i	
	Page 1
1	COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
2	MASSACHUSETTS GAMING COMMISSION
3	PUBLIC MEETING #223
4	
5	
6	CHAIRMAN
7	Stephen P. Crosby
8	
9	COMMISSIONERS
10	Lloyd Macdonald
11	Enrique Zuniga
12	Bruce Stebbins
13	Gayle Cameron
14	
15	
16	
17	MASSACHUSETTS GAMING COMMISSION
18	101 Federal Street, 12th Floor
19	Boston, Massachusetts
20	August 10, 2017
21	10:04 a.m 3:08 p.m.
22	
23	
24	

PROCEEDINGS

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: We're calling to order public meeting 223 on Thursday,
August 10th at the Gaming Commission office on Federal Street at 10:00 in the morning.
We are having some technical problems which we have tweeted out and e-mailed out and told everybody about. But for people in the room, I'm going to ask Mike Sangalang to explain what the alternatives are. We are going to be able to stream over Facebook. It just came up here, but this is just an inside feed, right?

MR. SANGALANG: That's just the inside feed for now.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Just in case anybody here wants to send notes out to anybody who might be watching.

MR. SANGALANG: We are working on a fix for massgaming.com, but currently you can watch the stream on Facebook.com slash MA Gaming C-O-M-M. That's our Faceback page. Again, that's Facebook.com slash MA

Gaming C-O-M-M. In the first comment you can find a link to the packet which is being hosted on a drop-box site, and you can download it and view it there. Again, it's in the first comment on our Facebook page, and the post is pinned to the top.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Is it up?

THE FLOOR: The site is back up.

MR. SANGALANG: The site is back up everyone.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: We're performing miracles.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Nice going, Mike.

So whatever, you can watch it wherever you want. We're everywhere. Director Ziemba suggested that it might be North Korea messing around with us. Okay. We are going to go first to the approval of minutes, Commissioner Macdonald.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Yes. I move that we approve the minutes of June -- of our meeting of June 28, 2017 and the minutes of our July 13th meeting 2017 subject to corrections for typographical

Page 4 1 errors and for other nonmaterial matters. 2 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Second? 3 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Second. 4 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Anybody have comments? On the first set, whatever that 5 6 date was --7 COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: June 28th. 8 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Is that what it 9 is? Yes, June 28th at 1:58 p.m. it's just 10 a totally minor typo, Cecilia, SERPAD is 11 the initials are wrong one of the two 12 times. See it? Anything else? All in 13 favor? COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: 14 Aye. 15 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: 16 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: 17 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Aye. 18 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Opposed? 19 have it unanimously. And now we are 20 onto -- welcome back our long lost director 21 of gaming and responsible gaming research, Mark Vander Linden. 22 23 MR. VANDER LINDEN: Great. 24 you. Good morning, Commissioners.

1 COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Good

2 morning.

MR. VANDER LINDEN: I'm glad to still have that title.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: How was your trip?

MR. VANDER LINDEN: It was pretty

incredible. I felt like I was truly able

to kind of disconnect for a period, and I'm

ready to reconnect.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Great, nice to have you back.

MR. VANDER LINDEN: Thank you. I am here today with associate commissioner of DPH Lindsey Tucker and the Director of Problem Gambling Services at DPH Victor Ortiz. Today they are going to give you an update on the activities that are happening over at DPH as it relates to addressing problem-gambling. But before I officially turn it over to them, I would like to just give a little bit of background.

Chapter 23K is clear in its intent to mitigate the negative consequences of expanded gaming in Massachusetts,

5 6

specifically Chapter -- Section 58
establishes the Public Health Trust Fund to
assist, and this is a quote, assist social
services and public health programs
dedicated to addressing problems associated
with -- they call it compulsive gambling.
I'll call it disorder gambling -- as well
as the annual research agenda.

While the Public Health Trust Fund has not technically been established, the MGC each year so far has included in its budget money for these purposes. In 2014 there was an MOU established between the Mass. Gaming Commission and the Executive Office of Health and Human Services. That was executed by our Chairman Crosby as well as former secretary of EOHHS Polanowicz. The terms of this MOU I pull out three important pieces.

First, it grants equal authority between MGC and EOHHS or DPHS as they're executing it to establish a budget and problematic and service priorities. It establishes a five minute public -- five

member Public Health Trust Fund Executive
Committee, which is cochaired by Associate
Commissioner Tucker as well as Chairman
Crosby. And, finally, in its overall
purpose is to assure the alignment of
problem-gambling and responsible gaming
programs and services and research efforts.

In 2016 the Public Health Trust Fund executive committee adopted a strategic plan for services to mitigate the harms associated with problem-gambling. This strategic plan lays the groundwork for the development of a public health response to assist individuals in communities most affected by the expansion of gambling in Massachusetts.

Today, Lindsey and Victor will talk to you about the efforts that are underway to implement that strategic plan. So far it's a multiyear strategic plan, but I won't go into too much detail about that. I will turn it over to them right now, so thank you.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Great.

MS. TUCKER: Thank you so much,
Good morning. I'm Lindsey Tucker.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Good morning.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Good

morning.

Mark.

MS. TUCKER: I'm the associate commissioner of the Department of Public Health. This is Victor Ortiz, our director of problem gambling services. And it's really a pleasure to join you here today. I've been in the job for about two years now, and it's great to have this opportunity to come and speak with you about the really exciting work that we're doing. You know that the work here in Massachusetts is groundbreaking, and we definitely feel that at the public health team we are part of that, and that's exciting.

Today we're going to provide you with an update on the work that we've been engaged in specifically over the last year that really all stemmed from the strategic plan that Mark talked about, and it was

really a foundational year, fiscal year '17
was a foundational year for the work that
we did. Kicking off what will be a
multiyear and maybe many, many, many, years
of the work that we can do together and
that we need to to adequately address the
expansion of gambling here in
Massachusetts. And for us one of the
things that's exciting and that we talk
about in our executive committee meetings
is that the programming work at DPH really
ducktails and needs to compliment the
research agenda, and so those really go
hand in hand.

Before we jump into that and before Victor talks about the work that we do, I wanted to just give you a very brief overview of how we think about things at the Department of Public Health. As you know, we are part of the broader executive office of the health and human services secretariat, and we are one of many agencies.

We have about 3,000 employees, and

we serve folks from birth to death. Vital records are under us, so it is quite literally birth records and death records and everything in between. And our commissioner, Dr. Monica Varrel, this is the framing that we use to think about the work that we do. We call this our DPH house. The mission and the vision have been consistent for many years for the department.

The things that I wanted to focus on here with you today just for a minute are these three pillars that really drive our work, and that drives our work across everything whether we're talking about health promotion and prevention, whether we're talking about infectious disease and safety and surveillance, when we're talking about patient safety and quality in nursing homes and hospitals. And, so, it has also infused our work around problem-gambling and problem-gambling services.

So data at which really also ties to the research agenda here for the work that

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

we do is just critical to informing our work and to ensuring that what we do is based on the data and is based on the evidence. And one of the things that we really focus on is called the social determinates of health, and the determinates of health looking at the things that are where we live, work and play and how that really impacts health outcomes. In fact, much more than what happens in your doctor's office. So as we think about expanded gambling and we think about casinos in communities for the first time, that really shifts those social determinates because it changes where people are living and working and playing.

And then looking at disparities or looking at health equity and ensuring that everyone in Massachusetts has the ability to live the healthiest life as possible, and the ability to make choices that allow them to live that healthiest life possible.

You may know that we are one of the healthiest states in the nation behind just

2

4

5

7

6

8

10

12

11

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

Vermont right now. We sort of toggle between two and three with Hawaii, and so we are one of the healthiest states but within certain populations and certain demographics that maybe based on socioeconomic status or race or gender, there are differential health outcomes. And some of those are not okay, and we really need to focus on those inequities and making sure, again, that everybody has the ability to live and the choices that allow them to be the healthiest possible. So that is our frame that I just wanted to provide you with just to give you a little bit of context of the overall work that you do.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: I hadn't heard that before, and it's really good. It's really interesting. It's very compatible with the kinds of issues that we established, the mission values and kind of structure that we established and it's really well-presented. That's good.

MS. TUCKER: Thank you. So when we

think about the expanded gaming legislation, we're quite grateful for the Public Health Trust Fund and for the work it has already allowed us to do with your team and the work that it will continue to allow us to do. And when we think about expanded gaming, we want to think about it in the broadest way possible.

And, so, similar to how we're attacking the opioid epidemic, which is putting everything on the table and really thinking broadly and creatively about different ways to address it, wanting to be sure that we're using lots of stakeholders and lots of communities and thinking really broadly about what it means for a community to have a casino, and how we may think about it from a public health perspective. So with that, I will turn it over to Victor.

MR. ORTIZ: Thank you, Lindsey. Good morning, Commissioners.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Good morning.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Good morning.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Good

morning.

MR. ORTIZ: I am just as equally

excited to be here this morning. This is fantastic as a person who's labored in the field of problem-gambling for the last seven, eight years. The work I have to say we are doing here in Massachusetts is incredible, and I am so glad to be a part of it playing my role as the director of problem-gambling services. It's a great environment at the Department of Public Health.

Before I get into talking about speaking about our work at DPH, I want to take a minute to give a little bit of a background on sort of the work of DPH in relations to problem-gambling.

Currently we provide and historically we provide outpatient treatment services that sort of sits in around 41 centers for individuals who are seeking treatment for gambling disorders. As well as to support that work, we also

_

have a statewide capacity building contract that supports that work through a variety of different deliverable relating to training and helping services and our state certificate to make sure that people have the competency to deliver those counseling services.

That historically has been held by the Mass. Council on Compulsive Gambling, and I just want to take a minute just to acknowledge the Mass. Council, which historically has for many, many years have labored in that work on -- and I am a former employee of the Mass. Council, so I might be giving myself a little self-praise here, so I apologize for that, but really to recognize their labor and work for many years in the space.

As we think about moving forward and Mark and Lindsey talked a little bit about the strategic plan, but I just wanted to kind of start there a little bit and that the strategic plan obviously gave us a purpose and a focus. And Mark and Lindsey

talked about that briefly. And it is that strategic plan once it was completed, I was informed that it's my work plan and so I thought of two things. I said, A, I have a lot of work; and, two, I'm going to be around for quite a while.

But in the strategic plan lays out some specific priority areas and key areas of concern. So when we wrapped up the strategic plan -- it was adopted in April -- we went back to DPH and said, "Wow, there is a lot on this table here. Where do we start?" And, so, for the thinking around the table was let's look at the first four, right, and specifically by that time we had the baseline data from the SEIGMA team, and we had some other points of reference and so we started there.

So our focus was on those first four bullets, which is prevention for youth, prevention for high-risk populations, focus on community level interventions and the coordination of problem-gambling services.

We felt strongly that with the resources

that we had the funding along with those priority areas that that was the best approach to be able to go into, as Lindsey stated, that those foundational elements that were important to the work and think about it.

And the strategic plan also calls and lays out what is called a continuum of services. And this continuum of services is very typical and what is called individualized approach to services. And, historically, in the gambling -- the field of problem-gambling, the majority of that effort lies in that green section, which is the treatment space. It's the bulk of efforts historically that has happened in our field is in that sort of treatment space.

Simultaneously, we thought it was important to think about infrastructure and capacity building as that long pillar underneath and data collection as two major pieces to continue to think about solidifying that continuum services. Then

we had another thought in the process of developing the strategic plan that really created an opportunity, as Lindsey mentioned, about thinking about a much broader approach to thinking about how do we mitigate the harms associated with gambling, and that is -- and the strategic plan lays out this eco-system map.

Now, let me just say that this is what gets me excited every day to come to work. Because in the field of gambling that continuum services, even though it's in that sort of space, lives in that middle piece thinking about culpability, disassociation of gambling related to substance abuse or mental health disorders or things of that nature.

But there is very little work that is done outside of that box to think about the larger issues related to the domestic violence or things that at nature of the impact of a casino on a community versus the impact on gambling on the individual.

And I had a young lady who was an intern

3

4

2

5

7

6

9

8

11

10

13

12

14

15

1617

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

during this time, and she did an environmental scan and it connected with about over 30 of our colleagues who belong to the association of problem-gambling service administrators and did this quick scan to ask people two things; one, to find out what kind of problematic work was done in other states relating to the association of domestic violence and gambling or thinking about human trafficking and this is the appropriate term that people probably best known as prostitution in gambling. And there was no information that was available, no program efforts that were underway to tackle these areas of concern. And so to us, this is an area that's groundbreaking, of thinking about that broader approach to gambling that has not ever been sort of conceptually theoretically talked about but not done.

MS. TUCKER: Can you go back one second?

MR. ORTIZ: Yes.

MS. TUCKER: One of the other things

20

2.1

22

23

24

that we talked about -- so it's very hard to see but in your packet the lower right-hand there is an asterisk and it talks about how some of this -- some of these items on the eco-system map are appropriately addressed with the community mitigation fund. So as we think in our work with the Public Health Trust Fund executive committee about how we want to be directing our dollars, and then also understanding there are these other efforts to think about mitigation funds and activities for communities recognizing that there is some overlap and making sure that we are just thinking strategically about that, so just in case you noticed that.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: I had a question. You mentioned that there was no information out there, correct, in any other --

MR. ORTIZ: Very limited I would say, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: So the information you have at this point is

anecdotal?

MR. ORTIZ: Yes, there's --

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: There is no data to support these other -- these other issues, significant issues and their relationship to problem-gambling.

MS. TUCKER: Sorry, if you could just clarify where we understand data versus where we understand efforts to address the issues.

MR. ORTIZ: There's two issues here.

One is that on the data side. There is some data, but it's limited and it's questionable and that's one, because it's never been a focal point of studies; two, our efforts is to really think about as we -- because there is some thinking that there is an association do we take an approach of going into these spaces to gather more information to make some determination of an approach or an effort and is there a potential harm and sort of really just taking some careful steps of sort of looking at it, evaluating it or

A harm in

engaging to see if there is a harm or not.

2

COMMISSIONER CAMERON:

collecting the data?

those associations.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

MR. ORTIZ: A harm in regards to the association of gambling, does something like gambling really create some affect in regards to domestic violence or is there some connection with crime, is there a connection with increased tobacco use,

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: I just -- I love the work we're doing with crime, because we are coming up with real data. And that is very helpful in old stereotypic ideas, or it's very helpful in an immediate strategy if there tends to be an issue. So, I just think the more data you're able to ascertain will help with what's real.

MS. TUCKER: Absolutely. And all of the work that we are doing is data-driven and Victor is now -- I hold him back, but he is now going to get into the spaces that we were working over the past year and future year, and it is not around those

specific areas that he just mentioned, so I think that might help.

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 2.1

22

23

24

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: And isn't it fair to say, and I guess this goes to Mark, isn't it fair to say that one of the focuses of the research program is to develop the data on these issues to identify to the extent of the co-morbidities and relationship amongst the different problem sets?

> CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Causality.

MR. VANDER LINDEN: Yes, absolutely. That's actually a clear directive from the Expanded Gaming Act in 23K that the program services that Lindsey and Victor are talking about, which would be informed by findings from the research agenda whenever, wherever possible.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: And just to reinforce that, I think I know where you are going and what you're thinking and, I think, everybody is on the same page on that is that debates about gambling are, as I often say, are typically formed by bias,

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1 22

23

24

myth, anecdote rarely by data and starting with our act, and we've really pushed that. There are issues with gambling. We all know that, but there is also a lot of pathology about gambling. We need to distinguish between the two.

And, so, the heart of the -- that is why it's in their chart, too -- the heart of the underlying program is data. we're trying to figure out where bias, myth and anecdote are realistic and where they are and act accordingly.

MR. ORTIZ: Thank you. So, additionally, our strategic plan breaks, and Lindsey covered this so I'll just mention it for a quick second, that phases of activity and really phase one are where we are currently at, and it talks about infrastructure, development because obviously it's a critical point in this work as we develop it, and obviously the second phase is the implementation of services.

So now I'm going to transition and

introduce sort of the three initiatives that are -- for the FY17 that we undertook and those categorized into those three areas, and those areas that I discussed earlier about the priority areas. So one is prevention for youth, parents and at-risk populations, our initiative around workforce development community health workers, and then sort of some workforce development that's specific to treatment providers.

And, so, additionally, I also want to mention as a point of reference that in thinking about this work, we thought it was best to sort of -- to start where we know that there is a slot parlor now exist, which is obviously Plainridge Park Casino. So all of our efforts was to sort of focus in on that Region C slash Plainville area as a starting point, because the optimal way of approach in this work is to do that beforehand. But in light of the fact that we're doing it now, we wanted to obviously address and go into that region.

So, for the prevention for youth, parents and at-risk population, as we were just talking about data, because obviously data is really critical, right, and we want to be able to be precise with our public health work that is driven by data and information. And, so, again, this is another area where when we talk about prevention for gambling, very limited in these sort of the body of literature.

So we undertook what is called the regional planning process, and this consisted of three major pieces. One was to review regional data, which encompassed quite a bit of the baseline data as well as the deeper analysis that was given to us as we're embarking on that as well, so that was extremely helpful. So I just want to make a note to say thank you to SEIGMA. Key informant interviews as well as focused groups. And this is another way of collecting information. So the conclusion of all of that process led us to focus on these three specific groups.

So for youth, we focused in on 12 to 18 year olds as a target for change, and this is sort of based on the notion that the earlier that you start to gamble the more it becomes a problem later on in life or it becomes higher risk in the category. That is both identified in the SEIGMA study as well as a national body of evidence.

Parents who are agents of change, and the at-risk population became an interesting debate among the parties, because there is so many at-risk groups, how do we focus and, again, it goes back to that data point because that's where we sort of centered on our thinking.

And, so, based on the SEIGMA data, we realized that there is some areas that we can clear up the thinking here. So we focused on men of color, because it speaks that African-Americans or blacks are five times more likely to have a gambling-related problem who have a history of substance abuse, another at-risk group, right, that has been identified in the

data. So we focused in on that specific group as a starting point for the at-risk population.

So what have we learned? We learned quite a bit through this process. It was extremely informative that would inform our work in regards to prevention work on the ground level. And I'll just mention a focus on one so just save us some time and we can move onto other things. But, one, that youth come into contact with participating gambling more than their parents think they do, which is interesting, right? For our youth focus --

MS. TUCKER: You're a parent. Maybe it's not that interesting.

MR. ORTIZ: Exactly, so I have four.

Anyway, I can tell stories about that when
we end this presentation. But youth
recognized in gambling, engaging in
gambling in ways that we just really think
we underestimate their level of
understanding and involvement, and so
there's a significant disconnect between

parents and youth.

.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Do you have an example of how young people, say 12 year olds gamble?

MR. ORTIZ: It's a variety of different ways that they gambling, lottery, which is one, although --

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Private lottery?

MR. ORTIZ: Underground lottery, school lotteries, raffles. There is a whole cohort of gambling activity that they engage in specifically even internet as well, which is another big thing because of the social medica technology aspect.

MS. TUCKER: If I remember correctly, some of the stories that came out were not gambling in the way we might typically think about it but, for example, betting on scores on a test at school or betting on little league games or something like that where it's the beginnings of gambling but not necessarily in the structure that we would think about it.

MR. ORTIZ: Even video games, internet. It's just really an interesting world of a window to look in to see youth in participation of gambling. It's quite incredible. But the interesting part is parents are unware of their level of involvement in some of these activities, so there's a significant disconnect.

MS. TUCKER: If I might add one more thing?

MR. ORTIZ: Yes, you can.

MS. TUCKER: I think the disconnect also was at youth recognizing when their parents were gambling, and so being more aware of gambling in their world. They personally may not be gambling, but they knew their parents were and their parents were surprised that the kids were aware of that, so there's that kind of disconnect that came out at focus groups as well.

MR. ORTIZ: So there's an opportunity here to bridge those gaps, you know, in regards to parent and youth.

Additionally, the other things we

learned -- I'll pick one other thing -- is that men with a history of substance abuse have a clear understanding of a connection between problem-gambling and other types of addiction.

You know, I think there again go into that misconception piece where we think and believe that people with addictive disorders don't have any awareness of gambling. In large part there is level of knowledge that they have.

Now, where does that source come from? I also would just throw out there that I think that the Mass. Council has done a phenomenal job of building capacity in our recovery communities, specifically Jodi who has done a phenomenal job of it. So, I think, that that really plays to a large degree of some knowledge building there, but, again, it's things that we've learned.

Now, what we've done is once we gather what we learned and look at all the various pieces of information, we then sort

of went to another phase, which is then sort of thinking about developing what messages do we develop for youth, parents and at-risk populations.

And the folks from EDC created this triangle as what they call a communications tool kit. That's a really great model that they use in substance abuse prevention.

That is you develop a core message that's surrounded around three components that are really important. One is, what's the problem statement, what's the call to action, and then what is the problematic strategy?

This allows us to focus on the core message, and then sort of work around as a way to maximize the work in a way that you have these strategies built in around the message. And, so, they develop these messages along with those three areas as a sort of -- as a focus for youth, and this will allow us the opportunity as we think about building it out with programs or we think about initiatives we will always,

always be true to our message, although we can expand those boxes in many different ways.

Now, for today's time, I'm just going to show you the messages for both parents and men of color, because obviously we've also did those individuals as well. So for the next one we did another message for parents as well as men of color who have a history of substance abuse.

And, I think, this is really a cool thing that we have right now this is going to inform both our prevention work at the ground level or to be sort of circled around those messages as well as our, you know, communications campaigns that we have planned for the future and things of that nature that can be utilized by all of us by a core message that we want to be able to put out there.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Is there any data on the number of people -- number of African-American men that have substance abuse problems that also have gambling

problems? We know there is a propensity. We know there is an association. But is it -- is that sort of run-of-the-mill that substance abuse people also have gambling problems or do you know the incidents, the prevalence of incidents?

MR. ORTIZ: Well, I hear two different questions. One, is there an association between gambling and substance misuse and then African-Americans? I think that to answer that question is very complicated. You're going to see later on in my slides that, I think, that the interplay of gambling and substance abuse also has an interplay of other things, which is mental health, crime, poverty and a multitude of things and to try to extract it out of just to association is quite challenging. Because we know the nature of gambling is so interconnected to these other things.

