,000 jobs. Extrapolate that across
the state, you're talking close to 10,000 jobs, and then
beyond that, the thousands of construction jobs and other
ancillary jobs that might be generated.

The good news is that's lots of job. The bad news is that's lots of job. Particularly in the area we are sitting in right now in terms of Springfield, Holyoke, Chicopee, unemployment rates are high. That certainly is the case, but the wealth of trained individuals, job ready, does not match, even currently, at least in some areas.

The second dimension to the casino industry workforce that I think is important and is one that the Governor pointed out was instrumental in his thinking as he signed this bill was the casino industry focuses on what's called middle-skills jobs, jobs that require more than a high school diploma but less than a baccalaureate degree. Studies that I've seen would indicate that upwards of 85 percent of the jobs within the casino industry fall into that designation.

That, again, speaks to the needs of areas such as you have here in western Massachusetts, where, particularly unlike in the east, we have significant levels of folks who do not have baccalaureate degrees but are

1 looking for jobs.

The third interesting dimension of the workforce for the casino industry is, despite the fact that your name is the Gaming Commission, about three-quarters of the jobs in the casino industry don't have anything to do directly with gaming. Rather they fall into areas such as food service, which we'll hear about in a bit, hospitality management, computer information security, computer networking, criminal justice, business management, accounting, and on and on.

And fourth dimension, which I think you're keenly aware of, is the fact that, for reasons that I won't go into right now, jobs in the casino industry and particularly in the gaming area -- the whole notion of the integrity of the hiring process and the training process is going to be particularly important.

Given all those dimensions, large numbers of jobs, middle-skill jobs, many jobs in areas that are ancillary to gaming, and the importance of the training and hiring process, community colleges, when this legislation was signed, felt that this workforce effort particularly fell within our purview. In fact, we believe we would be derelict if we did not embrace this challenge in terms of filling this workforce need.

We have gone about that process. We met
with you folks in June and outlined our first initial
efforts in taking a comprehensive, collaborative, regional
approach to this. We had agreed we'd come back in
September, if I'm not mistaken, to update you as to where
we stand. Today gives us sort of an opportunity to give
you an interim report on that.

We've got a detailed -- I'm looking for Jeff Hayden here, but Jeff has got a detailed report, which we'll give you at the end of the session. Simply to tell you what we have done over the last two months since we met with you is, number one, here in western Massachusetts, we have done our best to gather the major workforce players to alert them, if they needed to be alerted, to the opportunity/challenge and to make an effort to get them all on the same page in terms of crafting a workforce regional collaborative.

The REB is playing a central part in that effort. The University of Massachusetts has indicated its willingness to sign on. Westfield State University. Many of the other non-profits. We are also putting in place a regional coordinating team that will drive that process of putting in place training programs.

On the basis of that model that we have now

put in place here in western Massachusetts, the other two regions are now embarking on their effort at bringing the workforce players around the table, both in the Boston area and the southeast, also to sign a Memorandum of Agreement that the major players will work collaboratively in this effort, and to put into place, in each of those regions, regional coordinating teams as well.

What we then are intending to do is come back to you in September, which we indicated when we met initially in June, and give to you, number one, a report on workforce best practices drawn from other states, also a draft workforce plan that we hope that you will be able to use for your own purposes.

Finally, we would like to suggest that we put in front of you a Memorandum of Agreement that will outline the expectations that you have for our collaborative and that we would have for you as well as we move forward in meeting this challenge.

I should say that here in the west, we have now met with every one of the casino developers, if you will, who have gone public with their intention. We've shared with them our efforts in this regard. With at least two of them, we have sat down with their HR folks to make sure that we are certain as to what they are going to be

1

looking for in terms of specific jobs and the like, and we are now in the process of beginning to outline what are the 2 specific training programs that we think will be necessary 3 4 to meet those needs. A similar process we intend then to 5 put in place in each of the other regions very well. 6 So, that's where we stand as of this moment. 7 Hopefully, when we meet with you again in September, those 8 three regional MOUs will have been signed. We'll be able to give them to you and we will talk more about the specifics of how, hopefully, we can move ahead in 10 combination with the Commission. Thank you very much. 11 MR. MARTIN: Any questions for President 12 13 Messner? 14 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: I have just a quick one, Mr. President. When we met in June, the tribal 15 compact with the Governor hadn't been signed with the 16 17 Masphee Wampanoag tribe down -- for a location in Taunton. 18 How do you see the southeast regional group 19 either working with that entity or impacting the job 20 opportunities in and around, say, the Boston region? 21 MR. MESSNER: That's a good question, which 22 we don't, as of this moment, have a definitive answer to. 23 What we assume is that, in terms of the credentialing 24 requirements, the licensure requirements and the like will

be no different in the southeast than they would be in
either of the other two regions.

