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PROCEEDINGS:

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: We are ready to
call to order 191st public meeting of the Mass. Gaming Commission at the convention center in Springfield, Mass. This is May 12, 2016 at about 10:00 a.m.

The first item on the agenda as always is the approval of minutes, Commissioner Macdonald.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I move that the minutes of the meeting of April 25, 2016 be approved subject to corrections, typographical errors or other nonmaterial matters.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Second.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Are you doing the other ones separately?

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: I was going to, yes.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Any discussion about the minutes?

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Yes. I have a question. This may be a typographical, but I
would like to raise it as a question and maybe a discussion.

In the portion of the minutes where at 1:42 p.m., it's on the third page when it describes that Mr. Moore stated this extensive mitigation and in many cases exceeds the minimum standard. The word minimum here may be the thing that may be asked whether that was in fact the case. I think the mitigation package exceeds the standards. And I don't know if there's minimum standards if that's the way MEPA views it. But I just figured I wanted to discuss it.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: It's a good point.
Ombudsman, do you want to speak to that? I think that is the language that MEPA uses.

MR. ZIEMBA: Mr. Moore's testimony is that in his view, if we're referring to the same matter, in his view this package exceeded mitigation in many other circumstances. I'm not sure of the specific reference in the transcript you're referring to, but he specifically stated to my memory that this package exceeded many other mitigation packages
in his memory.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Yes. And the point is made in the summary of the discussion. I think it accurately reflects it. I was just struck by the word minimum. If there is such a thing as optimal standards and $I$ kind of doubt it. I don't know if that's the way MEPA views the standards.

MS. BLUE: I think that was Mr. Moore's characterization more than a MEPA characterization of it, yes.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: MEPA doesn't have minimum and maximum. MEPA just has standards, which are therefore ipso facto sort of the minimum. It sounds like this is denigrating the degree of mitigation.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Yes, that was my point.

MS. BLUE: His point was we added things to the Section 61 s in addition to what was required by MEPA. I think that that was what he was trying to say and perhaps maybe not the most artful way.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: It's just the word
minimum. Just delete the word minimum. COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: I would suggest to delete the word minimum.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: I will
amend the motion to include that the minutes of the meeting of April 25, 2016 be approved but as amended to delete the reference at 1:42 p.m. to the word minimum as it relates to the standard that MEPA would require and subject as well to corrections, typographical errors and other nonmaterial matters.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Any further
discussion? All in favor, aye.
COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Aye.
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Aye.
COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Opposed? The ayes have it unanimously. That reminds me, I've been meaning to ask, every once in a while I come across a mis-transcription in the archives in the transcripts. Who do I send that to?

MS. BLUE: You're talking about an actual mistake in the transcripts themselves as
opposed to the minutes?
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Yes, in the
transcript itself where the transcriber just misconstrued a word that was spoken.

MS. BLUE: You can send it to us. We don't re-read all of the transcripts on a daily basis to correct them. If you find something that's material and you'd like us to change, just send it to us.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: I don't read them routinely either, but obviously there are occasional mistakes that happen. I picked one up that I would like to check. I'll send that to you. Okay.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: As to the minutes of April 28, 2016, I move they be approved subject as well to corrections, typographical errors and other nonmaterial matters.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Second?
COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Second.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Discussion? All in favor, aye.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Aye.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Aye.
COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Opposed? The ayes have it unanimously. Next up is Director Griffin.

MS. GRIFFIN: Good morning. I am here to update you on the Massachusetts Gaming Commission's grants to enhance supplier diversity in the gaming industry. And I'm going to of course invite Commissioner Stebbins to jump in as he was involved in the process as well.

The Gaming Commission has awarded or will award $\$ 100,000$ in grants to six recipients statewide as part of the diversity goal and business technical assistance grant program. The Commission recently developed and approved this grant program to optimize the outcomes for the diversity goals outlined in Chapter 23K related to diversity contracting goals of the casino developers.

As you are well aware, the Expanded Gaming Law requires that each casino set their
own diversity goals for the utilization of minority-, woman- and veteran-owned businesses as part of the design, construction and operations of the casino.

So, the Commission recently
requested grant applications to consider funding nonprofits, public or quasi-public organizations through this grant program. The goals of the program included helping diverse firms succeed in the casino markets for goods and services and as subcontractors to prime contractors during construction.

Through the selection process, the Commission identified six recipients through a competitive process opened to proven or promising programs, partnerships or initiatives.

And I am pleased to indicate that we have selected two recipients in Western Massachusetts. The Springfield Regional Chamber will receive $\$ 10,000$. They have partnered with the Black Leadership Alliance and the Latino Chamber of Commerce to offer small business technical assistance and
diversity certification workshops.
Here with me today, I have members from the Association of Black Business Professionals of Springfield who will receive $\$ 20,000$. They have partnered with a number of organizations in a truly collaborative effort.

And they're going to talk a little bit more in a minute about their initiative. But I just wanted to give you an overview that in Eastern Mass., the Hispanic American Institute has partnered with the Chelsea Chamber of Commerce and another nonprofit Social Capital, Inc.

And they will focus on information dissemination and outreach to targeted businesses in Everett, Chelsea, Boston and the surrounding communities, their media community web portals and outreach to businesses offering technical assistance and also focusing on minority business certification and increasing those numbers.