Now, the issue of African-Americans and other groups, even Latinos and other groups, I would say that our field to

problem-gambling historically has been disconnected from two things. One, the community level experience of gambling as well as communities of color.

So we have an awesome opportunity -I know that we have a special population
work that Mark is leading that allows us
the opportunity to kind of dig into it a
little bit to see we know that there is
something there. What exactly is it? We
just are not really clear, and hopefully in
time we will get to see that.

MS. TUCKER: I do think also, and we can followup with the specific members, but some of the baseline studies did talk about there being higher rates of problem-gambling among men and also higher rates of problem-gambling among people of color; is that accurate?

MR. ORTIZ: Yes.

MS. TUCKER: And then we also know that there are co-occurring disorders for many folks who have problem gambling, whether that is mental health or substance

1 abuse and so we put those together both --2 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Sort of 3 triangulated the problem rather than 4 surveying the problem. MS. TUCKER: Correct. 5 6 MR. ORTIZ: So now I want to move 7 onto our workforce development community 8 health workers. You know, all of these 9 initiatives, I love them all but I'm a 10 community health worker at heart, so I have 11 a slight bias here in this space here. But 12 our community health workers had two 13 different activities initiatives. 14 MS. TUCKER: May I interrupt you 15 again? MR. ORTIZ: 16 Yes. 17 MS. TUCKER: Are you all familiar 18 with what a community health worker is? 19 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: I had to ask, so 20 feel free. 2.1 MS. TUCKER: I think it might be 22 helpful just to --23 MR. ORTIZ: Let me give a little 24 background. Let me transition into a

little background and maybe share some examples of what a community health worker is. Community health workers are to me and, again, are sort of the bedrock cornerstone of public health work, because the work the community workforce provides is essential to what Lindsey described is thinking about that broad-base approach.

In essence, what a community health worker is that they bring sort of a cultural, social economic status and racial diversity and understanding of this work in a way that you can't build training or capacity of individuals, because they understand wholeheartedly what it is, how it is and where it is. And let me give you an example that might kind of center us a little bit.

All of us are familiarized with Amy, who is a GameSense adviser, right, and she's awesome. I think we can all agree to that. She's phenomenal. I remember my interactions with Amy is that one of the things about Amy is she brings a lot of

great qualities as a GameSense adviser.

One of the things that she also brings is an intimate knowledge of how that gaming floor works. What is that culture, what is that like and how do people respond to that?

You can't train that to people, to folks. They have this initial ability to understand how to navigate that environment, and I think that plays a significant role of, A, building trust in that environment and when people are able to seek -- want to get information from her or are able to ask for help, they would connect with her in a way because she understands that environment.

In essence, that is an example that I'm attempting to utilize of what a community health worker is in sort of a small sense. But in a larger sense, it's people who belong who are part of the community, understand the community and understand how to sort of navigate those issues.

And, so, as a former community
health worker, I took great pride in sort
of working the community, understanding
those communities, understanding where
people were who had issues, where they were
at, what goes on in a way that you just
can't train somebody for.

MS. TUCKER: And community health workers often can work for community health centers or other health systems. They can work for community-based organizations and often or always they are individuals from that community. And, so, if there is a particular issue in a neighborhood, again, as Victor was saying, it's then much easier to communicate with folks because there aren't language barriers or cultural barriers or other barriers that you might find with other folks in the health care workforce because a community health worker is really of that community.

And, so, we see there's a lot of really excellent outreach work that can happen, because there is just a lot more

trust that immediately comes with those relationships, and a lot of community health centers and other community hospitals and spaces use community health workers to help get folks in the door when it's appropriate, and also help keep them out of the clinical space when it's appropriate.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: And,
Lindsey, are these community health workers
employees of DPH?

MS. TUCKER: No. What DPH does for community health workers right now is twofold. There was a state law passed a number of years ago. I'm not sure how many. One of the pieces that we do is there is a Bureau of Health Professions Licensure in the Department of Public Health and we oversee nurses and dentists and pharmacists. And as of maybe about five years ago, we also now have a board of registration for community health workers. So legislative effort to professionalize the work force. It's not a required

1 2

license. It's a certification, but that is something we are actually finalizing the regulations and getting them out there so that folks can have the required training and get the certification.

And, so, we have that certification board that sits within DPH, and then we also have a group within our bureau of community and health prevention that works with community health workers around training and other things to make sure that the other areas of the workforce are connected to them in a way that is helpful.

So we may have contracts with community-based organizations that hirer community health workers, but they don't necessarily work for us unless it's someone like Victor who has other parts of his job.

MR. ORTIZ: So the next segment is just talk a little bit about the results from the needs assessments. And, so, interesting we sort of -- there's three different categories where the needs assessment sort of outlined, and so the

first one is the observation about local problem-gambling. Here, again, in our report there is many of these points. I just wanted to extract one or two points for our presentation today. And that is people increasingly exposed to engaging in a variety of gaming types.

Now, the significant of this is that we understand that from the deeper analysis, for example, that the more folks are engaged in gambling activities the more -- the higher the rate of it becoming a problem in their experience. And, so, this is something obviously to think about that at the community level, there are many different forms of gambling types at the community level currently as we speak.

The other piece is the -- the other piece which is the perception nature of problem-gambling and the challenge of helping people, which is the ultimate goal of the community health worker initiative is for them to be able to screen people and refer them for services and help.

Now, what's interesting about this is that we know in the field that most people who have experienced some problems related to gambling seek help for mental health or substance abuse really to somebody say, hey, they are going to seek help for gambling. Now, that has many different sort of clinical base to think about, right, where somebody can get treated for substance abuse but their gambling goes undetected.

Here we haven an opportunity to be able to screen people and be proactive as a way to do to these preventative screenings or these screenings as a way to identify people early on, early detections and as a way to try to get them services early on versus going to treatment for substance abuse and mental health and then finding out later on that they have a gambling problem.

So this is really what I call sort of a clinical -- sort of a clinical and a proactive approach to this work that, I

think, will be a beneficial to us all.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Victor, who would you say is currently doing some of that screening and referral?

MR. ORTIZ: That's a great question. Most of the screening that happens happens in our treatment space currently right now. But this, again, although all those still areas where we need to figure out and fix they can improve on, to me it's clinically problematic, right, when if we screen people once they come to help, that means they probably experienced a multitude of different things.

As far as outside the treatment space, are there any screenings or referrals happening outside the treatment space? No, not currently right now. This gives us an opportunity to be proactive clinically so that people are out screening people before they are walking through the door, before they, you know, have gone down this road or whatever and experience great distress around gambling allows us to do

some early detection.

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

1718

19

20

21

22

23

24

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Go ahead.

MS. TUCKER: If I may, it also ties for me one of the things that as you may know we're doing in this next year, which is the gap analysis around the treatment system because we know that our treatment providers are not being utilized. We also know that a lot of folks potentially have problem-gambling disorder. So is it that they don't realize that they do? that they don't want to seek treatment? it that they don't know how or they don't know where or is it that there are not enough treatment providers? I don't think it's the latter. I think it's one of these things that's the former, and so that is why in this next year of FY18 that is what we wanted to look at.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: There is also a problem of whether or not the treatment providers can be paid for treatment. They need to get paid for the work. It's a lot easier to get paid for substance abuse

oftentimes than gambling, for example.

MS. TUCKER: And there is just, as you all know, an incredible focus on substance abuse right now. And, so, for a lot of people, that is the entry in the door, and we need to do a better job of training those substance abuse providers to do these screenings and to then make sure that those referrals happen or that they can incorporate some of that treatment in their work, and so I think these are the kinds of questions that we need to have going forward.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: What is the hypotheses? I guess, intuitively you can go a number of different ways to try to train and communicate with substance abuse providers or treatment providers, the community health workers, which seems to be an obvious compliment and supplement piece or both. And there's of course, you know, the more that you try to do, the less that you might -- the more spread out that we're going to do in those efforts. What is the

intuition -- I guess, you already answered the question.

MR. ORTIZ: It's both. It is both but here is -- and, again, this is the beauty of the work that we have is that we have so many opportunities to think about conceptually this issue. Because, again, as I mentioned when I first got the job, there is not a blueprint about who's done this before, whatever. This is groundbreaking, and so we're doing a lot of figuring it out. And part of that is also going to the point that the Chairman made about this sort of misconceptions and things that exist in our space.

There's a thinking that people with gambling disorders don't seek help. I have clinically a hard time accepting that, although it's in the literature, right? I think that when people have difficulties, they go somewhere for help. So, I think, it's not just the substance abuse that we need to be thinking about treating because we will continue to do that as well as the

community health workers, but we need to continue to look at the data to see if there are other categories that we need to be thinking about training whether it's mental health workers, whether it's hospitals, whether it's these kinds of areas that we need to think about and hopefully with the data we will continue to explore those other areas where people end up who have a gambling disorder.

MS. TUCKER: And one of the reasons
I said both is thinking about that spectrum
that we showed you before, those colors.
If we're really thinking about the
treatment space, it's clinical providers.
And one of the reasons, if you don't know
Victor's background, he is also a social
worker, so that is why he is also talking
about clinical in that way.

I think also more about upstream public health in thinking about the prevention and the intervention side. And for me, that's where the community health workers -- that's much more their role.

And, so, that's why I think it's kind of multi-stafftorial (phonetic) because we do need across this spectrum, we want to focus on prevention as much as possible. And if we miss you there and you get to the space where you do have a disorder, then it's more in the treatment phase.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: But there's at least a theory, a theriacal point of diminishing returns, right? We could attempt to educate everybody in Massachusetts and that would be, you know, way too many people in terms of this screening and referral. I'm not talking about the outreach. That is very important. That's general population approach. I'm just thinking where that point, and maybe that is precisely the point of the gap analysis.

MS. TUCKER: Yes, I definitely think it's an ongoing issue.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: This does raise something that I frequently bring up, and you started to talk about this that there

are screenings that happen for what are typically co-morbid problems all over the place, Department of Mental Health,
Department of Children and Families,
probably MassHealth and on and on. And the legislature did not make DPH our partner in the Public Health Trust Fund. They made Health and Human Services our partner.

It's been delegated appropriately to DPH, but the law knows that there is -- this is not just a DPH problem.

This requires coordination amongst all many, if not all, of the agencies of HHS. So, you know, that's part of this. And the screening area is a particular one where everybody wants to screen for their own stuff. Screening is already difficult enough, long questionnaires on and on but trying to figure out how you can combine. So through all of these different points of access, you get comprehensive data on all of the co-morbidities, not just gambling. That's a big challenge, but it's part of what was implied in our statute.

MS. TUCKER: Yes, I think that's an essential point. And as you can imagine, we have these types of conversations around a number of issues. One that I was talking about yesterday was homelessness, and homelessness touches folks in all of these different agencies. And someone at DCF or DMH, I don't want to be specific about a sister agency, they might not know exactly what to do if someone indicates that they are housing unstable, and that's okay. They don't need to know what to do. They just need to know who to call or who to do it for.

So, I think, for this case as well in terms of diminishing returns, there is some level of education that I think we can and should hope for for a number of providers. How far down the path they go to do the treatment is a different question, but I think making sure that, to your point, Chairman Crosby, that the level of education for a number of these different entry points to the systems that

_ 1

there is some consistency about education.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Can I ask a question of Victor? Just very briefly, can you speak to the process that you went through in the creation of this needs assessment; I mean, who did it?

MR. ORTIZ: Thank you so much,

Commissioner Macdonald. We had a woman

named Dr. Terry Mason who has both national

and local expertise and expertise relating

to working with community health workers,

and she sort of her methodology was to use

this qualitative approach to gather some of

this information with the hope of answering

a question was when -- the hope is

answering the question is looking at

utilizing community health workers to

screen and refer people with gambling

disorders.

And, so, she has a lot of great expertise in thinking about systemic issues, thinking about training capacity, whatever the case may be, and she is the one that designed the needs assessment,

Τ

along with it was informed by our office of community health workers and other stakeholders as well.

Now I just want to move into what have we learned. Again, just for the sake of time, I just want to be able to focus on one point, and that's the first one at the top. One of the things that came out of sort of the assessment was that at the community level, there's a significant interplay, and, again, this is qualitative data, right, that significant interplay of gambling and substance abuse, mental health, crime and poverty at the community level. This is what I mentioned earlier. That all of these issues are all sort of interconnected, and so they all play sort of a role together.

And it's an interesting point to think about, right, as just as a point of thought as we sort of dive into looking at whether there is more crime analysis, whether it's other work with the SEIGMA projects or other projects that we have in

regards to the special population that allows us to understand a little bit more about that phenomenon and sort what is our public health responsibility relating to that, right? But this is something that had just came out, and I think it's something that merits at least a conversation and a thought.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Victor and
Lindsey, on this topic, is there any
relationship that DPH has in working with
say county sheriffs in some of these
topics? I grew up in Springfield and
Hampden County has been lucky to have two
very progressive sheriffs, one who operates
the Western Mass. Alcohol Corrections
Center, our community mitigation fund is
supporting at this point.

But I recently met with Sheriff
Coachee and he says, you know, they do
intake assessments of a lot of people
coming through the door obviously for
criminal reasons. But I know they'd be
interested in as part of that assessment,

especially since there's going to be a casino in Springfield, thinking about that early intervention and that assessment of somebody's predisposition to developing gambling.

MS. TUCKER: Absolutely. One of the other members of our executive committee is Assistant Secretary Jennifer Cooley from the Executive Office of Public Safety, and we have been talking to her about connecting with some of the folks on her team about the sheriff level and sort of broader corrections and how to begin to think about some of that integration.

But the type of conversation that you're mentioning, our substance abuse team has been having specific to the opioid epidemic, so I think there's a lot that we could add on there in those conversations that are already happening, but happy to talk further after about maybe ways to make that connection specifically in Springfield. That would be great.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Sure, thank

you.

MR. ORTIZ: So our next one is on workforce development treatment providers, and that encountered two different activities. One is the revision of the DPH practice guidelines for treating gambling-related problems, and also the revision of a self-assessment to call first step to change.

So the practice guidelines, one of the overarching things that I just want to just talk about a couple of points, and then I'll move onto the next slide is that this was first established in 2004. And, so, it was just kind of obvious that we needed to revise it and we did and what we -- not only did we revise it based on the empirical literature review that happened and occurred, but that we're going to move this into a web-based platform.

And this allows us at all times to ensure that all of our providers will have access to evidence-based information for the treatment of people with gambling

disorders, and this will be great. We are excited at some point to launch it off publically and share it with the world, and so we look forward to that.

Our next slide is on we revised a what is known as an evidence-based brief assessment tool called your first fit to change. So we revised that and we're motivated that obviously we're not in the time frame, but also the establishment of the DSM-5 and the last 15 years of literature and our sort of field of problem-gambling informed that work.

So now I am going to skip over what we learned here, because I was told I have a couple of minutes and I want to be invited back. So to talk about what we are looking at at FY18, and, again, the continued excitement of this work, and that is we are going to advance the three initiatives that we talked about by moving into the different regions, both Region B and Region A as well as beginning to process for the gap analysis that Lindsey

has so wonderfully talked about earlier.

So we will be sort of be conducting that,
and look forward to that work and the
outcomes of that.

MS. TUCKER: And that's the next slide, I think.

MR. ORTIZ: So the last slide is that we want to be able to introduce three new initiatives. And these align in the space of suicide prevention. I think it's pretty concerning that we understand the disassociation with gambling and suicide, so we really want to get that work rolling. The association through partner education program and do some program assessments there, and begin the sort of coordination of a communications campaign.

So I just want to say lastly that I had the easy task today, which is talk about this stuff, right? I can do this all the time. But, really, this can't happen without a whole host of folks. What we're doing is not just reflective of what we're doing at DPH, but really is in partnership

and collaboration with so many folks.

And I just want to publically say thank you to Mark and Teresa who have been outstanding in our relationship to sort of build this. This is a team effort as well as all the venders who have been gone above and beyond the call of duty to do this work at the really ground level. And, lastly, thank you for the opportunity for us to be able to do this work together, so thank you.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Thank you.

Great work.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Thank you.

Can I mention one thing? Maybe it's

building on the same thing, but I did want

to mention the Gaming Act does have this

big theme of collaboration and that touches

many other areas, and not just the one with

DPH but public safety and others. And

there is also this other theme that comes

to mind that, I think, we need to just I

want to mention, and that is protecting

existing assets and resources.

And as we develop strategies to expand on the work that's, you know, that's being done, we need to make sure that we preserve the work and sustain the work that is already done or is already existing.

I'm specifically thinking of the Mass.

Council on Compulsive Gambling and all the work that they do.

I know this was not related to anything having to do with your perspective, but they received a significant cut in the last round of cuts, and I think as we think about all the work that we're going to do in this phase, one of the things I want to have us think is strategies to make sure that we know their work is ongoing, because it's an important piece of the puzzle as we expand in other areas.

I just wanted to mention that. Many other agencies and you are -- and DPH is a big target of this annual things that seem to happen every year. But as we continue

to expand this efforts, that's an important piece, I think, we need to do.

MS. TUCKER: Thank you.

comment. It seems to me that there's a real risk here of duplication of effort, and I was wondering if you could address that. It's implicit in what I see is your core finding here, which is the phenomenon of co-morbidities that including mental health, substance abuse, suicide, whatever, that in addressing it that if those different problem groups are being treated by uncoordinated efforts by the state, that it would be inefficient.

What are you doing to overcome that risk and work with other agencies or departments within the Department of Mental Health so that we are not, in effect, duplicating efforts here under circumstances of a fine-eye set of resources?

MS. TUCKER: Absolutely. One of the most critical things that we have done

internally is to sit Victor with our Bureau of Substance Abuse Services. So Victor and I have a direct relationship in the commissioner's office, but he is also physically located within the Bureau of Substance Abuse Services.

So he is working with that team as they are doing all of the amazing work that they do around prevention and intervention treatment for the substance abuse work and the opioid epidemic that we are facing but certainly also around alcohol and other substances, so that's number one for DPH as we think about making sure that we are leveraging that work and not duplicating efforts.

Victor has also done an incredible job reaching out to other areas of DPH such as our Bureau of Community Health and Prevention and Health Profession Licensure to make sure as we think about community health workers, for example, that we are, again, leveraging efforts and complimenting efforts. And in our work plan and some of

Τ

_ -

the tasks for next year, we really focused on DPH this year is to go to those other sister agencies next year, particularly, as MassHealth, for example, is working on setting up their new accountable care organization. It's a really great opportunity, I think, to talk about this in that context because there's already things that are shifting in the landscape. And if we can be part of that shift, I think that we can help inform some of the ways in which these efforts are paid close attention to but also not duplicated. I think it's a great point.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: It's an issue that the secretary of health and human services have been wrestling with forever as long as I can remember with that very problem. If you need help from DCF, DCF might be one place but DMH is a different place. But, I think, probably this governor and this secretary are as attuned and capable of addressing those potential conflicts and duplications and inefficiencies as anybody

is given their backgrounds. It is a real issue and everybody has been wrestling with this for a long time, the fragmentation of service delivery and duplication of service delivery. Anybody else? Great, thank you.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Thank you so much.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: We appreciate your time.

MS. TUCKER: Thank you so much. CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Next up.

MR. VANDER LINDEN: Great. So the last agenda item that I have is responsible gaming education week, which was July 31st through August 4th, which was the period of time which I was on vacation. While I helped plan it, Teresa Fiore was certainly the person who spearheaded the efforts that were launched by the Gaming Commission through our GameSense system information center and in collaboration with Plainridge Park Casino. So I want to just turn it over to Teresa.

MS. FIORE: Thank you. Good

morning. And, again, Teresa Fiore, program manager. So the concept for responsible gaming education week, which I am going to refer to as RG week because it's a mouthful was created in 1998 by the American Gaming Association. The idea behind it is really to connect not only with patrons inside of casinos that are involved with AGAs but also casino staff as well and to really get the message that, you know, as a casino they hold sort of a responsibility to promote responsible gaming and understand problem-gambling.

So with that, this is the second year that the Mass. Gaming Commission has supported RG efforts. And as Plainridge Park Casino is the only casino right now, we work closely with them to come up with a fun event for the week. Planning on our end actually started two and a half months before we all sat down, Mark, myself, Elaine Driscoll and Mike Sangalang from communications to come up with a really comprehensive strategy in support of the

week.

And the three main goals which we tried to achieve with this strategy was to promote the week, increase overall awareness of our GameSense program, including the GameSense folks that are inside the Plainridge Park Casino and to educate the public providing helpful tips to encourage smart play.

In order to achieve these goals, we developed and implemented the following strategies: Our over our change theme, which was get to know GameSense was central to the week's activities. We worked with more advertising to create a paid campaign that supported get to know GameSense and offered a daily feature tip, and I'll get into those metrics a little later.

We developed new on-site digital signage at Plainridge Park Casino featuring the get to know GameSense theme as well as the daily responsible tips, and those signs can be seen at the end of slot banks. They run on a loop with different PPC ads. So I

have pictures of those if you're interested. I didn't include that in the presentation.

We worked closely with Plainridge
Park Casino and the Massachusetts Council
on Compulsive Gambling to launch a series
of fun activities on site, which included
giveaways, bowling artists and lots of
candy was given away that week as well.
And we executed a robust public relations
and digital strategy over social media
throughout the week. That includes the MGC
Facebook and Twitter as well as the
GameSense Facebook and Twitter.

So I'm happy to report that the results of our front of house patron engagements are in, and they show that throughout the week the GameSense advisers had in depth interaction with 147 patrons, which is higher than what we normally see due to just the goals we were trying to reach and the increased staffing of GameSense advisers.

A little in-house survey, which I

created, was taken by 88 respondents showed a 9.5 out of 10 satisfaction rate with the GameSense experience and 98 percent of respondents said that they could learn something new during their visit to GameSense during the week, which is a number we're really, really happy with which is ultimately the goal of the program.

Now switching over to the communication strategies, we're excited to report that early indications suggest marked increase in online engagements and visitors to the GameSense websites estimates include paid advertisements which reached 250,000 people and included 9,000 engagements. And for anyone who doesn't know, an engagement includes anything from a light to a video view to a reaction to a comment on anything on the post.

