		Page 1
1	THE COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS	
2	MASSACHUSETTS GAMING COMMISSION	
3	PUBLIC MEETING #192	
4		
5		
6		
7	COMMISSIONERS	
8	Gayle Cameron	
9	Lloyd Macdonald	
10	Bruce W. Stebbins	
11	Enrique Zuniga	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19	May 26, 2016 10:00 a.m 12:00 p.m.	
20	MASSACHUSETTS GAMING COMMISSOIN th	
21	101 Federal Street, 12 Floor	
22	Boston, Massachusetts	
23		
24		

## PROCEEDINGS:

2

9

10

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Good morning.

I am pleased to call to order the Massachusetts

5 Gaming Commission meeting number 192. Chairman

6 Crosby is on a conference and has asked me to

chair the meeting. Commissioner Stebbins will

8 be with us momentarily. He is en route.

matters being corrected.

First item on the agenda is approval of the minutes, Commissioner Macdonald.

11 COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Yes. I

12 move that the minutes of public meeting number

13 188 held April 26-28, 2016 be approved subject

14 to typographical errors and other nonmaterial

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: I had one issue that I would like to raise with regard to the minutes. On 10:11, I see that IEB Director Karen Wells provided an update on suitability and stated that MG&E and partner George Carney were previously found suitable and that they continue to find them suitable. Then it goes into the fact that Attorney Donnelly addressed infractions.

1 I think it would be wise to point 2 out that Director Wells brought those serious 3 infractions that were pending in Illinois to our attention which then caused Attorney 5 Donnelly to respond. Just one sentence that 6 talks about the fact that Director Wells 7 brought those to our attention during the 8 suitability discussion. 9 MS. BLUE: We can add that. We'll 10 make that change. 11 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Great. 12 COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Subject to 13 that amendment, I re-move for the approval of the minutes. 14 15 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Second. COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: The motion has 16 17 been made and second all those in favor, aye. 18 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: 19 COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Aye. 20 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: The ayes have 21 it unanimously. Second item on the agenda we 22 have Ombudsman Ziemba, I believe. 23 MR. ZIEMBA: Thank you, 24 Commissioners. Today, we have two quarterly

reports for Wynn Boston Harbor and for Plainridge Park. These quarterly reports are for the first quarter that ended March 31, 2016.

The quarterly reports are somewhat a little bit later than anticipated due to the flurry of activity we had during the first two quarters of this year. We are working on an effort to try to schedule these many months ahead and will be working with the licensees about making that a little bit more regular schedule.

With that, I introduce Bob DeSalvio,
President of Wynn Boston Harbor, Jacqui Krum
Wynn Senior Vice President and General Counsel
and Chris Gordon Wynn Boston Harbor President
of Design and Development to give Wynn Boston
Harbor's quarterly report.

MR. DESALVIO: Thanks, John. Good morning Commissioners. Thanks for the opportunity to present again today. Before I turn this over to Chris to give you the full run-through on where we are from permitting and construction, I did want to take the

opportunity to thank both the Commission and the staff for the work that went into the Section 61 Findings and the ultimate vote that you took.

We know and certainly respect how much work went into that. Because in a sense, what you guys had was the culmination and consolidation of all of the other findings into one comprehensive report. It's pretty impressive.

When we got the final signed version and you back and you look through it, it really reflects a major commitment on behalf of us the licensee but certainly a lot of work that went into it. I just would be remiss without saying thanks to you and in particular the staff for the amount of work they put into that.

We are here today to report really very good news on our progress and where we stand. With that, I'm going to turn it over to Chris to take you through where we are from construction, design, development, permitting.

MR. GORDON: Thank you, Bob. Good morning, Commissioners. We are starting a page

four with the permitting. These are the state permits. We won't go to each and every one, but as you know the MEPA certificate was issued. The Section 61 Finding has been posted. And as Bob said that was a herculean effort, which we appreciate. So, that work we think is done.

Chapter 91, as you know, there's a hearing on June 2 for that appeal process which Jacqui is working very hard on that. That is our big issue right now is the Chapter 91 hearing.

Water quality certification that has been received. The Mass contingency plan, the phase 1, you'll hear in a minute about that remediation but that is complete.

The CZM permit that will happen right after the Chapter 91 because they are consecutive, but the work is done. It's just going to issue after the Chapter 91. Historic Commission underwater archaeology are done. The issue with the state permitting is just now Chapter 91.

The local permitting -- I'm sorry,

federal permitting FAA, those permits have been issued. The US Army Corps of Engineers that will come right after Chapter 91 as well because they are consecutive but that's in very good shape. And also that doesn't affect the

6 land-side work. It affects the waterside work.

So, in any event, it's not anywhere near our critical path.

The NPDES permit of course, those are ongoing as part of our construction management plans. So, those are in good shape.

The local permitting, we continue to work with the city of Boston some of the offsite roadway work. You'll hear about that in a minute. But those meetings are going very well. We've developed a good relationship with Boston's traffic department on the off-site roadway work.

Site plan review, that was approved by the city of Everett. We keep them up-to-date on a weekly basis. We meet with them every week. We have other approvals. It says here the access road was just approved on May 5. We are now going before them on the 13th

for the McDonald's relocation. There's a good rhythm of work with the planning board. And we certainly are in a good position with them.

Order of conditions from the Wetlands commission was issued. And our first building permit was issued May 2. So, we have the building permits we need now to get going once we get some of the other issues resolved.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Chris, the site plan review is that the overall site plan or is that only pertaining to what you mentioned McDonald's?

MR. GORDON: The site plan was done in several stages. The main resort is done. So, the resort itself is done.

We now have several follow-ons. We did the service road as a separate site plan review. And that was approved a couple of weeks ago. So, that's now done. We are going to be following up with the walkway, the DCR connector walkway. For a small piece of work, it's complicated because there's different landowners and that sort of stuff. That'll go sometime this summer or fall. We'll work on

that.

2.1

Then as part of the service road, there's the McDonald's relocation. We filed that. We had our first hearing this Monday night. We'll have our second hearing May 13. So far we haven't heard any concerns on that. So, we're working through those. The resort itself is approved.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Great.

MR. GORDON: Site remediation, as you know the big piece of that is what we call phase 1. Those are the removal of three hotspots. That's done. The last truck has left the site. The paperwork is being buttoned up and there's no more work to be done.

It finished up on schedule, on budget and we are not aware of any big issues. So, we are happy about that. The site now becomes a lot easier to work with now those three hotspots are done. It's more of a routine industrial site. Sort of typical issues to deal with nothing unusual. So, we are quite happy about that.

On the design, the design team is

far, far alone. The garage and foundation, those designs have been submitted to the city of Everett. The actual foundation was granted a building permit. They are now reviewing the garage.

They are also reviewing the tower.

We've submitted the tower for review. They've got a company called Four Leaf that's working with them. They are going through their review process.

And then in mid-July, we're going to submit the podium which is the entire horizontal component of the resort which is where frankly is the most complicated part of the building. That's submitted in July. Then the site work and maritime work will be submitted roughly in July or August as well.

So, the design is well past the three-quarter stage, headed toward finish, final design. The VE we've been doing has all been incorporated so the new drawings coming out will incorporate all the refinements we made which are all quite minor. So, we think we are in good shape on design.

2.1

You've seen the model, but this is a photograph of the model which is in our office now. It's been very helpful not just as a pretty picture but people actually understand the project. When they see the model, we walk them around it. We show it to them. It's been a very effective tool in getting folks that don't do this every day to really sort of understand how the place is designed.

Off-site infrastructure. As you know, we have a very large off-site infrastructure program that has to be finished before we open. We have two design teams working on it.

We have AECOM doing everything except Sullivan Square. Then we have Howard Stein Hudson doing Sullivan Square. AECOM is fully engaged in the off-site work.

There's a thing called a roadway safety audit that you have to do for each major intersection. These are complicated because you have to invite all of the local authorities, police departments, fire departments, city, state, highway department.

We finished all those now, and they went very well. Very good cooperation.

They had good suggestions. The state police are there. The local police are there. And they know the history of some of these intersections. And they know frankly the accident records and all that sort of stuff. So, it was great.

And they made some tweaks to signage and lighting and the timing, nothing major but very good work. So, now those all have to get incorporated into final design. So, we are now taking those roadway safety audits and factoring that into the final design, which is good.

They are at about 25 percent design on most of those roads, which is the most important milestone because it really lays out how it's going to work. So, now we start the review process with the highway department, DCR, cities and towns that are involved in this.

Sullivan Square, same thing. We're almost at 25 percent design. As you know, that

took a bit of a pause during some of the Boston 2 discussions. But now we are very much back on 3 We meet with the Boston traffic department quite regularly. We are submitting 5 material. They are reviewing it. 6 getting good feedback. So, we're catching up on that, and we're in pretty good shape on the 8 Sullivan Square design, because we want to get 9 that done soon as well.

Then as you see the last bullet, the Lower Mystic Regional working group which was the subject of quite a bit of discussion early on. That is up and running and functioning.

We attend. Bob is a regular member of that. It's doing its thing. They're just getting going but it looks like it's going to be a pretty functional group which is good.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Excuse me, Mr. Gordon, you said that the Sullivan Square plans are moving forward. Aren't they subject to some extent the regional group that's ongoing now?

MR. GORDON: Of course, we want to make sure they both are consistent but we don't

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

see a link between the regional group running its course and getting approval on the interim mitigation.

So, the mitigation plan is about \$11 million work in Sullivan Square. The mitigation work is subject to the full-blown PIC process in Boston. So, it will go through hearings. It will go through process. But our understanding is it does not have to wait what could be a multi-year regional study to finalize and get going on doing the interim work.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Remember there's a short-term solution and a long-term solution. When the Wynn people talk about Howard Stein design at 25 percent, the \$11 million figure that's what we term as the short-term solution what had to happen as part of the MEPA process.

The long-term solution is in parallel track, what's also referred to as the regional working group. We are also observers of that group. That has its own track, if you will. And that's a very important effort, but

it's in parallel.

MS. KRUM: Just to clarify, the socalled short-term mitigation that we are
proposing and we will be implementing prior to
opening will all tie into the long-term
solution, whatever that may be. So, most of it
has been designed. There are two competing
designs and there maybe more. But it's been
designed to tie into that so it won't be
wasted.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: So, what's the nutshell of the mitigation improvements to Sullivan Square that's going forward?

MR. GORDON: It's quite a collection of things. We'd be happy to brief you on the plan. For example, it rebuilds Spice Street and D Street, which is part of Sullivan Square. It rebuilds Cambridge Street, which is where you come off the ramp and you go down to the circle.

It adds a signal at the intersection of Spice and Cambridge Street. It rebuilds the access and exit to the MBTA station. It resignals the whole area, including in some cases

new hardware but it really ties it into a unified system.

It runs almost a mile of cable back to the Boston Transportation Center so they can actually remotely control the signals. Right now, they are not coordinated with everything else. For example, if there's a Celtics game and they want traffic to flow through there, they at command center and at most intersections in Boston they can just make adjustments if they see something on the camera. They can't do that in Sullivan Square. So, we are going to be running the cables for that so they get that hooked up.

And then there's a lot of what we would call sort of smaller stuff, moving curbing, signage, some striping that sort of stuff. So, the bulk of it is rebuilding of those several intersections, which is a fairly major amount of work. And then running this cable all of way back to make this a smarter intersection from a central command point of view.