We have recommended three organizations that focus statewide, the Center for Women and Enterprise will be awarded
$\$ 15,000$ for the creation of a prerecorded video workshop explaining the casino procurement process. The video will include information about supplier needs, diversity and certification in general, and will be something that we can provide a link on our website as well as theirs and the casinos should they wish.

The Greater New England Minority Supplier Development Council will also receive an award of $\$ 20,000$ to support the identification of qualified businesses as suppliers to casinos and the creation of a database of potential MBEs for operational casino phase.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: That database doesn't exist?

MS. GRIFFIN: There are a number of general databases of minority businesses. This will be a database that is categorized by the casino's needs. And they will be looking far and wide in order to be able to be inclusive.

Additionally, the Supplier Diversity Office of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts
will receive $\$ 20,000$ to develop, implement and support an expanded certification program for veteran-owned businesses. As you know, the Governor recently announced an expansion of certification of service disabled veteran-owned businesses and an intention to ultimately be able to certify veteran-owned businesses. So, this will help the casinos tremendously.

Unless you have any questions, I'd love to turn it over to our guests to highlight their program.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: I do have questions, but I'd rather hear from our guests first because I have a question about the statewide.

MS. GRIFFIN: Okay. So, I will
introduce to my immediate right Richard Griffin, Jr., no relation --

MR. GRIFFIN: You don't know that.
MS. GRIFFIN: Maybe, to my knowledge -- from the Springfield Office of Planning and Economic Development. And to his right Robert Jones from the Association of Black Business and Professionals. They are joined by

Shayvonne Plummer also from the Springfield Office of Planning and Economic Development. CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Welcome. MR. JONES: Good morning,

Commissioners. As a representative of the Association of Black Business and Professionals, we are excited to work in collaboration with the city of Springfield and the regional Chamber of Commerce in welcoming Interise. I don't know if you're familiar with that program.

They help minority-, veteran-,
woman-owned small businesses, community-based small businesses in Springfield. This is their first foray into Western Mass. They tend to focus on economically struggling communities. They're in Lawrence for example. I think they may be in Lynn, Mass. They work in Boston. They're in New York City, certain boroughs of New York City. They're in Houston. They are all over the country.

Basically, what it is they look at the established businesses, small businesses, minority businesses in these economically
depressed areas that have been around for three to five years. Their criteria is also they want revenues being generated anywhere from $\$ 250,000$ and $\$ 10$ million. This isn't really for startup businesses. This is for established business that kind of need a lift and ballast to their business plan.

So, it's kind of like an accelerated MBA, if you will, helping these business owners look at their cost-benefit analysis, their profit and loss ratio, marketing, product knowledge, etc., etc. because a lot of folks, they want to get into business because they have an idea, but they don't necessarily know how to operate a business. It takes more than just an idea.

And Interise has had some success. And they chronicle those successes with their statistics. So, they record and dialogue every success and every failure, if there are any, along the way because they take that data, roll it up and that's kind of look at how are we going to tweak a program.

So, like I mentioned before, in
collaboration with the regional Chamber of Commerce, the city of Springfield, we are looking to bring this program into the city of Springfield to help these small community-based businesses.

MR. GRIFFIN: Good morning. The city of Springfield is really excited about this idea within Interise. One of the main reasons is because it really helps these businesses that do business with the anchor institutions.

MGM we know is going to be one of the major institutions, a major anchor institution that's going to be here. So, it's about five- to six-month program where these businesses can scale up and they'll be prepared to deal with the gaming institutions, not just in Springfield but across Massachusetts.

The other part about it that we're very excited about is that usually when they work with a business, they add about two to three jobs per business. And the average salary is about $\$ 60,000$ per job. So, the job adding aspect, everything is tracked. They
have statistics on everything.
And they follow these businesses for three years. We really think it's going to benefit MGM and the gaming institutions and the businesses and for the unemployment rate here in the city of Springfield.

MR. JONES: In addition to what
Richard Griffin had mentioned, the average income for a household in Springfield is around \$28,000 --

MR. GRIFFIN: -- in the economic depressed areas.

MR. JONES: -- in the economic depressed area. So, the fact that Interise is able on average to add two or three jobs with a salary of around $\$ 60,000$ is a significant boost to the community and the community-based economics.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Great. Questions?
Mr. Griffin, I assume that metric you talk about, we'll be able to see that?

MR. GRIFFIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Because that will
be really interesting. Jill you're going to
make sure that that's really useful data, however it works out. Great.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Do you have a sense as to how many businesses may be part of what you were describing as the target?

MR. GRIFFIN: For the first cohort, we are looking at 15 businesses. Like I said, each cohort is about five or six months. So, we're going to try to do two cohorts in one year.

And then if we can get more that will be great, but they do have to go through a selection process. These are established businesses. They are not startups, about a three-year track record. So, they are scaling them up.

So, their business plan or the businesses that they're going to start doing their study, they're studying their only business. They're not studying GE. They're not studying another companies. They are studying their own business plans.

So, they' re looking at their business. Do I have the right people in place?

Do I need to lose somebody and then gain some other people? Or maybe they' re in the wrong job description. Because this my brother I have him doing this but maybe he's not the right person in marketing or sales. So, it's about 15 businesses.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Without
getting into any specifics necessarily, there's an assumption that some of those may be good targets for the casino industry?

MR. GRIFFIN: Yes, definitely. We are working with Chelan Brown from MGM to try to identify businesses that fit the criteria for the program. They do specialize in working with minority-, woman-owned businesses and veteran-owned businesses. So, we are really taking a target with the regional Chamber of Commerce as well. They have a woman Chamber of Commerce that falls underneath them.