The Mass. Gaming Commission social media strategy, which is really a result of Mike Sangalang's operations and work behind, was free for us to do. It reached

17,000 people and included 725 engagements. So, overall, these two combined paid and free efforts led to 3,000 page views on GameSenseMA.com which resulted in a 600 percent increase when compared to a similar time frame. So we're really, really proud of that number.

In conclusion, I would just like to thank Commissioners Cameron and Zuniga for stopping by and representing the support for the GameSense advisers and recognizing the tremendous dedication that they demonstrate not only during this week but every day. We have some pictures of them at the end. I kind of set them up in different places in the info center.

So on the left, Commissioner Zuniga is just standing behind our new desk where the advisers typically stand. And at the center, we have Commissioner Cameron standing with Amanda Winters of the Mass. Council on Compulsive Gambling, and she is explaining to her one of our newest tools, which is the cost of play calculators which

is something that we use to help patrons understand how slot machines work and try to get an idea of what they would spend on different machines on any given bet, hour and annually. And on the right, we have Commissioner Cameron using our new random vending machine, which is really a way to start a conversation with somebody who may not understand the randomness of different slot machines.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: You couldn't get them to wear the green T-shirts?

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: That is a PlayMyWay shirt that I'm wearing, by the way. I was just going to say that it's not noticeable in the picture because it's small but...

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Okay, great, good.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: I had other racing responsibilities that day as well so...

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: I didn't recognize Commissioner Zuniga. He looks like a natural.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Behind the desk.

MS. FIORE: So I would like to conclude with a short video produced by Mike Sangalang that includes highlights from the week.

(Video being played)

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Great. Boy, those Wynn folks can't wait to have those balloons. I can see Bob getting exciting.

make a comment that it was really enjoyable to be there to see -- and just the level of engagement and the level of enthusiasm. I was particularly taken with some patrons that just stopped in, and I would guess consider them regulars. I don't know that firsthand other than they knew the GameSense agents and they wanted to report, didn't lose money today. Didn't spend more than I wanted to today, and they got a thumbs-up from one of the agents. So it

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

was interesting to see that and see the level of just -- they know one another. As one of the gentleman pointed out, they care about each other. So it was an interesting dynamic to observe firsthand. And they're leaving with a positive attitude, so that was for me something educational to see.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Me too. let me just build on that, because I think the personalities make the program and, you know, Mark, Teresa, Amanda, certainly, you know, the GameSense advisers, they really are creating, you know, a very good It's fantastic to come in and see program. it periodically from our perspective and see how much they are rethinking certain things, pretty much taking a page from the gaming industry and staying fresh in the look and the promotions and making it attractive so that people can stop in and inquire and at the same time developing that connection, that human connection.

So, I think, the program is great, is working great. The space looks also

it's recently been spruced up a little bit, which is also something that, you know, you guys work hard at constantly, so it was really good to be there.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: We're researching this to death to try to figure out what the impacts of these programs are, and the first one is we're trying to see whether or not it does help people gamble in the more responsible way, particularly that group of people who might potentially be at risk.

But there is beginning to be some evidence that it might be a positive for the whole gaming experience of people walking away saying I'm not going to Twin Rivers because of this. I'm coming here, you know. That makes them feel better about their gambling experience, which would be an incredible home run if we both got our arms around the responsible problem gambling issue a little bit and, in effect, enhanced the experience for our licensees, sort of the market for our licensees. There is just a hint of data of that, but

	Page 74
1	it's starting to look like that. Great.
2	Anything else, anybody? All right, thank
3	you.
4	MR. VANDER LINDEN: Thank you.
5	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Can we take a
6	quick break?
7	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: We are going to
8	call up Dr. Lightbown, and we're going to
9	take a quick break and we'll be right back.
10	
11	(A recess was taken)
12	
13	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: We are reconvening
14	public meeting number 223 with Item No. 4,
15	Dr. Lightbown.
16	MS. LIGHTBOWN: Good morning,
17	Commissioners.
18	COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Good
19	morning.
20	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Good morning.
21	COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Good
22	morning.
23	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Good morning.
24	MS. LIGHTBOWN: So Suffolk Downs is

1 2

asking to add two days of racing over the weekend of September 30th and October 1st to their racing schedule. They just finished another good weekend last weekend. And with this request also comes request for the purse money out of the racehorse development fund. Again, it would be similar to what the Commission has approved in the past, 400,000 each day.

The HPPA and Suffolk have reached an agreement to alter the purse agreement so that the money will come out of the outs money for the operation expenses of those two days. And I have Bruce Barnett here from Suffolks Down if you have any questions.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: I think you just answered my question, because I know being out there over the weekend there was a question about funding for the operations of two days of racing. But that has been successfully determined to use outs money?

MR. BARNETT: That's correct, yes. COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Everyone is

in agreement that that's the appropriate use of those moneys?

MR. BARNETT: Yes, we are. It's all come together since Sunday. It's been a busy couple of days.

mean, just being out there to see the enthusiasm, to see the number of people, you know, there was, I think, a good time had by all and, you know, the Mass. bred races where the local folks are involved and some of the other higher level races. So it was nice to be out there, and there was quite a lot of interest. So, I think, this is a request we should consider approving.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Are you still planning the same number of races both days that you have been trying to meet in the last three days of the last --

MS. LIGHTBOWN: The number of races will be decreased. I think we did around 10 and 11 the first week, and then this weekend there is just -- there were extra

horses because one of the tracks in

Pennsylvania was taking a three-week

vacation and some of the other tracks had

extra horses, so we raced 15 races each

day, which is a lot. It really extended

our staff and Suffolk Downs staff, long day

but everybody went very smoothly. Talking

to their racing secretary, Tom Creole,

yesterday he doesn't anticipate that

happening again for either of the weekend

coming up or if you approve the following

weekend, it will be more around the 10, 11

race.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: You know, I'm just curios as to -- I know this -- I'm forever trying to understand the business model of racing, and I know that there is a lot of moving pieces, and there is this whole purse agreement that is now being revised, which is great and sounds like even the recent days, you know, it's a good outcome. There's incremental days, and there's available moneys.

But what, if anything, could have

been done, what did we learn or what did
the horsemen learn in terms of operating
costs and, you know, level of activity to
want this two more days or maybe that could
have been foreseen earlier and then or -you know --

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: What's happened to more days? I had the same question.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: You know, what's happening that maybe could have helped or could help in the future some of the other horsemen to stay here or, you know, plan accordingly.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: And why two as opposed to four; could we get work for two -- sorry.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Could we get to 25, remember, that whole thing.

MR. BARNETT: Appreciate the question. I'm afraid I'm going to disappoint you in not being able to answer it very much. It's a good time as any to say Chip apologizes for not being here himself. Chip Tuttle, the CEO is

well-known to you. As I was mentioning during the break to Commissioner Cameron, it has come together fairly quickly in the last few days and he had an out-of-town engagement that he was already traveling on. I came back from my so I could be here, but I didn't come back with the answer to that question, which I apologize.

I know one of the factors that you've heard from Chip in the past has always been number of horses. Will there be enough horses to support the days. And at this point, the expectation is that there will be for these two days. We've also with the agreement to use the outs moneys for the additional incremental expenses for the additional two days being able to cover that side of it.

As for if there is something new in the trend of expenses this year compared to prior years that has affected either the track's business folks thinking or what the HPPA -- I can't speak certainly towards what the HPPA has been thinking. But the

expense money, and the horses we're expecting are both going to be there to make another two successful days this year.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Was the outs money used previously for expenses; does anybody know?

MS. LIGHTBOWN: I don't think it was used for expenses for the races.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Where has the outs money gone previously?

MS. LIGHTBOWN: That's money to the unclaimed tickets. That's why we've asked for an audit. The HPPAs, you know, before we give out any racehorse development money for their operational expenses this year. In previous years, I'm assuming it went into their operational expenses.

MS. BLUE: Before we had racehorse development fund money, a lot of it went into purses but it is allocated pursuant to the purse agreement between the track and the horsemen.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Okay. So it's up to them how to spend it.

1

between the two groups.

3

2

That's right. MS. BLUE:

4

MS. LIGHTBOWN: An agreement -purse agreement between the track and the horsemen association.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: An agreement

6 7

5

Right. Is there

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: more outs money? I mean, is this -- are we going to use up the amount that's there so that explains why they only asked for two days?

I think, that's a MS. BLUE: question that Suffolk knows better than any of us. I would imagine a lot of it depends on the amount that's wagered, you know, that kind of stuff, so we'd have to ask Suffolk that.

MR. BARNETT: Every year, and Alex might know this better than I or Doug, every year you approve a disbursement of outs money from two years ago. It's a once a year event. Recently you approved the disbursal of the 2015 outs money. You get to the end of the year, there is some

limited period of time where people can show up and make a claim on an old ticket, and then there's accounting. And this my understanding is that allocating the money due to the expenses of these two days will eat up over 90 percent of the most recent outs payment.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Was that a full season? That was before we switched to the six days, right, 2015?

MS. LIGHTBOWN: '15 was when we just did three days of racing. But you have to remember that most of the money comes in through simulcasting, and they simulcasted the entire year. So anybody who had a simulcast ticket that they didn't cash would be included in the outs money.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Okay, that's right.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: So is this effectively then just taking advantage of the last two years that Suffolk Downs will be open for business? Are we just getting to the end?

MS. LIGHTBOWN: Well, they had -- in their application on October 1st when they put their application in, they did ask for the six days with a possibility that they might come back and ask for more days.

They were saying maybe two. So there has been ongoing discussions for, you know, the last, you know, seven, eight months about whether that would work or not.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: And the HPPA

MS. LIGHTBOWN: Mr. Barnett said it came kind of over the last couple of days it came together very quickly. And also the HPPA Paul and Anthony Speida couldn't be here today either for previous engagements they had where everything came together so quickly, nobody was planning on being available today.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Most of them race out-of-state. So, you know, it's always a question of, yes, we would like to race more but where will those moneys come from for the operations. It's expensive to

race for two days. And someone must have just come up with the idea, well, let's see if we can get an agreement on the outs money and that, like I said, came together over the last couple of days.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: That explains things. Okay, anything else? I guess, we need a motion.

MS. LIGHTBOWN: On the agenda we broke it down into two. So one vote will be to increase the number of days, and then the second vote will be to approve the racehorse development fund money for the purse money.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: I think we can do that in one motion?

MS. BLUE: It would be better to do it in two, because they are two separate issues. You can approve the days, and then you can approve the funding.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: So, Mr.

Chair, I'll move that the Commission

approve the request of Suffolk Downs for

two additional days of racing,

	Page 85
1	September 30th and October 1st.
2	COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Second.
3	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Further
4	discussion? All in favor?
5	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye.
6	COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Aye.
7	COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye.
8	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Aye.
9	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Opposed? The ayes
10	have it unanimously.
11	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: I also move
12	that the Commission approve the additional
13	\$800,000 from the racehorse development
14	fund for purses for these two days.
15	COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Second.
16	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Further
17	discussion?
18	COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: How many of
19	the races are going to be Mass. bred races;
20	do we know at this point?
21	MS. LIGHTBOWN: I'm not sure at this
22	point, you know. They already had their
23	stake schedule set out for, and I don't
24	believe I think, the final two days are

still a little early to have the two year-old stake race on that. I think, they'll want to have that later in the year so I don't -- you know, again, we'd have to check with George Brown but my understanding is that it would be too early to include that race on that final weekend.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: And the level of -- the amount is comparable with other -- is it the same one?

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Same one.

MS. LIGHTBOWN: It's exactly the same amount that has been approved for the last three years.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: For the last two years. And that's on a per day or per race?

MS. LIGHTBOWN: It's 400,000 per day, and it varies a little bit, you know.

One day may end up being 450,000. The other may be 300,000. And, you know, obviously after the last day of the meet, we reconcile and make sure that they get exactly the amount of money that they spent

	Page 87
1	on horses.
2	COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: I would
3	just make the observation that since what's
4	being proposed is our authorizing \$800,000
5	for the racehorse development fund for two
6	days of racing kind of underscores the
7	controversial nature of the fund and the
8	likelihood of others looking for the fund
9	to underwrite other public purposes.
10	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Anybody else? I
11	think we're all set. Thank you.
12	MS. BLUE: You need to vote.
13	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: I'm sorry, we
14	didn't vote. All in favor?
15	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye.
16	COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Aye.
17	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Aye.
18	COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye.
19	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Opposed? The ayes
20	have it unanimously. Thank you.
21	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Thank you.
22	MS. LIGHTBOWN: Thank you.
23	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Thank you
24	both.

1 2

2.1

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: All right. It's 11:30. I think we're going to try to power through the MGM and the Wynn presentations before lunch so we can let you folks get out of here. So we will have Ombudsman Ziemba, and first up will probably be Wynn.

MR. ZIEMBA: Thank you,

Mr. Chairman, Commissioners. Today Wynn
Boston Harbor and MGM Springfield will
present their quarterly reports for the
second quarter of this year ending on
June 30th. For the first presentation,
we're joined by Bob DeSalvio, President of
Wynn Boston Harbor, Jacqui Krum, Senior
Vice President and General Counsel for Wynn
Resorts and Peter Campot, Director of
Construction for Wynn design and
development. I will turn it over to Bob.

MR. DESALVIO: Thank you, John. And good morning, Commissioners. Nice to be back.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Good morning.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Good

morning.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Before you start,
Bob, I'd just like to tell you a story that
I have a very good friend who, until
recently, owned a B&B in Bethlehem. I
think it's called Morning Star, I think,
it's called and she spoke very well of you
and of the impact of the casino on
Bethlehem. I thought you'd like to know
that.

MR. DESALVIO: Great to hear, thank you. Appreciate that. We are really pleased to be here today and report our last quarter's work on the project. And it's, as you'll see, it's actually a very good report. Significant progress has been made. I'm joined by Jacqui Krum and Peter Campot as John mentioned.

And before I actually turn it over to Jacqui for the first section, I think it would probably be appropriate if we can find some time this fall to maybe have the Commissioners come out and do a site tour. There is so much activity, and Peter had mentioned that maybe a good point might be

around October, because there is a significant amount of work that's going to be done between now and then and the property will probably present itself quite differently once we turn the corner into October.

So maybe if we could work with the staff, and I'd say not only the Commissioners but I'm sure some of the key staff that has not been out. I mean, Joe's out a lot and Ed and John have come around quite a bit for our normal meetings, but there may be some other key staff members that haven't been on the site for a while and the tours are phenomenal. I did it yesterday. And even from week to week, you see a lot going on out there. So if you're okay with that, maybe we will work with your staff and try to schedule the Commissioners to come out this fall.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Look forward to it.

MR. DESALVIO: Great.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: We could just do a

separate trip, but we could also do the first meeting in October in Everett, which is something we've wanted to do from time to time anyway, so might combine it with that.

MR. DESALVIO: Great, terrific.

MS. KRUM: Might be a good time also because we're going to be rolling out some of our transportation and infrastructure improvements right around that time, so that might work nicely.

MR. DESALVIO: Go ahead, Jacqui.

MS. KRUM: Just on permitting on the local level, our big effort has been on the off-site infrastructure improvements. We have -- in July we received approval to go ahead on the Sullivan Square improvements from the Public Improvements Commission, which we're very excited about. And then as of a half an hour ago, we received approval again from the Public Improvements Commission in Boston to go ahead with the work on Alfred Street, which is that portion of Broadway located in the City of

Page 92 1 Boston. So those two we're off and running 2 on that. 3 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: 4 Congratulations. 5 MR. DESALVIO: Thank you. 6 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Some thought 7 that could never be done. 8 MS. KRUM: It was thought it might 9 never be done, but it was done. 10 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: It only took 11 two years, I think, to the day. 12 MS. KRUM: That's correct. 13 other big permitting effort is on the sediment remediation. We have filed 14 15 Chapter 91. The common period is closed on that. So we're just going through that 16 17 process and just waiting for the approval 18 hopefully at this point and --19 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Does that mean 20 dredging? 2.1 MS. KRUM: That's dredging, yes, and 22 the removal of the barges. And following 23 our Chapter 91, we have applied already but 24 we're going to wait for a permit

modification from the Army Corp. of
Engineers, and then we can start the
dredging and hopefully get it in this year.
I'm going to turn it over to Peter Campot,
our director of construction for a
construction update.

MR. CAMPOT: Thank you. It's a pleasure to be here. I have to say, though, you caused me a lot of stress. I fought back on being on Facebook my entire life. My daughters are not going to understand why I'm on there today, but thank you.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Peter, I'm with you.

MR. CAMPOT: Thank you. I thought I would recap where we've been. I want to stay on this first slide for a minute. We kind of noticed we just see over a year ago, and since then we have put in place 1.5 million square feet of concrete structure. In another you can see by the bright aluminum that's another 6, 700,000 square feet of steel structure that's

underway.

So we've accomplished a lot in the last year. We are 100 percent on schedule all major areas at least to say. The design team and the builder, Suffolk Construction and Jacob are both doing a fabulous job, and so we're moving right along.

We're averaging about 700 -- just over 700 people a day on site. That's going to continue to build through the fall probably around 850 when you come out in October. We will have -- if you look at this right now and you look at the right-hand side of the slide, you see that tan roof, that is what we refer to as podium north. That is weather-tight. You can see the cooling towers on the roof.

We are installing elevators,
escalators, mechanical equipment, interior
walls, and that's on schedule to be
completed about a year from now, which is
about a year early, a little less than a
year early but quite early, which is great.

We have all the mechanical systems ready to go as we finish the rest of the project.

As you move from the left of there, you can see the podium is steel, the aluminum decking. It looks like aluminum steel decking. And that is on schedule to be complete October 1st. That is why I suggested that the Commission come visit mid-October and be able to walk through big portions of that. And then just to the left of that is the half-moon of the tower.

We're currently up to the third floor of the towers 100 percent in place. We are forming the fifth floor. Again, that is on schedule to be complete by February of '18, and in October we will be around the eighth or ninth floor depending on which week you come out, so that is really moving right along.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: And there is fourth floor, right, Peter?

MR. CAMPOT: There's no fourth floor. There's an intrastitial space, mechanic space but there is no fourth

Τ

floor. And then to the left of that, you can see the convention area. That steel actually starts next week. All the foundations are done. All the underground mechanical is in place, so we're ready to start that structural steel next week. In October the trussells will be erected, and that's on schedule to be complete by November.

So what we're trying to do is to get the majority of the roofing in before the winter over the main podium, and then hopefully over the convention center also. That will help the progress over the entire winter. If you study this, if you look in the area of where the marine work is, all the bulkheads are complete. You have nice, neat square lines that you can see. And, so, that is like 90, 95 percent complete, all the outfalls are complete. That was a tremendous amount of work that's all behind us.

On the far left-hand side, you can see the living shoreline. And the top

third of that looks lice nice and neat, little, orange sand. That's new beach sand. We're getting ready to start the planning on the living shoreline, and we'll work our way around into the around towards where they abut the bulkhead.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: You brought in sand for that?

MR. CAMPOT: Brought in sand. It's part of the requirements. Brought in sand, loom. So it really looks terrific. I'm excited about it, as you can tell. And then the other major piece of this is that all of the major utilities on site are in place. So all of the major utilities off of Broadway. We have a waterline and drainage lines completely encircle the building. These are all in place.

What we have left for utilities is site lighting and drainage for landscaping and some site drainage, but that's in great shape. That will take all of next year, because that's part of the whole landscaping package. We're actually going

to start building the main entrance for the roadway this fall into the site, so we're really in terrific shape.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: You're talking about maybe leasing some space from the MBTA facility. Where is that on here?

MS. KRUM: That's just to the right of the off the picture. It's a warehouse.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: It's out of sight.

MS. KRUM: Yes. That's going out for public bid and, you know, we will bid.

MR. CAMPOT: I'll go through some of these photos with you just so you get a sense of what you're looking at. We are moving so fast. I've looked at these photos and think these are really old, but they are really not. They're only about a week or so old.

That's the third floor of the tower. Now if you go out there today, you can see we're forming the fifth floor of the tower, so that's 100 percent in place. This next photo, you're actually standing on the third floor of the tower. They do this

just to make sure that I'm saying the right thing here. This is to keep me in line.

This is very interesting. All of the work in front of the casino in order to -- the whole casino, the first level of the casino is 12 feet above the existing grade. We did that to mitigate potential flooding in the future. So we have a lot of retaining walls right in front of the casino, the front entrance. And that's what's being built there up to the top porte-cochere.

Turn to the next slide. Just a few farther back. This is maybe two-weeks old, because that third floor is poured now. Actually, those are the columns for the fifth floor, so that's pretty close to accurate. What you're looking at is standing at the end of the convention center and you can see all these foundations are in, and we're getting prepping for the construction steel to start.

This is the view from the bulkhead.

It's complete, marine bulkhead looking towards the center. And then this is just one of the walls along the convention center. And then I have some major milestones, but I think I hit all of them as we're going through this. We're just going to continue to power through and get this thing done on time.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Peter, on that last slide, is rain water harvesting the same as rainwater collection?

MR. CAMPOT: What was the question, sir?

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Is rain water harvesting the same as rainwater collection?

MR. CAMPOT: Yes, yes, it is. That was -- if you went back to the original slide, there is a huge concrete square in the convention center, that's a much more difficult project than you would think.

It's a very large tank. We have systems that collect it around the entire site, so it's a major project, major undertaking.

It's part of the project.

The schedule is attached, big
picture, all of these milestones we're
hitting. There is one, and I'm not sure.
I think it was a computer glitch. It shows
on the first page of page one the
completion date of the main project is
December of '18. I could assure you that's
incorrect. We're on schedule for June of
'19.

All other dates on here across the board we're on schedule to hit. And there is really no -- the only one that's out there, but I think we're in good shape is the Chapter 91 for the dredging, but I think that looks like it's trending the right way, so we will be able to start the dredging.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: What are the major areas of risk for that schedule; what could go wrong?

MR. CAMPOT: Well, you know, it's construction. We dropped -- a cogeneration unit got dropped yesterday in transit. So

it's hard to know what's going to happen.

It was the shipper, so we need to buy a new cogeneration unit.

MS. KRUM: We did not drop it.

MR. CAMPOT: We did not drop it.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Legal counsel.

MS. KRUM: It should be accompanied.