We are also looking, not part of

this but separately the city is also very interested in running cables under the river over onto Alford Street. And a big chore, but it looks like there may be some spare capacity as part of the new bridge. So, we're working with both the city of Everett and the city of Boston to try to link those signals as well.

Because most of Boston and frankly some of the cities around it are coordinated. They're in a computer system that allows them to coordinate the signals. Sullivan Square is not. And Alford Street is not. So BTD, working with us is trying to figure out if they could connect all of these electronically.

MR. DESALVIO: And I'm going to add one other point we learned at some of the early meetings with the community that there were some improvements that needed to be made for pedestrians. So, the were some areas out there that had almost no curbing, sidewalks better ADA access and those kinds of things. So, they will all be incorporated in our own plan as well.

MR. GORDON: There is also a

1 | landscaping plan. It's pretty extensive.

2 Right now, the area is not well-kept. So, part

3 of this is to put -- We know it's interim,

4 because part of that gets removed when the

5 | permit goes in, but we thought it was

6 definitely and the community asked for us to

7 | landscape some significant areas around the

8 circle.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

On the schedule, there are two versions. There's a look ahead, which I'll go through now. And then there's quite a few pages of detail which I wasn't going to go through but I'm happy to if there's questions.

But on the big picture looking down the road six months, obviously the big issue for us is the Chapter 91 hearing on the second. We're hoping that we get a ruling on that fairly quickly. And that allows us to really accelerate everything that is going on the site for construction. That's our biggest issue.

We talked about design. That's far along, going well. So, there's no big issues on design. Utility relocations have started. This is to relocate the utilities that go right

through out site and to move them off to the side.

A lot of work and it's fairly finicky work. So, we're starting that now. It's fully permitted. And we're working through that as we speak.

Preconstruction activities, as you saw from your recent site tour, there is a lot of preconstruction work going on. We are pre-excavating to check for obstructions. We're putting in some flowable fill-in areas. We want to make sure that the material is proper.

We're getting ready, mobilizing, the trailers are up. The gate is up now. The horrified tags went in this week. So, we are getting ready so we are ready to move.

Also, the service road has started. So, the service road that goes all around the MBTA that's underway as well. So, there's a fair amount of preconstruction activity going on.

Off-site transportation, as we mentioned at this point that's all designed.

Then we're hoping, depending on the Chapter 91

2.1

resolution that as soon as that's resolved, we will be starting right up very quickly.

We bid out the early packages. We have real subcontractors. They're under contract. We are ready to go. So, once we get the green light, we will be moving very quickly.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Can I ask a question on that Chris? The hearing is scheduled for June 2, but the determination will not come for 30 days maybe?

MS. KRUM: The presiding officer has up to 45 days to issue a determination or a ruling on that. Then it has to be signed off by the commissioner. So, depending on whose schedule, we hope to get it a little bit sooner.

MR. GORDON: Behind that there's a very detailed schedule. I'm happy to go through it if you want or if there's any questions, but it's sort of the nuts and bolts of how it works. I should say also that we are meeting now with your staff every week. And a lot of the details of this are subject to those

meetings which is a good way to keep everybody on the same page as far schedule goes.

Again, right now -- I don't want to say this to curse us. -- but other than the Chapter 91, we don't have any big schedule issue to talk about, sort of routine preparation work.

Unless there's detailed questions on the bar chart, I'm going to go ahead and end here. And Bob is going to talk to you about the workforce and project diversity.

MR. DESALVIO: So, I'm picking up on page 26. And to give you an update on the design phase, we are doing actually quite well on the design phase so far. As far as the MBEs, we have a goal of 7.9 percent. And when we look at where we are right now, which is about 8.3 percent and what's in the pipeline, we're still expecting to come in around 10.7 percent on the MBEs for the design phase.

WBEs, the goal is 10 percent. We are at 4.1 now but with what's in the pipeline we think we'll get to about 7.2. So, we are little short on WBEs and still working to see

whatever we can do as we get into the final phases of design.

And we actually did extremely well on the veteran side. We had a goal of one percent, but it looks like we'll come in about 6.6 percent.

So, when you blend it out overall for the entire design phase, right now -- And this is roughly about \$50 million worth of work. -- we are looking at between what's already been contracted and what's in the pipeline, it looks like we'll come in at about 24.5 percent on a goal of 18.9. So, we felt pretty good about that, which is again I think very hard to do on the design front. So, kudos to the team that's been working on that.

As far as the actual construction phase, again at this point we've done mainly just the first phase of remediation and some early work. So, the numbers are off the chart and that's because Charter Contracting of Boston, which is a minority-owned firm got the bulk of the phase 1 remediation work.

So, right now we're about 90 percent

of the work but of course that number will obviously decrease as we get into the heavy lifting of the big project.

And then on woman business enterprises, goal 5.4 percent and so far in the early work about 4.7 percent of the work so far. And on veterans businesses a goal of one percent and .3 percent.

So, I think we are off to a pretty good start on the construction phase. We were happy that we were able to work with Charter, which was great to get an early start on this. And then under the woman business owned, we wound up connecting with the Everett security company that is doing site security that is a woman-owned business. So, that gave us a nice early push on that front as well.

So, we've been working aggressively with Suffolk on this. They beefed up. They added somebody on their team to work with us as part of the diversity program. We know Suffolk will treat this very seriously as we start to go through the early packages for the work on the site.

As far as the actual workforce for those that participated in the early phase, here as well I think we are doing very well.

On the minority front, a goal of 15.3 percent and right now with total hours worked we're at about 16.1 percent. Again, it's relatively small numbers, 17 workers on that phase.

For women on the site a goal of 6.9 percent, right now we're about 7.3 percent.

For veterans there was a goal of three percent, we're about 8.9 percent for that early phase on the remediation side. So, again we are off to a good start in that area as well.

As far as the community outreach goes, beginning on page 30, I'm not going to go through all of these, but I will highlight a couple of the events. We had a very active first quarter as you'll see from this.

It started out with something that

Jenny and I did with the Center for Women's and

Business Enterprise. They had their event out

in Lexington. We were involved with the Dr.

Martin Luther King scholarship breakfast in

Everett. We've been reaching out to the Asian

community in Boston through Chinatown Main Street and their gala. The BSO and some other trade and business trade meetings we went to.

On page 31, I want to focus for a

minute on the Massachusetts Girls in Trades career day. This event was partly put on through the efforts of Jenny Peterson who is on our team along with the help of the trades.

And it was highly successful. They had about 400 young women who were involved in this first outreach program. Now they are doing a follow-up on that as well. So, it was a very successful meeting trying to get more women to get involved in the trades.

A couple of other Everett events we attended. On page 32, we met again with the Hispanic American Institute. They came out to our offices for an update. We had our phase 2 public hearing. We are working with the voc. techs. as well. Of course, the MassDOT Section 61 public hearings.

On page 33, Mr. Wynn was in town in March to meet with some mayors and legislators and unveil the models.

1 We had a meeting that was the 2 discussion at some previous Commission meetings 3 with some residents from Charlestown to discuss lighting. We thought that was actually a very 5 helpful meeting. End result of that, we made 6 some tweaks to the lighting package, particularly around some comments on the podium 8 level and toned down something that was we 9 thought even might've been a little bit too 10 bright. That's all been incorporated. 11 Chris is working on the final 12 package and then ultimately the final lighting 13 package will be submitted to the city of 14 Everett and then with a copy over to the 15 Commission. 16 I thought that was helpful to get 17 some residents. We brought them out to the 18 office. We showed them the model and then we 19 had quite a long discussion about the lighting 20 plan, which is something I know you guys asked 2.1 us to take a look at. 22 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: What was their 23 general reaction? 24 MR. DESALVIO: Good. They were

happy were happy that we were willing to listen and make some tweaks to the plan.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Great.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Bob, some of these events, they certainly demonstrate your efforts to be good corporate citizen in addition to things you'll benefit from. You have the Mass Girls in Trades career day which we heard and read a lot about. Going to a gala and things like that do you find yourself having the opportunity to just network about the project, network about finding some of the businesses that can help you meet some of your diversity goals?

I mean, it's good corporate citizen stuff. I'm trying to find out how you kind of leverage that for some of your goals.

MR. DESALVIO: They are excellent opportunities. The only mistake I made early on was not bringing enough business cards. So, we learned early on they kind of gather around when they hear Wynn is in the room, especially anyone that might be able to connect with us on the business side for doing work on the

project.

Also, what we find and hopefully we will get away from this soon, most people don't think that we live here. I say that kind of as a little bit of a joke but it's somewhat serious. I think a lot of people come up and say oh, are you living in Las Vegas. No, we have a whole team that's on the ground here.

So, I think the more and more that people learn that we've got a team of folks over in the office that we are part of the community. We don't just fly in for events. We are really here. They're very pleased to know that there's residents of the local community at the events. I think as more and more people learn that, the better off we'll be.

Plus having the model over in the office now gives us an opportunity to invite people out and come see what the project will look like and meet some of the folks. So, that's been very helpful as well just so that they know there's a local team here.

MS. KRUM: It also gives us an

opportunity to speak with the residents in a more relaxed surrounding. A lot of them are interested in the project and want to know what's going on. And it's a good opportunity for us to update them one-on-one.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Thank you.

MR. DESALVIO: Then on the last page of the list of meetings on 34 we had the public involvement process meeting again in Everett.

I stopped over at Lasell and they had a career day over there for some of their hospitality students.

Everett United, we've been continuing to make sure that we keep the local Everett home-based group informed. They've been tremendous supporters, and we want to make sure that we stay connected with them. They've been a vital part of our effort.

Then Mayor DeMaria and I filmed a cable access show which was very -- got very good marks from the community because what we are finding is even though we schedule these Everett United meetings, you have a tendency to reach a lot of the same people that come. And

what we found and what the mayor heard was that some people can't go. Trouble getting out of the house. They can't get babysitters.

So, somebody gave him the suggestion of doing something on cable access. So, we filmed it in the office. We had the model there. Then they ran it like crazy on their local cable access. The mayor said he got very good feedback in City Hall as a way to reach more of the residents without having to ask to come out to a meeting.

So, we think that was successful.

And I think what we will do is as the project develops, we will probably do some more of them. Maybe even go out to the site at some point and do one live -- not live, but we'll tape it on the site and then put it out for the residents to see. So, it's a good way to reach a lot more residence.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Can I mention something, because now you mentioned the model a couple of times. I believe all of us had an opportunity to go out to your offices and see the model and tour the site.

And it's really quite something to appreciate it at close length and see it from the street view and see all the detail that goes around it. And I might add that it's two models. You have the one in the picture but another one right across the hallway there that has the casino with all of its interior flow. It's really great that you have it in your office and that you're having people come appreciate it at close point.

MR. DESALVIO: And we have some more upcoming meetings schedule. Now the word is starting to get around, we're getting groups actually calling and saying can I have -- We have a bank board meeting coming out in the near future, Chamber of Commerce groups have now called and said can we schedule a night where we bring our folks out.

I wasn't sure how this was all going to flow but it's actually turning out to be I think a real advantage to have it there. It's pretty easy to get to since we're in Station Landing. It works out very well. There's Mass. transit right there.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: It sounds like the more you're educating people the better they're accepting the project.

MR. DESALVIO: Absolutely.