2.4

We are certainly going to -- the folks in the southeast region are going to have to sit down with that group and work through the specific jobs and the like that are going to be going on there. My assumption is you're going to have to tell us what differences might or might not be existent there that we need to be sensitive to.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: There's lots more time to talk about this, but I think actually you'll have to be in touch with -- we can help look at this -- but with the Tribal Gaming Commission, which will be the first -- they're us relative to the southeast region. We are sort of a backstop to them, but they would be the first line of communication, but there's plenty of time to get around to that.

MR. MESSNER: Sure.

MR. MARTIN: I'd also like to mention that the Franklin Hampshire Workforce Investment Board as well as the Central Mass. Workforce Investment Board have also been part of the conversation. So, we are taking an overall western -- Pioneer Valley approach to this initiative.

Next up is Marie Downey, who has over 25
years of experience of working on issues related to
workforce development. Since 2004, Marie has been the
Executive Director of the Boston Education Skills and
Training Corp.

At BEST Corp., she applies all of her

At BEST Corp., she applies all of her professional skills and training to deliver a quality educational experience for the members and employees of the Greater Boston Hotel Employees/Local 26.

From 1988 to 2004, Marie was the Vice

President of Modern Assistance Program, Inc., an

employee-assistance program that provides counseling and
comprehensive social services. Marie.

MS. DOWNEY: Thank you. Thank you. This has been a very interesting afternoon. I've certainly heard a lot of information. There's so much to consider.

BEST Corp. has a sector-based approach to workforce development. We teach the skills that are needed for vital hospitality jobs, and oftentimes when people think of positions like cooks and room attendants and food service, they think of low pay, no benefits, dead-end jobs, no career-advancement opportunities. They don't think of these jobs as good jobs, jobs that pay enough to sustain families; and unfortunately, most of the time they are

1 right.

The majority of these jobs are forcing hard-working people to live in poverty, and the practice of outsourcing these jobs to temporary agencies has accelerated in the last few years.

So, we first saw the outsourcing trend in 2009 when the Hyatt Hotels in Boston and Cambridge fired 100 long-term workers and replaced them with workers from a temp agency, reducing the hourly wage from \$15 with benefits to 8.50 with no benefits, and there was an expectation for them to clean even more room.

This is Janolette Estevez. She was one of those temporary staffing housekeepers. She came here from the Dominican Republic, and she told me that she'd come home from work every day, crying, saying to her sister,

This is why we came here? This is the American dream?

She found out about the hotel training center, and thankfully, there is a better workforce development model out there.

When we talk about middle-skilled jobs, a lot of times we are talking about middle-level wages. The jobs that I'm talking about right now have found a way -- these hospitality employers in Boston have found a way, along with the hotel workers union, to provide middle-skill

1 | wages. She now makes \$19 an hour with benefits.

So, how do you make sure that jobs that come into your community are good jobs? It takes community commitment to make sure that business partners invest in good wages, benefits, and training. In our experience, this happens when workforce-development strategies take an integrated approach and labor, employers, and community partners are involved from the beginning.

For more than 25 years, I've been involved with a shining example of a partnership that has proven that these jobs can be good jobs. This partnership now consists of over 30 hospitality employers and hotel operators like the Sheraton, the Westin, the Ritz-Carlton, United Local 26, public and private funders, and community organizations.

Over 80 percent of the funding for our education and training program comes from participating employers through a contract negotiated with Local 26 workers. These contracts become even more important as we are seeing hotels being sold constantly, but there's a steady level of consistency with the workers with these contracts in place.

Jobs like room attendants, dishwashers, food service, and cooks can and do sustain families. People's

lives change when employers invest by providing good wages,
affordable health-care benefits, and training
opportunities.

This successful model has been used elsewhere. In Las Vegas, industry partners, such as MGM and Caesars, fund the culinary training center where they train thousands of workers a year. Here in Boston, we serve over 500 workers a year. Workers come on their own time and by their own choice to improve their language or customer-service skills or to train for other positions such as cooks, food service. We do outreach into the community to successfully recruit and train people to get into the industry.

Let's see if I can do this. These partners that I'm talking about, the Local 26 hospitality employers, have found a way to offer workers a comprehensive benefit package that allows them access to the American dream. They have paid time off when their children are sick. They have vacation pay, a medical plan, education and training, and \$10,000 down payment toward the purchase of a home.

Our trainees come from diverse backgrounds.

60 percent are non-native speakers, and 50 percent have a high school diploma or less. Most of them live in the communities where they work.