MR. CAMPOT: I take responsibility for everything. I should say we had a unit dropped yesterday. But the major risk is what finishings -- we're going to be doing a tremendous amount of finishings, and we've done really well working with the trades and the unions in terms of manpower. We haven't had a problem yet, and I still -- we still are preparing ourselves to have potential manpower shortages, but I think we will be able to work through it.

So far we have been able to manage it, but we have the workforce. Wynn is extremely high quality. It's high quality workers. Getting 1,000 high quality workers for the finishes is something I'm just concerned about. But to date, the

Page 103 workforce has been fantastic. 1 2 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Are all the major 3 procurements done so you're comfortable in 4 the price? 5 MR. CAMPOT: We're 96 percent 6 procured, so everything major is procured. 7 We have a few minor items left, but really 8 it's behind us. 9 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: So the 2.4 looks 10 like a pretty solid number. 11 Yes, sir. MR. CAMPOT: 12 COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Peter, any 13 significant workplace accidents? 14 MR. CAMPOT: Nothing significant. 15 Minor, minor bruises and scrapes. We had a 16 piece of equipment that exploded, a person 17 got a gash and it turned out not to be 18 serious. So far knock on wood. It's a 19 huge part of what we're trying to do every 20 day is get every worker home safe. 2.1 COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: 22 extraordinary when you have 750, 800 people 23 a day. 24 MR. CAMPOT: Over 700 right now, and

Τ

they're working at least 10, 12 hours a day, so we're doing pretty well. We have 100 people working on the second shift, but that is part of my count.

Say that I was impressed just learning about some of Commissioner Macdonald's point some of the safety steps you take.

Somebody shows up without gloves or without helmet, it's come back in, kind of get a talking to and the union business agent is right there by your side and stressing the same message, so that's impressive.

MR. CAMPOT: We periodically have to remind everybody, but we have been getting great participation. We try to set that tone, I think as I told you, right when they walk through the gate. Safety is first, and that is the number one priority is getting everybody home every day. So it's working. We just have to -- it's not one of those things you can say that you're there. We have to continue to reinforce it every single day.

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: How about the community, traffic concerns or anything?

MR. DESALVIO: It's been actually terrific lately. The biggest issue we had was really earlier in the job, which involved the removal of the soil. And that was probably, Peter, the worst of the trucking that we had to deal with and now we're already past it. And, quite honestly, the only issue we really had there was trying to keep the road clean, because there was so much dirt going on and off the site. We wound up having to do street sweeping daily with our own vehicle that we got. That was really the worst of So thank God the big part of that trucking effort is behind us.

MR. CAMPOT: The majority of our trucking is behind us, which is great, because the roadwork won't adversely affect us. We're in pretty good shape. Bringing the furnishings in in '19 will be the next huge trucking.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: That transfer

Page 106 1 is gone, right? You won't be able to 2 procure it. 3 MR. DESALVIO: That's correct. 4 MR. CAMPOT: That's right. The 5 transfer on site is gone, but it does exist 6 along our service road. 7 MS. KRUM: If we needed to put it 8 back --9 MR. CAMPOT: If we needed to, it's 10 very --11 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: You put it up 12 in like a weekend, if I remember correctly. 13 MR. DESALVIO: Yes, it just came in 14 like a set. The pieces are put together. 15 MR. CAMPOT: Bob said it would be 16 easy. It's easy for him to say. 17 MR. DESALVIO: I really was back at 18 the office. MR. CAMPOT: I lost a lot of sleep 19 20 figuring out how to do that, but it was 21 easy. 22 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: I like the 23 idea of the Wynn luxury train pulling in

and dropping people off.

24

MR. DESALVIO: We'll take that under advisement. Jacqui, do you want to do the off sites?

MS. KRUM: Sure. As you know, we are doing work in a number of places, not just in Everett but in Boston, Medford,
Malden, a little bit of work in Chelsea and the big part projects we have are listed on this slide, which is Wellington Circle, obviously Sullivan Square and Cambridge Street, Santilly Circle, Switzer Circle and Lower Broadway in Everett and a portion of Lower Broadway is also Alfred Street, which is Boston.

So we have broken all of the off-site infrastructure into four different bid packages. Three of them have gone out for bids, and the fourth one will go out for bid in 9, 10 days or so.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Is that the Sullivan Square?

MS. KRUM: Actually, Sullivan Square has already been out for bid and we expect that back by August 21st. That went out on

7/31. So the one that's still going out is the MBTA station improvements, and the Wynn bus shelters at Malden Center and Wellington Stations and the parking lot improvements also at Wellington Station.

MR. DESALVIO: Now I was going to switch gears and talk about the update on the diversity, project diversity. We have some really good news to report there. On the design phase, which is nearly complete now, we round up with these, I'm going to call them close to final numbers, for MBE participation we had a goal of 7.9 and we came in at 8.7 percent, and that was about \$5 million worth of work.

On the WBE, we had a goal of

10 percent came in at about 5/2 for

\$3 million worth of work. And then for the

VBEs, we had a goal of one percent but

really far exceeded that and came in at

7.1 percent or \$4 million worth of work.

So, overall, for the design phase, we had a

goal of 18.9 percent and came in at

21 percent.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Is the WBE number a forecaster of future problems or is it particularly unique in the design?

MR. DESALVIO: No. Because we're actually doing very well, and you will see on the next slide when we rolled into now the real construction we've actually are exceeding. So we have been able to really kind of address that in a big way for the construction side.

So, for those numbers on the MBE, we had a goal of five and we're at 4/8, so we're pretty close there. And we have a few other things that we're working on that might be able to help that number jump on over. The WBEs, the goal of 5/4, we're at 9/8.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: \$99 million for women-owned businesses.

MR. DESALVIO: That is a lot of work. Yes, these numbers are amazing. This was \$50 million in the MBE work, and 100 million basically on the WBE. The VBEs is at one percent goal. We're at 2.6 now.

So, overall, we're a goal of 11/4 and we're hovering about 15.5 percent and over \$150 million worth of work. More importantly, 131 different contracts, so this is a lot of different folks involved in this, which is terrific.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Is there some double counting there? That number doesn't -- that tally doesn't count -- doesn't come to the same as the three together.

MR. DESALVIO: Because you can be in more than one classification, that's correct. You're correct, Chairman. And then on the workforce side, really some very good news there. For our minority workforce, we had a goal of 15.3 percent and we're currently at 26.1 percent. And that is on 187,000 hours. Female, which is something that we worked at extremely aggressively, our goal was 6.9 percent.

We're at 7.1 percent, and for veterans our goal was 3 percent and we're at 6.9 percent.

So across the board a big kudos to not only our team that has been working on this but Suffolk and the subs really putting a lot of effort into -- this does not happen by accident, as you know. So they have been really working well on that, and we'll keep that going -- try to keep those numbers going.

Also, we follow along with Mayor

DeMaria on making sure that quite a bit of

this work goes to Everett residents, and he

has been very pleased. I think we're up to

3 million?

MS. KRUM: 3 million-dollars.

MR. DESALVIO: 3 million-dollars in salaries and wages so far to Everett residents on the construction side. So he is really pleased that that number continues to rise. And we think it will go up, because he has some — there is a great workforce in Everett on the finishes. We think we will be able to get quite a few people hopefully on the finish side of the job. So that's something that he made a

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

real commitment to try to bring some jobs locally, and I know Mayor DeMaria has been pleased so far and City Council.

On the community outreach front, I am not going to read this. This is three solid pages of different meetings, and I'll let you sort through that. I might highlight a couple with NECAT, Bike to the Sea planning. On 4/29 we had a Suffolk Downs track worker event. That was a great We had a really good response to event. We actually added some more folks in the database when we had the event because friends brought other friends to the meeting that were not part of the original mailing that we did, so we actually increased that database, so we will stay in touch with them.

The Everett High School Culinary

Planning. They are doing a great job over
there on their program. And now that I've
got some food and beverage folks on board,
we think we'll be able to connect nicely
with the Everett High School Culinary

Program. And, again, this list just goes on and on.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: What's the Champion's Breakfast? 6/22. Sorry, if you don't remember.

MR. DESALVIO: 6/22, oh, that is the Mystic River Watershed Association. are an annual sponsor of Mystic River Watershed Association, and once a year they bring together all of their partners. This year they were really focusing on two items, which it was actually very interesting. Partners, who is right across the river from us, their new headquarters, and they did a presentation on sustainability. Very similar to what Peter was talking about how we planned our building for future sea level rise, so they focusing on that. And then there's a lot of effort now on the Malden River side.

There has been so much effort on the Mystic River that they want to make sure that the Malden River is getting the same attention. Those were the two big things.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

We've gone to that breakfast every year.

That's a nice event that they do.

And then I threw in some photos.

The first is at our diversity recognition awards. This is when J. Derienzo got recognized for their work. The next is an event we attended with the -- that's a matter with the Hispanic business group that we meet quarterly with, and they had a program that we're involved with. The next one is Boston Youth Build event that we attended.

The next one is there's a cleanup day that's done in Everett each year, and we had our whole staff out here. And you will note, Jacqui Krum and her daughter in the photo who worked very diligently. You see Mayor DeMaria. We had a good participation from our staff.

The next slide you see will their incredible painting capabilities that they were working on. So, again, we're trying to get out and about to the community as much as possible and make sure that people

1 understand that Wynn wants to be a good 2 community and corporate citizen. 3 MS. KRUM: I'll have to note that 4 the day after the same wall was tagged 5 again, and so they installed this security 6 system so that it can't happen again. 7 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Can't get that 8 have -- what do you call it? 9 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Graffiti. 10 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Now they can't do 11 that? 12 MS. KRUM: Well, there's a security 13 camera that will shine a light in someone's face and take a picture of you. 14 15 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Oh really. CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Where is that 16 camera? I mean that wall? 17 MR. DESALVIO: That's on their 18 19 greenway in Everett. 20 MS. KRUM: That's part of their bike 21 path. Actually, one of the subcontractors, 22 Lorenzo, was hugely helpful in this effort. 23 They brought out some machinery, I think, 24 the day before and cleared out the part of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

it.

MR. DESALVIO: I will tell you that the City of Everett and kudos to the Mayor is very aggressive in terms of cleaning up any graffiti. I know that because we -- on one building we had there was something on it and within a day, I think, I got a notice that if we don't get it off, there will be a fine. They're very aggressive, which is great because they really make an effort to keep the community just as clean as possible, and I like to see that. this cleanup effort was terrific. They did a lot of work on the area where they hope to ultimately connect the bikeway all the way through and down to our property.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: So this camera has a light and then it takes a picture.

MS. KRUM: Right.

 $\label{thm:commissioner} \mbox{COMMISSIONER CAMERON: I saw one} \\ \mbox{that also spoke to the people.}$

MS. KRUM: It does that, too.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: And they fly

2324

away. We see you. You know, it's like a recorded message and the police are on

their way or whatever and these kids were boom, out of there, wow.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Can I sav something to you about being in the community, Bob, because I attended that event in Lynn, and that picture is just a sample, and pretty much everybody in the event came in and said hello to Jenny and embraced her actually and they know her And it's just a testament of how well. present you are, not just you but of course Jenny and the rest of your team. It says something remarkable, which then in conjunction with the number of events that you list really speaks loudly as to your presence.

MR. DESALVIO: Thank you. We appreciate that.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: I had a quick follow-up question. You guys were very aggressive in reaching out and pulling together an event of small food truck

5

6

7

3

4

8

10

9

1112

13

14

15

1617

18

19

20

21

2223

24

_ _

venders to service all the folks you have working on the site. How has that gone, how many food trucks are you using or local food truck venders are you using?

MR. DESALVIO: I see them on the site, Peter. I don't know the numbers.

MR. CAMPOT: We have two food trucks out there full time right now, and we might add a third. We're open-minded about having a third. It really comes down to whether they feel like they have enough business. It's going very well.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: That's some local provider?

MR. CAMPOT: Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Great.

MR. BEDROSIAN: So, Commissioners, I think I told you individually, Jon, Joe and I were out there on Friday, I think, and the amazing thing that really struck me is it feels like, you know, when they did the prep work, and that is a nonconstruction word, I apologize, they took the site down and now they're literally building it back

up. And one example Peter pointed out a roadway after you exit the portico that will take cars either to the garage or I guess out. And there were manhole covers that were well above our head that will be the roadway, and that roadway will be grade, and just it's the whole place.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Is this an anticipated climate change issue?

MR. DESALVIO: Yes, we would up lifting the building up. So as Peter mentioned, we're about 12 feet off of sea level, but the casino floor level is actually 24 feet above sea level.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: But that wasn't just because of normal tides. That was because of anticipated --

MR. DESALVIO: That's right. We went to a 500 year flood level and thinking about this, and we sort of picked the whole building up. So really the first level of parking, while it will appear to be underground because of the grading that Ed just talked about, really is at grade and

then we pulled the building up and of course all the mechanical systems. The only thing that's below grade are the ventilation fans that are within the parking garage, because we need them obviously to exit fuming and things in the garage.

But other than that, every other
mechanical system has been raised up inside
that north podium area. We brought that
up. We went to the living shoreline so
that we could get gentle. As tides come up
instead of ripping into a bulkhead, they
can actually come up and then recede
without doing a lot of damage and the only
bulkhead where we needed to at the docks.
And so a lot of thought and time went into
the issue of future sea level rise, because
we know it's coming.

There was an article in the Globe
this week about some of the new studies,
and it appears to be even a little worse
than what they thought. So we just want to
make sure we're prepared for it.

Page 121 1 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Obviously a lot of 2 people are going through this, a lot of 3 developers are going through this but it's 4 just really a dramatic case in point about 5 the cost impacts of these potential 6 changes. It's really interesting. 7 MR. DESALVIO: And better to do it 8 now when we're under construction than 9 worry about this stuff later on. Any other 10 questions? 11 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Is that it? 12 Great. 13 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Great. Thank 14 you. 15 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Thank you. 16 MR. DESALVIO: Thank you. CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Great. Thanks 17 18 very much. Congratulations. Go ahead, 19 Jon. I'll be right back. 20 MR. ZIEMBA: Commissioners, the next 21 item is MGM's Springfield quarterly report. 22 I'll ask them to join me up here. 23 addition to the quarterly report, MGM

Springfield will provide some information

24

regarding items that more recently occurred, including the Springfield City Councils action on the timetable for the residential units that have been required by Springfield and the Commission.

They'll also provide an update regarding information contained in MGM Resort's recent 10Q filing for the second quarter that was made earlier this week. Among the other items, the 10Q includes updated project and cost information for the MGM Springfield project.

As the Commission is aware, the commission and commission staff have been focused on the status of the project and its cost throughout our reviews of the MGM Springfield project and, specifically, during this year. While MGM Springfield will provide some updates on these items, I recommend that the Commission schedule another status update with MGM Springfield in September.

September is one year before the currently projected opening date. In

contrast to a quarterly report, which predominately focuses on the past quarter, we have discussed with MGM that a presentation in September would be useful as we begin this last stretch before opening day.

We can focus more on the specifics of many potential areas such as budgets, schedule, programming of the facility and MGM's progress n fulfilling conditions.

Overall, even with today's comparatively less contemporaneous review, I think you'll see MGM's impressive progress and the construction of their facility.

As we are all aware, MGM enjoys an international reputation for building great projects. Our focus today in the proposed September meeting and during this next year will be on how MGM is working to ensure that MGM Springfield is one of these great projects. And with that, I turn to Seth Stratton, MGM's Springfield Vice President and General Counsel, who will introduce the deem.

1

2

4

5

7

8

10

1112

13

14

15

16

1718

19

20

21

22

23

24

Thanks, John. MR. STRATTON: appreciate it. The nice thing for me is our team is ground now. All I have to do is introduce people, and they have to do all the hard work. Joining me today here is Brian Packer, our Vice President of construction, Courtney Wenleder, our Vice President and CFO and Alex Dixon, our General Manager. In a moment, I'm going to pass it over to Brian to go over our construction project, Courtney will touch on our budget, and then Brian will review diversity, and then Alex will close it out with some of our conclusions and some of our upcoming milestones.

Before I do that, I just want to -John asked me to touch on our ongoing
discussions with the City. We've had a
number of productive discussions lately on
a variety of issues. Our teams are working
very well together, and I think we and the
City are on the same page on virtually
every issue that comes up.

So it's been a really good

relationship with the City, and I think that was borne out in our recent amended host community agreement to our extended time line for a residential development. We addressed that when we were before you last, and we anticipated that we would amend the ATA. We did that on July 17th.

Essentially, we pushed off the residential component for a total of 18 months post-opening essentially at the request of the City to allow greater flexibility to pursue the 31 Elm development in downtown Springfield, which we all believe could be transformative for the City.

That was well-received by City

Council and various stakeholders after

being proposed by the Mayor and his team.

The amendment was unanimously supported by

the City Council, and so that has been

amended.

And just to remind the Commission, this essentially -- this was an effort to buy additional time. We all acknowledge

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1 22

23

24

that we didn't want to be in a position where neither the City nor us nor the Commission wanted to be in a position where MGM wasn't complying with their deadline with the ATA, so that was the reason for the amendment.

We anticipate that a further amendment would be needed once all the specifics of the 31 Elm project are worked out. And I know that the City team is working collaboratively with Wynn Development and the preferred developer and is giving us some updates on that.

So, we are excited about that, but we are relieved to be able to focus on the project at hand and revisit that exciting development as we approach our opening. unless there are -- it looks like you have a question, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Just a quick question. What's the original housing proposal was for the former school department building, what's the status of that building in your MGM is either roll

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

1617

18

19

20

2122

23

24

with that or is it going back to the city to pursue or developer or kind of just on hold for now?

MR. STRATTON: It's on hold. We still own it. We are maintaining it. are hopeful that this project at 31 Elm would move forward. And part of the discussions with the City are as part of our contribution to the overall project, not only would we make a financial contribution, but we would essentially donate that building back to the City so that they could pursue development opportunities, which the City is thinking the best thing to do there would be additional residential that the City would So that would be we're holding it. pursue. We're maintaining it, and it would be part of the overall residential development.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: What was the purchase price of that building?

MR. STRATTON: The purchase price was approximately \$600,000, and we've spent several hundred thousand in maintaining and

making some improvements to the building this far. Great. Without further ado,
I'll pass it on to Brian Packer to address construction. And while he starts off,
we're going to play musical chairs so that
Alex can get in here. Thank you,
Commissioners.

MR. PACKER: Good morning.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Good morning.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Good

morning, Brian.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Good morning.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Good

morning.

MR. PACKER: So this quarter is really as Randy Pitts was saying, our general superintendent, you need to make pay while the sun is shining. So this quarter that I'm reporting on and obviously the one we're now it's all about, and you've heard me say this before, getting ready for winter and making sure that the building is generally watertight to support interior construction over the wintertime.

So what you'll see here, and the aerial is kind of a good example of it, you see from the comparison from March it was going from metal deck areas and steel construction kind of wrapping up, the tower partially complete in March to now in June a lot of the roof work done, some of the air handler units in place, the pool deck areas as an infill of where you will remember that one support crane was right behind the tower, all of that work is now behind us. And you're really looking at the outline of the structure of a fairly close to what it's going to be when we open.

The garage also had a significant milestone in this quarter where we had the topping off in the garage, the last piece of precast installed, and the garage kind of finishes out there along MGM Way, and that work was also completed.

This is a look mid-March from the Armory down onto the Plaza area and straight ahead is the convention deck where

you will have a wall and a pre-function area there and the convention area that actually what you will able to walk out onto an exterior terrace up above grade. So that's the look of the terrace starting to take shape and the crawler crane that you see that used to be there.

So if you go to then the next slide, you can see how that's all starting to fill in and the back of the hotel green board, exterior wallboard going in. You can start seeing where all the windows are going to go.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Could you go back?

MR. PACKER: Sure. Back and then

forward. The front exit -- the emergency

exit stairs on the plaza there taking

shape. And, so, when you're out there

today as a pedestrian, you can feel what

that plaza is going to be like.

This was a shot that, you know,
maybe a year, year and a half ago we used
this angle to show you most of our
progress. And now it's not a great angle

for that anymore, because we pretty much topped out the work in this area. So this is your -- this is the view kind of down from 95 State over the site. You see the roof work generally complete, some logistics basically plywood production we had down. We figured out a quick way to get from the loading off of Main Street all the way over to central plant. So construction crews have been using that walkway a good amount. And then right beyond that is the convention center roof, and that is now complete as well.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Brian, is this a photograph or is it a graphic?

MR. PACKER: This is a photograph.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Looks like a rendering.

MR. PACKER: And then next you see the majority of the scaffolding now around the site is complete, especially that kind of money shot along Main Street where the main entrance to the hotel casino will be on the corner. On our website, you kind of

Τ

_

see a rendering of this with the trolley going by, so this is all starting to take shape. If you're out there today, the majority of the green board for these areas are complete, and we're getting ready for windows to start showing up in October.

Podium construction progress here on the left, some of this framing will stay in place. Some of this framing was actually used for a mockup where we were exploring some different construction methodologies for the casino podium. Finishes for executive review took place here about a month ago, and that enables us then to get past those conversations approvals, and then move on throughout the podium with framing. So you'll see a significant amount of framing here in the next quarter taking place on the interiors.

The photo on the right really just kind of speaks to, you know, there has been actually here I'd say for the last two months, we had rain at least once a week, if not twice a week and some of it's been,

you know, pretty good downpours. So, you know, we're working Saturdays to try to get past that hump of, you know, can we go from temporary roofing to permanent roofing so that come the fall, we're not dealing with those kind of temporary issues.

This was another cool milestone for us. The support of 73 State Street that, I believe, you all have seen when we've done our site tours. This temporary support was there for when we, you know, kind of segregated the front facade of this building off the structure that was holding it up for all these years.

We were able to tie the facade that we were saving into the new podium steel, and then take off the temporary supports along State Street. So that portion of the kind of partial demolition all the way through reconnection is now complete, so that was an exciting milestone.

Then inside of 73 State, which is kind of interesting on the right-hand -- the right picture there, you can actually

see some of the old fireplaces in the brick structure. And that's actually on both sides of that building you see that. There was one of the fireplaces that we have salvaged from this building, and it will be reinstalled in our main hotel lobby, so

kind of a neat story there.