MR. GORDON: Oh, yes. I do some presentation. We did one on the real estate group last week. And they hadn't focused on it, which amazed me. They read the headlines, but now that we explained the project to them and told them it was real they were very pleased. And this is a group of 150 real estate brokers around the area that really hadn't paid that much attention to it.

commissioner zunigh: And a lot of other things you've done, I also had the opportunity to see more renderings as only certain renderings make it into presentations and things like that. The view that was very important for residents in Everett, coming down Broadway from the other side, you often get the front view, which is what most people are interested, but there is another perspective. It also starts to bring the project to the mind.

2.1

1 MR. DESALVIO: It's more real and 2 brings it to life for the community. 3 COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Excuse me, 4 Chris is there any indications of a 5 repercussion on the real estate market on the 6 surrounding community? MR. GORDON: We hear folklore that 8 prices have gone up in Everett. We are not as 9 clear as Medford, Malden some of those areas 10 because they may be moving for other reasons. 11 But we haven't seen new data yet for this year 12 because it isn't available. 13 We are hearing that prices in Everett for various things, especially some of 14 15 the industrial properties has moved up. 16 of that is because of us. As you know, we've 17 had to buy a couple of properties around us 18 like the McDonald's. So, we've driven the 19 market ourselves. And we're seeing that. So, 20 we'll see if it sustains itself, but we're 21 seeing prices go up. 22 We're also seeing more interest. 23 For example, right behind us is the apartment 24 building, the Batch Yard that was recently

built which has become very popular. They just broke ground on another hotel in Everett which frankly has been a long, long time since they've had hotels going up.

Maybe it's not us. Maybe it's just the Boston economy but it's moving. The other thing on the flipside is we also got the new data this week that Boston they rank as the most expensive construction in the country. So, we're trying to work through that.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Let me add something that is relevant to the point and the question you're asking, Commissioner. Chairman Crosby, Mark Vander Linden and I were at the annual meeting of the research project that we have. It was up in Amherst. And a big component of that is the economic piece.

One of the things that they are looking and tracking because this is all secondary data that's available is housing and real estate prices. We are getting nuance as in not just when the casino comes in, prior to the casino, but prior to the announcement of an award of a license. We're going to see what

happens. We're going to track it. And we will be able to see how that goes at the local and surrounding area.

MR. GORDON: As the Commissioners know, we talked about it before, our immediate real estate around us on Broadway, we tracked that. Our estimate is that before we existed until when we are done, it will be between a 2X and 3X multiplier. So, we think that property will go up probably double and some will go up threefold on lower and upper Broadway, which is not unusual.

It's been a medium priced area.

When you put a five-star resort across the street, it's going to be more expensive. The data isn't there yet, but that's what we'll expect to see.

MR. DESALVIO: Then I wanted to end this segment with a couple of photos. This first photo is from the Girls in Trades event. Again, this shows you the crowd. They had a really good crowd. Then the next photo -
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Bob, were

they high school kids?

1 MR. DESALVIO: Yes, but there is 2 some really strong interest in this, which was 3 great. And then next is Jenny with some of the ladies that were in attendance. And then the 5 last photo is from when I was the keynote 6 speaker for the Chelsea Chamber of Commerce, their annual dinner and meeting. We went with 8 Suffolk and Rich Petty was there from the 9 Carpenters. We had a nice group there. 10 Hispanic American Institute was represented. 11 It was a good group, Suffolk. 12 Then we wanted to close with a 13 video. This is actually a drone shot that was 14 taken from what would be the equivalent of the 15 top floor of our hotel. So, we thought instead 16 of having you go up on a lift 386 feet in the 17 air, it might be easier if we gave you a drone 18 shot. 19 MR. GORDON: The question comes up 20 all the time, what kind of a view do you get 21 from Everett. The answer is you get a 22 spectacular view. Even the foreground, the 23 power plan we think it's all a good situation.

You can see Boston Harbor. You can

see the high-rise. You can see the river. 2 the 360 we think it's going to be a very 3 nice --4 MS. KRUM: Unfortunately, it's low 5 tide. 6 MR. DESALVIO: That's the tip of our 7 retail esplanade and the outdoor public areas. 8 That's Assembly Row across the way. There's 9 There's the Gateway Connector Park. the dam. 10 And now you are moving over towards the Gateway 11 Center. And then looking back towards the rest 12 of Medford, Malden out that way. Look at the 13 views that you get at top there. It's pretty 14 impressive. 15 We have a drone view MR. GORDON: 16 now that they went floor by floor up and down 17 the elevators. So, you can -- If somebody 18 said, show me the 12th -- And this stuff is 19 very inexpensive. -- show me the 12th floor. 20 If Bob said show me the 12th floor view, we can 21 just show him the 12th floor view. 22 So, it's very simple stuff. And we 23 will be doing this a lot during construction. 24 Drones are cheap and easy, so you'll see this

on a fairly regular basis. 2 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Remind me the 3 number of floors, Chris. 4 MR. DESALVIO: Twenty four. 5 MR. GORDON: In the hotel we've got 6 24. And then of course we've got three floors 7 below that. We've got the spa and the gaming 8 The whole building is about 386 feet floor. 9 high. 10 MR. DESALVIO: So, that is our 11 update for this quarter. We are available for 12 any questions you may have. 13 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Thank you for 14 that update. Any questions? 15 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: No. The 16 diversity numbers look great. It's really 17 apparent that you are paying attention to those 18 issues and you are taking it seriously. So, 19 thank you for that. 20 MR. DESALVIO: Sure. 21 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Great update.

Thank you. It's interesting to see.

thinking when you were giving your update, the

site plan review is all behind you. In our

22

23

24

I was

other licensee that was a big to do for a
number of reasons at MGM with abutter's
concerns. And some of the struggles over there
don't translate into these one, but clearly it
is perhaps an example of all the work you've
done in the meantime when you give these
updates. So, thank you.

MR. GORDON: It's also encumber on

Everett. Everett is very supportive. Meeting after meeting after meeting, they're rigorous. We don't get a free pass. It's very solid process in Everett.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: The quarterly report included in our package, the first three months talks about the project labor agreement. Can you forecast a little bit as to where you hope to be by the end of the second --

MR. GORDON: PLA is signed and done. So, the PLA is in place. The trades are totally onboard. As I've said many times, we continue to fret about making sure that there's enough workers. We need 4000 workers. And we peak at about 2200 all at one time on-site.

And everybody we talk to said no problem. But we don't want to take that for granted. So, we are doing all the recruiting we can. We are trying to make the site easily accessible. We're trying to make sure there's food and there's bathrooms, because union construction workers today have a choice. So, they can go downtown and work on a high-rise or they can go to Everett. We want to make sure going to Everett is a good thing.

They all want to work on the project because it's a fun, glamorous project. But we want to make sure it's easy to get there.

They've got access. So, we see no issues so far, but we are going to continue for three years to be working closely with the trades to make sure we get enough workers. Because if the workers dry up, the work slows down. So, that's a big focus of ours.

MS. KRUM: And in particular we've been focusing on how the unions are going to recruit from the local communities, our host community and our surrounding communities as well as of course diversity goals.

MR. GORDON: In the early bidding, we see no shortage of subcontractors. Even though they're busy, they all want to work on this. So, on the early slurry, excavation, facade that's stuff we've had five, six, seven bidders. We've had competent bidders. We've had almost nobody that's been pushed out because of lack of qualifications.

The Gaming Commission will start to see them because they're all going to be notified of who they are. We've been very pleased with the bidding pool. We've been pleased with the prices. So, again I think we're in good shape.

And the labor issue again, we see no problems but we're going to stay on it, because if we run short of qualified workers that will be a problem.

MR. DESALVIO: We think what we're hearing too is there's a lot of pride in saying that you're working on this project because of the high quality of the construction. These are incredibly talented tradespeople that are in this region.

2.1

And I think they just like sinking their teeth into something as complicated as this but also as sophisticated and it'll turn out to be a beautiful project that they'll be able to take their family and friends to and say look I had a hand in building this. We hear that over and over again.

There's lots of really neat office buildings but this is very, very different kind of a project. I think pride is the word that we are hearing loud and clear.

MR. GORDON: One question that came up during the site tour about some of the other properties, as you know, we're about to open a new property in China in the next few months.

So, we are taking part of my team and a big chunk of the Suffolk team are going to China in a couple of weeks.

And we're going to kick the tires, walk the project, meet the contractors. We're going to meet the subcontractors. And we're not going to just hear the nice stories. We're going to try to make sure we understand exactly how the building was built and bring back

lessons learned so that we try to know as much as we can on this one.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: It's easy to believe what you just said, Bob. Having been in construction myself, there's a lot that comes through, there's a lot of that culture in the construction workers. The all feel like they had a hand or they built it themselves which is true.

Some of this is the means and methods of the contractor but are you hearing about or have an idea about double shifts, overtime?

MR. GORDON: There will be triple shifts. There will be one main shift where the majority of the work is worked. That's the regular daytime shift. There will be a second shift that will still be construction work but will be less. And then there will be a third shift, which is mostly cleaning and stocking.

So, in the middle of the night, there will be people out there restocking sheet rock and equipment and moving scaffolding. So, we'll probably have a couple hundred people on

2.1

the third shift which is not as much -- it's not construction per se.

It's mostly cleaning, organizing,

cleaning out dumpsters, restocking the job.

And then the other two shifts will be where

most of the work is done. And the main daytime

shift is when by far the majority of the work

will get done.

MS. KRUM: Thank you.

MR. DESALVIO: Thank you. Have a good holiday.

MR. ZIEMBA: Commissioners, I'd like Lance George, Plainridge Park Vice President and General Manager and Eli Huard, Purchasing Manager for Plainridge Park to join us for their quarterly report.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Thank you very much, welcome to our guests.

MR. GEORGE: I will move quickly as I tend to do, so please feel free to stop me along the way.

Employment. No material changes from previous updates in this area. Full-time/part-time mix remains largely consistent

1 currently sitting in 67 percent to 33 percent

2 and the number of employees is at 522.

3 Additional information on the composition of

4 the workforce can be seen on the following

5 | slide here.

Continued good news as it relates to diversity hiring. At the end of Q1, the property was at 18 percent exceeding a goal of 10 percent. In addition, Massachusetts residents comprise 74 percent of Plainridge Park's workforce. Property continues to place a priority on diversity hiring and hiring instate. And the results to date have certainly been encouraging.

Though not part of the goal, additional detail has been added at the request of both Jill and Commissioner Stebbins. You will see male-female percentages of 53 percent to 47 percent. And the percentage of employees who are veterans at three percent. We are attempting to track down the number of individuals who are members of the National Guard however that is an optional field when employees fill out that box.