So, what do we teach? Based on what workers 1 2 and employers need, we teach five levels of English 3 classes, computer and technology skills, GED/citizenship 4 prep, culinary arts, professional food server, room-attendant classes, and all of the classes include 5 6 customer-service skills, understanding diversity, and 7 handling conflict. Workers graduate with the skills and 8 the competencies that our employers need. 9 Our employer partners have high standards. 10 At one time, a room attendant need not speak English. In 11 most hotels, that's no longer the case. Employees need to have excellent customer-service skills. 12 13 We also teach, as I said, culinary and 14 food-service classes, but all of our students get certified in national food safety certifications, in Tips and Teen, 15 16 which is the responsible serving of alcohol. We teach 17 Micros, which is the point-of-entry system for -- that most hospitality employers use. Waitresses, waiters today --18 when you go into a restaurant, you notice they are holding 19 20 a hand-held gadget. We teach that. Our professional-food-server class is very 21 22 popular with workers who want to pick up extra shifts doing 23 banquet work or as an advancement opportunity. Constantina

Cruz began as a room attendant making \$35,000 a year. She

took the professional food-server class, picked up extra
shifts as an on-call banquet server. She was promoted to a
full-time banquet server where she now makes \$80,000.
She's also bought a home. She has two daughters in
college, and she was recently interviewed in a Boston Globe
article where she said, I think I'm living the American

dream.

- Sira Estelle. Estelle recently graduated

 from our room-attendant training program. It's a

 seven-week, full-time program. People learn how to make a

 bed, clean a bathroom, but they learn customer-service

 skills. They learn the career pathways right from the

 beginning of their career. Her goal is to become a

 front-desk agent.
 - Our room-attendant students, as I said, learn how to make beds and clean bathrooms, but they also learn how to use computers and on-line applications, and they learn the interviewing skills of a job. Many room attendants, dishwashers, cooks today -- to get a job, they go through five interviews. So, they really have to prepare, and the on-line applications that they have to fill out have behavioral questions. One application can take 45 minutes.

All our skills classes have an ergonomic

```
instructor as well to demonstrate safety practices, and all
1
2
   of our programs were developed with our employer partners.
                  So, what is the outcome and return on
3
4
                One worker who comes to mind that is taking
   investment?
5
   all the classes at the training center is Olivia Cristobal.
6
   When she first came from Peru, she didn't have enough
7
   English to say, Hold the elevator.
8
                  She had to use her foot. She's now taken
9
   our English, computers, financial, literacy, and
   professional-food-server class. She said her life changed
```

She's been certified in food safety, CPR, safe serving of alcohol, and she's moved from prep cook to a busser position at the Colonnade Hotel. She also bought a home recently.

the day she was able to say, Please wait for me.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

In three years, we have graduated 118 people from our room-attendant class. 88 percent were placed in positions that pay \$17 an hour with full health care benefits, and our retention rate in the last year is over 90 percent.

At one of our recent graduations, we had the hotel managers in and the union. This manager said, We want you to succeed. The union wants you to succeed, and BEST Corp. wants you to succeed.

We have employers that come to these graduations offering people jobs on the spot. Another recent graduation we had was at the Boston Convention Center where -- the Convention Center is partner, and they allowed us to host a graduation there for 40 people, and Attorney General Martha Coakley came and shared in the graduation with us as well as Union President Brian Lang and many hotel employer partners.

So, I know a key goal of the Gaming

Commission is to bring good jobs to Massachusetts, and the opportunity is here. The sectoral model demonstrates what's possible. Creating and maintaining good jobs at every level is a pathway out of poverty, and I hope that that is what you consider when you create these jobs.

Thank you.

MR. MARTIN: Laurie Salame teaches in the Isenberg School of Management at UMass Amherst in the Department of Tourism and Hospitality Management where she has been since 2001. Her classes include introduction to hospitality tourism, hospitality law, gaming and social policy, and casino operations management.

Prior to teaching, Ms. Salame was an attorney and a community legal aide, formerly Western Massachusetts Legal Services, where she was a staff

```
attorney, an AmeriCorps domestic violence project attorney,
 1
 2
    an equal justice fellow developing a program in doing
    economic development work with the low-income community.
 3
 4
    I'd like to introduce to you Laurie Salame.
 5
                   DR. SALAME: Well, I feel like today is an
 6
    Olympic race. It's a relay race and I'm a closer. Like
 7
    every closer, I've got to be fast, and I want to bring home
 8
    the gold medal for everybody in Massachusetts because we
    are all going to be a winner in this, you know, endeavor
    that we are doing, and I want to start winning by pressing
10
    the right button with this. Nope. Nope. Okay. Which one
11
12
    is it? To the right. Okay. Got it. All right.
13
                   So, let me just start by telling you who we
14
    are, and I am here representing the Department of
15
    Hospitality and Tourism Management, which is part of the
    Isenberg School of Management housed at the University of
16
17
    Massachusetts Amherst. We were established in 1938.
                   So, we certainly are one of the oldest
18
19
    hospitality-and-tourism-management programs in the country.
20
    We are ranked as one of the top programs in the world.
21
    Historically, we are ranked as one of the top ten in the
22
    country. We are very excited. A recent ranking just put
23
    us at number six. We are usually top 20 in the world. So,
```

even though we have been around a long time, we continue to

1 grow. We continue to change.