And on the left, you can see some of the exterior photographs starting to tie in the new podium structure with the green board, scaffolding up to that existing facade of 73. And you can start seeing what the approach down to the garage what it will look like along MGM Way.

Another important milestone that you see in the upper picture, and then it's missing in the lower picture is the construction crane was also disassembled and demobilized during this period.

The parking garage, the facade along the main entry point has been completed and the intricate kind of different styles of brick and precast installed. And then on the next slide, we had the topping off of

1 2

that garage down in the corner closest to the highway. And Tishman actually sponsored a lunch for all the workers on that day. I think it was a pig roast, and they had five pigs brought in. We were joking actually. The invent took place at 11. By 11:30 all the food was gone. We said at the next event we will have to have somebody actually serving the portions. Because if you let the workers do the portions themselves, they have a pile of pork on each plate was huge. So we are trying to figure out what the next event there is. And just a few more shots here of progress.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Is that from -- on the top one, is that from MGM Way or is that Union Street; what is that?

MR. PACKER: Let's see, I flipped ahead. Are you on this slide or the previous one?

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: This one, the upper left.

MR. PACKER: So in the upper left

there, that would be along MGM Way right where it kind of turns.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: So that's Commissioner McHugh's cladding.

MR. PACKER: So you could see this from say State Street.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: From State Street, okay, yes. He'd love to see that. That's double spades.

MR. PACKER: We started on the inside, the striping stalls, sealing the concrete. There is still some work to do from MEP fire protection standpoint, but we hope to be getting into a punch list on this garage in probably around October. And on the right, you see, you know, after the precast goes in, there is still an amount of baccara insulation and calking that has to take place. One of the workers is performing that here in the photo.

So a couple of views here from the Armory. The plaza area is on the right.

That was a picture right from that convention kind of terrace deck I was

speaking about, and that's the view you see right from our convention area, right over to the Armory. So you can just picture that uplit at night when it's finished. It should be a very active plaza atmosphere.

On the left coming down Howard

Street towards the circle at the top
picture, you can -- Red Rose would be on
your left-hand side. You can see the
definition now of the plaza taking place in
relationship to the Armory and the podium.

Straight ahead it's hard to see but hidden
by the scaffold, you'll have that animated
screen, large screen in the plaza for
events. And on the bottom picture on the
left gives you just a different perspective
basically from the pool area down into the
plaza.

A few shots of progress along the entertainment block. We had the scaffolding installed over there going past the Armory, the church, we're finishing up what improved the installation for all the sandy block that was around the church.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Have you settled on programming for the church?

MR. PACKER: We're very close. I think, Mike will be prepared to speak to that in September. I don't want to speak too much on it until they are done with the deal. I think, they are fairly close.

We've studied that a lot, and I think have come to a very exciting concept.

And then on the next slide a few more shots of the entertainment block. You see the scaffolding working its way down towards the end of the cinema. Once it turns the building there, you're turning back towards the garage. And then the picture on the right is a shot from the top of the Armory back down along the entertainment block as well. Kind of see the area where the ice rink will go.

A little bit of a design update. We submitted our 95 percent progress set to both City of Springfield and the Historical Commission, which is required by multiple agreements. The design work on the child

care center continued, and we got to a point where we had construction documents and put those out on the street for bid.

We're fairly close to hiring somebody to perform that work hopefully here in the next month.

Exterior signage concepts have been reviewed. We've gone back and forth with the City a little bit and their consultants, and we presented to City Council a preview of the signage package, and we'll do it more formally here in the upcoming month.

Civil site plans, addendum three, generally that was just to address City comments, Department of Public Works comments. The hotel podium fit-out packages, so interior work. We have those developed to a point where they have been issued for permit. To our construction team, it will probably be issued to the City here in the next two weeks.

95 State Street Delta 2 was issued. That should be the last major Delta here

for 95 state, because we're hoping to complete that work by the end of year. That is where all the executive offices are. And then Delta 6 to the podium core and shell, generally, that's just cleanup work for the exterior facade.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: What's Delta 6; does that mean change?

MR. PACKER: So, you know, after we issue for permit, we'll track it on a Delta so that we can -- we know how many changes were put into this version. And some of them at this stage could be fairly minor, but you're trying to catch up and make sure the documents matches what engineers have issued a field sketches and what have you.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Brian, the exterior signage concepts, is that taking into consideration all the stuff on top of the garage kind of outward facing towards the highway?

MR. PACKER: So that was actually completed and presented back in September and approved at that time, because we were

coming out of the garage earlier than everywhere else. In that package had the main marquee, the static signs that go around, and then the plaza animated sign that's in the plaza was part of that.

So this package picks up some of the larger branding signs that you would see that might say MGM or MGM Springfield or hotel. Some of the exterior type signs that weren't captured in that garage discussion. And then later, you'll probably have -- you'll see another wave as we get closer to opening for smaller branding signs of restaurants and that type of thing, but we're not quite there yet on those.

The reason for doing the phasing is, obviously, I'm wrapping up the exterior of the building. Wherever you have some of these signs, you need steel support or backing to support the weight of these signs. So we are looking to get that in here before everything gets wrapped up in the fall.

1 Schedule, we've submitted each month 2 our required schedule submissions to the 3 Commission. Nothing really to note here 4 other than we are still on track for the 5 September date. For me, that means that 6 basically a TCO date with construction in 7 early August to give operations a period of 8 adjustment as they work towards public 9 opening date. 10 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: COD, 11 certificate of occupancy? 12 Temporary certificate MR. PACKER: 13 of occupancy. Typically you'll get a 14 temporary for certain conditions, and then 15 you'll work through a final over the next 16 four to six months. And with that, I'll 17 turn it over to Courtney for the budget 18 update. 19

20

2.1

22

23

24

MS. WENLEDER: Good afternoon. CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Good afternoon. COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Good afternoon.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Good afternoon.

MS. WENLEDER: I'm going to review the project expense to date. We have incurred 482.1 million in project costs through the second quarter of 2017. Total project spend increased 86.9 million or 21.9 percent increase in the last quarter. Total capital cost increased 76.5 million, which represents 88 percent of the total increase. Preopening increased 5.5 million, which includes 2.6 million in gaming assessments and approximately a million in payroll. And then capitalized interest increased 4.8 million.

On this next slide, I wanted to take a minute to comment on our second quarter 10-Q, which was issued a few days ago. The Q stated a revised budget of 960 million excluding cap interest and land. This represents approximately \$95 million increase to the budget since the last quarterly filing.

As mentioned earlier, we're in the process of updating our budget to reflect this change, and we plan to come back in

Page 144 1 September to provide the Commission a 2 detailed explanation of the revised budget. 3 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Can I just --4 to clarify, the 960 in that slide should 5 not be compared to the range at the bottom 6 of this slide because this one does include 7 land and capitalized interest, correct? 8 MS. WENLEDER: That's correct. 9 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: But the net is 10 that 95 million that you speak about in 11 that slide, which you will update in the 12 coming days; is that correct? 13 MS. WENLEDER: That's correct, in 14 September. 15 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Because this slide 16 doesn't include that, right? 17 MS. WENLEDER: No, this is as of June 30th. 18 MR. PACKER: So if there is no 19 20 further questions on that, I'll move into a 2.1 diversity update. 22 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Brian, excuse me. 23 Same question I asked Wynn. 24 percentage of the procurement is done; are

there still even after this 95 million, are there risk -- major risk factors?

MR. PACKER: Yes. I think for myself when I look at risk factors going forward as it would relate to budget, we have approximately 60 million of work left to buy, if you will.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: 60?

MR. PACKER: Yes. Now, with that 60, I have between 30 and 35 million of bids in-house that we're currently reviewing. And some are up slightly. Some are down slightly. So I don't think in that first 35 million you're going to see a major variance to what was projected in the numbers just shown.

The other 30 will be a mixture of
I'd say about 15 that will trickle in over
time, because it's small items that get
resolved typically in the last six to eight
months of the job, awnings, interior
signage, maybe do our hardware accessories.
So there's small pockets of money that just
come in drabs.

The other big portion that's sitting out there will be the cinema, and that ties into tenant discussions obviously, the tenant plans for that cinema. And once design gets developed enough, I'll be able to buy the cinema.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: What's that order of magnitude?

MR. PACKER: It will depend on operator needs but plus or minus it could be between 10 and 12-million dollars. We are going to build the exterior shell that it's the interior fit-out of the cinema.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: So you're still in discussions relative to that contract, if I remember correctly?

MR. PACKER: Yes, that's correct.

And on that specific item, I believe we'll be able to give you a lot more detail in September as well. The cinema itself for me once I get past these rewards we're in negotiation would be probably the biggest piece of risk that's part of these numbers.

We do share some of the same

concerns Wynn had on manpower obviously, not looking to have as many individual workers on site per day as Wynn will ramp up to, but we will probably ramp up to about 800 a day. We're about 550 right now. We see some stress in a few of the unions at this point, but they're working with us to get travelers and what we have to do. And, so, it's those two things and then obviously weather. And, you know, if we can get through the fall here, getting closed, get the windows here on the time, that will control a lot of that concern.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: What's the remaining contingency number on there? I didn't see it on there. It's probably there. I didn't notice it.

MR. PACKER: I believe, don't hold me to the exact number, but prior to this we hope to approximately 40 million. I think in the new update it will about 30.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Brian, just in general and maybe this is a little, you know, some of the discussions that we

should think about in September or later but when does the operation people really start to get in and, you know, relative to the schedule and when do you essentially start to hand off some of that; is that that August date?

MR. PACKER: Yes. So we'll have a series of events that are going to start, you know, with slot machine load in early spring of next year all the way through opening. So we'll kind of have an activation checklist and say, hey, day 150 out from opening start this or we have to complete this. Here it's a little bit different than some of the other projects I am involved with that we do have a positive note of 95 State.

So Alex, Mike, the team, they're going to be able to get in their offices sometime early next year where typically they may only move in 90 days out before opening. Some cases 60 or 30 depending on how much construction is squeezed, right?

So the first piece of, you know, the

coordination we have to do is on 95, the employees that will be hired early next year will work out of 95 as well as parking for those employees and can we utilize the garage early. Those are the first level of discussions we are having. Then it's obviously related around slots, security, slot movement and then you will get into 120 to day zero kind of time frame that, you know, multiple things are happening every day.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Good luck.

MR. PACKER: Thanks. We have 51
Sundays left until I have to give them the keys. So on diversity, still very positive numbers here. This is design and construction looking across the whole project, combined, project target for WBE 10 percent, commitments are currently at 19.5. MBE 5 percent target. We have 8.9. And on VBE, we have a target of 2 percent. We're currently at 7.6 percent.

And I do point out I know every meeting, but if you look at the company

count here and the amount of companies that are now involved, it's, you know, we're well over hundred now. So a lot of active participation here and a lot of work that goes into making this happen. Continued to track this obviously every month with DOC and then also internally on kind of projections and forecasts to try to make sure we stay on track through the project and closed.

And then if we look at the design and construction side, on the WBE side, we have a goal of 10 percent. We hit

16.5 percent commitments. On the MBE side, we hit 12.7 percent commitments and on VBE we have 7.1 percent commitments. So this gives you kind of the subset of design of those numbers.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: That's great.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: These are all great numbers, because you're exceeding that. But what is the difference between design and construction or design and consultant?

MR. PACKER: So we've been asked -our plan requires us to report design and
construction combined. It's really one
goal for the project, but we have been
asked to report also the subsets of, hey,
what does the design look like inside of
that and what does construction look like
on its own? So this is just a breakout of
that first slide, design and consultant
specific.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Okay. And the balance being construction.

MR. PACKER: Yes. So on slide 29 and 30, it gives you a breakdown of those design or consulting services, the companies, where they are from. And then if they are highlighted in green, we have paid those companies already at least a portion of their contracts. And if they haven't been highlighted yet, they are just under contract or we're expecting to use them between now and the end of the project.

And then on slide 31, we give you

that construction subset, which would be the balance. And for construction commitments for WBEs a target of 10. We had commitments of 19.9. For MBEs target of five, commitments 8.4 and VBE target of 2 percent. We have commitments of 7.7 percent. And then we do kind of a similar breakdown for you of those companies. And, currently, on the construction side, we have 92 different companies participating.

And then in closing on diversity, we obviously also have to track workforce.

You know, this is basically statistical tracking each worker by hour worked out in the field. And for women, the project goal has been 6.9 percent of the hours. And to date, it's been 9.78 percent. For minority 15.3 percent as a goal and to date 23.52 percent, and for veteran 8 percent target and probably to date 10.2 percent.

So this is one that obviously we monitor basically daily. When you have so many trades, so many different companies

bringing different folks to the site every single day and sometime you look good one day and then a certain scope ends and the dry wallers go home and the mill workers come in, you can see a quick change, so we try to monitor that.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Brian, do you track the geographical areas where the workers come from?

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Down on the bottom there.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: You mean in the notes?

MR. PACKER: Yes, we do. As part of the tracking we do for SEIGMA, we end up getting ZIP code information through certain payroll data. And, so, we're able to generally run where do certain workers come from. And while it's not a reporting requirement, we do track it and approximately 30 percent of the hours work right now are from Springfield or surrounding communities and then 59 percent are from Western Mass. And, you know,

2.1

staff that does surprise me in a positive way, you know, over 81 percent of the hours worked on this project are out of Massachusetts residents.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: That's great considering how close you are to Connecticut.

MR. PACKER: We're close to the border. You have the unions generally over the years for this region have been more nomadic. They are covering areas of Springfield and New York and Connecticut and Rhode Island. And so, you know, to have that kind of draw from Massachusetts for where Springfield is located was surprising.

And then we have just a few shots here a little more recent on the site kind of looking out here on the right from that main entry, you can start seeing that entry oval take place, take shape I should say. Here on the -- up in the convention area, you can see the octagon shape for the dome on the right-hand side. That's the

historic dome out of 73 State that we restored and re-installed in the convention center area. You see the scaffolding and the cinema area is now fully wrapped with the scaffolding and green board going up in the areas that were previously blank.

Again, all pushed to get ready for windows, to get waterproof, water tight.

Here is the upper connection to 73
State finished off. This will be in the back of house, support areas. And just recently here two weekends ago on the bottom right Union/Chandler, that skeleton that we had of steel that supported that is gone.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: It's gone, oh really, wow.

MR. PACKER: So we still have some facade renovations to do and some other things, but the majority of that structure work, which really was a lot of back and forth is complete. And you'll see the lower row of windows if you look back in an old photo and we will do a comparison the

next time you're here. The lower row of 1 2 windows are now reworked. They were 3 demolished at one time and opened and there 4 were big, double windows that weren't 5 historically correct and they had awnings 6 on them, so that bottom floor is now 7 restored and will be part of the hotel 8 rooms. 9 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: That side by side would be cool to see. 10 11 MR. PACKER: Yes, so, a lot of work 12 there. 13 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Brian, for you 14 to get those windows on the left, that's 15 going to be back of house? That's a quite 16 a space. 17 MR. PACKER: Yes, that's back of 18 house upstairs. Downstairs, though, that's 19 the marketplace, so yes. 20 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Those windows 2.1 are beautiful. 22 COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: 23 where Alex is going to have his office, 24

right?

That's

1

MR. DIXON: Nice plush one, yes.

2

_

3

4

5

6

O

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. PACKER: So with that, if there aren't any further questions for me, I'll

turn it over to Alex.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Very

impressive.

as well.

MR. DIXON: Good morning,

Commissioners. I'll be pretty brief. Look forward to a robust update when you're out to see us next month. But the big milestones for us are really building out

the rest of our executive team. So those members of the team who report to Courtney

and I, many of them will start after Labor

Day. And, so, we are steadfast at work at

submitting our payment school applications

so that we can get that ready.

Once Brian spoke about the 95 State Street, one of the first things that will occupy that building is our gaming school, and we're working with the community colleges and we will be back as well to kind of provide an update on that process

2 3

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

In terms of a turnover, it is unique having gone through this process before. Brian did a phenomenal job of getting some of these back of house spaces up and early, which provides a nice, easy transition to ongoing operations so that we're not moving in at the same time, the kids are showing up for summer camp is the best way to describe it at the same time. So, we can really get prepared to make sure that we have a nice on-boarding process.

And then separately, you know, we recently took over responsibility for the MassMutual Center as of July. So that was a great opportunity, and come Monday we have got WWE wrestling in-house. you're in town, feel free to stop by and see us there.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: When's that? MR. DIXON: Monday, 7:30, John Cena, Springfield local is supposed to be in house, so we're excited to welcome great entertainment to you all in Springfield.

> COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Thank you.

	Page 159
1	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Who is doing
2	preview of coming milestones? That was it?
3	MR. DIXON: Yes, I think that's it.
4	We have a lot people coming is the biggest
5	one.
6	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: WWE was the big
7	milestone.
8	MR. DIXON: That's a big one. We're
9	excited for it.
10	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Anything else for
11	MGM?
12	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Thanks, great
13	presentation.
14	COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Great
15	presentation.
16	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Yes, very
17	exciting. Look forward to being out there
18	next month.
19	MR. ZIEMBA: That concludes the
20	report.
21	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Thank you, John.
22	COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Thank you.
23	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: We have to make a
24	decision. It's quarter to one. I'd say we

probably have a good hour or to report.

I'm inclined to take it -- all right. So
we're going to take a 30 minute break.

It's now 12:45, so we will pick up at 1:15.

(A recess was taken)

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: We are ready to reconvene public meeting 223 with Director Griffin.

MS. GRIFFIN: Go afternoon, Chairman Crosby, Commissioners.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Good afternoon.

MS. GRIFFIN: First I'd just like to remark how pleased I was at watching the presentations of our licensees and really seeing how seriously they take the diversity and how well they are doing, so I just wanted to take note of that. Both Commissioners Stebbins and Cameron were at Tuesday's Access and Opportunity Committee meeting where even the most ardent critics were singing the praise of our licensees,

so I just wanted to note that.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: I took note of that as well the difference between a meeting say last year and this year markedly different with the relationships that have been built and the trust.

MS. GRIFFIN: And the trust, yes.
But today I'm joined by General Counsel
William McAvoy of the Operational Services
Division of the Commonwealth. He also
serves as director of the Supplier
Diversity Office. And to his right is John
Fitzpatrick, Director of SDO Programs.
Bill is -- oh, and to the far right is my
colleague, so sorry, Director of Licensing
Paul Connelly. And Bill is here to update
the Commission on the Commonwealth's
certification program for veteran-owned
businesses, including expanded definitions,
new certification partners and streamline
processes and efficiencies.

Additionally, the Commission is being asked to consider relying solely on the Commonwealth's certification system.

2.1

Before I turn the mic over to Bill, I want to give you background on the Commission's diversity certification definition for veteran business enterprises and how it came to be.

So, as you all are aware but for our audience, the gaming law places a priority on ensuring that the new expanded gaming industry was inclusive opening up opportunities during the design, construction and operations of the casino for veterans and the businesses that they own and control amongst other groups.

Way back in 2014, you may recall, that Plainridge Park Casino was in the process of setting procurement goals for doing business with veteran-owned businesses. And at that time, they set an aspirational goal of 3 percent. And it was aspirational because at that time, the Commonwealth's service disabled veteran-owned business enterprise program was fairly new. It was established in March of 2013, and there was no formal

state program for general veteran-owned businesses.

4 veteran-owne

The Commonwealth's service disabled veteran-owned business utilized the federal definition of the vender information pages verification program located at wwwvetbuis.gov. But, unfortunately, in 2014 the state system listed only six registered businesses that were service disabled veteran-owned businesses.

So at the time, Commissioner

Stebbins and I discussed with the

Commission the Commission's role in making

sure that veteran and service disabled

veteran-owned businesses had the

opportunity to be suitable suppliers to the

Category 1 casinos and the Category 2 slots

parlor.

As we also moved ahead with organizing our licensing process, we explored how our vender licensing process might be a means of helping to validate the status of the veteran or service disabled veteran-owned business.

So in our regulations, 205 CMR
135.01, we created a VBE or Veteran
Business Enterprise definition. VBE shall
have the same meaning as the term, small
business concern owned and controlled by
veterans as defined by the US Department of
Veterans Affairs whose status can be
verified by the vender information pages,
verification program located at vetbusi.gov
or in contract with the Federal Government
or by the Division of Licensing of the
Massachusetts Gaming Commission.

So MGC's Division of Licensing currently verifies veteran business enterprise status for the purposes of casino purchasing only. This is not a portable certification status.

However, and Bill will talk a little bit more about this, on November 3, 2015, Governor Baker through an administrative Bulletin 21 announce expanded diversity definitions and certifications. I'm going to turn it over to Bill McAvoy so he can update you on the changes in the state

program.

3

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

20

19

22

2.1

23 24

MR. MCAVOY: Thank you, Jill. afternoon, Chairman Crosby and Commissioners.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Good

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Good

afternoon.

afternoon.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Good afternoon.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Good afternoon.

MR. MCAVOY: My name is Bill McAvoy. I'm actually the Deputy Assistant Secretary and Chief Legal Counsel for the Operational Services Division. And as such, I serve in the capacity as the Executive Director of the Supplier Diversity Office for the Commonwealth.

And I'm here today, as Jill mentioned, to ask the Commission to consider accepting Supplier Diversity Office's definition and our certification approach for Veteran Business Enterprises

or VBEs. We're very big into acronyms at the Supplier Diversity Office or service veteran-owned business enterprises SVOBEs in the operational phase of the casinos.

As Jill mentioned in November of 2015, Governor Baker issued executive order 565, which expanded supplier diversity to also include veteran, disability and LGBT-owned business in addition to the traditional categories of minority and women business enterprises.

As a result of this executive order, we researched and developed relationships with other national and regional organizations that certifies certain supplier diversity categories. We also looked internally at our own processes to determine how we could improve the customer experience for those women and minority organizations that we were required by statute to certify. This internal review included a business process redesign of the entire certification intake, investigation approval and tracking process.

I provided a handout in your packet of information showing how we streamlined our certification application. It's the two-sided with the column's information showing some of the before and after of our certifications. And this shows, again, how we streamlined the certification, first of all, from 32 pages to 10 pages. We upgraded our online certification tracking system to accept electronic applications and allow for virtual site visits during certain investigations that are from distant locations.