1 Continuing at the topic of 2 employment, a few numbers relating to 3 promotions and transfers, good news for employees in both cases. Promotions, number of 5 employees earning a promotion and taking on a 6 larger role for the property number that number was at seven for Q1. And the number of 8 internal transfers department to department occurred for 16 individuals. 9 10 Procurement. 63 percent of the 11 eligible spend for Q1 was spent in 12 Massachusetts. The remainder is split among 13 several other states. Coordination between us, 14 our purchasing team along with the Commission 15 staff continues to yield positive results in 16 this area. We are delighted with these 17 numbers. We expect to see more of the same as 18 we move forward here. 19 COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Can I take 20 a step back? In employment, did you lose any 21 employees? MR. GEORGE: 22 There's constantly 23 turnover, nothing unusual for us. The high 24 turnover areas tend to be in the hospitality

1 side, cooks, waiters, waitresses, hostesses. 2 It's more of a transient job. Some are 3 Some have it as part-time work. COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Any sense 5 of a percentage? 6 MR. GEORGE: I don't have it off the 7 top of my head. We certainly have a goal. 8 would tell you that not unusual what we see is 9 the preponderance of turnover occurs within the 10 first 90 days of employment. Upwards of 75 11 percent of all of the turnover, of the 100 12 percent occurs within the first 90 days of 13 employment. 14 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: On that note, 15 Lance what about your turnover over time for 16 overall has it been decreasing a little bit? 17 MR. GEORGE: Certainly. 18 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Naturally, it 19 could be high, people expect certain things and 20 they don't realize that standing up all day is 21 not their cup of tea perhaps. 22 MR. GEORGE: Yes. I think we talked 23 about this at opening. People have a 24 perception of what it's like to work in the

casino industry. We certainly try to
overemphasize the 24-hour nature of our
business, seven days a week, holidays. And I
think that people nod their head and say yes,
but it's a bit different when you are there on
Christmas and Thanksgiving and really
understand it and it really settles in.

So, we certainly see a lot of that. I think that goes back to the turnover within 90 days. I think the focus for us is ensuring that when we are out hiring and interviewing and recruiting there's a better grasp and understanding of what the job is and what the job isn't. The benefit for us is to reduce turnover.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: I also think it's an interesting number. You're talking about internal transfers, 16 in the first quarter of 2016. That to me shows people get into the job, get into your industry and at the same if they're not experiencing what they're doing at that time they're looking to stay with the company and try to find another opportunity in a different area or what have you. That's a

pretty important figure in the big scheme of things.

MR. GEORGE: Agreed. I think people try to find the right fit. Sometimes that's us trying to work with them and sometimes it's them raising their hand saying hey, there's an opportunity over here. Can I apply for this opportunity? And to your point they certainly want to stay with the company.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Can I ask a question about your spend by state? It's pretty specific to those other states. Do you have other properties there? Is that why it's that specific to Michigan, Pennsylvania, California, Illinois?

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$  GEORGE: I'll defer to Eli for this one.

MR. HUARD: For this, I chose the top five or six states by spend. No specific reason why, it is just those are where vendors are located. It will change. I think Q4 of 2015 was a different mix of states. It just depends on the percentage of spend in those particular states for those vendors selected.

1 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Just in 2 general, that figure no longer includes big 3 purchases that you made at the beginning, for 4 example slot machines and software and things like that. This is more commodities. 5 6 MR. GEORGE: This is all operating, 7 I see we've left it open for category 8 name, value name and percentage for 9 Massachusetts. COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: You did give 10 11 the update of 63 percent, yes. 12 MR. GEORGE: Digging a little bit 13 deeper into the property's procurement for Q1 14 provided a breakdown of local spending. 15 reminder, our host and surrounding communities 16 are Plainville, Wrentham, Foxboro, Mansfield, 17 Attleboro and North Attleboro. 61 of the local 18 spend occurred with businesses in North 19 Attleboro for Q1 followed by Mansfield at 25 20 percent and then Foxboro at nine percent. 2.1 Vendor diversity, encouraging 22 results with that said the property continues 23 to pursue opportunities in each area. Across-24 the-board Plainridge is at target or modestly

This is particularly the case when 2 those companies awaiting verification are 3 included. No significant red flags to report. And the continued assistance by Commission 5 staff in this area is greatly appreciated. 6 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: When you're 7 talking about, Eli, you're talking about 8 awaiting verification that is awaiting -- You 9 are doing business with them but they are also 10 on a parallel going through a certification 11 process? 12 MR. HUARD: That's correct. They 13 are completing and submitting the veteran 14 business enterprise form supplied by the Gaming 15 Commission. 16 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Do any of 17 these awaiting verification mean the licensing 18 that we do? 19 MR. HUARD: Their licenses are 20 already in place. And we are currently 21 procuring goods from them, however they have 22 not submitted their veteran enterprise form 23 yet. 24 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: So, it's a

case you really can't count them but you are actively doing business with them. We kind of have chicken and an egg arrangement, go get this and then we'll do business.

MR. HUARD: Exactly right.

MR. GEORGE: Revenue, taxes.

Revenue by month and a quarterly total has been provided as well as a 2015 number. Positive results can be attributed to a variety of factors. Seasonality, improved awareness, marketing certainly come to mind. All in for the quarter, a combination of taxes paid to the Commonwealth and fees paid to the horsemen at 49 percent totals just under \$19 million with gaming revenue just over \$38.7 million -- I'm sorry, just under \$38.7 million.

Successful quarter, net win per unit is exceeding \$340 in March, climbing to over \$350 in April. So, good three or four months under our belt now.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Can I ask and emphasize that figure? I know through our consultants that win per unit always hovered nationally around \$300. You said \$349?

2.1

1 MR. GEORGE: \$340 in March and then 2 over \$350 in April. COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: How does that 3 4 in general rank with other properties, other 5 Penn properties? 6 MR. GEORGE: That's a big number. 7 The number for when you start to consider how 8 much product you need on the floor, at least in 9 the Penn world is about \$200 win per unit 10 before you say I probably need more or before 11 you can determine you can probably remove 12 product. 13 This certainly places us number one 14 in the company by a longshot. It's a big 15 \$350 is one of the bigger numbers, number. 16 certainly the biggest number I've worked with. 17 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: And what's at 18 play here, of course, is the limit that you 19 have, because from a business standpoint if you 20 start to see that number you start adding 2.1 machines because it makes business sense. 22 MR. GEORGE: That is exactly right. 23 If in other markets -- If it were unlimited, we 24 would certainly be adding product, yes.

```
1
                COMMISSIONER MACDONALD:
                                         For my
     benefit as the new person here, the win per
2
3
     unit what does that mean?
 4
                MR. GEORGE: Win per slot machine
5
    per gaming device per day. It's a standard
 6
    metric that people in our industry use.
                COMMISSIONER MACDONALD:
                                         That means
8
     the amount, I'm just stating the obvious, I
9
             It's the amount of winnings that comes
10
     out of the machine on the average per day?
11
                COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: The winnings
12
     for the casino.
                COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: For the
13
14
     casino, on a net to the casino?
15
                COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA:
                                      Yes.
16
                MR. GEORGE: That's net.
17
                COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: It's drop
18
    minus payouts.
19
                MR. GEORGE: Compliance with
20
     regulations, good work by the team in the
21
     prevention of underage gaming, clean quarter,
22
     no underage gaming, no underage drinking
23
     occurring. Two individuals who made it onto
24
     the gaming floor were identified within minutes
```

and escorted promptly from the facility.

Coordination and cooperation between the property, Commission agents, the state police has been tremendous. I see no reason for that not to strengthen as time goes on and the property matures. Good work.

commissioner zunight: Just one thing on the prevention, again also in general. You start to kind of notice trends so that you keep an eye on those trends, if you will? If you retain 30 or 40 people is it fair to say that you know what the usual times might be?

MR. GEORGE: Sure. Not surprisingly, it's going to be the weekend Friday night, Saturday nights when our volumes pick up as well, nothing unusual. So that would include underage. What is not included in those numbers are expired IDs, invalid IDs that's specific to underage. Certainly on a Friday and Saturday night, you'll see some folks we have to turn away.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: As we get into the season of when school is out, does this typically kind of uptick over the next

three months?

MR. GEORGE: Yes. I think we'll see that in June and July. I also think that though there is a greater level of awareness, Twin River is 20 minutes down or 25, and they are 18 and over. So, sometimes it's a genuine misunderstanding. Sometimes it's not. But there is some confusion with folks who are coming from Rhode Island.

Compliance with agreements, lottery sales, significant uptick. Quarterly results came in at \$705,000, 47 percent increase over Q4. The property and the Lottery joined forces for a lottery ticket giveaway in February and March, which really helped drive that increase. A great example of how the casinos and the Lottery can work in a way that is mutually beneficial, a big number.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: No doubt.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: How does the work, Lance? What part of the casinos operation is there, the interface with the lottery people?

MR. GEORGE: So, they visit us on a

1 weekly basis. They are there to restock. 2 are there to address any technical concerns. 3 Their PALs, I believe, I'm probably getting that wrong, but they have those units 5 throughout the property. In addition, we have 6 it in our retail store. COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: They call them 8 PATs, P-A-Ts, player activated terminals. 9 MR. GEORGE: Thank you. I better 10 write that down for next time. Company 11 overview, no issues or significant changes to 12 the company. The company remains in a strong 13 financial position. We added something a bit different 14 15 this time. Charitable focus for us right now 16 and for Penn is Relay for Life. Around the 17 country, Penn has partnered with the American 18 Cancer Society where they focus on Relay for 19 Life. Not surprisingly, this has led to a 20 variety of initiatives for all properties to 21 raise money.

Externally, we've partnered with

If you watched a Sox game on a Wednesday

night, you'll see and hear that Plainridge Park

22

23

donates \$777 for every game the Sox win on winning Wednesdays. Internally, jeans days for employees, if they donate five dollars we'll let them wear jeans for the day.

We had a chili cook-off. I think we had 50 entrants. That's too much chili to sample in one day just as an FYI. But the majority of donations are being driven by those employees who will participate in the Walk. And I believe the Walk is on June 10 and June 11.

The goal here as for all of the Penn properties is to be the property who raises the most money. So, there's a nice competition, friendly, not friendly to raise the most money as the Penn property.

Events and promotions for Q1, several marketing initiatives rolled out for Q1. Focus for us continues to be on growing the database through Play \$500 on Us promotion. Mixed in throughout the quarter were several giveaways and promotions.

Calendar planning is always interesting in Q1 given the unpredictable

1 weather. You're at the mercy of Mother Nature. 2 So, trying to strategize good days to have a 3 large-scale promotion, sometimes you win, sometimes you get a snowstorm of six inches and 5 nobody is there. That's always in the back of 6 your mind when you're trying to strategize promotions. 8 Q2, a lot going on. In April, we 9 partnered with Bass Pro Shops for several 10 giveaways. There is a car giveaway mixed in 11 there, I think a motorcycle giveaway. Racing 12 season has kicked off for us in April. We've 13 enjoy two legs of the Triple Crown, both 14 brought out tremendous crowds. We are looking 15 forward to the Belmont in a few weeks. 16 And then finally, we are the 17 official sponsor of the Plainridge Park Casino 18 Fenway concert series, a tremendous opportunity 19 for us to drive continued awareness. I've set 20 aside Kid Rock tickets for you and you guys as

22 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Let the record 23 show that's a joke.

MR. GEORGE: Fair enough. Projects,

2.1

24

well.