So, we are trying to work anything that supports the major institutions, especially MGM. But we're also looking at the hospitals and colleges as well.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Do you know
offhand of any businesses that might be in that cohort that do do the kind of service delivery for the product that MGM could use?

MR. GRIFFIN: Right now, we haven't chosen. We are still working out our contract with Interise. Then we are going to go through the selection process of advertising and putting out there some type of business. But we're going to also target businesses that we're going to go after to try to join it. That's why we thought Chelan would be great because she's always been dealing with businesses that could fall into that criteria. CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Great.

MS. GRIFFIN: And I'll mention
Chelan has been very excited about this program.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Anything else?
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Good luck. COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Thank you. I did have a question on another topic. I think as I see the mix of grants here, I would characterize them as local and regional nonprofits like our guests that could leverage
a lot what's happening and make those connections as were being described here.

I have questions about a statewide state agency. Even though the amounts of grants are very modest, the Supplier Diversity Office that's their mission. Their mission is to certify businesses.

And the notion that they will do something on an expedited fashion because they are receiving some grants makes me wonder about the efficacy of those dollars or if there is on that side a duty or system in which in order for us to be efficient across state agencies we need to be the recipients of grants.

So, I have a bit of a discomfort on the principle of funding a state agency to do what's really part of their mission.

By the way, I say this in parallel with we are currently -- there is this question about an indirect cost that we have to assess our licensees. That is very unclear what that really has to pay for.

I would argue maybe this is the sort of thing that the indirect cost that we're
being assessed to should pay for. If there's increased activity because of the casinos, we are already effectively paying for that.

I know that's not necessarily a
question to you this notion of indirect. But I just wanted to put it out there because I see that the mix of grant recipients here very different, one in which local nonprofits are worthy of trying to support them in some way but state agencies $I$ put in a different category.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: It's a good point that you raise. And I think Jill and I were somewhat surprised. We've had numerous conversations with the Supplier Diversity Office looking at how they are hoping to expand the current services. Obviously, the administration's efforts are tied into that.

Where they' re hoping to focus on veterans I think has been -- we found the resources out there lacking. I'm hoping this is getting to your question or the point. Veteran certification, we've talked about this a lot. There's no infrastructure to do it to
the level that we know minority- and womanowned businesses can get certified.

We have generally accepted some of these DD214, their discharge information as a way for them to claim that they' re a veteran. But when SDO approached us and said we want to try to also do veteran certification -- to the degree that when they examine a business and looking at the management infrastructure, they're finding out if the principle of the company is really that engaged in the day-today operation. Because in the back of our mind we've talked about this with veteran's representatives, it's mud on everybody's face if somebody claims to be a veteran-owned business and turns out not to be.

I think what SDO put forward, and again, I agree with you. It's somewhat of an unusual arrangement. This would probably be something we could even conclude through an ISA with another state agency, but trying to find a way for them to delve a little more deeper into veteran certification, if ultimately that's where their organization goes. But to help us
make sure that we really are getting veteranowned and operated businesses through the certification process.

It's not a lot of money. I think you pointed that out. I think it's an opportunity that we can use with them to get to the goals of certifying veteran-owned businesses on top of the work they already do with woman- and minority-owned businesses.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: I understand the point. I understand the goal. I'm questioning that that is their mission. That is what they get appropriations. I would argue that a lot of what we're doing in terms of the indirect costs pays for that and every other state agency, not just the Supplier Diversity Office, but $I^{\prime}$ ve made my point.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: I think the overhead issue is sort of arcane amongst us, but the issue just of paying an agency to do something which is within its own mandate is an interesting question.

I think it's becoming pretty
commonplace. Just yesterday $I$ was at an event
where money was raised to give to the Department of $D C R$, Department of Conservation and Recreation in order to maintain the trees in the Emerald Necklace.

Their job is to maintain the trees in the Emerald Necklace, but they don't have enough money to do it properly. So, private money is added to it which is somewhat similar.

I think it's definitely worth
keeping an eye on. It raises -- You certainly don't want to set up a situation where someone can come in from the outside and pay an agency to serve them better than they serve everybody else --

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: -- which is part of my point too.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: So, it's a legitimate concern. I think it's worth keeping an eye on. Commissioner Stebbins makes clear that this was really to do something which is kind of outside its gambit. It doesn't have the infrastructure to do yet, like DCR doesn't have the money to take care of the trees.

But I think it's a legitimate point
that we should keep an eye on.
MS. GRIFFIN: Right. I certainly hear your concerns and especially understand the indirect cost issue. I would just add to the conversation that this has been a challenge for our licensees in terms of meeting and finding veteran-owned businesses. So, this is something that we deemed a priority in this grant period. Since this is an expansion of their responsibilities, we thought it would be beneficial to all the licensees to focus on this. So, I certainly hear your concerns. COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: And I understand that. I'll leave it at we'd be very interested in seeing the metrics that could come back from what kind evolution the veteran certification has had, maybe not necessary as a result of this grant, because it's, as I said, very modest but for us to tell the story of what has evolved maybe as a result of your efforts, personal efforts, our discussions, the licensees pull and push, etc.

MS. GRIFFIN: I look forward to
coming back with great results.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Anything else for
Director Griffin? Thank you folks I appreciate you coming in. Good luck. Next up is item for Ombudsman Ziemba.