These changes made the application process simpler for all applicants. We also scanned all existing paper files in a 400 square foot storage room and developed streamline forms for certification investigators in order to expedite the certification investigation. These efforts have resulted in certification investigation investigation 20 to 27 days.

We have also heard anecdotally that

veteran and service disabled veteran certifications conducted by the US

Department of Veteran Affairs have taken up to nine months for some applicants. In response to this, Governor Baker and Lieutenant Governor Polito announced on May 1, 2016 that the Supplier Diversity Office would now certify veteran business enterprises or VBEs. Therefore, veterans can now benefit from the STO's new streamline certification process.

During the past year and a half, we have observed that our certification practices are consistent with those of other public and private certifying entities across the country, which includes a determination of four primary certification components.

First of all, it's 51 percent ownership. That's one of the requirements that at least 51 percent of the firm is owned by someone that falls into one of the categories, whether it be minority, women or veteran in this situation. And during

that investigation process of ownership, we look at articles of organizations, stock ledgers, bylaws and taxes and additional information.

We also for veterans look at, as you do internally for Mass. Gaming's certification of veterans, we look at the DD Form 214 in order to determine that, in fact, the owner is a veteran. The second component we look at in addition to ownership is operational and financial control.

During that review we look at resumes, professional licensures, bank signature cards, federal taxes, balance sheets and income statements in order to make that determination. We also look at how many years of experience, the current duties of the individuals that are subject to either being minority, women or veteran to look at professional licenses and any outside employment that may take the individual away from their running the day to day operation of the business.

The third component we look at is independence, and that's where we want you to determine that there is no ineligible person or firm that the subject firm is relying upon for tools, equipment and space, personnel or finances of the like.

And the final component is ongoing, and that's where we will review current invoices in the file, contracts, bids, proposals, office and equipment leases, space leases, rent checks, property tax bills and vehicle registrations in order to determine that the firm is, in fact, ongoing.

All of these components are reviewed in order to ensure that the individuals that are involved in the firm, the individuals that are receiving contracts or subcontracts are, in fact, who they say they are and that it's not someone trying to scam the system in order to take part — to receive public or private dollars inappropriately.

Today I've been asked to compare and

contrast the VBE certification processes utilized by the SDO and Mass. Gaming Commission. Please note that I am generally unfamiliar with Mass. Gaming Commission's VBE certification process and I have heard that it is a very robust and certainly is a good practice.

However, I do know that there is in due diligence by Mass. Gaming and the determination of veteran status by their requirement, again, to submit a DD Form 214, which is the certificate of release for discharge from active duty. I would offer, though, that the Supplier Diversity Office's experience in determining the other four primary components of certification that I just named; namely, ownership, control, independence and ongoing is where we would add value to Mass. Gaming certification of veterans.

It is through those components that fraudulent claims that I just mentioned can be made as well -- as well as someone submitting, for example, a false DD 214

Form. And with the risk of stolen vallar, the Commission and all public contracting awarding authorities should be extra diligent to ensure that applicants are, in fact, veterans who own and control the business, which is, in fact, independent and an ongoing entity.

So our proposal is that the

Commission promulgate changes to your

regulations to allow for VBE and SVOBE

certifications conducted only by the US

Department of Veteran Affairs, as you

currently do, and also to rely on the

Supplier Diversity Office for our

certification of veterans.

While the SDO certifies VBEs, again, veteran business enterprises, we do not certify service disabled veteran-owned business enterprises in-house. Instead we rely on the US Business Leadership Network with whom we have executed a 30-year memorandum of understanding for them to certify serviced disabled veteran-owned business enterprises as well as

disability-owned business enterprises for zero cost to Massachusetts-based businesses.

The rationale for not conducting these certifications in-house is due to the difficulty in assessing what is a disability and the USBLN is a nationally recognized organization for their certifications in this area.

I'd also like to point out that while vet buis, as Jill mentioned, certifies small veteran businesses. The Supplier Diversity Office certifies all veteran businesses. We don't put a size limit on veteran businesses. So anyone, whether it's a small business or large, they'll fit within the definition of veterans as long as they prove that criteria that I mentioned.

It is also worth noting that VBE certification by the Supplier Diversity
Office would also provide added value in that certified veteran business enterprises could benefit from their certification,

other bidding opportunities issued by other Commonwealth public and quasi public entities as well as the marketing benefits with private benefits.

We also offer trainings on precertification training as well as how to find bidding opportunities and how to navigate the bidding platforms such as COMMBUYS and some of the Secretary of State bulletins for construction.

In terms of numbers, as Jill had mentioned, we were not doing too well in terms of numbers for serviced disabled veterans. In fact, at the beginning of fiscal year '16 when I became executive director of the Supplier Diversity Office, we had only 15 service disabled veteran-owned business enterprises. As of this week, we have 121 total veterans, which includes 52 VBEs and 69 service disabled veteran-owned businesses.

I would like to thank you for this opportunity to speak with you today, and this concludes my formal presentation and

I'm available for any additional questions
you might have regarding veteran
certification.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Anybody?

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Yes, just a couple or just a small one or maybe a comment. Does the OSD always charge for the certification, Bill?

MR. MCAVOY: No, there is no charge for the certification. That was why we were very much focused on ensuring that the agreements that we had with the national organizations for certification for services disabled veteran and disability-owned businesses as well as LGBT-owned business, that those were all free to Massachusetts businesses. So all of our certifications or certifications by us in-house or via certification partners.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: And I'm just curios. Does the state certification put the state at odds with the Federal Department of Veteran Affairs?

MR. MCAVOY: No, it doesn't put it

at odds. It's unknown whether all other states would accept our certification and, certainly, the veteran certification may have a broader appeal to individuals who want to go through the longer process if all states were to accept that and the federal government were to accept that.

But our certification is independent from that.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: But in order to do a contract with the state, if a business has the federal certification, we would also accept that as well?

MR. MCAVOY: Yes, we accept that.

We just have a one page just give us your

FEIN number and your name and address and

that type of information, a couple of

questions. That way we will put them into

our certification tracking system, and that

will get them on our list of certified

veterans. So no additional requirements

beyond those questions.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: One of the things, and maybe this is a comment for

later, but you mentioned that you're very robust in these four areas, not just the verification of the status but ownership and control. And as you may know, we also license venders and a key element of that licensing process, which includes some background check, et cetera, is determining and ascertaining whether and who has ownership and control.

So in my, you know, from my
perspective, I'd love to make sure that
those efforts are coordinated that if we
accept it, and I think we should, the state
certification that we're not leaving our
process intact for those people and
replicating some of what, you know, we've
already done effectively in our own
process. We have some regulations to that
effect. It might not be, like, really
quickly to implement, but it's something
that I would really ask us all to consider.

MR. MCAVOY: That's a great point.

And, actually, we have some experience -and we'd love to be able to discuss that

with you further. We've had some experience with that over the past few years. For example, disadvantaged business enterprises, which is a federal program, they are also certified by the Commonwealth, by entities of the Commonwealth. And if someone goes through that process, if then they're seeking minority or women or veteran certification, we rely upon the site visit, investigative report, et cetera, that was submitted for that certification in order to just help them to simply check a few boxes in order to become certified in the other categories.

So we have some experience over the past couple of years to try to streamline that process. In addition to those that come in with a new application, clean application, we try to make it easier for those folks, as you said, have already jumped through some hoops and tried to become certified in another way.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Then of course

it's easier if you're saying they're in the department but, you know, we just need to continue those relationships intra-agencies so that we are comfortable with your updated form if it becomes updated or our updated form and when it does and so on and so forth.

MR. MCAVOY: Absolutely, and we have relationships with Mass. Dot. We went through a memorandum of understanding for those types of agreements where we agreed to provide information to them. They agreed to provide the same information to us. So that's something that if we were to work closely with, we could certainly have that information documented in such a way that we'd agree to do this for you and you agree to do that for us type of thing.

MS. GRIFFIN: So, Commissioners, just to clarify, the proposal is that for the operational purchasing period of the casinos that we would potentially rely on the state certification only.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: But, again, we

would still need to license, you know, venders in a certain threshold. And all I'm saying is, and I see Paul nodding, and I know there's a lot of follow-up, if you will, that we don't duplicate any efforts that have already been done and our emphasis is elsewhere.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: I think this is a good suggestion, and Jill and Paul and I have had numerous conversations with Bill and John over the last few years before VBE certifications was even raised as an issue as to how we might be able to work better together. You know, to Jill's credit and Paul's credit and Paul's credit and Paul's predecessor, we kind of came up with a solution that I don't think any of us saw as a long-term solution as to how we would recognize and how we would let our licensees recognize VBE.

So we have already done some outreach to the folks that we've had identified as VBEs. Told them about the certification program. Told them that our

certification is or our designation or approval isn't necessarily the seal of approval they need to go and bid on other state projects, and we'll continue to do that, I think, as new names come to us.

But to put VBEs on kind of the same recognition level that we already require MBEs and WBEs, I think this would be a valuable step and, hopefully, our licensees and us will know at the end of the day our counts of VBEs are accurate and that VBEs are being helped and not necessarily hurt, to your point, Bill, about stolen vallars, somebody trying to pass themselves off as a VBE. I think this is a great way to kind of solidify that, you know, using this transition period to get everybody on board, I think, is a sound strategy.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: I would agree, and I think the work you have done to streamline is just excellent and streamlining but yet putting in verification steps along the way, looks -- I'd be very comfortable with that. I

believe, Director Griffin, you're recommending that we take that step?

MS. GRIFFIN: Yes, no vote today.

Attorney Grossman and I will be back to you with the revised regulations.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Right. But the process you've gone through to streamline, and it continues to be rigorous but yet business-friendly. That looks excellent, so thank you for coming in and explaining it, and it's a real comfort level to go with this.

MR. MCAVOY: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: I have a follow-up question to what Commissioner Cameron was just saying. Mr. McAvoy, on that chart, which I did find very impressive on the streamline state, on the line item site visits and the old practice was in-person site visits for certain business categories which have introduced virtual site visit option. What's a virtual site visit option and how can you have confidence in --

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

1718

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

MR. MCAVOY: Thank you. That's a great question. So we don't require site visits for all categories. We do require it for construction firms, for individual organizations that maintain inventory or they manufacturer things.

So if, for example, we have someone in western Massachusetts and it's during a snowstorm or something like that and we have confidence in their technology, that they have face-time or some type of technology whereby they can hold or have someone filming them in front of their company with the signage behind them, with the trucks behind them showing us their inventory, their business operations, then that's -- we've only done them on a few occasions. But in that situation, we've been able to expedite something that may have taken longer in order to schedule a site visit during a snowy period or that type of thing.

The default is always to have in-person site visits, again, for those

_ -

2.1

categories where we require them. But there have been certain situations where there's been enough confidence.

And we also have partners throughout the state. So from time to time we may just ask other partners to drop in and ensure, in fact, the business is a legitimate operation. So, again, that was just something that was introduced for those occasions when we just needed it in order to ensure that the business was legitimate.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: I'm just curios. What do you see most of as you implement some of these new certifications, established businesses that sort of realize that there's an opportunity here to become certified because they qualify or their principal view or can you help me understand how many new businesses perhaps, how many new entrance into the pool out of an opportunity like this certification, is it a very significant disparity?

MR. MCAVOY: We are seeing actually all of the above. We're actually -- we've had a much more concerted effort to market all of these opportunities. As you know, we have our traditional minority and women businesses that we've continued to grow a number of certified businesses over the past couple of years. Those numbers have -- you know, you have some folks that won't renew their certification, so you will drop a bit and then you will have some new certifications coming in.

Certainly, where we're seeing the biggest amount growth obviously is where we had no certified companies that were disabled-owned businesses, LGBT-owned businesses and veteran businesses where we had only eight and then 15 two years ago, so we've seen some huge growth in those areas.

We don't have benchmarks yet, goals for LGBT businesses and disability-owned businesses. But when those are introduced at some point in time, we'll probably see a

spike in growth there as well. Because when there's a reason for folks to, you know, they'll incentivize more folks to become certified and incentivize the public entities to be purchasing from them.

But where there is a veteran benchmark, we've been doing thanks to a grant actually from the Gaming Commission last year -- we appreciate that -- to our office. We've actually stepped up our efforts to provide more outreach to veterans. John actually was the point person on that. We developed a brochure on veterans. We had been making relationships with different veteran outreach organizations throughout the state.

So, that's where we've seen some growth in terms of some of the spend as well as the number of folks becoming certified. So, you know, when you introduce a new category, you obviously have a lot of folks that show up pretty quickly, and then it kind of slows down a bit and you have to continue marketing

outreach efforts in order to ensure that continues.

But there's also -- what we've also done is tried to reach out to folks that we're currently doing business with that we don't know if they're certified and we'll find out, for example, someone in OSD statewide contract that we've been doing business with for multiple years that didn't rely on minority or women status to bid on their contract, we're defining now that some of these folks are, in fact, qualified as minority, women, veteran or one of the other categories, and we're now able to capture their spend within our spend as well.

So it's really all, you know, an all encompassing approach to define where we're currently doing business or where we could be doing business and trying to expand the outreach as much as possible.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: That's great.

Thank you for that. But a long track record does not necessarily require for the

certification. If I remember in some of the forms, you could either have as a small business half a year, let's say, or a partial year of operations and you still in that scenario providing that everything else matches up but that entity can also be certified; is that a fair statement?

MR. MCAVOY: That's correct. We also have what's called a small business purchasing program that is verified through our COMMBUYS system. That's the bidding system, and we verify through the Department of Revenue the folks qualified for that program. For that you have to be a Massachusetts-based business in business at least one year. So for that program, we do have a one year requirement.

The certification -- you know, a lot of veterans are returning from, you know, war and active duty and we are really not requiring folks to be in business for 3 or 4 or 5 or 7 years. It's most times on contracts that folks put those requirements in for experience. So if you're looking

for someone to provide this type of IT solution or something like that, you want to make sure that they know what they are doing, so you may say you have to have X amount of years of experience. But for certification, you know, we want to make sure we're not providing -- putting a barrier in front of folks to becoming certified, and then they can -- that's a step toward them then, you know --

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Proving their experience.

MR. MCAVOY: Proving their experience, exactly, yes.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: When somebody calls you up and says I want to apply to be a veterans-owned business, what happens exactly; who takes that call; what happens?

MR. MCAVOY: So the first step is we will tell them about what we will have a precertification workshop, and we like individuals to go to these precertification workshops. They are in-person.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Who is actually

talking to them now if they call up?

MR. MCAVOY: They can actually find the information from our website. They can talk to John. They can call to our intake person. We have someone who is a dedicated intake person taking -- everything has been in the past six months. We're accepting electronic applications. Sometimes you'll get some paper information or this individual will go in the system and enabling those electronic applications, et cetera.

So whether it's e-mail, whether it's an application coming into the system or whether it's someone calling or coming to one of our many events that we have throughout the year, we're having discussions with these individuals, providing them with brochures and information. Again, that first step is to go to the precertification workshop. At that workshop we explain here's what you really need. We'll go through those four components.

So if you, for example, have someone else you're relying upon for your trucks and you're this and you're that, you're really not independent, so you may not qualify for certification. So we'll go through all of those steps during that training and explain to folks how to apply. And then at the end of that training, we actually have connecting your business to the Commonwealth.

Because one of the biggest steps is folks become certified. They don't get business ever as a certified entity. They have to know that they have to take a next step, which is to bid or try to match themselves up with a prime bidder that they then can be a subcontractor to.

So during that precertification

training, we have that component, again, of

matching your business to the Commonwealth.

And then we'll talk about how to utilize

the COMMBUYS system, which is called combus

(phonetic), how to use the goods and

services bulletin, which is central

register, all those types of opportunities.

And to tell folks if you're construction,
you want to talk to folks at Mass. Gaming
or you want to talk to folks at DECAM or
MassDOT, et cetera, human goods and
services.

So it goes over the whole gambit of really helping folks to navigate the many steps of the procurement process to try to make not just the certification process simpler, but also the next step simpler. We also have many other trainings that are available beyond that, but that's the required initial training.

After that initial training, we'll then e-mail those individuals and send them a link to our online application and they will go into that system, create a profile. They'll download the application. This document says from 32 pages down to 10.

Now that we have an online system, it's actually a seven-page document because certain information is being typed into the profile as they're creating it.

They only have to upload now a seven-page application into the system that then goes to that individual, the intake person. It's assigned to an investigator. The investigator will review the documents that are there, determine if anything else is missing. Schedule a site visit for those categories that requires a site visit. Or for those that don't, they will have a telephone interview, and they'll then review all the documents and conduct an investigation.

We have an investigation -streamline investigation report form that
we utilize for folks who will determine
what documents they looked at in order to
reach those conclusions about those four
categories. And that's how they'll come to
the determination whether someone qualifies
or does not qualify. Beyond that, there's
appeals and all those other options if
someone doesn't qualify.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Sounds a lot like our LMS.

1 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: So if you call 720-3357, do you get a machine or a person? 2 3 MR. MCAVOY: You'll get a person. 4 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: You get a person, 5 okay. So a person calls in can ask --6 MR. MCAVOY: Is that your phone 7 number? I'm not sure if it's John's. 8 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: It's the number on 9 the front of this, 720-3357. Because if 10 you get a machine, which lots of places do 11 now, then I'm wondering whether the menu 12 gets you to who you need to go. 13 MR. MCAVOY: That's directly to an 14 individual's desk. 15 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: That's to an 16 individual. I am talking about the phones specifically. So this comes to some SDO 17 18 person who answers as a human being 19 answers. 20 MR. MCAVOY: Yes. OSD, the central 2.1 line for OSD, we don't have a receptionist 22 at OSD anymore, but we used to send calls 23 to different individuals. SDO does have a

dedicated person taking calls.

24

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: I just went
through this as if I were trying to -- I
mean, obviously for a lot of people this
would be easy but for others it would not,
new businesses particularly,
unsophisticated people used to be floor
workers and wanted cleaners and finishers
and now want to start their own business, I
don't think it is so easy. On page two, I
assume this form does not go to disability
or LGBTs, they use a different form?

MR. MCAVOY: No. They actually work directly with those other national organizations, yes.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Both of them do, okay. I'm just going to give you a bunch of random thoughts. If it's constructive, great; if not, forget about them. It says on page two, complete the state application. Somewhere I would say if you have any questions, call blank. Because lots of times you have questions and you don't know who to call. You don't know how to get the answer, particularly obviously

the less sophisticated you are.

Footnote one on page two says, you must attend a precertification workshop but it doesn't say how to find out where they are or, you know, it does say if you want to watch a webcast it says where to go, but it doesn't say how do you find out where a precertification web workshop would be.

Footnote seven says, for 51 percent minority and other women ownership for everybody has to provide a notarized out of station. That does not apply to VBEs?

MR. MCAVOY: Which one is that? I'm sorry.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Footnote seven on page two, note seven on page two.

MR. MCAVOY: Yes. This form has been updated, so I'll check to make sure that that would apply to veterans.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: So this isn't the current form?

MR. MCAVOY: No, that's what I was saying. Since this has gone from ten down to seven, we have an upgraded form that I

_ .

can -- we're actually in the process this
week of discussing and editing that form.
If you would like, I can provide it to you.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: In that case, I'll go ahead and give you my other thoughts.

Yes, I would like to see that. Because the devil is in the details. This is what is really interesting. This points out that this is subject to, in effect, to our public records laws. But there is some information in these forms, like percent of ownership, like purchase price, like sales that I would think people would not want necessarily made public.

Is that process clear if somebody requests, are you -- what's the process for protect and what might be considered confidential?

MR. MCAVOY: So we follow the publics records law for redacting any information. For example, FDIN number and that type of information is redacted. I don't think percentage of ownership is redacted. It's actually, you know,

anything what's called personal identifiable information, PII, a name connected to either a social security number, a name connected to a bank account, all of that type of information that is included in this application would be redacted before it was provided to anyone externally.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: I would think that there is other stuff, you know, like the purchase price for how much you had to pay for your ownership and things like that.

There is no public service served by that.

MR. MCAVOY: Yes. There is an exemption into the public records laws that says any -- during the bidding process but it does say if what you're applying for is subject to a contractor bidding opportunity, long-term folks can now claim, you know, confidentiality of business-related information if they're attempting to get a contract. So exemption has a limitation, short-term limitation but then it really says if you're looking to

win a contract, basically the exemption goes out the window.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: So you're clearly on top of that stuff, so that's what I was getting at.

MR. MCAVOY: I can't tell you enough that I really appreciate all of this feedback. This will make our process even better.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Well, on the acquired documents list, it just seemed counterintuitive. You have to pick your category first and then figure out which ones to qualify, so it just reads backwards. So under that form, I would put where you pick sole proprietorship, partnership, LLC, I'd put that first so you know where --

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: On the left-hand side, right. And then that tells you which ones you need to worry about, but here you worry about the topic first and then figure out -- anyway, you get the point.

MR. MCAVOY: On the left side?

MR. MCAVOY: That's a great suggestion. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Well, this was other information that seemed to me might be private, but you're on top of that. I think that was it.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Just to that end --

Enrique. On page five of the one I have, it says fiscal information and then it says, is it if you have been in business for less than a year provide the current reporting period, blah, blah, l'm not actually sure -- I think that means -- well, I'm not sure what this is asking for. Is it asking for prior year and if you're not a whole year, just whatever the current reporting period is? I don't think that's clear what it's asking for.

MR. MCAVOY: That could be clearer. That's a good point.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: You see what I mean? I couldn't figure out what I would

put down here. I assume it's asking for
the most recent fiscal year unless you only
have a partial year in which place you
would put the partial year, but that's not
what it says.

MR. MCAVOY: That left-hand column should perhaps be year or partial, you know, define --

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Prior year or immediate partial, something like that, yes. Sorry, go ahead.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Well, I was just going to ask kind of a larger -- I am intrigued by that workshop that you mentioned, Bill.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: The precertification.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: The precertification workshop. You know, do you normally get people asking these sort of questions that are more personal as in, you know, I don't know if I'm a sole proprietorship or an S-Corporation, here is what I do; is there that kind of technical

assistance or do you rely on others?