1 wrapping up here, Play Management is on track 2 for next week, I believe May 31. Should be in 3 good shape. Capital improvements occurring at 4 the property. High definition video tote board was installed and turned on last week on the 5 6 racing side. In addition, the racing paddock received an overhaul, improvements made to 8 flooring, to the stalls, to signage as well as 9 to the lounge. 10 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: If I can say, 11 I was out there and saw all of the upgrades. 12 It really is looking good. The paddock in 13 particular, those mats were terrific. And the 14 board, I know there are some challenges but it 15 really does --16 MR. GEORGE: Looks good. 17 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: -- it looks 18 great and it really adds the environment. 19 There were lots of people there. So, it was 20 really good work. 2.1 Thank you for that. MR. GEORGE: I'm happy to answer any questions. 22 23 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Yes. 24 curious. You have a one year anniversary

1 celebration. Any details or are you working 2 that out? 3 MR. GEORGE: Working that out. 4 the employees, a lot going on for the employees 5 starting June 9, I believe. Employee Olympics, 6 so a lot of -- What do we have? Carnival, we have some races in there. There will be horse 8 races on the track. So, a nice way for us to 9 recognize all of the employees and the hard 10 work that they've done over the last year for 11 us. 12 Externally and to the customer, some 13 work being done there. We'll announce that in 14 the coming weeks. But certainly there will be 15 festivities commemorating one year almost one 16 month from today. 17 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: It has gone 18 very quickly. 19 MR. GEORGE: It is amazing how 20 quickly it's gone. 2.1 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Thank you very 22 Any other questions? much.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: No.

you, excellent report.

23

24

Thank

1 MR. GEORGE: Thank you guys. 2 MR. ZIEMBA: That concludes my 3 report. 4 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Excellent. Do 5 we need a break or should we continue? 6 Especially the Chairman who likes to break after one hour. Let's go to the administrative 8 update by Executive Director Bedrosian. 9 MR. BEDROSIAN: Good morning, 10 Chairman pro tem and members of the Commission. 11 Just a couple of brief updates, a couple of 12 comments on the updates you heard. I heard Mr. 13 DeSalvio's comment that folks didn't realize 14 that the Wynn executives actually live locally. 15 I think we will advise them to start working on 16 more Red Sox paraphernalia that will help them. 17 And for Mr. George's comment about 18 the chili cook-off, I would just say while pure 19 chili cook-off is good, a chili and chocolate 20 cook-off is very good. So, they'll have to 2.1 work on that. 22 For my substantive update, I will 23 just tell the Commission that it is getting

towards end of the fiscal year. So, CFO Lennon

and I are working on the FY17 budget. I

probably talked to a bunch of you individually

about that process.

The plan would be for us to come back to the Commission after one more interaction with our licensees with a draft budget during the first meeting of June for you to consider, look at and consider before actually taking substantive action on the budget during the second meeting in June. So, it would be in place for obviously the beginning of fiscal year '17.

And then the last comment is just in response to Mr. Chairman pro tem, your comment about going out to see the model at Wynn. Of course, I'll just remind folks, of course, there was no quorum that went out there. As we always, we do it in two-by-twos at a site visit. With that that is the end of my update. Thank you very much.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Thank you.

Next on the agenda is the racing division, Dr.

Lightbown.

DR. LIGHTBOWN: Good morning,

2.1

everybody. I'll do a brief update on the thoroughbred end of racing. And then after that we'll get into the standardbreds.

Suffolk has put in their purse agreement and so has the group that's working with Brockton. So, both horsemen groups have agreements with the tracks that they're going to race at. Suffolk has put in a request for the Race Horse Development Fund. And that will plan to be on the next agenda for you all.

And their racing office has contacted me regarding getting the applications for their racing officials and all. So, we're moving forward on getting that going, because that's the beginning of July. So, that's coming up soon.

With the Brockton license, there's legislation to move 30 days of racing for Middleborough. Right now, they've turned in their Brockton license. So, there would only be 15 days of racing. So, we are waiting to see what happens legislatively with that.

They are beginning work on the track already. Like I said, they've got a purse

1 agreement. And they're working on completing 2 their application. That was one of the 3 requirements that we put on them when they were 4 granted the license. Hopefully, before the 5 next meeting, we'll have that information in on 6 them also. COMMISSIONER CAMERON: I know I saw 8 the report, the positive report regarding 9 Plainridge and their safety inspection, and the 10 other two potential racing thoroughbred racing 11 facilities are aware as well what they will 12 need to have those completed. 13 DR. LIGHTBOWN: Yes, they are. 14 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Alex, you 15 mentioned briefly there's a purse agreement for both Brockton and Suffolk. 16 17 DR. LIGHTBOWN: Suffolk and 18 Brockton. 19 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: The Brockton, 20 is that only for the 15 day for the Brockton? 2.1 DR. LIGHTBOWN: I believe it covers 22 the period of the 30 days and then if they 23 don't get it, they will reduce it. 24 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: You said you'd

1 come back to us with actual numbers for the 2 requests? 3 They have to DR. LIGHTBOWN: Right. 4 formally request it of the Commission what 5 moneys they want out of that. Suffolk has 6 already put their request in. And I've notified Brockton when they are ready, which 8 will probably be before the next meeting also 9 have that in. 10 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: But we saw 11 some figures when they first submitted their 12 application, didn't we? 13 DR. LIGHTBOWN: And the Suffolk 14 figures were just like what they had asked for. It's in line with the \$400,000 a day plus money 15 16 for the horseman's group. Then they'll also on 17 top of that have the money that goes for the 18 Mass. bred stake races. So, they're figuring 19 to give out about \$500,000 in purses a day. 20 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: So, we'll

DR. LIGHTBOWN: Yes.

those approvals?

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Very good,

have recommendations when it's time to make

21

22

1 excellent. 2 DR. LIGHTBOWN: Now basically for 3 Plainridge, Doug has run some numbers. So, 4 I'll let him go ahead and give you the numbers. 5 And Steve O'Toole is here also to give you an 6 update. MR. O'DONNELL: Good morning, 8 Commissioners. This is the third annual report 9 for the racing division. DR. LIGHTBOWN: We're still on the 10 11 update. 12 MR. O'DONNELL: On the update, okay. 13 Before we get started on that. We had some 14 good news regarding the handles thus far this 15 year. For live racing at Plainridge, year-to-16 date we are at \$331,000 compared to last year 17 which is \$236,000. So, we're about 28 percent 18 compared to last year. 19 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Is that a 20 cumulative figure or per day? 2.1 MR. O'DONNELL: That's based on a 22 cumulative figure. That's a nice increase that

we had there. Obviously, we don't have it for

Suffolk. They haven't been running yet.

23

```
In terms of overall handles at the
1
2
     four locations that we have, Plainridge has
3
     done approximately a little over $13 million
 4
     compared to $8.5 million last year. That's up
5
     48 percent, which is a big jump.
 6
                COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: For the same
7
    period?
8
                MR. O'DONNELL: For the same period,
9
     yes.
10
                COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Year over
11
     year?
12
                MR. O'DONNELL: Yes, from January 1
13
     through May 26 compared to last year '15. So,
14
     we are up considerably.
15
                COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: What was
16
     that percent again?
17
                MR. O'DONNELL: 48 percent.
                                              Suffolk
18
     Downs, they are at $17 million this year
19
     compared to $11.7 million. So, they're up 32
20
    percent. Wonderland is at $1.8 million
21
     compared to 1.2, so they're up 34 percent. And
22
     Raynham is actually down nine percent, $12
23
    million this year compared to $13.2 million
24
     last year.
```

1 The reason being for these numbers 2 too we had the beginning of last year, there 3 was an issue with the Monarch Group. There was a dispute. Plainridge and Suffolk and 5 Wonderland are part of the Monarch Group. 6 they were not receiving certain signals for a certain amount of time. So, that kind of 8 reduced our overall handle. That coupled with 9 the weather the beginning of last year too, 10 they were closed down a few days. 11 And Raynham's numbers were up 12 because they're not part of that Monarch Group. 13 So, they were receiving those handles. 14 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: So, if we 15 compare it to say the year before, are they 16 comparable or is there some increase? 17 MR. O'DONNELL: There's a little bit 18 of an increase this year from two years ago. 19 So, it looks like the trend we have gone up a little bit. But it's a significant jump with 20 21 Plainridge and the casino being a part of that. 22 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Mr. O'Toole, 23 are we attributing that to purses and an 24 upgraded facility?

1 MR. O'TOOLE: For the live? 2 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Yes. 3 MR. O'TOOLE: For the live, it's a 4 combination of a couple of things. Purses are 5 up and it drew a lot of new interest at the 6 beginning of the season as well as days of the week. We raced on Sundays last year, which 8 tends to have a little bit of a lower handle 9 because of competition of simulcast signals. 10 So, that day is out of the mix. 11 For the month of April, we had 12 pretty full fields and that always adds to a 13 healthier handle at the end of the day. 14 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Thank you. 15 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Are you still 16 racing Sundays? 17 MR. O'TOOLE: No, not this year. 18 are doing Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and then 19 when we add a fourth day in May, we added 20 Fridays. Just the question you asked about the 21 overall simulcast handle, to compare apples to 22 apples, the Kentucky Derby on Kentucky Derby 23 day we were up 19 percent. It's probably more 24 of an accurate barometer of comparisons.

Page 71 for Preakness we were up 15 percent. 2 that's about the trend that we've been 3 experiencing in our overall handle about 15 4 percent up. 5 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: It's a good 6 number. MR. O'TOOLE: We are happy with it. 8 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Was that item 9 (a)? 10 DR. LIGHTBOWN: Yes. 11 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Do want to 12 take us through item (b)? DR. LIGHTBOWN: Would it be all 13 14 right if we went ahead and did item (d) next 15 while Steve is up here on the pentafecta 16 request? 17 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Yes. 18 DR. LIGHTBOWN: So, I think 19 Catherine's going to speak to that. 20 MS. BLUE: In your packet today, you 21 have a new section that we're going to add to 22 205 CMR 6 that's on wagering. The pentafecta 23 is a type of wager. When we adopted our 24 wagering rules, we adopted I think almost of

the RCI wagering rules. Somehow this one for some reason we didn't adopt.

But this is a new product that both Plainridge and Suffolk will be able to offer should they choose to.

So, what I'm asking the Commission to do today is to vote on it and approve it on an emergency basis so they can use it right away. And then we will take it through the formal promulgation process.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: So, I move that we approve the pentafecta pools as outlined in our packet.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: The motion has been made. Is there a second?

16 COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Second.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Any comments?

I just like the name. Is there a tetrafecta,
by the way or is that part of the trifecta?

No. This is great. It gives the licensee an
ability to offer more product that might help
them. That would be great.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: One quick question, when you offer the pentafecta, do you

Page 73 1 need to tell bettors which option you're using 2 for the distribution of winnings? 3 MR. O'TOOLE: Yes. We'll publish 4 those. 5 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: All right. 6 MR. O'TOOLE: We'll also provide 7 that to Alex and the Commission. 8 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: All those in 9 favor, aye. 10 COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Aye. 11 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye. 12 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye. 13 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: It seems like 14 that would be a very difficult pool to win. 15 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Yes, the odds 16 are very long. 17 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Five in the 18 exact order. MR. O'TOOLE: They put on it twist 19 20 at Gulfstream Park. It's called the Super High 21 Five. And pools get very big and there is a lot of interest in it. But the kicker to that 22 23 one is you have to be the only ticket for the 24 carryover.