MR. ZIEMBA: Mr. Chairman and
Commissioners, I ask that we reverse the order of the MGM Springfield items up for consideration today. I recommend that MGM first provide an update on the design of its facility. After the presentation and any questions from the Commission, I recommend that the Commission consider a motion further approving the design of the MGM Springfield facility. After that MGM could provide its quarterly report.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Fine with us.
MR. ZIEMBA: In regard to $M G M^{\prime} s$ design, as you are aware on December 17, 2015 the Commission approved the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act Section 61 Findings for the MGM Springfield project.

At that time it was noted that an approval of the Section 61 s when it would in
effect be an approval of the major and fundamental elements of MGM's proposed redesign. That initial approval in December followed a thorough review of the proposed redesign that involved numerous presentations before the Commission, a hearing out here in Springfield and an extensive comment period.

After the Commission's December 2015 approval, MGM sought and received site plan approval from the Springfield city council. During that process, MGM made a number of changes to the design in the facility to address local concerns and to further improve the design.

In January, MGM presented some of those changes to the Commission. For some examples, MGM developed a plan for a new iconic sign on its proposed garage and made a number or roadway and parking improvements after consultation with abutting property owners. MGM will describe these changes in its presentation. MGM will also note a few elements of its design that remain under discussion.

Following the design presentation, Counsel Blue and I can describe the proposed motion up for your consideration. With that as a background, I ask Jed Nosal, outside counsel from Brown Rudnick for MGM Springfield, Mike Mathis President of MGM Springfield, Brian Packer MGM Vice President of Construction and Seth Stratton, MGM Springfield General Counsel to begin their presentation.

MR. NOSAL: Good morning, members of the Commission and Chairman Crosby. As John said, if we can go to the next slide, he highlighted some of the regulatory history of the path that's led us here today. Overall, this chart demonstrates the significant level of review and number of approvals the project design has received since proposing the changes.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Jed, excuse me. Are we going to get the slides on this monitor? Okay, sorry.

MR. NOSAL: No problem at all. So, really just takes us from where we first presented the design changes to the Commission
to the present date, again highlighting all the work certainly that's occurred since then.

And the various approvals including MEPA and in the context of the Section 61. Throughout these processes, the Commission has left no issue unaddressed. And what this chronology doesn't show is the significant back and forth that we've had with the staff and its consultants regarding very particular details of the design as it's moved through this process.

So, that brings us here today to ask the Commission to approve the final design and site plan as approved by the city council on February 22, 2016. With that I'll turn it over to Mike Mathis in support of that to present a brief overview and answer questions that the Commission may have.

MR. MATHIS: Thanks, Jed. Good morning, welcome to Springfield on a beautiful New England day. I've been waiting a long time for this day.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: You've heard about these, right?

MR. MATHIS: That's right. Worse than that $I$ advertised it to my family before $I$ moved them out here. So, I'm delivering on yet another commitment previously made.

Just want to remind you -- No, sorry. A couple of opening comments. One is the matter you just had before you providing the diversity grants to a couple of our local agencies, $I$ just want to commend the Commission for doing that and for the organizations you chose.

The Springfield regional chamber has been a great partner of ours. We continue to work with them on finding both construction vendors and operational vendors, many of whom are right here in Western Mass. and provide a national scope. And Brian has met with a few of them.

So, ironically, some of them are already working with our other resorts unbeknownst to us. So, there's tremendous resources here but it's also resources that we have to develop with these grants.

And then in the form of Rich Griffin
and his wife Nicole Griffin, they're one of the first couples I met personally here in Springfield. And they're doing so much for the community.

Rich, as you know, is working on this Black Business Professionals Association to develop companies with minority backgrounds to support our business. And his wife Nicole is working on the workforce development side with a women-owned agency. I know Bruce is aware of Nicole's work. But tremendous couple, and they're doing a lot here in Springfield. I just wanted to commend you on those grants.

Then the other comment $I$ want to make, $I$ saw a week and a half, two weeks ago your deliberation in Region C. We are somewhat insulated out here in Western Mass. from that decision. So, I was able to sort of watch it truly as a spectator. And $I$ was just taken by how thoughtful that deliberation was and wanted to commend this group.

Commissioner Macdonald, considering it was your first deliberation in this context, I think you are doing for Commissioner

McHugh's, the spirit of his great work is preserved in you. So, really just wanted to commend you. I was really impressed by that deliberation.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Thank you. COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Thank you. MR. MATHIS: With that, I wanted to remind yon of the major elements of our project. This is a $\$ 950$ million development. We have an update on some of our financials with respect to where we are in our development budget.

But that makes it by far the largest private development project in Western Mass., and puts it on the list of largest developments in the entirety of the Commonwealth, which frankly for too long has been centralized in the Boston/Cambridge area. So, we are really proud to be part of such a transformative project.

This is \$1 billion -- represents \$1 billion of payments to the city of Springfield over our 40-year host community agreement, which is a significant investment. And we
think provides a lot of resources to the city to work on infrastructure which has been in the paper the last couple of days, and different things that will be important to the city's developmental along with our project. 2000 construction workers which Brian is going to touch on. We expect approximately 3000 operational jobs and $\$ 50$ million of local and regional spend annually. Again, we're in conversations with regional chambers about making sure that we're able to concentrate that spend here locally.