In 2007, we opened up the Marriott Center

for Hospitality Management, a \$6.2 million food and

beverage teaching and research facility. I think a couple

members of the Commission were there recently for a

training that was put on by the Massachusetts Compulsive

Gambling Commission -- Council, and it's a beautiful

facility.

So, we continue to grow. We continue to expand our program. We are one of only 50 four-year hospitality-management programs that are accredited by our accreditation program, ACPHPA, which means that we have to follow extreme academic rigors in order to make our accreditation on a regular basis.

We have excellent faculty in both the business area as well as strong academic backgrounds. We have approximately 6 to 700 students at any given time in our program.

We run a bachelor of science program as well as a Ph.D. program. Typical areas of concentration for our students run in casino management, club management, food and beverage management, lodging management, tourism, convention and event management. Many of our students do a dual degree. Most often I see a pairing with accounting or

1 | with finance.

One really nice thing that we have been doing for a number of years is you can do our entire four-year degree BS program on line. We also run a certificate program on line in two areas. One is in casino events or casino management, and the other is in events management, and the on-line programs are great for adult workers.

We get people from all over the world. I have -- because I teach in the casino-management program, I had a student who was a pit boss on the Italian Swiss border. So, you get students from all over the world. I had a student who was serving in the Allied Armed Forces in Afghanistan. They bring great perspective, and it gives them the opportunity, as working professionals, to come back to school and earn a program -- earn a degree from a program that is a brick-and-mortar building. So, you get the same faculty that you have at UMass Amherst, the same courses that you would have at UMass Amherst. You can typically do the certificate program in one year as opposed to going through a four-year program.

We also have international associations with programs in Switzerland, Scotland, Ireland, Australia, and England. One thing about our casino program, usually the

class sizes for our on-campus program is maybe 25 to 30
students. This last semester in the spring, we had 65 to
70 students in each of those classes. So, we are expecting
to see that demand continue in Massachusetts with the
passage of the casino bill. So, that's a little something
about who we are.

Let me tell you a little something about our alumni, what they do. We have over 6,500 alumni right here in New England, about 15,000 worldwide. Every year, UMass does a survey of all graduating seniors. We don't have the 2012 results yet, but the 2011 results have a 96 percent placement rate for our alums. So, they are highly sought after.

We run a big career day. It's completely run by our students. This year we had 53 recruiters there, and in 2011, we had 48 recruiters. So, we are actually expecting a larger placement rate than 96 percent.

Our program is preparing managers and leaders for career opportunities in the areas of hotel restaurant management, resort and club operations, casino management, tourism planning, development, accounting and finance, human resources, sales and marketing, event planning, and more.

Pretty much if you look around at any

hospitality company you can think of, you can find one of our alum. They are very active in the industry. They are very active with us. We have a very large advisory board. They pay money to be on that board. They come. They meet with us. They mentor our students. We really work really close with industry. We maintain a lot of connections, and certainly the electronic and social networking has helped with that.

We haven't had a lot of success getting the casino industry as part of our members and having them come to our career day. I personally reached out to them many, many times. I have great success with having them come to my class as a guest speaker. Our neighbors to the south have not come to our career today. One time they registered. Three days before the event they cancelled.

Now, that was in 2008. It was a tough year. So, I can understand that.

Now, this year, the day before the event, we did have a casino company who called us and said, Hey. We just happen to be in town. We heard about your event.

We were full. We literally put them in the hallway. They took it. They were happy. We put a table in the hall, and they got -- you know, they got some hits. I don't know. I'm hoping that, you know, as we continue to

grow this industry in this state that we will have more interest there, but certainly we have leaders all over the world. That's one of our properties from one of our alums at the Boston Harbor Hotel.

In terms of our work with students in terms of the community colleges, we have articulated agreements with five community colleges right now where the students are automatically enrolled in our program upon graduation from the community college and it's really nice. We are very eager and willing to expand that program -- oops. Sorry. That went a little fast.

But basically, when you look at the community college focusing very much on technical skills for some of those kind of middle jobs that we are talking about and then the students can then take that degree and come to us, or what we see happen a lot is they take that degree and they go to the workforce. Let's say they go to the casino. Once they are in the casino, then they can come back to school.

So, they can come back to school part time with us, or they can take their on-line-course program or maybe they, you know, decide to leave the workforce for some period of time and come back to school, but some of the best students I have have come from the community

1 college and many of them enter our Commonwealth Honors
2 Program.

And I want to kind of mirror what Marie said because a lot of our students work. In fact, almost all of my students work. I serve on the scholarship committee.

So, I read these applications. I cannot tell you how many of my students are self-supporting. They need jobs to pay a living wage. So, to the extent that we have every job in these places paying living wages, it helps everybody in the long run.