1 So, if there's multiple winners, 2 they share. So, those pools have grown to be a 3 pretty good size. I remember one last year was up around \$600,000 for a winning wager. So, 5 they can hit it, but on occasion it gets down 6 to just one person. COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Thank you. 8 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: For the 9 record, the ayes have it unanimously. Thank 10 you for that. Thank you, Steve. 11 Do you want to go back to item 5(b) 12 then? 13 DR. LIGHTBOWN: Yes, we'll talk 14 about the annual report now. Instead of going 15 page by page through there, I'm just going to 16 do some general observations for the past year. 17 And then Doug will go through some of the 18 financials. 19 Last year was kind of the year of 20 moving for the racing division. We moved out 2.1 of Suffolk. We moved back into Suffolk. 22 moved out of our office at Plainridge so that 23 that could become part of the gaming floor and

into a trailer. Then once the white building

got built, the racing building, we moved into there.

I just want to thank the IT staff
here Dan and John were very good about getting
all of our needs hooked up at the different
places again. So, that was quite a deal with
all the different moves.

Also, we worked with HR, IEB and the legal staff as far as getting our seasonal employees on each year. We streamlined that process and made it so we can do it all kind of in one day, one-stop shopping. They can get their fingerprints done, get their ethics training and all that. So, I want to thank those groups for helping us with that.

Under the licensing division, we offered a few new things last year. For the first time, we offered credit card payment, which was very popular. Maria set that up for us from here. We offered two- and three-year licenses as well. In the past we've always just offered a one-year license. That also became very popular.

So, at Plainridge, about a third of

the people ended up picking that choice. It
made licensing this year a little easier
because a lot of people all they had to do when
they come in was pick up their badge. They had
already paid for it the year before and all

It did change our figures. In the licensing section, you'll see some of that. The number of licenses was down because of Suffolk only running the three days. But our overall income from it was up because people were paying at Plainridge for a three-year license. So, we got that money in. So that's why there are some differences there.

Also, we started fingerprinting for the first time and worked with Sergeant Somerville and his troopers. It was a new program, so it was kind of a challenge to get it off the ground. And we tweaked it some and it worked very well.

The auditing staff right now is

Doug. So, Doug has a lot on his hands with

that. As far as the veterinary department, we

brought the blood gas testing completely under

that.

the Commission control. We ended up being able to hire a few of the veterinarians that had worked at Suffolk in the past. They were well received.

Our test barn coordinator, Chris
Miller, did a great job in coordinating all
these efforts. There was a lot going on in the
test barn. She does a great job.

We also instituted a Lasix program where we operate 15-minute window where we wouldn't scratch the horse but the trainers would be fined if they were late. And that enabled quite a few horses to be able to race when they otherwise would have been scratched. So, that program also went very smoothly.

The judges and stewards did a great job in enforcing the regulations. We worked very well with Steve and Chip from the two different tracks. We had a lot of good cooperation with getting what we needed done through them and their staff.

And as you all know, with the different hearings we had, there was a lot for the horsemen to deal with last year. At

Plainridge, there was the construction going on and things like that. With Suffolk and the Brockton issues, the thoroughbred industry horsemen had a lot to deal with.

So, I'd just like to give my thanks to them for being so cooperative as we brought out some new programs and tried to work through the different issues that came up.

add and actually commend Dr. Lightbown for her leadership. I spent several hours out there last week and very professional environment.

All of our employees are in really sharp golf shirts. Every day, there are minor issues. I would say most of them are minor, but some of them more than minor. And quietly, they get addressed. They get solved under Dr.

Lightbown's leadership. Morale, tremendous morale with the staff. The racing building, signage, posters, it really looks professional.

DR. LIGHTBOWN: It turned out very nice.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: You working to get that done as well. I know you had help,

2.1

but it really looks professional. The staff is extremely professional. We've implemented so many changes outside labs, accredited labs, accredited officials. None of those were easy to just implement.

Change is difficult, but I do credit you with continuing to educate folks, all of the RCI changes to medication, whatever it may be. It's a continual job and I see that firsthand. And I just want to commend you for that.

DR. LIGHTBOWN: Thank you. I've got a great staff.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: I just want to view on that because not only is it really the right thing to do for a number of reasons, but also for the business model for the product, the quality of the product. If there's happy people, happy regulators the product ultimately improves.

And although it would be very hard to try to assign it to any kind of results, increased purses, fields or handle, I know that all of that eventually has come to fruition in

those aspects. And I think it's really good that you've taken all these steps incrementally like you've been doing.

DR. LIGHTBOWN: Thank you very much. Now we'll let Doug over some of the financial figures from last year.

MR. O'DONNELL: As Alex said, we'll touch on the relevant points here. If you can go to page 14. What we have here is just kind of stats of each of the racing facilities that we have.

And 14 is Suffolk Downs. The big changes this year compared to last year were that Chapter 10 of the Acts of 2015 section 59 allowed them to race from 1 to 50 days where they were approved to race for three days in 2015 compared to 65 in 2014. So, that will obviously change their numbers in terms of their starts, purses. For example, their purses this year for 2015 were \$1,620,200 compared to last year's of \$6,929,000. That's a significant difference.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: I have a question on that. The Acts of 2015, it says

here that they expire on July 31. But it says
that they were authorized to conduct simulcast
for the entirety of any year or is that really
just for that year?

MS. BLUE: It's for the years that
the statute is in effect.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Okay.

MR. O'DONNELL: If we go to page 15 which has Plainridge, they kind of went in the opposite direction. They raced in 2015 105 days compared to 80 days the prior year. And you can see the footnote there, the Mass.

General Laws Chapter 23K section 24. Just for example also, their purses in 2014 were \$2,581,000 compared to 2015 which were \$4,210,636. So, that's a significant difference as well.

And on page 16, we show the information on the two simulcast greyhound facilities that we have. Below there we make mention of the Mass. General Laws Chapter 23K section 60, the Race Horse Development Fund. 2015 was the first year that we used those funds to contribute to the purses. And it'll

show the thoroughbred accounts and harness 2 accounts what were distributed. 3 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Where is that 4 Doug? 5 MR. O'DONNELL: Page 16 down the 6 bottom. I had a COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: 8 question on that. Let's just take Plainridge, 9 if there was \$3.9 million to purses that came 10 from the Horse Race Development Fund, why did 11 it only increase a million to \$4.2 million that 12 year? Am I reading that correctly? 13 DR. LIGHTBOWN: I think that was the 14 total amount that was distributed. So, some of 15 that also went to the breeders and some of it went to the horsemen for welfare and insurance. 16 17 MS. BLUE: So, there's three 18 different components to the Race Horse 19 Development Fund. And if that is the total 20 number, which I believe it is, only 80 percent 21 that number went to purses and then 16 percent 22 went to the breeders and then four percent of 23 horsemen.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: They're split.

1 MS. BLUE: Yes. And then also the 2 split is 75/25 too. So, the Race Horse 3 Development Fund is first split between the two 4 types of racing. And then each split is split 5 between the 80, 16 and four. 6 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: I get all of 7 So, when I read the harness accounts, 8 that's already the result of the 25 percent 9 split right, \$3.9 million for that year? 10 MS. BLUE: Yes. 11 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Now that has 12 to be split between the breeders and all the 13 rest. But from that number can I get to the 14 increase directly or are there other things 15 that the Horse Race Development fund 16 substitutes in those purses between last year 17 and this year? 18 DR. LIGHTBOWN: We haven't done the 19 purse analysis for 2015 yet. That's probably 20 what we're going to try to get done next week 2.1 or the week after. And it'll be interesting to 22 see from that. We don't want to talk about 23 numbers until we've gone through that process. 24 We might want to come back maybe in

1 August or something when we finished a whole 2 year of getting the money in from the casino. 3 We have the purse agreement, the review finished. Then we can talk about where the 5 Race Horse Development Fund money actually 6 went. COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Sounds good. DR. LIGHTBOWN: Some of it's 8 9 regarding the purse agreements too as to what 10 the total purse money in general where that 11 goes to. 12 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: I quess that's 13 where I was going. The source to the purses is 14 not limited to the Horse Race Development Fund 15 because the operator includes --16 DR. LIGHTBOWN: At Plainridge, it 17 includes the regular statutory things from 18 simulcast handle and the live handle and all. 19 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Doug, you 20 gave some pretty compelling information, kind 21 of looking year-to-year. I'd just offer the 22 suggestion that maybe when we do this report 23 for next year that we have the year-to-date 24 from the previous year.

1 You've gone back and shared that 2 with us but for somebody to be able to read the 3 report, look at the different days, look at the different number of races. Kind of year-to-5 date I think would be interesting information. 6 We see it on a corporate annual report. MR. O'DONNELL: We've always done 8 calendar year comparison. Further in the 9 report we'll touch on that. We haven't done 10 year-to-date. We can certainly do that. 11 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: It's always a 12 challenge that we've been doing this on a 13 calendar basis and we have on the other hand 14 our fiscal year. 15 MR. O'DONNELL: There's always a 16 carryover in terms of certain funds and what we 17 do because it's fiscal year compared to 18 calendar year. 19 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: But there's a 20 number of statutory directives from a calendar 21 year perspective, which is why we do a lot of 22 these report on a calendar basis. 23 MR. O'DONNELL: Which will bring us

to the racing division financials which are

1 based on a calendar year. That's on page 27. 2 The revenue receipts for calendar 3 year 2015 were a total of \$3,109,412.55 4 compared to last year's which were \$3,205,969. 5 This is a decrease of three percent from 2014 6 to 2015. And with the expenditures calendar year 2015, it was \$1,753,074.77 compared to '14 8 which is \$1,935,346. And that was a decrease 9 of 10 percent. So, we reduced our expenses 10 approximately 10 percent. 11 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Can we just 12 quickly outline what those decreases were? 13 MR. O'DONNELL: The biggest 14 percentage of that was the employee 15 compensation. That was reduced considerably. 16 Then our indirect charges came down from last 17 year as well, which are still I think they're 18 Just to let you know, this year the 19 indirect charges for racing alone is \$186,144. 20 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Those payroll 21 decreases have to do with the less number of 22 live racing in Suffolk, doesn't it in general? 23 DR. LIGHTBOWN: Yes, in general. 24 MR. O'DONNELL: Yes, that's a big

part of it. Then we had administrative staff shift a little bit too. So, there were some changes there.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: This is on the next page but the difference between the receipts and the expenditures is a little elusive because there's a big number that goes to other funds, right?

MR. O'DONNELL: You'll see the additional program expenses on page 28. That's the unclaimed tickets that we pay out. That's really a wash because we collect those monies and then we distribute those. Those are two years in arrears. So, for the 2015 report here those would be the 2013 outs. And also included in that is the local aid payments to local cities and towns.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Which also have a direct reflection on the revenue piece, right?

MR. O'DONNELL: Yes. Although this year, fiscal year 2016, we are not obligated to pay those local aids to the general fund. This is the first year. In essence, a comparison of

2.1

1 next year we will have that \$781,766. 2 will basically be an increase of what is coming 3 in for revenues to us because there will not be 4 an outflow of that money. 5 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Okav. Question. 6 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: 7 Bottom of page 26, I think Dr. Lightbown if you 8 have the answer, if not you can get me 9 information later, I see significant increase in fines in particular to 2014 from '13 and 10 11 somewhat consistent in '15. Is that the 12 regulatory reform we put in place, all of the 13 new RCI? Is that the reason for that or is 14 there something else there? 15 DR. LIGHTBOWN: The judges have 16 really tried to get everybody, all the drivers 17 on the same page as far as the whipping 18 regulations go. Plainridge has one of the more 19 aggressive --20 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: So, we've 21 done a better job of enforcing. 22 DR. LIGHTBOWN: Yes. They really 23 did a lot of enforcement on that last year. 24 Some of it was the Lasix program where we

instituted the fines for that. 1 That was where 2 some of the increase came from. 3 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: 4 significant decrease in suspensions last year. 5 Do we have an idea? Or do you need time to 6 research that? DR. LIGHTBOWN: I think there might 8 be a slight increase in suspensions this year 9 because one of the things we found was that 10 maybe people were paying the fines and then 11 doing the same thing again. 12 So, the judges and I, we looked at 13 that issue. If we fine somebody for something 14 we're hoping whatever the fine is for that 15 behavior will end. So, this year they're being 16 a little more aggressive. If somebody gets --17 I don't want to say exactly what their program 18 is, but it's something like if you've gotten 19 fined once or twice for the same thing, there's 20 a warning, but the next time you're probably 21 going to get a suspension.