You've seen a lot of this design materials, so I'll go through it quickly. If anybody has any questions and wants to slow me down, please feel free to do so, including Commissioner Macdonald because I know you haven't has as much time with it as others. We've given these updates to you in various forms including copies of what we've done for the city of Springfield as part of the approval we received from the city council back in February.

Just an orientation map to give you
some context on what the following perspectives are. So, this is the Main Street view looking up Main Street to the north. In the forefront, you've got our new hotel component, which is 252 keys at this point, right Brian -- 252 keys and right on Main Street.

It's a six-level building. We think it's going to energize Main Street. That's some of the feedback we got from people that are on the other side of Main Street and are excited about this new design. Again, I liken it to the Hotel Commonwealths of the world and 15 Beacon. It's a luxury product that is on a street scale, pedestrian scale. And you can have luxury that is not necessarily in a large high-rise tower.

This is a closer view of that same perspective. And if you look at that ocular piece that's in the center of the rendering, that's a 900 square foot what we're calling a presidential suite, but it's going to be one of the finest luxury products in this entire region.

Very excited about the level of
finishes. Brian and I just came back from Las Vegas where we met with our interior designers. And we are starting to work on the interior design of it. And it's going to be phenomenal. We'll come back and you an update when appropriate but it's proceeding very nicely.

This is our outdoor plaza. It doesn't have some of the detail that you've come to expect from our renderings. It's because it is meant to show you sort of the scale of it. But we will provide you further detail as we develop our project.

One of the things I note here is the plaza, which is an important part of our design in terms of where we are in the design process, we're working on infrastructure to make sure that we've got power for example identified in this plaza so that we can have food trucks and pop-up retail, some of the activation that Commissioner Macdonald asked us about back in Boston.

> So, we are working on the outdoor plaza piece. And we're working to put heat lamps and gas for fire and those types to
things. That's sort of the context of this is where you'll see further detail as we progress that design.

That's just another perspective where you see to the right our outdoor -- our second-floor outdoor plaza space that is adjacent to our convention space. So, that's going to be a really phenomenal space that I think we'll sell to convention groups that can overlook the plaza. We can sell to wedding groups for example to host events up there. You can see the umbrellas.

So, there's activation both on the ground level as well as on the second-floor. This is going to be a very exciting space. Again, sort of a streetscape level activation of that space with the casino to the right, and then the movie theater and Taps Sporting Bar to the left.

This is looking to the east on the other side of our hotel component. As you can see the differing façades represents the flipside of what you would see on Main Street. That's sort of makes this component of the
project really unique is we are matching the streetscapes on the other side of Main Street. While there will be a single product behind it, you'll get a sense that we really combined four or five different buildings to create this structure.

The pool deck, we're looking at those suites that you see with fences that abut the pool deck. Those will be an incredible product. In general, we think we can command $\$ 50$ to $\$ 75$ premium on those on the pool suites. On the other side of that wall which is the spat will be something like our garden suites, the same idea. Outdoor space which is really unique in this market.

That is our outdoor plaza. And you can see, we've got the ornamental -- what do you call those?

MR. PACKER: Trusses.
MR. MATHIS: Thank you. We've got the ornamental trusses that are on top of the plaza. In the summers, it will be a farmers market which is sort depicted here. And in the winters that will house our skating rink. You
can see some retail shown behind it as well. Above it --

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Excuse me, Mike.
I think I asked this before, is it built to carry like a canvas tarp if you wanted to? Is that an option that would be on the table?

MR. PACKER: A couple of things we're looking at structurally is to do exactly what you just described. Also, is there any chance to work in AV equipment, speakers, lighting, can we have that flexibility? Structurally, maybe one can handle more than some of the others. But we are looking into those options.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: I have no idea, obviously, what the extra cost is to make it capable of handling that but $I$ can imagine that in this off seasons when the weather isn't quite right, you can maximize the utilization of that space if you could cover it.

MR. MATHIS: We are looking at that.
That is a question we have asked internally.
And some of the things that the engineers will
tell you is what makes it difficult not
impossible are the wind loads, the rain loads. It's more difficult than it looks.

But it's something I agree with you that provides some opportunities that we could bring tarps down even on the sides and enclose that space depending on the harsh weather conditions. So, we'll continue to look at that.

This is a great perspective because it's a reality, as you know. We'll show you some images from our church relocation. But this looking from Union back into the project. You're looking at the back of the Armory and you're seeing that plaza space. So, that church now is in the location shown on the rendering, which it wasn't three weeks ago. It's pretty neat.

This is our food market Southend market image. You are looking up State Street. Again, one of the great things about this rendering to remind you is the façade with the four columns is part of an existing building. We're going to do what $I$ understand is called a façadectomy. You have some of those in Boston.

And Brian is now working on the engineering to support the rest of the building while we demolish it and leave that façade in place. And then we're going to re-create the other side of that building on the outside of the Southend market. So, the appearance is that we literally drop Southend market into an existing building which is pretty neat.

This is an important perspective because it shows the Starbucks on State Street facing the courthouse. I think this is important to show the amenities that we're providing to the downtown business community.

We think there's going to be a lot of business that we'll get during the day. Maybe off-peak tourism hours that will be for the lawyers and different professionals that work in the downtown corridor. We joke around about how many plea deals are going to be made in that courtyard throughout the justice system. It's an important space I think for the community.

This is our garage. And you can see just a sense of how we might clad it with
different promotional materials for existing businesses as well as retailers. You can see our sign in the background.