MR. MCAVOY: There is actually, and it is group focused. Some of those groups will be 40, 50. Some of them will be 20, but the individual stays afterwards. We also get calls after those workshops. John and other folks will get calls to say, when you said this, do you mean that type of thing? Just to show the extent of the service level.

I know because, Commissioner, you and I were at an event in Lynn several months ago. A year ago there was a separate event in Lynn that we spoke at that was coordinated by the Gaming Commission. And from that, that chamber actually asked if we would put on specialized training for Spanish speaking individuals.

So we actually had three or four staff, I think, it was four staff that speaks Spanish that actually, one, they gave their presentation in English. That was their request and were there to answer

questions, quite a few of the staff -excuse me, quite a few of the applicants
that were in, you know, not as good at
speaking English as they were in Spanish,
and it was just an amazing collaboration
just to see folks being able to help at
that level in terms of helping them to
become certified.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: And remind me, you were one of those staff that speaks

Spanish; isn't that correct?

MR. MCAVOY: Un poquito. Spoke a little bit of Spanish, but I didn't continue as well as I should have.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Anybody else? I think we are predisposed. Is anybody opposed to this idea, to this suggestion?

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: No. I think it would be great to continue to coordinate a trend towards streamlining and seem to find as long as we continue, you know, very fine what we need to do with this.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: And credit to the Governor that he extended the reach of the

program.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Good job.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Thank you. Nice to see you again, Bill.

MR. MCAVOY: You too, thanks.

MS. GRIFFIN: So don't go anywhere.

So next on the agenda, we have just an update on the Portuguese business enterprise issue. If you remember, in June I was here updating you an a policy change that dramatically impacted the results of our licensee's diversity goals, and that was that Portuguese business enterprises, Portuguese-owned businesses were no longer considered MBEs or minority business enterprises as of April.

And if you'll remember, in MGM
Springfield's case, that meant that 8.8
million in commitments that cannot be
counted as minority-owned business and in
Wynn Boston Harbor's case 118 million
cannot be counted.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: 118 million?

MS. GRIFFIN: Right.

1 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Is that in the

number that we saw?

MS. GRIFFIN: No. So the reporting that is presented to the Commission, as of April, they do not include the Portuguese-owned businesses in the reports. So I wanted Bill to be able to give us an update and also make a recommendation on where we go forward, so take it away.

MR. MCAVOY: Thank you, Jill. Thank you, Commissioners, again for allowing me to speak today. Unfortunately, for this -- I explained to Jill -- unfortunately, for this particular topic, given that there is a pending lawsuit, I actually -- pending litigation, I can just basically state the facts that are within the letter and I have to be careful in terms of stating anything beyond that.

But as Jill mentioned, in April of 2016, there was a court order that required that the Supplier Diversity Office remove businesses owned by individuals of Portuguese origin from the definition of

2

3 4

6 7

5

8 9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

2.1 22

23

24

MBE, and a directive was sent out on April 21, 2016 to all public awarding authorities to that effect.

And since that time, we have just been operating under that same, you know, approach. And if folks come in, you know, with questions about it, we'll answer them in a one-on-one situation.

So what happened at that time, there were 102 or so formally minority business enterprises that were owned, again, by individuals of Portuguese origin that were changed to PBEs, a new category of Portuguese business enterprises.

And the way that our regulations are written, it states: That all persons having Portuguese origin shall be included within the definition of minority only if specifically set forth in programs funded by state transportation bond statutes, which includes such persons as eligible participants.

So as a result, that would then take them out of the definition of minority for

the Gaming Commission and for quite a few other agencies and entities. So, again, nothing has changed since that April 21, 2016 directive.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Ouestions?

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: You mentioned litigation. Is someone challenging that original decision?

MR. MCAVOY: Yes. So someone -- a firm has sued the Commonwealth Administration and Finance and the Secretary Commission of Administrative Finance and the Supplier Diversity Office and me as the executive director of the Supplier Diversity Office in name, so that's why I'm obviously limited to what I can say. Again, they challenged that decision of a prior executive director.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: So does the implication -- does that mean somebody who is in the United States from Brazil, for example, and whose roots are Portuguese that they would not be able to be an MBE or, yes, MBE whereas somebody from

Venezuela whose roots are from Spain wouldn't be able to be an MBE?

MR. MCAVOY: That's the implication, yes.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Okay. Again, just as a matter of fact, what was the logic, what is the logic behind making a distinction between people of Portuguese heritage and people of Spanish heritage?

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: I think I should advise you as your attorney not to answer that.

MR. BEDROSIAN: Mr. Chairman, I
think I can jump in and I know very
superficial. My memory is hearing about
this in order to be designated a minority
group, there is a certain process or a
determination that has to be made. My,
again, superficial understanding is there
was a challenge maybe to the determination
of the Portuguese heritage and the way it
was done. I don't know if that's right. I
think it was a preliminary injunction?

MR. MCAVOY: Yes.

MR. BEDROSIAN: So, I think, the case is still pending, so there is not a final determinate outcome. It certainly could change in the course of litigation. There is a threshold, as Commissioner Macdonald noted, there's a threshold when you're able to get a preliminary determination that's a fairly high reasonable likelihood of success, so we don't know what the outcome will be. But it sounds like there's still some final determinate outcome to be had.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: But is it fair to say at least it's conceptually as somebody decided that that group is no longer a disadvantage; is that a fair statement?

MR. BEDROSIAN: I don't know if it was -- yes. I don't know if it was --

MS. BLUE: Yes. I don't think anyone has decided that. I think the court has just issued an injunction against considering them as one. There has been no final decision. I think the best you could

1 probably say is that at some point someone 2 did decide that they were a disadvantaged 3 group and how they made that decision I 4 don't really understand completely. COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: And that's 5 6 what's being challenged. 7 MS. BLUE: So that's what's being 8 challenged. 9 MS. GRIFFIN: So, Commissioners, 10 since this is still in limbo, I'm going to 11 recommend to our licensees that they 12 continue to collect and record their 13 contracts with Portuguese business enterprises. As a separate footnote in 14 15 their reports, they can note their 16 Portuguese business enterprise commitments 17 not included in the MBE total, however. 18 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: I think that 19 makes sense. 20 MS. GRIFFIN: And we'll monitor --2.1 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: The 22 developments. 23 MS. GRIFFIN: The developments. 24 COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: I think

5b549b16-f18f-4b80-9fef-36d2ea6889dd

that's a very good decision.

MS. GRIFFIN: I agree.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: It's really interesting. I mean, as the world becomes more homogenized, who is what is complicated, who is African-American, who is white, who is Hispanic, who is Portuguese and then all of a sudden it becomes incredibly complicated never mind the categories keep proliferating, so it's generally complicated. But at a superficial at least level making a distinction between people of Brazilian and Portuguese heritage and people of Venezuelan and Spanish heritage doesn't make any sense but anyway.

commissioner stebbins: It's just an interesting question, because our licensees all started out in construction with one frame of mind and strategy in place and it's kind of been disrupted midway through that process. It's good to have them continue to keep track of that data and keep that information and hopefully this

gets resolved at some point.

MR. MCAVOY: And, again, it should be pointed out that in the letter it does state that any contracts that were -- for any bid submitted by a prime bidder prior to April 12, 2016, they can be recognized -- continued to be recognized as an MBE. It's anything after that date, any contract that is signed and submitted after that date where they cannot be.

MS. GRIFFIN: And to Commissioner
Stebbins' point when our licensees were
putting together their goals, there was one
set of realities that has since changed.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: But even notwithstanding that, they're doing very well against their goals and exceeding them by a mile. That's good.

MS. GRIFFIN: They are doing well.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Anything else from Director Griffin? Thank you.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Thank you.

MS. GRIFFIN: Thank you so much.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: You're

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: I think next up is

welcome.

Deputy Director Lillios.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: I think he wants you to proceed.

MS. LILLIOS: Good afternoon,

Members of the Commission. On the agenda

are the results of the suitability

investigation for Mr. John Forelli. He is

a qualifier for MGM Springfield, and this

investigation was conducted by a sergeant

recently promoted, Sergeant Michael Banks

from the Mass. State Police and Financial

Investigator Paul Eldridge.

Mr. Forelli is the regional vice president of information technology at MGM Resorts Regional Operations. He has been in that position since October of 2016.

MGM Resorts Regional Operations was established in 2014 to coordinate and provide management services to MGM's casinos in Maryland, Michigan, Mississippi

and Massachusetts.

In addition to his position at MGM Regional Operations, Mr. Forelli is also the vice president of informational technology at the Borgata in New Jersey, a property which MGM acquired in 2016. As a result of his present position, Mr. Forelli as the at Regional Operations, he was designated to be an individual qualifier for MGM Springfield. He submitted all of the required forms to the Commission, and his submission was deemed complete in January of this year.

The investigators conducted the rigorous background review required by Chapter 23K and by the regulations for qualifiers, including criminal, history, educational background, directorships, business interest, civil litigation, property ownership, references, financial suitability and media coverage.

Mr. Forelli was interviewed in person by the IEB as part of his background review. Mr. Forelli attended Lafayette

College in Pennsylvania where he obtained a bachelor's degree in mathematics in 1985.

He subsequently attend the University of Rhode Island where he obtained a master's in business administration.

He went to work as the director of IT at Foxwoods from 1993 to 2003. From there he went in 2003 to become the head of IT at the Borgata and is now the VP of IT there. After that, as I mentioned, in October of 2016, he became the VP of information technology for MGM Resorts Regional Office.

He has been licensed for gaming-related in activity in New Jersey and in Rhode Island. He was licensed in Michigan in March of this year. He has a pending application in Maryland. Each of those four jurisdictions was queried by our investigators and no derogatory information was reported. The financial responsibility and suitability aspect of the investigation was completed with positive results.

At the conclusion of the

investigation, the investigators determined 2 that there are no significant issues 3 relative to his application for 4 qualification and that he has demonstrated 5 his suitability by clear and convincing 6 evidence, so the IEB is recommending that 7 the Commission find him suitable as a 8 qualifier for MGM Springfield. 9 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: In reading 10 the investigation not only were there no 11 significant issues, there were no issues at 12 all. Very clean application -- rather 13 investigation report. So, certainly, I 14 would agree with the recommendation to find 15 Mr. Forelli as suitable. 16 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Anybody else? we have a motion? 17 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: I move that 18 we find Mr. Forelli suitable for licensure. 19 20 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Second? 2.1 COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Second. 22 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Further 23 discussion? All in favor?

COMMISSIONER CAMERON:

24

1 COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Aye.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Aye.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Opposed? The ayes have in unanimously.

MS. LILLIOS: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Assistant General Counsel Grossman.

MR. GROSSMAN: Good afternoon,
Mr. Chairman and Commissioners. The next
item on the agenda pertains to the
suitability requirements for outside
directors of our gaming entities. As you
recall, the issue was brought before you
some time ago where a query was raised as
to what the requirements were necessarily
for the suitability of these outside
directors, and it was represented that it
was at times challenging to find certain
qualified candidates to serve as a member
of the board of directors given the
suitability process attending to the gaming
review process.

We learned during that process that

2.1

Τ

the State of Pennsylvania, for example, exempts the outside directors from publically traded corporations who argue to the chair of the board of directors or a member of the audit committee. We have learned that the state of New Jersey had similar provisions, though they aren't necessarily exercised on a regular basis. And that in the State of Nevada, they don't necessarily engage in any licensing process for members of the board of directors of the gaming operators.

So the threshold question for us was whether it would be possible to adopt the requirements similar to that Pennsylvania or others where we exempt or waive the requirement that members of the board of directors be designated as suitable.

Before we do that, and I'm happy to get into as much or as little detail as helpful here but just to set the stage, I think it's important just to remember what the corporate structures are of our licensees. We of course have three

licensees, and they are all very similar in their corporate structures.

The gaming licenses themselves here in Massachusetts are held by LLCs, which were formed for the sole purpose of holding the gaming licenses and operating the casinos in Massachusetts. There are essentially with some minor tweaks wholly owned by entities that are publically traded corporations.

In our case, that's Wynn Resorts
Limited, which is traded on NASDAQ as is
Penn National Gaming, which owns Plainville
Gaming and Redevelopment and then Blue Tarp
Redevelopment in Springfield, which is a
wholly -- almost wholly owned subsidiary of
MGM Resorts International.

LLCs of course themselves are just passed through entities. They don't have any members or boards of director or anything of the sort. But, of course, the parent or holding companies do have boards of directors, and historically here we have required that all the members of the board

of directors be licensed or be deemed suitable as qualifiers, if you will.

So our focus really is on those individuals and whether any new members of the boards of directors or the corporate parents have to go through the suitability process.

When it comes down to the law, I took a look at Chapter 23K of course, which is our source and Section 13 and 14 govern this particular issue, and my ultimate conclusion is that there is a provision in Section 14. It's 14H specifically that requires that members of the board of directors of corporate holding companies like ours here be deemed suitable under Sections 12 and 16 of Chapter 23K, which according to my analysis would preclude the outright waiver of subjecting those folks to a background check.

Of course the gaming licensee themselves have to be deemed suitable, and the corporate parent or holding company itself has to be deemed suitable as do

other entities involved with that. But when you focus solely on the members of the board of directors, they do have to be deemed suitable as well.

There are some interesting parts of the law that people have focused on as we have gone through this process, specifically in Section 14B, Chapter 23K which actually makes reference to officers and directors of corporations other than publically traded corporations. The implication there is that there is flexibility for the Commission to not require that members of the board of directors be designated as suitable.

I would suggest to you, however, that that provision doesn't apply in our case as the applicants for the gaming licenses here were not corporate -- we are not corporations. They were LLCs. And in our case since the LLCs applied for the license, that specific language in 14B is inapplicable.

With that said, it certainly

provides some incite and some texture in connection with the way that other states handle the issue as to how the industry and regulators view the necessity to investigate members of the board of directors for these types of organizations.

So that's the general background, and I think legal review of this particular issue. We can certainly get into, if it's helpful, what the law actually says in more detail. I didn't want to necessarily bog you down in that if that would be helpful. And just other issues surrounding members of boards of directors, we can get into as well.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: So, I think, what I hear you saying is we don't have the ability to exempt but we do have the ability to stream lime or limit the amount of work done for an investigation.

MR. GROSSMAN: I think that's exactly right. That is the ultimate conclusion. I think there are a number of options you do have. They are including

things like considering reciprocity if other jurisdictions have deemed an individual suitable under their standards or truncating the investigation process.

While these individuals do have to ultimately be deemed suitable, there is nothing to say that they have to go through the same rigorous background review process that other qualifiers do. So that is on, I think, the list of potential options for the Commission here.

intrigued about that the truncation because there's, as we discussed in here before, by virtue of being board members of a publically traded company, there is a lot of information that's already required to be available and made available when disclosed. I understand if you did some research relative actually to some criminal background if they had any that would have to be disclosed to directors; is that correct?

MR. GROSSMAN: That's right. There

are under federal regulations pertaining to the FCC, publically traded corporations do have to make a number of disclosures in their filings in the case of the 14A proxy statements, I believe, it is. Certain legal proceedings that members and prospective members of boards of directors have been involved with dating back ten years have to be disclosed to the shareholders before they vote on these folks.

It includes things like criminal convictions excluding minor convictions for presumedly traffic-related issues. But anything related to finance and all that kind of stuff would be included. It also includes a lot of other types of legal proceedings involving regulatory bodies, for example. If anyone ever had their broker's license suspended or anything like that, all of these types of things do have to be disclosed.

I can represent I looked at the proxy statements for our three licensees

and didn't see any such disclosures indicating that there is no one upon the three boards of directors who have any types of issues in that area.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: But we knew that.

MR. GROSSMAN: Well, we would have known that anyway but there is no -- but we would have known that even if we didn't check ourselves, I guess, is the point. So that's -- I think, that's one of the reasons some of these jurisdictions have grown comfortable and not performing thorough background investigations on these individuals, and that a lot of this information is publically disclosed to the shareholders by law anyway.

And as you all know, members of boards of directors typically have an ora of independence from the company. They have duties to the company that inside folks don't necessarily share. Though, there's a lot of that for them, too.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Exempting

someone and asking IEB to find someone suitable are two very different things, though, and I would be interested in hearing from IEB after they've had a chance to examine this matter what they deem risks and how they could, in fact, consider some kind of an investigation that may be -- I hate to use the word "less thorough -- but may be appropriate for this situation. So I for one would like to hear that after they've had a chance to understand that we can't exempt, but we may be able to consider a different format of an investigation.

MS. LILLIOS: I can share some of the thoughts of IEB with you. As Todd indicated to date, as part of the initial applications for the three licensees, all of the directors inside and outside submitted to this process. So there is right now the only folks that we are dealing with are the additional -- so by way of attrition or an additional board member, so there is no issue.

This is not a burden for us resource-wise. And in terms of the burden on or the difficulty of identifying people to serve in those capacities, I know that there was some communication of that by at least one of the licensees. I haven't delved into that.

I just point out that the past two Wynn qualifiers, one was the former admiral head of Navy operations and another was an embassador to China who went through senate approval. So, I think, at least for those two they were used to a robust background process.

With that said, the IEB is not objecting to looking at a different standard for outside directors if the Commission sees fit for that. Our big ask, though, is that these individuals if you do choose to do something different than we are doing now have to submit to an already existing application. So right now, they submit the multi-jurisdictional form and our investigators are familiar with what to

do in that process.

So, you know, the options would be a key standard type of background or a gaming employee type of background or a gaming service employee background. If that is -- so we'd rather not have to train everybody

to do a different type of background.

The reciprocity piece is we have been using reciprocity for some of the venders and vender qualifiers. I think it's challenging with this category because, first of all, Wynn is operating only in Massachusetts, Nevada and Macau and Nevada and Macau aren't doing this at all, so we'd have nobody to rely on. And then if one of our licensees gets a new director, it's going to be new to all the jurisdictions.

So in timing wise, I don't think reciprocity is a great piece for this small category of people. But, I guess, the main ask from IEB is that if you do choose to restrict that, you know, reign in this investigation, restrict this investigation

2

3

5

6

4

7

9

8

1112

10

13

14

15

1617

18

19

20

2122

23

24

that it fall to one of the existing categories.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Can I just say that given that, as Loretta is reporting, that this has not been a burden resource-wise on the IEB. And in as much as we have gone through the suitability process with all our current licensees, I fail to see why we're spending time on That I would further assume that anybody who is considering accepting an invitation to the board of directors of a gaming company like Wynn or like MGM or Penn or any other one would expect to have a very detailed investigation done of his or her background on account of the very close scrutiny which the gaming companies are routinely exposed to in the industry. I'm just wondering why we're talking about this.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Well, one thing that I was hoping for was to have IEB just try to streamline where possible, maybe that doing what you're doing normally

_ 1

is the streamline option, but where possible where information is already available because it's been disclosed, you know, in the past that we simply accept that and look at it as a way to simplify our process. I understand that simplifying on one area may mean two different processes, and that by itself creates some kind of different process internally.

But rather than calling it
truncating, I would call it streamlining
where possible taking, you know, full use
of available information. It sounds like
you've always kept in mind the reciprocity,
which is another principle elsewhere in the
Gaming Act. And that, again, comes with
yes box and not necessarily always a slam
dunk. We just have to, you know,
incorporate a few other principles as well.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Loretta,
quick question. The last two outside
directors that you mentioned, the reviews
that we did, how much of that investigation
review drew on the kind of information that

needs to be shared with the SCC?

MS. LILLIOS: You know, I have not done that side-by-side comparison. I can't answer that question. So those two individuals, they submitted the multi-jurisdictional. That's the -- but I have not done that side-by-side.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: I mean, just curios. I think that Commissioner Zuniga's point just thinking of streamlining.

think the individuals having to fill out the forms have that information readily available, because they've been through scrutiny in these other jurisdictions as well as financial filings that they've had to provide. So, I think, it would certainly help the applicant because they have been through it before and that's one area that's, frankly, streamline because it's there. It's readily available.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Please go ahead.

MS. LILLIOS: For those folks who do

go through this in other jurisdictions, they have reported to us that they want us to ask for the multi-jurisdictional. We have thought about trying to streamline the multi-jurisdiction, which it's an older document, and we have thought about trying to streamline it. The overwhelming ask was please, just leave it the way it is because they've got one document.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: They wouldn't mind if you just excised pieces of it. They just don't want a different document.

MS. LILLIOS: They don't want a different document.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: If you took 30 of the 60 pages and eliminated it, they wouldn't have a problem with that. They just don't want you to create a new one.

MS. LILLIOS: You're probably right.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: I think there's a couple of different issues here. This is clearly not a federal case, particularly since we've done most of the work. And our ability to impact whether or not people

have to fill out the multi-jurisdictional follow-up form is minimal, because most people are going to be in multiple jurisdictions. And if only one asks for it, then they have to do it. And once they've done it, it's pretty well done.

So, in my view this is -- I brought it up. That's why we're talking about it. It was originally raised by the CEO of Penn National. And then as you heard me say, I talked to a friend who is also a friend of yours, Walter Lewis. He said he and his friends have decided, forget it. They're not doing it anymore.

But, more importantly, I then talked to the heads of the practice for boards of directors at Russell Reynolds and Spencer Steward. The person at Russell Reynolds didn't have any experience to recount. The person at Spencer Stewards said there are two filters for candidates for gaming commission boards. A lot of people don't want to be on them no matter what, so that eliminates a bunch of people. And then his

data, such as it is, he said to me that of the willing people who would be willing to be, when they see the multi-jurisdictional form, half of them say forget it.

So for what's that worth, you know, it seems like the point that the guy who is the head of Penn National made was substantively correct based on my own personal friend and the head of practice at Spencer Steward.

I would like to have us in all of our endeavors kind of be at a forefront of evolving best practices. I think people are -- jurisdictions are backing off this kind of -- this in depth; to whit, the ones you referenced deciding that it's just neither worth the resource of the agency nor the imposition on the candidate.

And even though, I think, it would be marginal in its impact if we came to the conclusion that this was no longer really an appropriate use of resources or an appropriate imposition on people, then that would be one small step towards trying to

get the whole industry to be a little more rational. I think everybody agrees. You said it. Everybody agrees the multi-jurisdictional form needs to be rethought. And if we contributed to that, I think that would be a good thing.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: And it was reformulated that about five years ago.

There were changes made to that document.