So, we have people who are kind of coming in and searching for all kinds of jobs, but certainly we are graduating about 200 people, plus or minus, a year, and they're looking for internships while they're in school. They are looking for mentoring — either formal or informal mentoring programs. They are looking for jobs while they're in school. Some of them don't have to work but most of them do have to work, and they are within commuting of a western Mass. casino, and then they are looking for jobs when they graduate. You know, good, entry-level supervisory management jobs.

In terms of our work with the industry, I think we have some language in the bill that actually requires the applicants to address certain aspects of

workforce development. I didn't pick out everything but some of the things that are particularly good.

The applicant should address how they're going to utilize the existing workforce. So, that kind of is an invitation to say that you should know what your existing workforce is for this state; that it encourages the types of partnerships that we have been talking about.

How are you going to hire from the unemployed that encourages them to work with the organizations that are working with unemployed people?

What are the methods for accessing employment? That 45-minute application on line could be a huge barrier for some of the people that we work with, and maybe that's my legal services hat coming on.

The human resources departments are supposed to have hiring and training practices for developing career advancement and promotion from within. They want to see people have the ability for increased responsibility and pay grade. So, some really great language in there that says, Tell me, applicant. What are you going to have for resources for tuition reimbursement?

Hello. I like that one. On-site day care?
What are you going to have available for people so they can take advantage of those things?

So, there's some language in there that gives us some real opportunities. Affirmative action, workforce-development programs. All that stuff the applicant should be looking at at that time. So, it gives us lots of opportunities to create partnerships and that's kind of what we have been talking about all along, and certainly the legislature anticipated that.

I think that the industry is very, very good at training dealers. They're a numbers game. That's what they're about. That's really all they care about. The rest is the frosting on the cake. It's about cake. That's what holds everything up, and I think we are kidding ourselves if we don't admit that.

They are very good at training that, but if you want to take somebody who is a dealer and promote them into another type of job, they need to also have management skills, and I think that's where a program like UMass comes in. If you want to take people who have some of the other middle jobs and move them into management jobs that have higher pay and higher progression, then employees are going to have to get the management training.

That's where we are very helpful. So, they can come to us. We could actually come in and do intense training programs on site with the casinos. So, maybe what

1 the casinos do is every year they identify a group 20
2 rising stars within the casino.

These are people who we want to groom this year, and so once a month, you're going to come in, UMass, and you're going to do an intensive every Thursday -- every third Thursday, you're going to come in for three hours and do an intensive. Here's their workbook.

At the end of the year, they are going to get a certificate, and they are going to move into supervisory jobs from a housekeeping job or from a dealer's job or something like that. So, there's opportunities to do that.

We also -- because we already have an on-line program, we could do this all on line. It's very easy for us to customize that. We can change the content. We can change the length of time. We can completely change that, and we could do it for all of the casinos. None of this has to be proprietary for one or for the other because we are talking about skills that are going to enhance customer service or enhance the management skills for the whole workforce team.

We have a gentleman from Mohegan Sun, who was kind of an entry-level supervisor, and he went through our certificate program and said, This program is

```
fantastic. Every single manager here should go through it.
 2
    I want you to meet our HR guy, totally get this going.
                   Sure. We'd love to. Are you kidding?
 3
 4
    Absolutely.
 5
                   Well, that happened in 2008. It was a big
    year for us, and unfortunately, you know, that wasn't a
 6
 7
    time for them to be thinking about spending money on career
 8
    development, and so it didn't really proceed but we were
    ready to do it. I think the opportunities are there, and
10
    certainly we have the ability to do those types of things.
                   So, I really would like to see each licensee
11
    have either internal or outsourced management training,
12
13
    executive-development programs with two facets. One is
14
    identifying an in-house talent pool, and the other is
15
    having a program for recent college graduates where they're
16
    actually -- they are moving them into management, and when
17
    times are tough, sometimes that's a program that gets cut.
    So, I don't want to see that get cut. So, I think that's
18
19
    kind of an important thing.
20
                   And I'd like to see them working with UMass.
21
    There are some really great examples out there, UNLV,
22
    University of New Orleans. They work very closely with the
23
    casinos, and, you know, the industry actually funds
24
    significant funding to higher ed. in the states where they
```

are because they realize that they are going to get a really high-quality worker that enhances their product and brings them more money.

It's the next generation, if you will, of employees that feeds their, you know -- their production and they know it. So, it's to their advantage, and it's good PR as well to say they are giving money to UNLV.

So, I mean I would hope that part of the application process would show Memorandum of Understanding with the community colleges, with UMass, and things like that that, you know, says, This applicant understands what an asset they have in the state as far as education is concerned.

And just as kind of an aside, 70 percent of the students in our program at HTM come from Massachusetts, and you know, when I graduated from UNLV, we all wanted to travel. I mean in 11 years, I lived in six states and I was glad to do it, but most of our students -- they want to stay in Massachusetts, and I have students saying now, I'm going to go work for a casino company so that when they open up in four or five years, I can come back home.