The sign you recall we talked about our inspiration for it. Really it's paying homage to really what's a uniquely New England feature, which are some of these iconic signs. I think Public Market is out in Seattle. But the sense of these large neon lettered signs. You can see our latest rendering of it.

Brian is out in the market talking to engineers and designers about some of the specifications around it, including this lighting that we want to look at on the two columns that support the sign to give it more visibility. But that's going to be an incredibly prominent sign because you are right on the viaduct and it will be above the garage and very prominent.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Mike, you just reminded me of something. Have you got any information on the status of the viaduct apropos of its schedule?

MR. MATHIS: Yes, we do. Brian do
you want to touch of that?
MR. PACKER: On exactly where they are landing on their current schedule versus proposed we can't speak to. I can speak to that we've started going to meetings with MassDOT once every two weeks to be able to coordinate certain things related to their schedule.

So, if we're going to have a large amount of truck deliveries, we want to make sure that it's safe for the pre-cast concrete. When it comes down the highway, it can fit through the Jersey barriers or some of the safety concerns that we may have to coordinate.

We currently have folks in those meetings. Obviously, it was a light winter So, we anticipate and just from what we see out there, the coordination, the impact on traffic seems to be going well. Exactly where they are, I can't speak to.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: But the way you ended up is you have several months, like five months slip time between their projected hopedfor conclusion and your opening. So, you're
protected to some extent by a slip time.
MR. PACKER: I think that might have been on their last projection, correct. However, their contract date, I think we have a 30-day slip time.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Right. They had a hope for date and a must date.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Remember the last phase of that project is the paving, which cannot occur during winter. So, if they don't do it by whenever it was September or October, it flips all the way to March or April. It remains to be seen how that progresses.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: I'm sure we don't have to advise to make sure they're on schedule.

MR. MATHIS: No. I will just anecdotally as someone that rides those roads and hears the feedback both for our own construction project and for the viaduct, people have been pleasantly surprised about how we've managed both in terms the traffic.

There is some congestion certainly, but we try to make sure that our work isn't
going on during a particular critical period of their work. And I commend them on the way they've managed it and gotten people through that tight corridor.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Good.
MR. MATHIS: This is our finishes schedule, material schedule. I think the point of this document is it allowed us to make commitments and sort of allay some of the concerns that certainly the city council had and your staff had about what level of design are we at and how can you get comfortable that notwithstanding the fact that we're not at the point where we can identify certain materials that we at least agree on the standard of those materials. So, this a representative pallet of what Brian and our designers have committed to give you a sense of where the final product will land.

And then $I$ just want to give you an update on a couple of pending items which I understand are going to be excluded from what will be proposed as the approval for today. As you know, we have an offsite market rate
residential commitment that we've. We are in discussions with the city and believe we will be in a position in the next 30 to 45 days to provide a specific plan around that residential program.

We're at a point now where we are talking with a few different parties. So, I'm unable to give you specific details about which particular components we are looking at, but just from a timing standpoint, I think we'll be in a position over the summer to tell you where we are at and we'll come hand-in-hand with the city and their support for the plan.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Their February
22nd approval there was also a housing condition. What are the terms of the housing condition in your agreement with the city?

MR. STRATTON: Basically, what we did and I'll touch on some of the other aspects of the host community agreement amendment. What we did in our February approval from the city is we amended our host community agreement to reflect our new design.

And one of the key aspects of that
was changing the discussion of the residential from being on-site to no less than 54 marketrate units within a half mile of the project in downtown Springfield, roughly half of which need to be built 18 months from the approval.

So, we are right now at the 18 -month timeline to do the first crunch of residential units if we don't do them altogether. So, the city wanted to see some of those come online sooner and we agreed to that.

Essentially, it gives flexibility -Sorry, one other aspect of that an important aspect is that it's units that would be either owned, operated or branded by MGM within a half mile of the project.

So, that's the currently language within the HCA. We continue our discussion with the city around our plans. And they have a lot of input and desire to collaborate with us making sure that they're on the same page with us and the ultimate product that comes out of that.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Is there a
financial element of that? At one point, the
units had a cost associated with them and were a part of your total budget. I guess that's still the case albeit a smaller piece. Does the city have a financial threshold as part of the deal as well?

MR. STRATTON: No.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: So, the threshold is own, operate or brand but not necessarily there's no financial terms in that agreement.

MR. STRATTON: But there is and I'll actually point to it on a slide later, but there's some language around quality standards. So, it's market-rate upper scale urban. So, we're looking at a certain level of residential. So, the city is going to hold us to that promise in terms of the quality and character.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Within the 950, the residential housing component is what more or less?

MR. MATHIS: It represents about $\$ 10$
million of our budget.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: In the 950, it's

```
10 million?
```

MR. MATHIS: That's right, yes. One of the reasons $I$ think the city got away from a pure financial sort of threshold is one of the things we think we have the opportunity to do now that we're moving these units off-site outside of our four boundaries is to partner with local potential building owners and partners so that they can share in the economic development.

So, it goes from being potentially a wholly-owned piece to something that we can collaborate with different local stakeholders who have been around waiting for some of the economic opportunity.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: I have a question. I think I understand what own and operate mean in this context. But what does brand mean in this context as an alternative?

MR. MATHIS: Sure. It speaks to licensing our name, which is a very high standard from our perspective. But it allowed for the opportunity that we can satisfy this obligation.