But, again, you'd have to get an international community to agree to that.

there's other pieces besides the form.

There is often an in-person interview and additional documentation that follows the form before that in-person typically that there's a follow-up after that. And all I'm saying is that, you know, to the extent that we can come armed with as much information that is publically available to, you know, streamline that process and make it a shorter interview, let's say, or remotely, that's all that we should consider.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: And, I believe, we do that now. In fact, I've heard from folks that have been through the process with us how impressed they've been with the smart interviews. They've read everything. They understand the issues. They are not asking questions that are in the forms already, so I have heard that repeatedly.

So, I believe, our investigators are, in fact, conducting the appropriate interview. You know, it's like anything. When you do an investigation, you're assessing risk every step of the way. You're digging deeper if you see signs that -- you know, there are issues with that applicant. And if there are no issues, you're much more able to get through the material quickly, take the information from other jurisdictions because you see there are no red flags here. So, I believe, we are using those techniques now.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Yes, no, no,

which is why I said to the extent that we can. I can tell you from my experience just reading the font of the SCC's disclosers, the 10Ks and the 10Qs, it's not great. But after a while, you know where to look. Because you get used to knowing that everybody does it the same way. Every section, subsection of the subsection using the same format and order, you know, then you're comfortable, you know, especially if you're reading the same kind of experience information. That's all I'm saying.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: You know, the model that I've thrown out there before is outside of habit, which is not irrelevant, and outside of sort of reputation and therefore optics, which is also not irrelevant, outside of those two things, is there any reason why a board member for MGM Resorts should go through a refounding more rigorous background check than a person is going to be a board member of the Bank of America, and I don't see that there is really.

But, so, I would say, you know, what would be reasonable? Certainly talking both public. Private gets a little more complicated. But talking public companies, you know, the accounting information that the FDIC background check gets, you know, that's sort of a standard for financial institutions where cash is available and so forth and so on. That would seem to be a standard that if we could move towards, that might slowly move other people towards that.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: But, I think, the difference is our law doesn't allow us to do that. Other laws do allow -- allow one to exempt. And, I think, Todd just got through explaining that our law does not allow that.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: I thought we could define what made them suitable. If we decided to use, for the sake of discussion, the FDIC form as opposed to the multi-jurisdictional form to determine whether or not outside public sector

directors were suitable, that we could do that.

MR. GROSSMAN: I think what you both said is correct. That you can't exempt them all together, but you can decide what -- how to determine whether someone is suitable.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: But then we're up against, you know, if you create a different form, then it's all more difficult, because we're fine doing one.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: That was the ask from IEB, please don't change the forms.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: And, I think, we're all speaking here around the same fundamental issue, which is so long as we take the form because that's what everybody is comfortable with and streamline our process to the extent we can further -- I don't know if we can. And I understand that people have come here to say thank you for your agents for being so prepared for the interview, you know, that we just

consider it. Because all of the FCC 1 2 disclosure is a wealth of information that 3 is already available, and that they already had to go.

> MS. LILLIOS: And certainly we're always trying to find efficiences, you know, in the way we conduct the backgrounds, the way we write the reports. Some of that you talked about the interviews. We've made decisions in with certain categories, including the directors, that we do want interview them that face-to-face whether it's a Skype. Sometimes it's even a telephone interview if the technology is not working. So we do try to do that and I'm sure we'll you know, keep chipping way at those as we go forward.

> COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: So we put this matter to rest?

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Yes, I think so.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: So we leave it -how would you summarize it; where do you

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

Page 241 1 leave it? COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: We're not 2 3 changing the form. We're not -- we're 4 still required to do some kind of 5 investigation, the sort of an investigation 6 and you continue to look for efficiences in 7 ways in which, you know, you can comply 8 with all that's required and make it less 9 painful. 10 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Okay. 11 COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: I am 12 comfortable with the current practice, 13 because it doesn't seem to have been a 14 manifested problem. 15 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Agree. Thank 16 you both for your time and effort on this issue. 17 18 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Thank you. CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Who's next? 19 20 MR. BEDROSIAN: General Counsel 2.1 Blue. 22 The legal department. MS. BLUE: 23 MS. LILLIOS: One moment, we have an

introduction of a new IEB staff member, if

we could that.

MR. BAND: I'd like to introduce everybody to the new compliance manager for IEB, Bill Pangoris. He comes to us with 30 plus years of experience in the accounting department and will give us a casino view somewhat of all the regulations. Join me in welcoming him.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Great, thank you.

MR. PANGORIS: I have to say it's a warm welcome all throughout the building.

Thank you. I look forward to it.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Great.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Great. Thank you, Bill. Nice to have you here. You'll get to be on TV soon.

MS. BLUE: So good afternoon,

Commissioners. You have in your packet the amended small business impact statement and the final revisions to 205 CMR 134. As you know, we've been doing revisions to 134 as necessary as we learn our processes and get, you know, get more comfortable what we're doing.

This covers the amendment that takes the administrative closure period from 30 days to 21 days, but it does make is easier for the applicant to refile. They don't have the 30 day waiting period that they had before.

So if you would approve the amended small business impact statement and the final draft, we will take this through final promulgation. We've held our hearing. We've received comments. So we are ready to just take it to the final point.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Comments?

move that the Commission approve the amended small business impact statement for 205 CMR 134 as provided in the packet and request the staff to take the final draft and small business impact statement through the final steps of promulgation.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Second.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Any further discussion? All in favor?

Page 244 1 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye. 2 COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Aye. 3 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye. 4 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Aye. 5 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Opposed? The ayes 6 have it unanimously. 7 MS. BLUE: Thank you. That's it for 8 legal. 9 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Director Bedrosian. 10 11 MR. BEDROSIAN: Boy, best for last, 12 I guess. Well, I was going to introduce 13 Mr. Pangoris and I'm particularly happy he is here with his expertise in the industry. 14 15 As I was going through our opening 16 checklist, I see that for the casinos, 60 days before opening the executive director 17 18 must approve all internal controls. So I told Bill he and I will be 19 20 spending a lot of time together, but I do 2.1 look forward to his expertise. And, I 22 think, he comes to us with an industry view 23 that hopefully that will allow us from a

traditional regulator's point of view to

put on a different hat and think about, you know, what really we care about and what is material, so I look forward to that.

The other two items I have today really also involve Deputy Director

Grossman and a plethora of assignments you have seen that he has taken on. The first one was, I think, Mr. Chairman, you had noted what should have been obvious maybe to all of us. In our core values and mission statement initially reflected what the Commission was maybe a few years ago in terms of being a Big L licensing body.

And when I say that, I mean licensing our Big L licensees and that we are in the process of working towards what I might call a steady state operation when our Big L licensees are up and running.

So with the help of Todd and senior staff, we took a shot at modifying our core values and mission statement to reflect the maturation process of the Commission. And this is I take, and I will let Todd add anything that he wants to, is just an

introduction of this for your consideration. I don't expect anyone to jump up and down and cheer or maybe not but just start this discussion with the Commission. Todd.

MR. GROSSMAN: I would just modify that a bit in that, I think, the Commission looked at this one other time, and we have received some input from at least some of the Commissioners and made some adjustments. And it's our sense that this present version better reflects the present position the Commission is in relative to our mission and what have you, so everything else stands.

it was an impressive revision. I had, you know, one question relating to the final paragraph of we value a deep commitment and it was customer service and now it's been changed to public service respectful, et cetera, et cetera. I didn't understand why the use of the word "public" there as opposed to customer or constituent. Public

service is anybody who is working for a public agency. I don't know what the origin of that was. Not a big deal.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: I was going to raise the same thing. I mean, you too?

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Yes.

Service was there. Customer service has a common meaning and what, I think, we meant was we really want to be a customer service based and focused agency and public service really means something quite different. So I would have the same reaction. Do you remember what the thought process was?

MR. GROSSMAN: I don't have a specific memory as to why we adjusted that word, but happy to obviously switch it back.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: It might have been Executive Director Bedrosian.

MR. BEDROSIAN: I think that's the way that stuff goes uphill. I don't. The only thought process, and I don't remember specifically, is that we do do a lot of

Τ

unique things in terms of a Commission that could have a public impact, a lot of the studies we do. But I think you're right. I heard the Chairman and the Commission talk about our customer service in the way, you know, our customers is very broadly defined. We don't have a narrow view of customers. We have a broad view of customers. So, I think, if we instill that, which I think staff already has, that customers is very broadly defined. We can go backwards and keep the same intent.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: I was thinking of somebody liked the idea of expanding a statement that we value public service that in that opening line it could say "are committed to public service and to a set of core values" if somebody was moved to make a point. There is an affirmative point. It's why a lot of us are here.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Yes. I like including that in the beginning, because I do think there is a lot incorporated in public service and to be committed to that

says something.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: It's important and keep the customer service --

COMMISSIONER CAMERON:

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: -- point at the bottom, which in context really reads about everybody that we can come in contact I had a question, observation, it may be fine the way it is, but where we talk about the diverse workforce, supplier base and inclusiveness among our licensees, we took out partners in the gaming industry and left it simple more general in terms of licensees.

But I also thought of the people in the Massachusetts gaming industry to include public stakeholders, other agencies, sister agencies, not necessarily just people we license. So, and I know that in this context licensee is not just the three big licenses but anybody that we license and register, which may include individuals and whatnot. So I just wanted to kind of put the thought out there that

maybe there is not just of the licensees but other stakeholders.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: I like that idea. I think we've seen even through some of the presentations we had already where companies who are doing work for our licensees are actually being recognized for their focus on diversity and strengthen the workforce, which is going to yield results for them. I like the idea of kind of -- maybe there is a different way to word our partners in the Massachusetts gaming industry but kind of expanding it out beyond us and our direct licensees.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Now, that you mention it, I think if it's partners, you know, we include the state police. We include --

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: I like the first one.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: -- the unions.

They are not our licensees, but they are people with whom we work and with whom we want to share and promote these same

values, so I actually think maybe the original was better, too.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: I mean, our licensees distinguishes them.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: And they are part of the gaming industry. The more inclusive term I liked as well.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Lastly, I had a comment on the mission statement where it says the Commission will stride to ensure, et cetera, et cetera. I'm going to suggest that we just put in the present as an ongoing "the Commission strides," because I think we have done that. I think we do it as a matter of course, and we'll continue to do that.

MR. GROSSMAN: I'm sorry, Commissioner, where is that?

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Last sentence in the mission statement, instead of the Commission will stride, the Commission strives.

MR. BEDROSIAN: In the mission statement, Todd.

2.1

MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, the mission 1 2 statement. I got that. 3 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Yes, I like 4 that. 5 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: You can see where 6 our minds were when we wrote this. We were 7 looking forward. 8 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: At the very 9 beginning. CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Anything else? 10 MR. BEDROSIAN: We'll make those 11 12 changes and just bring it back one more 13 time. 14 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Great, thank 15 you. MR. BEDROSIAN: Todd, don't go 16 17 anywhere. You will remember, 18 Commissioners, I think you'll remember, 19 that there was an issue around the 20 promulgation -- or I'm sorry, a monthly 2.1 reward card statement that needed to be 22 sent out to patrons with reward cards 23 pursuant to Chapter 23K Section 29. 24 And we had, I think, determined a

we had given our licensees some advice this was an opt in option. And looking at the statute, I think we determined more appropriately that it was an opt out. So in other words, the presumption was you were in this. Sort of gives some clarity, because it was a little confusing.

mistake at staff level that this was a --

I asked Deputy General Counsel
Grossman to work on some regulations that
would give some clarity to this section of
law, and he has done so, and that's in the
proposed regulation in front of you. We
have consulted, I believe, with our
licensees on this. And this is the first
time you have seen it, but I think we've
sort of gone through our informal process
with our licensees already.

So we are ready for formal promulgation, at which time we'd still take in more comments, if we got it wrong, if we got it right, those types of things. But this issue has been sitting out there awhile, so we didn't want it to linger too

much longer. Todd, do you want to add anything to that?

MR. GROSSMAN: No, that covers it.

Happy to walk through it if that's helpful
or discuss the statutory requirements.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: I think it's pretty straightforward. I think it's a pretty big change but it was we just made a mistake, which happens. I don't see any way around it. It's more square. It's a nontrivial matter for the licensees, but I think were sort of stuck with it.

MR. BEDROSIAN: Yes. I think what the regulation tries to do is incorporate in electronic delivery mechanism in lieu of actual mailing. I think we keep in mind, you know, legislature was promulgated the Expanded Gaming Act in 2010 and 2011, which admittedly e-mail was prominent then. But, I think, even we'd all say anecdotally we recognize what's happened in the last seven or eight years in terms of how people get their information whether digitally or by mail anymore. So, I think, this tries to

reflect that reality.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: I mean, I'm in favor of liberally interpreting our statute as you know, but it sort of feels to me like they went out of their way to say mail means mail, mailed to the patron at the patron's physical mailing address. It sort of feels to me like they had something in mind. They meant we want to it to be mailed by snail mail.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: I take the opposite view in the sense that with being so accessible and the amount of time that we spend on Smart phones really reaching by e-mail has become the most effective way of communicating with people. I know that that is how that reads, but I think having the e-mail option is really for all intents and purposes. I don't know what --

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: I think e-mail is mail.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: The secretary of State has opined on that matter that even electronic signatures count as regular

signatures.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: I'm probably okay however we go with this but it's, again, just trying to be honest about what they were thinking. One big difference between snail mail and e-mail is it's harder to hide snail mail from other members of your family and that may be why they went out of their way to say mail to a physical address.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: I think the e-mail of your spouse.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: To put in physical mail, I think, probably is more to a legal point than if I mail something to you, there's an automatic assumption on the other end that you're getting. I don't know if they probably saw the same, you know, same standard being set with e-mail as opposed to physical mail. assuming -- I mean, if the utility company says they mailed you the bill, guess what, there's every assumption that you got it.

> There's a lot of case law MS. BLUE:

around things that are mailed and when they're received. I think in this case it's an option. If the patron doesn't provide an e-mail address, then I would imagine that they're going to have to be mailed a statement.

So, I view this more as giving the patron an option if they wanted an e-mail because that is what their -- that's what they review and that's what's more important to them, then they have that capability.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: That is the way you would interpret our proposed reg. not the law.

MS. BLUE: Yes, the law has one way.

I think the legislature probably didn't
think about all the ways things could be
communicated but I think this, like opting
out, this is also another way to get the
information that the legislature was clear
if they wanted patrons to be able to get
mail if they wanted to.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: And to that

end, you know, in terms of giving options, at least having to know through the GameSense program, that people are big users of the kiosks to check their statements. That's not in any kind of statutory requirement or regulation. But it's yet another keeping with informed decision-making in providing the individuals how many entry points of information you may have. So it's giving people an option to receive it however they want to receive it.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Well, I think we're favor of proceeding down the road, right?

MR. BEDROSIAN: Sure.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: And this would be something I'd be interested to hear if other people have any comments on besides -- you talked to all of the licensees that looked at this?

MR. GROSSMAN: I have.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: So do we need a vote on this, yes?

2.1

1 MR. BEDROSIAN: You do. 2 MS. BLUE: To let us start the 3 formal promulgation process. 4 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Mr. Chair, I 5 move the Commission approve the proposed 6 205 CMR 138.13 monthly rewards and card 7 statements and request the staff to begin 8 the formal promulgation process. 9 COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Second. CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Further 10 discussion? All in favor? 11 12 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye. 13 COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Aye. 14 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye. 15 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Aye. 16 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Opposed? The ayes 17 have it unanimously. 18 MR. BEDROSIAN: And then I only have 19 one last item, which is to wish a happy 20 birthday to a Member of the Commission, who 2.1 will remain nameless, whose birthday is 22 some time in either the past or next 24 23 hours. 24 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Commissioner

Page 260 1 Macdonald laughed first. I'm beginning to 2 narrow it down. 3 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: You can be silent 4 about the number of years, but you can't be silent about the Commissioner. 5 6 MR. BEDROSIAN: I will give the 7 Commissioner a moment to self-identify 8 themselves. 9 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Thank you. 10 COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Нарру 11 birthday. 12 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: The big 50. 13 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: The Executive 14 Director can't get away from that 15 investigative mode. 16 MR. BEDROSIAN: That's all, 17 Mr. Chairman. 18 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Any Commissioner 19 reports, comments? 20 COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: I just had 2.1 one thing that tomorrow I am going to be 22 attending the grand opening of the casino 23 management program of the Bristol Community

College, which has been set up in Taunton

1 2

Space at the Gallery Mall. Commissioner

Cameron and I were both at an earlier -responded to an earlier invitation when
they were just furnishing it and setting up
the gaming equipment. And as much as it's

Southeastern Massachusetts, it's something
I care about. I'm not sure if they are
ever going to have a gaming operations in

Eastern Massachusetts. But, nevertheless,
I have been very impressed with the way
that the college has gone about the setting
up of the program and all appears to be
very well-run and should be a good
dedication.

They did a really nice job. I had one update, Mr. Chair, as well. I was fortunate enough to attend a groundbreaking ceremony in Plainville this week, very well attended. This is the new town hall and public safety building and riding by the old they are really prepared. And all the moneys are coming from the host community agreement and other gaming moneys. The

Τ

entire police department, fire department, EMS as well as all the town officials and a number of residents attended.

I was surprised by the amount of people who are just so pleased with the way the introduction to a casino in their town and all the positive, the positives that are coming from this. I think mentioned over and over again is how hard everybody has worked to minimize the negative impacts of the casino.

And, I think, it was really apparent that, you know, the law is working as intended and buildings like this are possible because of the benefits going to the community. I was pleased to represent the Commission at that event.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Great.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: I was pleased to represent the Commission, along with Executive Director Bedrosian, just about two weeks ago at the Spirit of Massachusetts race down at Plainridge Park, packed crowd.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: In the

2

grandstands?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: In the grandstands. They had a reception up top as well as the gaming floors was very well-populated for a Friday afternoon. We met -- I probably walked right by him on the street but not anymore, John Campbell, the winningest harness driver by purse winnings happened to be there. He has helped win over 300 million-dollars in purses throughout his career. Former Patriot quarterback Scott Zolak was there. Patriot's end zone militia kind of startled our judges when they fired off their muskets. That was great.

And it was excited to see, you know, not only the patrons but our own staff getting excited about getting ready to judge this race. I mean, I think kind of been a dream for some to have this race to come back to Massachusetts, have it fit into the stake's calendar.

And the track record was not only --

was broken twice. It was broken by the
race before the Spirit of Massachusetts
race and then which contained a lot of
horses who didn't qualify for the Spirit of
Massachusetts race, and of course the
winner of the Spirit of Massachusetts race

broke the track record as well.

It was an exciting day to be down there, and from Director Lightbown we heard that handle was up on the amount of on-site betting for the day was just kind of blew the numbers right off the charts.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Major league racing at Plainridge.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Incredible horses.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Great. Anybody else?

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Yes, I do want to just mention I went to the -- I attended the annual conference of the National Council on Problem Gambling in Portland three weeks ago, and there is a couple of instances of updates that will be

Τ

forthcoming. There is a big survey that is about to be released about the state of the states where we will feature prominently as to the amount of spend that is going relative to other states.

The general thing that I took with me is that Massachusetts is really recognized as a leader in this area and not just -- I'm not trying to give ourselves a lot of congratulations, you know, a testament to the Gaming Act, the Attorney Generals' regulations from DFS, the work that GameSense and now MGM GameSense is doing.

And to the point that there is another number of comments and planury sessions, Mark had a great planury session, by the way, in front of 550 people, you know, comments about the Massachusetts miracle, Massachusetts did it right and to this from Singapore wanting to schedule interviews with us so that we can tell them about GameSense and things like that.

It was just really something that I

wish you all could all see. You know, we can only -- so many of us can go to these conferences, but I think a lot of the work that came from the origins of the Gaming Act and the amount of span and indication and the work that we have done. Marlene Warner became the president of the national organization as part of that meeting, and I think that all comes together under the same theme of there is a lot of good stuff happening here in Massachusetts. I just wanted to share that.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Great, thank you. That's good to hear. While we're on this note, we are moving down the road to get a regional voluntary self-exclusion plan in place. Have most, if not all, of the jurisdictions in New England agreed. We were asked by New York if they could join us, and it looks like we're going to be able to include them.

We already have a draft VSE form where everybody has agreed to standardize the requirements for voluntary

Page 267 self-exclusion. We've now been asked by

Pennsylvania whether they could join us. We haven't quite decided yet. We might decide not to bite that one off yet. There are no regional voluntary self-exclusion programs anywhere. They're all jurisdiction by jurisdiction. And if we could ever get this -- it looks like we will get this off the ground, and it will be quite an addition to this whole thing, cool. Anybody else? Do I have a motion to adjourn?

> COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: So moved.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Second?

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Second.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: All in favor?

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Aye.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA:

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: We are adjourned.

(Meeting adjourned at 3:08 p.m.)

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

23

	Page 268
1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	MASSACHUSETTS GAMING COMMISSION STAFF:
4	Catherine Blue, General Counsel
5	Edward Bedrosian, Executive Director
6	John Ziemba, Ombudsman
7	Loretta Lillios, Chief Enforcement Counsel
8	Michael Sangalang, Digital Communications
9	Coordinator
10	Paul Connelly, Director
11	Alex Lightbown, Director and Chief Veterinarian
12	Jill Griffin, Director
13	Todd Grossman, Deputy General Counsel
14	Mark Vander Linden, Director of Research and
15	Responsible Gaming
16	Teresa Fiore, Program Manager
17	William McAvoy, General Counsel, Operational
18	Services Division
19	Bruce Band
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

	Page 269
1	APPEARANCES (Continued):
2	
3	GUEST SPEAKERS:
4	Bruce Barnett, Suffolk Downs
5	Victor Ortiz, Director-Offices of Problem Gambling
6	Lindsey Tucker, Associate Commissioner-Department
7	of Public Health
8	
9	WYNN BOSTON HARBOR:
10	Robert DeSalvio, President
11	Jacqui Krum General Counsel
12	Peter Campot, Director of Construction
13	
14	MGM SPRINGFIELD:
15	Seth Stratton, President and General Counsel
16	Alex Dixon, General Manager
17	Brian Packer, Vice President of Construction
18	Courtney Wenleder, CFO
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	