So, I mean most of the students want to stay here. So, we have students who are from here. They want to stay here. It's a talent pool that, you know -- it's

our sons. It's our daughters. It's our assets, and it would be nice to have them stay here.

Just some closing thoughts. We have the resources in the state now to build the casino industry. We just need to kind of get a commitment on the part of the industry to hire local. Like you see those bumper stickers all the time, Buy local.

Hire local. Really. Hire local. You don't need to go outside of the state, and I think that the Commission actually has the ability to encourage that.

In Connecticut, as other people have mentioned, we did see people come from out of state, and there's a lot of problems that go along with that, substandard housing, educational drains, and things like that.

The flipside of that that we talked about is that cannibalization. Suddenly, the jobs get posted, and everybody moves to take those jobs and leaves local employers kind of screwed. So, we want to kind of avoid that, too.

So, by thinking strategically ahead of time, which is what we have been talking about, long-term strategic planning, how do we get people ready, move them into the jobs in a way that's kind of organic and takes

- shape without kind of putting a burden on anybody, is a win for everybody, I think, at the table.
- So, I look forward to working with everybody. Thank you.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- MR. MARTIN: As you can see, we do have the 5 6 training capacity in this region within our 7 community-college and four-year-college system that is very 8 adaptable to the needs of the gaming industry. We do have to address the workforce pipeline as far as career 10 readiness, as far as preparation and skills gathered to be 11 able to make sure that we can supply not only the gaming industry but our existing infrastructure of business and 12 13 industry.
 - I do want to apologize, Marie. I was remiss in not allowing the Commissioners to ask you questions, but for the sake of time, I figured we could open it up for more questions at this point. Commissioners.
 - COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: I just have one question. More of a comment, too. You know, I think it's pretty evident to Laurie's last point that we certainly have the training capacity and the assets within the state to provide an incoming gaming operator with the workforce that they are going to need and help them really meet their needs to open on time, and at the same time, you know,

training, workforce development, worker recruitment can be
a tremendous expense and trying to dispel that expense as
much as we can is helpful.

I had a question in terms of lots of incentives out there now, work opportunity, tax credits, benefits for hiring veterans, and maybe that is a question directed at the REB, but how do all of those incentives potentially play into workforce development regionally, statewide per location?

You know, the credits are out there. Is the REB giving thought to try to be a partner and use those for a gaming operator if they hire from those respective pools of people?

MR. MARTIN: We are looking at the utilization of work-opportunity tax credit. Not only that but the workforce-training-fund hiring, incentive training grants, looking at how we disburse -- our way of funding youth and adult dislocated workers to make sure we can complement any existing funds that come through for training to make sure -- because one of the things we have to do is be able to sustain this workforce pipeline, not just create a whole, huge training program that lasts two years and sort of disappears.

So, those types of opportunities will come

through as tax credit. Hopefully, the Workforce Competitiveness Trust Fund gets passed. That's based on sector initiatives as well. Any of those types of initiatives -- we would look at that, look at where the need is, and try to balance the career readiness. A lot of the programs are geared toward the more marginalized person we are trying to bring into this program. So, we look at utilizing those tools to also provide a trained workforce.

What's going to happen is there are going to be -- we are a workforce pipeline. We say from pre-K to gray. We look at the whole workforce pipeline, but this is not going to be one contiguous pipeline.

There are going to be streams of different inputs from the college system at different points of entry, whether they go directly to the community college, will they go to a four-year college on some kind of transfer compact, or they have to go through a workforce readiness training program for career readiness or GED.

As we look at those different streams and try to bring them into the overall contiguous workforce pipeline, being aware that the workforce is aging out, being aware that the workforce coming behind them is not as large. So, we utilize all those tools to make sure that that does happen.

Like Speros said earlier, we already started 1 2 the planning for this because I'm looking at all these 3 occupations and looking at the hope that holds for them and 4 the competencies. 5 Like I say, the colleges already have the capacity, but we want to make sure the other components, 6 7 the career readiness, the transitional-assistance component 8 -- all those components are brought in so we don't leave anybody behind. 9 10 We have heard a lot of examples of other casinos that have left a lot of individuals behind who 11 12 lived directly in that neighborhood. So, it did not have 13 the socioeconomic impact that we wanted. We don't want to 14 do that. So, we are looking at this right now to envision how we can include individuals and citizens, regardless of 15 where this ends up, into the mix here. Did that answer 16 17 your question? 18 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Yes. 19 COMMISSIONER McHUGH: I had a question as to 20 -- all these presentations described terrific programs. Are there lines of demarcation between what they each deal 21 22 with, or should there be? 23 MR. MESSNER: Yeah. There is and there 24 isn't. Certainly, you can distinguish between a

certificate program, an associate-degree program, a
baccalaureate, a graduate program, and the like. So, there
are some lines of demarcation.