And I don't think they're all
mutually exclusive. So, in my mind although they are set up legally as alternates, it could be a combination of own, operate and/or license brand our name to the product which will add to its marketability and value certainly.

And then it also speaks to potential partnerships between the resort. For example, residency in whatever these units end up being might give you rights, privileges in the resort which again make it that much more attractive. So, I think it allows for that kind of partnering and collaboration.

MR. STRATTON: If I can just add one more point on that Mike. Part of our discussions when we came up with that language with the city was looking to move the residents off the site gave us the opportunity to partner with local developers to do that.

So, not knowing what sort of partnership and structure we'd be able to work out with the current real estate owners and developers, we wanted to give flexibility to have the box checked by ownership, by an operating relationship or even by branding so
that we'd have flexibility to take advantage of collaborations with existing developers within the city.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: The only point that I would pursue is that the way it was originally structured and it was really important part of it, as you know for us, was that this was a part, an inherent part of the project that you were bringing to the table something that wasn't already there.

And that's not the same as piggybacking on something that's going to be there whether you're there or not. So, there's a line to walk. And I understand the pressure is on you, but that element unlike the tower, unlike the rejiggering of the backspace, unlike anything else you've come up with, was very, very material from my standpoint.

So, making sure that this is still an incremental contribution to this mix-used development project from MGM, which was the way you had originally positioned it is really important from my standpoint.

MR. MATHIS: Understand Chairman.

And I'm highly confident that when we bring you the proposal we're going bring you that you recognize it as the transformative component that we had promised per the original design, even more so in my mind, but we understand.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: \$10 million
divided by 54 is $\$ 185,000$. Your units used to be $\$ 800,000$. So, I'm curious to see what's going to happen for your $\$ 185,000$.

MR. MATHIS: That calculation is a little misleading because that per unit count incorporated a bunch of the existing façade work that you see for example there, but understood.

The other update I want to provide you is for the corner of Union and Main. It's not shown in this rendering but it's the corner that's on the opposite side of Red Rose Pizza there. And it's the site of the former Dave's Furniture store.

The update there is that because it's isolated and on the corner and not in the critical path of what Brian is doing on the site, it's got a different timeline in our
mind. We've had conversations -- Right now shown as retail, we've had conversations with retailers and also food and beverage operators that have a strong interest in that corner.

From our perspective, we think we can optimize the opportunity farther down the development timeline when people can see potential retailers. Operators can see more progress on the site, and maybe can see an anchor tenant announced, one or two.

So, we continue to work on that location. And we understand the importance of it in terms of it's one of our front doors when you're coming up Main Street from the south end. But we won't have, intentionally we won't have an update for you for a little bit of time because we want to make sure that the rest of the project is at a stage where we can go promote it as part of a package to sell this corner.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: What is the size of that space?

MR. MATHIS: It's about 7- to 10,000 square feet depending on how we handle the
surface parking that's connected to it. And that's part of the discussion.

It'll be largely driven $I$ think by the tenants we are speaking to. If we go to 10,000 square feet it can fit two operators. If it's a restaurant, it can fit multiple retailers.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: That's space you
own, right? That is part of the gaming establishment.

MR. MATHIS: That's correct.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: And if I heard
you correctly, it could be retail or it could be food and beverage or a mix?

MR. MATHIS: That's right. That's right. We'll come back. The architectural finishes will be -- it'll feel like the campus. Just because it's isolated on the corner, I want just to make sure you understood that commitment from us. We'll provide you updates as we continue to talk to folks about that.

And then lastly daycare. This is the corner that is catty corner to the Dave's Furniture's site.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: I'm sorry Mike. Can we get the pictures on here again? Thanks.

MR. MATHIS: So, you've seen this location identified in the past. This is what we call the Zorzi lot which is catty corner to the Dave's Furniture site on the other side of Main Street.

We are in the process right now we are showing which is consistent with our local and city approvals and the design we provided to you, an approximate 6000 square foot daycare facility with a 4000 square foot play area. And we're in conversations with different daycare managers, operators to help make sure that the design is appropriate because that's we're at in terms of Brian's process is nailing down design.

And then we would go on the next I would say 60 days to the market for an RFP for who that operator would be. The preferred operator right now Square One which is the local daycare provider in Springfield.

But it will be a competitive process we think at this point unless we can work
something out with them that makes sense in terms of the sole-source. But we're again about 60 days away from an RFP going out and starting that iterative process with the manager.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Mike you show the corner of Union and Main which is now an empty lot retail. Is that your retail or what you hear through development opportunities somebody else might be taking for retail?

MR. MATHIS: So, this lot is owned by the Davenport Group. Chuck Irving, who you've seen at our presentations, we've identified as a development partner. Really this is a great example of the ancillary development that comes with a billion-dollar development.

And we think there's other opportunities around the edges of our property. But Chuck Irving and his group are developing this site with us as an anchor tenant. But he is also starting to fill it out with retail and office space. I know he's got some preliminary deals tentatively signed. So, we are creating
some of that momentum not only within our four corners but even on the adjoining pieces.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Would final design, the selected operator would have some say in that whoever you choose to be your daycare operator?

MR. MATHIS: Yes, that's right. I think more on the interiors than the exteriors, because it's very specific guidelines in terms of square footage and layout. So, we operate one of these in Las Vegas. So, we know generally how these work. But Massachusetts as you can imagine has even more specific rules when it comes to programming on this.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Sorry about that. MR. MATHIS: A lot more sinks and a lot more caretakers. But we're understanding it and we're going to partner with them on the final design. And we'll bring it back to you for review. With that I think I can hand it off to Seth.