22 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: So

23 progressive discipline.

DR. LIGHTBOWN: Exactly. We're

doing more progressive discipline this year. 2 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: The decrease 3 is that because in '15 --4 DR. LIGHTBOWN: I think last year 5 they were just doing more fines instead of 6 suspensions. COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Thank you. 8 COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: On these 9 financials is there a reason they are not 10 presented in a year-over-year so that we can 11 have the year before and the current year? 12 MR. O'DONNELL: For comparison 13 reasons, we can certainly do that. 14 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: That would be 15 very helpful. I was thinking that when you 16 were verbally updating us on those figures. 17 It's particularly helpful if you can see them 18 side-by-side. 19 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: That was the 20 point I was trying to make. Look at year-to-21 year because we've seen some improvement. 22 MR. O'DONNELL: If we move forward 23 to page 32 that will give you a handle 24 comparison, which we've always done from the

prior year. This shows again the comparisons from '14 to '15. We already touched on the comparison from 2016 to '15.

As you can see in live racing,
Plainridge 2014 to 2015 were up 13 percent.
That has a lot to do with the additional days
that we had. We had 80 days racing in 2014
compared to 105 in 2015.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Oh, that's right.

MR. O'DONNELL: Suffolk Downs we know what that is. That was 65 days in 2014 compared to three days in 2015. So, that's down significantly.

simulcasting, as we mentioned the situation with the Monarch Group where Raynham is not part of that group. Their handle was up approximately 10 percent. Wonderland, their handle was down approximately 13 percent. Plainridge's handle from 2014 to '15 was down about 10 percent. And Suffolk including the ADWs was down about four percent, which would give us a total comparison down from 2014 to

2015 down about three percent.

2.1

And with the exports, Plainridge was up significantly because of the additional days. And again with Suffolk they were down significantly because of the only three racing days.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Is it fair to say -- I think that is the most dramatic number, the increase on the export on Plainridge. Is it fair to say that's also due to the purses and the product or is it hard to parse those out?

MR. O'DONNELL: That I'm not sure of. I don't know if Steve would be able to enlighten us on this if he's still here.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: He's back there. It depends if he can or wants.

MR. O'TOOLE: Overall, I think it was positive, but there was a couple reasons why there was a big influx in handle in 2015 versus 2014.

The first one would be we raced -In 2014, we raised Saturdays and Sundays and
Wednesdays. We did that to stay away from the

construction that was going on Monday through
Friday. And on Saturdays and Sundays there's
probably about 60 or 70 racetracks that are
going. And because of capacity, signal
capacity at other tracks and OTBs, we just got
lost in the shuffle.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: You get bumped by the bigger tracks?

MR. O'TOOLE: We don't always get bumped but there's just so much product to be bet on. That's why we race weekdays at the time slot that we do because we identified that a long time ago to be a niche for our product.

Years ago, it was called the bridge signal. I think actually at Foxboro originated what was called the bridge signal where simulcasting had become a new phenomenon. And there was a way to carry the signal from the afternoon cards, the 1:00 cards to the nighttime cards. So, the 4:00 customers could come in at any time of day and we used to be called that bridge signal. And then that became a popular niche as well. Now there's actually three segments to simulcasting. You

have your daytime cards, your twilight cards and your nighttime cards.

export suffered for that change but we thought it was a necessary thing to do. With the traffic for racing and the horses, at one time we had some cranes hovering over the racetrack. So, we made a deal with Turner at the time that on Wednesdays, they would knock off early, bring their cranes down. Then on the weekends, we didn't have to worry about it because they weren't working then. So, that was one significant change.

Then in 2015, there was a lot of interest. We had the renovated racing area. We went back to our regular pattern. Export and live play off of each other because it's all about pool growth. So, those are some of the reasons that '14 was so dramatically different than '15. But the racing was better in '15 than '14 due to purse increases as well.

So, there's a lot of different factors that went into it. Overall, we were very happy.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Thank you for that explanation.

MR. O'DONNELL: On page 33, it's again a recap of the totals of the handles and the variance, which is what we discussed on the prior page. On 34, it'll give you an analysis of purses paid. This is for the prior year of 2014.

Alex had mentioned it earlier, we are still in the process of getting financials from the racetracks in order to do our purse analysis for 2015. Just to give you an indication here, purses paid in 2014, if you wanted to look at the bottom of the page, it'll show for Plainridge \$2,574,902 and the minimum statutory requirement which is above that which is \$1,550,984. That means they paid an additional \$900,788 to the purse account.

With Suffolk it was the same. With their statutory minimum requirements for 2014, they paid an additional \$857,315 to the purse accounts.

Page 35, it will be Suffolk's financials which we had gone over. They were

down again from last year approximately -
total revenue approximately five percent.

On page 36, it gives us an update of

their cap. fund, which we are in the process of

trying to make some changes with. This has their projects through fiscal. And right now they have approximately \$500,000 today in that cap. fund which they will be submitting a

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: What is the negative on this? Are you looking at 36, Doug?

MR. O'DONNELL: Page 36, yes.

request for reimbursement on that.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: What is the negative 923?

MR. O'DONNELL: That's through June 30, 2015 to finish that particular project of what's been submitted. Since then they have taken monies -- monies have increased and monies have been taken out of that since then. So, they had put in a request for consideration and a request for reimbursement in there to get the projects done.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: So, this almost like the project liability. As the

1 capital fund gets funded, they'll pay them 2 themselves back. We'll pay them. It's their 3 money. 4 MR. O'DONNELL: They will put a 5 project in for X amount of dollars. They will 6 put that in. So, we will have to work down from that total amount. When sufficient funds 8 are in there, they will put another request in 9 to receive those funds. COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: We'll sort of 10 11 effectively reimburse it. But the 923 in 12 negative means that's how much they have 13 planned to do or have done? 14 MR. O'DONNELL: Yes. They have put 15 a request in. What's been issued to date 16 that's additional funds that they will have to 17 put in in order for us to fulfill the 18 obligation for that contract. So, that will 19 pay that contract off. 20 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Okav. 2.1 MR. O'DONNELL: The next page 37 is 22 their promotional trust fund. They're actually 23 closing out -- Today we'll have to vote on it 24 to close out the project that they have in

1 existence now. After that is done they'll have 2 about \$50,000 in their promotional trust fund. 3 Next to the Plainridge financials, 4 which we had gone over. Again, they were down 5 overall from 2014 approximately two percent. 6 Again, with them the capital fund and the promotional trust fund, they have been issuing 8 RFRs this year. Today, they have approximately 9 \$350,000 in their capital fund. They have a 10 minimal amount in their promotional fund right 11 now.

The following pages touch on greyhound racing. The financials for Raynham Park, which as we know they were up due to the Monarch situation. Their revenues were up approximately 18 percent. And Wonderland for 2015, the financials that we had gone over, they were down approximately 11 percent for total revenue.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: That's good.

Thank you for that. Any questions for Doug or Alex?

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: No. Well done report. I know it's much upgraded from

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

what it used to be. And I know every year you 2 do a little more work. So, very well done. 3 MR. O'DONNELL: We would also like 4 to thank Mike Sangalang because we have driven 5 him nuts the past week trying to put everything 6 together. And he did a terrific job for us. COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: There is no 8 action needed, right? There is no vote on 9 Thank you for all your efforts. I have this. 10 a typo that I'll later tell you about with my 11 bio. 12 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: With your 13 bio? 14 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Yes. I put in 15 the number in my bio when I came 17 years ago 16 to New England. But what happens is when you 17 put in a hard number, time passes. It should 18 say 1995 not 17 years ago. 19 Thank you for that update. 20 item -- Does that conclude the report for the 21 racing division? Oh, no. Sorry about that. 22 Let's go to item (c) that does require a vote

MR. O'DONNELL: Yes. As we just

for Suffolk Downs promotional fund request.

23

discussed the promotional fund, this is a 1 2 request reimbursement from Suffolk Downs 3 promotional trust fund project number SPT2010-The request for this reimbursement is 5 \$64,417.78, which will actually closeout this 6 project. And to date they have -- It changes every day, but \$105,061.37 left in their fund. 8 So, we do need the Commission's vote 9 And attached to this also is a letter on this. 10 from Chip Tuttle, the COO at Suffolk Downs 11 requesting this money. 12 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Do we normally 13 get invoices attached to this? 14 MR. O'DONNELL: We do but this 15 project was taken out in 2010. So, we have copies of all of the paid invoices from this 16 17 particular project. They have three more 18 projects in-house now that they had paid the 19 receipts for too. 20 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Those projects 21 are ongoing? 22 MR. O'DONNELL: They are done. 23 are just waiting to accumulate funds in the 24 promotional funds so we can reimburse them.

Page 101 1 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Any other questions or a motion? 2 3 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Mr. Chair, 4 I'd move that the Commission approve the 5 request for reimbursement from Suffolk Downs 6 promotional trust fund for the total of \$64,417.78 to closeout project SPT2010-1. 8 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Second. 9 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: The motion has been made and second. Any other discussion? 10 11 All those in favor, aye. 12 COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Aye. 13 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye. 14 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye. 15 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Opposed? 16 ayes have it unanimously. That's item (c). 17 There's another one here on the agenda for item 18 (d). We did that already. Does that conclude 19 the racing division update? 20 DR. LIGHTBOWN: It does. Thank you 21 very much. 22 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Thank you

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: The legal

23

24

very much.

division is next, item 6, Counsel Blue.

MS. BLUE: In your packet today, you have a draft decision for the Region C evaluation. Consistent with what we've done with the other decisions in other regions.

There is no action required on this today. It is in draft form.

We will give you the opportunity to review it. In a couple weeks' time, we will bring it back with any changes or comments that the Commissioners have. You should provide those comments to me or to Attorney Grossman. And we will incorporate them and then come back.

Just to remind folks, the decision that you made in Region C was effective as of the date of the vote. So, it is in place and effective. This is just our written decision so that we can post it and we have a written decision out there.

Our statute also does not require us to issue a written decision if it's a denial, but we have issued written decisions in every region since. So, we are going to be

2.1

consistent and do it in this one as well.

So, we just ask that you review it.

3 Let us know if you have comments or changes.

And then we will bring it back to you probably

5 in about two weeks for a final review and final

6 approval.

1

8

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Thank you,

9 | very much. As always as usual, it's a very

10 | thorough and thoughtful write-up. Our next

11 | item on the agenda would be 205 CMR slot

12 | machine draft regulations John or Todd.