On the other hand, many of us do more than one of those things and there is overlap and even competition in some areas, and frankly, one of the reasons that -- the prime reason that six months ago we went ahead and said, Hey. We want to take a collaborative approach toward this, is that we didn't think it was a good use of any of our resources or of your time and energy to be dealing with 100 different workforce providers; and that if we could take a more concentrated, collaborative approach irrespective of the lines of demarcation or lack of same, it would be in everybody's best interest, and so one of the things that then becomes incumbent upon us, the providers, if you will, is sitting down at a table and our approach now is to do that on a regional basis, and hash out who is doing what.

You get the sense there's a rich array of training programs available on all levels and there certainly are. We simply need to determine how are we going to approach this challenge so we can maximize our resources and minimize the competition among us.

MR. MARTIN: One of the comments that I've

```
gotten from my colleagues in the eastern part of the state
 1
 2
    was, We didn't know you had such a great relationship with
    the community-college system on the REBs and how we
 3
 4
    interact with our partner REBs in the north and to the
 5
    east, and I think that's a benefit to this region that we
    can work with the community-college system and
 6
 7
    four-year-college system; and yes, there's always going to
 8
    be some healthy level of competition, but yet we can
    collaborate together and create a synergy if necessary
10
                   CHAIRMAN CROSBY: This is something that, at
11
    some point, is going to be an issue that we are going to
    need some help with. I just throw it out there and you can
12
13
    speak to it or just be thinking about it.
14
                   Because of the peculiar nature of this
15
    business, we have to do background checks on all of our
16
    employees. We have a legislative mandate for all of the
17
    gaming commissions. We have to do background checks and
    drug testing, and we will probably mandate some pretty high
18
19
    level of similar scrutiny for the employees of the gaming
    facilities.
20
21
                   CORI checks, credit checks, drug testing
22
    tends to discriminate against a certain socioeconomic
23
    cohort of people, many of whom are the people most needing
```

the job training, the help, and the jobs.

So, we have got a problem. You know, how do we match this important market audience resource with our job opportunity, and can we? I don't know exactly what the answer to that is, but it would be a pity if we ended up having to define out of this upward mobility opportunity a whole cohort of people who, because of their backgrounds, can't access.

MR. MESSNER: That's an aspect that we are well aware of. One of the things that we talked about at our initial meeting in the western region was, You know, are there certain of the workforce partners who have a particular expertise in that area, running CORI checks and all the rest.

So, we are currently hashing that out among ourselves. We know full well that that dimension to the issue along with the need, you know, for a graduate program or a baccalaureate program -- you're talking two, three, four, five years necessitates that we are going to have to take on this challenge right now. You know, even if the casinos aren't going to be in operation until 2015, 2016, whatever the date is, we need to start now.

One of the casinos' developers described it as a funnel. If you've got 10,000 jobs that need to be filled, we are probably going to have to generate 20 to

30,000 initial individuals for those jobs because of that screening process that needs to be put in place.

DR. SALAME: Well, isn't there -- I'm sorry.

4 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Go ahead.

DR. SALAME: Don't we have different criteria for gaming employees versus non-gaming employees in terms of the level of scrutiny of the application? So, maybe that's another way to think about it. In terms of moving up pay grade, certain areas of the properties may always be unavailable to certain people, and they should know that -- that should be -- part of the employee training program is to educate people to know that, but with any cash-handling position -- you know, if you wanted to work in banking, that would probably be the case as well.

MR. MARTIN: Sometimes it all depends on the level of CORI we are talking about. Felony, how deep into the credit check do you go. That's where you -- I run a lot of training programs, and I've lost some students because of issues, selective service or whatever.

So, it depends, you know, what the particular facility is looking for. Are they looking at just disincluding someone that has a felony or above? Will they include certain levels of CORI? One of our partners

```
at the career centers has a level of expertise in that as
 1
 2
    well as, you know, dealing with this type of situations as
 3
    well as our community-based organizations we work with.
                   CHAIRMAN CROSBY: We haven't obviously
 4
 5
    thought this through yet about how we would handle that,
 6
    but one thing just occurred to me as you were talking,
 7
    Bill, about your program. You know, could there be some
 8
    kind of a training/certification process, which, if it were
    successfully completed, could neutralize the negative
10
    effect of a credit check problem or a CORI problem?
                   I don't know.
                                   I haven't thought about that.
11
    I'm wondering if Atlantic community colleges -- you know,
12
13
    they must have the same issues. I wonder whether if you
14
    are certified by that curriculum, even if you had a problem
15
    prior to that certification, does that say to the casino
16
    operators in Atlantic City that since you got this
    certification, you are okay. Never mind the problem you
17
18
    used to have.
                                Certificate of readiness?
19
                   DR. SALAME:
20
                   CHAIRMAN CROSBY:
                                     Pardon?
                   DR. SALAME: Certificate of readiness?
21
22
                   CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Something like that.
23
    Yeah.
24
                   MR. MARTIN: At the end of the day, it's
```

```
going to be whether the casino operator is going to
 1
 2
    recognize that. That's really the key. Would they be
    willing -- if the individual had a challenge but they went
 3
 4
    through a six-month financial, customer service, whatever,
 5
    will that organization say that's acceptable to you?
 6
    That's the key.
 7
                   COMMISSIONER CAMERON:
                                          A point I wanted to
 8
           It's actually not up to the casino operators. It's
    up to us. We will put the licensing standards in place,
10
    and I'm not aware of any program where significant issues
    in licensing can be overcome by independent training. Just
11
    not willing to take those -- to take those risks when it
12
13
    comes to licenses.
14
                   MR. MARTIN: I guess it depends on the level
    of the position, too. Obviously, if you're a
15
16
    financial-services manager, operating can insist that you
17
    cannot accept felonies because of that.
                   COMMISSIONER CAMERON: There are several
18
19
    levels of background investigations, but even the
20
    lower-level investigations are significant when it comes to
    those kinds of issues.
21
22
                   MR. MARTIN: So, if we look at -- all of our
23
    organizations always look at career track, not just placing
    individuals in jobs. So, if we look at different levels of
24
```

```
career track, maybe there's an opportunity at the lower,
 1
 2
    entry-level positions that would not be as acute, and as
 3
    you work your way up, through professional development,
 4
    take this particular course that was designed for a
 5
    four-year or community college, maybe that's your point of
    entry because you already proved yourself as a good
 6
 7
    employee. So, that might be an approach to it as well.
 8
                   COMMISSIONER McHUGH: However we sell it --
 9
    I mean however we approach it, I echo the Chairman's
    thought and Commissioner Cameron's thought that,
10
    particularly on the credit -- I mean we are in a time of
11
    enormous economic reversal when a lot of people have gotten
12
13
    into credit trouble. Now the jobs have come to potentially
14
    get them out of the problems.
15
                   If they are going to be disqualified because
16
    they had problems, we are really not going to make this
17
    thing work the way it should. So, somehow we've got to
18
    come to grips with that, and that starts perhaps with the
19
    training and screening.
20
                   MR. MARTIN: But as Commissioner Cameron
21
    mentioned, if we're setting policies and procedures, it's
22
    going to be very helpful in addressing that situation as
23
    long as it's equitable for the trainees as well the
24
    organization that's looking to place roots here.
```

COMMISSIONER McHUGH: We've got to work 1 2 together to figure out how to address that. 3 MR. MARTIN: I think so. Are there any more 4 Mr. Crosby, how would you like to proceed? questions? 5 Open dialoque? I think we're done, 6 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: No. 7 and I'm just reminded by Commissioner Stebbins that my job 8 was to wrap up. I just want to thank you all and your 9 10 predecessor panels. This has been great stuff. As usual, I'm walking away with page after page of notes. 11 Some of the ideas that came out, this issue 12 13 about the host and surrounding communities, really 14 negotiating quickly up front for mitigation monies so they are not just laying back waiting for our monies, talking 15 about using the RPAs, talking about putting time frames in 16 17 the community-mitigation agreements so that we can reopen the window and renegotiate -- I mean there's a whole host 18 19 of really interesting ideas that have come out of this, and it is a tremendous resource for us in the Commission as we 20 21 will get to the next phase of writing the regs that are

site specific that will really have to do with the

particular proposals, and we're going to need a vast amount

of help from folks like all these panels to help us figure

22

23

out what do we put in these regs. What are the gaming criteria, and this kind of forum has been tremendously helpful. So, to all of you, I say thank you very To those of you in the audience behind me, I'm sorry I've had my back to you all day long, but thank you very much for coming. We now, I think, will actually switch to an actual meeting of the Commission in order that we can deliberate together a little bit about some of the things you all have talked about. So, we'll take a quick break and then we'll come up front and begin a meeting. Thank you, again, very much. (Meeting adjourned at 5:20 p.m.)

Commonwealth of Massachusetts 1 2 County of Worcester CERTIFICATE 3 4 I, Elizabeth O. Bailey, CSR and Notary Public in the 5 Commonwealth of Massachusetts (my commission expires 6 3/11/16), do hereby certify that the foregoing record is a 7 true and accurate transcript of my stenographic notes taken 8 on August 8, 2012, at the Educational Forum on Casino Gaming sponsored by the Massachusetts Gaming Commission; 10 and that the transcript was prepared in compliance with the Administrative Office of the Trial Court Directive on 11 12 Transcript Format. 13 14 15 16 //Elizabeth O. Bailey// 17 Elizabeth O. Bailey, CSR 18 19 20 21 //Elizabeth Tice// 22 Elizabeth Tice, President, OfficeSolutionsPlusLLC 23 My commission expires: August 26, 2016 24