MR. GLENNON: Good morning,

14 | Commissioners. Just about a year ago, with the

15 assistance of our certified independent testing

16 | laboratories, we opened Plainridge Park Casino

17 using the regulations that you have in front of

18 you. In the interim, we have implemented a

19 central management system and become a digital

20 regulator.

21 We have had discussions with both

22 our licensees and the industry about some

23 changes to the regulations, which would bring

24 us more in line with standard practices, would

make the process simpler to get gaming machines into the Commonwealth too. So, we are recommending here changes to the regulations to effectively streamline them, make them operationally more manageable, I think and easier for everybody to work with.

In addition to that, we've made some of the language more consistent, calling out electronic gaming devices where we had previously called them slot machines.

And I think also we've defined what is it we want to take a look at when something changes to the configuration of a slot machine. At what point does the Commission want to have a role in reviewing the certification provided by the lab and doing secondary testing related to interoperability with our central management system, etc.

So, that's what these changes are.

We are prepared to go through the details. I

don't know, Floyd, do you have anything to add.

MR. BARROGA: John a pretty much summed up everything. We wanted to go with the opening of Plainridge Park Casino last June,

and the completion of the CMS stand-up five months later. It's in an effort to follow industry standard, follow our actuals compared to our regulations.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Perhaps to also emphasize in following the protocol that we normally do, this is a presentation in draft. There is no action by us today.

We will review them, have questions. They are available if people want to comment but we can begin the actual promulgation process at a later time after we had a chance to review.

MR. GLENNON: I actually believe that we want to begin the formal process and open it up to formal comment rather than informal comment today. Attorney Grossman?

MR. GROSSMAN: They are prepared to do that if that's the course. It was suggested that these might not be tremendously controversial. Certainly, something that folks will want to comment on and have a look at.

So, beginning the promulgation process would be appropriate.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Let me just
say this, we did not notify in the agenda that
there would be a vote.

MS. BLUE: That's correct. This is

not for you to take action on today. You have not seen these amendments before. So, I think what would be appropriate is for the Commissioners to review it. Then we can bring it back and ask you to start the formal promulgation process.

We can also put it out for informal comment if you think that's appropriate and you'd like to direct us to do that at this point. But you have not had a chance to review these amendments yet.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: They can be posted on the website as far as I'm concerned like we normally do. But let's hold off on taking action and continue with the protocol we've done.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Is there any reason not to invite informal comment at this point?

MS. BLUE: No. We can certainly ask

2.1

for informal comments. They are the Commission 2 package. So, they are available to the public 3 now. We can post them separately too if you like. It's not a problem. 5 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Oftentimes, 6 the best informal comment we get is from licensees or interested potential license 8 parties. Okay. Any other questions? Any 9 other reasons to talk in general about or in 10 detail about any of these from the Commission? 11 I frankly think that MR. GLENNON: 12 the industry is going to be pleased with these 13 changes because I think it's going to 14 streamline the process of getting new machines, 15 new prototypes in place and in play in the 16 Commonwealth. 17 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Whatever makes 18 us be as streamlined and easy to do business --19 straightforward to do business with I think is 20 good for this Commission and Massachusetts. 21 Okay, thank you. We'll give you comments as we 22 see them. 23 Does that conclude section 6(b)?

All right. Let's go to item 7 on the agenda,

1 Investigations and Enforcement Bureau, Director 2 Wells.

MS. WELLS: Good morning,

Commissioners. On the agenda for your

consideration this morning is the key gaming

employee executive application for licensure

submitted by Mr. Andrew Plante. Mr. Plante was

hired by the Plainridge Park Casino as its

director of security.

The director of security is designated as a key gaming executive position. Mr. Plante has submitted all the required forms, responded to all of the IEB's supplemental requests information and documents.

Investigators conducted the rigorous background check that is protocol for key executives in our licensed casinos. He was interviewed in-person by the IEB state police and financial investigator. The investigation included an evaluation of Mr. Plante's integrity, honesty, good character and reputation and his financial stability, integrity and background as required by law.

2.1

Mr. Plante has a background in security. He's been working in the security field since 2006 working his way up the ladder. He is a Massachusetts native and his position with the Plainridge Park Casino is the first time he has worked in a gaming environment.

Mr. Plante has been working under a temporary license, which was issued approximately 10 months ago. Mr. Plante is very enthusiastic about his position at PPC and has told investigators this is his dream job.

Mr. Plante was open and candid with investigators in all aspects of the investigation. Initially, investigators raised some concerns about his ability and commitment to meeting past financial obligations. The most concerning of which were incurred during his college years and included two civil judgments.

These caused the IEB initially to deny the application. Mr. Plante subsequently took prompt affirmative and significant steps to remedy his prior financial obligations and he demonstrated an earnest commitment to doing

so. The investigators verified through document review and discussions with third-parties that he had in fact addressed those issues.

Mr. Plante has been working at PPC under a temporary license with conditions imposed by the IEB that facilitated our monitoring and our evaluation of his suitability for full licensure. No information or issues of concern have surfaced during this period.

The IEB has considered all of the information revealed during the investigation in the light most favorable to the applicant, a view which the IEB is required to take under 205 CMR 134.09. We also weigh the policy objectives of Chapter 23K to ensure public confidence in the licensing process and the integrity of the licensing process. And also to provide new employment opportunities to our Massachusetts residents.

I should also mention we are in close working relationship with PPC management who value Mr. Plante's contributions to the

2.1

casino highly. And importantly the IEB gaming agents and state and local police have had the opportunity to work with Mr. Plante over these past months and have found him to be professional and responsive in all regards.

Taking into account the entirety of the investigation, it's the IEB's opinion that Mr. Plante has demonstrated rehabilitation and has demonstrated by clear and convincing evidence that he is suitable for approval as a key gaming executive employee. Therefore, the IEB is recommending Mr. Plante for licensure.

As will all licensees, suitability is ongoing in nature and the IEB will continue its monitoring and enforcement role in this regard.

This is a matter up for a vote for the Commission. The Commission under our current regulations approves the key gaming executive licenses.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Director
Wells, you mentioned concerns by the
investigative team, members of the IEB mainly
concerning Mr. Plante's issues that he had in

2.1

college during those college years.

I think I know as one Commissioner I share those concerns in reading this report, but did have an opportunity to speak at length about the report and about the work done by the IEB.

I know as one Commissioner, I am convinced that the IEB did a very thorough job in reviewing and considering all of the issues of concern and had the opportunity to evaluate his maturity moving forward and his acknowledgement of those past financial obligations.

So, I am convinced and in agreement with the IEB's recommendation for approval here.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: I agree. I had the chance to also talk to the IEB about this case. I'm assuming Director Wells, especially in key gaming executive positions where issues start to percolate up during the investigation that we are always kind of in direct communication with our licensees as to how an investigation is going, issues that

might pop up so that they are aware of it as well. Obviously, they're mindful of the ongoing suitability, especially at this level.

MS. WELLS: Correct. There are some privacy concerns when you are doing an investigation of an employee. You can't disclose certain things to others but it was interesting. PPC was very committed to keeping Andrew Plante and keeping his position open and spoke very favorably of him and genuinely supported his candidacy for this license.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Other comments
Commissioner? Yes. I agree with all that. I
agree with the recommendation. I think a big
take away for me is the evolution that you
describe in your report not just in your
remarks today in terms of not just your
evolution but the applicant's evolution in
thinking about corrective action, the totality
of factors.

There's a number of factors in my opinion here that are really important. They come across in your verbal update. The fact that this is a dream job for Mr. Plante. It is

2.1

```
what he wants to do. The casino is really
2
    behind -- The employer thinks he's doing a
3
     good job.
                So, as part of my learning, my own
 4
5
     learning in all of this there is never a black-
 6
     and-white. There's shades of gray in all of
     this. I ultimately agree with your
8
     recommendation and I think it's a good overall
9
     outcome.
10
                COMMISSIONER CAMERON: So, I move
11
     that we approve Mr. Andrew Plante for licensure
12
     as a key gaming employee.
13
                COMMISSIONER MACDONALD:
                                         Second.
14
                COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Motion has
15
    been made and second. Any further discussion?
16
     All those in favor, aye.
17
                COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Aye.
18
                COMMISSIONER CAMERON:
19
                COMMISSIONER STEBBINS:
                                        Aye.
20
                COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Opposed?
21
     ayes have it unanimously. Thank you Director
22
    Wells. Does that conclude? Is there other
23
     other business for us?
24
                MR. BEDROSIAN: I do not believe so.
```

```
Page 115
                COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Is there a
 1
 2
     motion?
 3
                COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Move to
 4
     adjourn.
 5
                COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Is there a
 6
     second?
 7
                COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Second.
 8
                COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: All those in
 9
     favor, aye.
10
                COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Aye.
11
                COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye.
12
                COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye.
13
                COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: We are
     adjourned.
14
15
                (Meeting adjourned at 12:00 p.m.)
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

## 1 ATTACHMENTS:

- Massachusetts Gaming Commission May 26,
   2016 Notice of Hearing and Agenda
- 4 2. Massachusetts Gaming Commission Meeting
  5 Minutes April 26-28, 2016
- 3. Wynn Boston Harbor Quarterly Report March31, 2016
- Plainridge Park Casino Q1 Report March 31,
   2016
- 10 5. Third Annual Report of the Division of
  11 Racing of the Massachusetts Gaming
  12 Commission
- Massachusetts Gaming Commission Division
   of Racing May 26, 2016 Memorandum
   Regarding Request for Reimbursement,
   Suffolk Downs Promotional Trust Fund
- 17 7. Plainridge Park Casino Pentafecta Request
- 18 8. Draft Mass Gaming and Entertainment
   19 Licensing Decision
- 20 9. 205 CMR 138
- 21 10. 205 CMR 144

22

23

Page 117 1 **GUEST SPEAKERS:** 2 Bob DeSalvio, Wynn Boston Harbor 3 Chris Gordon, Wynn Boston Harbor 4 Jacqui Krum, Wynn Boston Harbor 5 6 Lance George, Plainridge Park Casino 7 Eli Huard, Plainridge Park Casino 8 Steve O'Toole, Plainridge Park Casino 9 10 MASSACHUSETTS GAMING COMMISSION STAFF: 11 12 Floyd Barroga, Manager of Gaming Technology 13 Ed Bedrosian, Executive Director 14 Catherine Blue, General Counsel 15 John Glennon, CIO 16 Todd Grossman, Deputy General Counsel 17 Karen Wells, Director IEB 18 John Ziemba, Ombudsman 19 20 21 22 23 24

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I, Laurie J. Jordan, an Approved Court
4	Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing
5	is a true and accurate transcript from the
6	record of the proceedings.
7	
8	I, Laurie J. Jordan, further certify that the
9	foregoing is in compliance with the
10	Administrative Office of the Trial Court
11	Directive on Transcript Format.
12	I, Laurie J. Jordan, further certify I neither
13	am counsel for, related to, nor employed by any
14	of the parties to the action in which this
15	hearing was taken and further that I am not
16	financially nor otherwise interested in the
17	outcome of this action.
18	Proceedings recorded by Verbatim means, and
19	transcript produced from computer.
20	WITNESS MY HAND this 31st day of May,
21	2016.
22	auric Jordan
23	LAURIE J. JORDAN My Commission expires:
24	Notary Public May 11, 2018