MR. STRATTON: Great, thanks Mike. Good morning everyone. I'm going to cover city approvals and even though this was a mild
winter, Mike, Brian and I were fortunate to miss most of the bad weather because we spent the majority of it in the Springfield city council chambers.

So, as you know we had a very lengthy and deliberative process with Springfield city council. Mike touched on some of the design elements that we reviewed during those sessions with the council. We worked hard to communicate with all the members of the council and the city the plans that we just explained to you.

A good portion though of that discussion focused on two other items, which I'll cover, which is abutter resolutions. There was a lot of discussion around abutters. The abutters attended those hearings, raised some concerns that they had discussed with us previously, as well as some new concerns. We are happy to report that we were able to resolve all those abutter issues during those multiple sessions.

And the other issue I'll touch on is getting into a bit of detail in the host
community agreement amendment which we just discussed and what that covered. I'll go actually abutter by abutter. There's a lot of detail in here $I^{\prime} l l$ just to try to summarize. But the main concerns that our abutter Red Rose had revolved around this Howard Street and the roundabout rotary here. The concern essentially was by Red Rose that he didn't want traffic from our project interfere with his business, very understandable.

And some concerns that if this
Howard Street and the cul-de-sac here didn't look like a street that folks would go to pull into Howard Street, get confused go to turn around in his parking lot and kind of wreak havoc on his parking.

So, one of the things that was very important to him and that we agreed to through this process was that the surface materials are going to be generally consistent with the public ways. So, that it's very clear to folks that if they do turn into Howard Street, they have the ability to come down, turn around and exit without interfering with his parking. So,
that was a major issue that we dealt with.
And then the rest of it really deals with signage. To be clear that parking is not allowed on that street, no idling and that there be some signalization at Union and Main Streets to ensure that any extra traffic coming onto Union and Main doesn't interfere with the ability of Red Rose's customers to access the property.

So, we were able to get on the same page. Those have been incorporated into site plan conditions approved by the city council

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Seth, just one thing, can cars go between the two parking on what's the Red Rose and the other parking?

MR. STRATTON: So, that's our parking to the right of Red Rose. No, one of the things -- We were in some discussions around doing that but the ownership of Red Rose had concerns about our patrons using their parking. So, there is going to be a hard barrier curbing and fencing between those two lots.

So, Courthouse Park Associates owns
this corner parcel here which is the Bacon Wilson Law Firm as well as the bank. One of the important aspects of that property for both their tenants and the public is the significant parking lot they have there, which is used as a paid parking lot that patrons, customers of the courthouse use. And it's a revenue-generating aspect of that property.

They have concerns about the impact of our project on access to that parking lot. So, a few of the things that we agreed to here that are incorporated as conditions were we will fund the installation of an automated parking barrier, likely a gate arm on the back portion of their property that abuts ours so that they can reduce the potential for cutthrough traffic.

We are also going to install an ornamental fence along Bliss Street. There's a chain-link fence there now. In terms of curb appeal and improvement to the property that will be mutually beneficial, we'll install an ornamental fence there and appropriate traffic signage.

We'll also have some signage directing our folks exiting the garage to discourage entrance into their parking lot as a cut-through. Finally, we're going to reconstruct the sidewalk along the north side of Bliss Street which abuts their property.

So, what is now as far as sidewalks go, it could use some updating. So, it's an older sidewalk with chain-linked fence. It'll be a nice new sidewalk with ornamental fencing, which I think they are pleased with.

The next abutter is the Colvest property which is reflected right here. It says existing building that's the Berkshire Bank building. We've been in long discussions with Colvest, Frank Colaccino who has been cooperative with us.

Again, his major concern was the impact of cut-through traffic using his parking lot as a cut-through to access Union Street and to get on the highway, and resulting interference with his business.

What we agreed to here and approved by the city was that we would reconstruct the
curbing to essentially bring out the island and make it very difficult to take a left turn out of our property into his. It'd be virtually impossible without reading some issues to take that left turn. He was satisfied with that resolution.

Then our abutter across Union Street, Pride, again, had concerns about -this all relates to access and egress and the interference with traffic into our abutters' properties. If you see, folks who will be coming out of our garage coming down Union Street and the entrance to the Pride property in this picture is to the right.

What they've requested is what's known in the traffic industry as a do not block the box where you've got some crosshatching. And folks won't be able to stop and the signalization won't allow a blocking of the street adjacent to that entrance and exit of that property. So, they were satisfied with that approach. And that was incorporated as a condition in the site plan approved.

Finally, Parcel 14 which is the

Michelman and Burstein property, which we've been in long discussions with resolving some of their concern. That's a unique property because it's really a zero lot line property that abuts our property on all sides.

They have no parking and really the building goes up to the lot line. So, one of the major concerns that they raised was that their customers have long used public on-street parking to access their property. And they had a number of other concerns, but we really came up with what we thought was a very generous and fulsome proposal to address some of their concerns.

And that is essentially that we've committed to put a public parking lot on the property that we own directly behind their property, which will greatly increase -- Folks who were looking at on-street parking before, there's going to be a minimum of 25 space parking lot right behind their building.

They also have some residential units. And we agreed that overnight use, we would allow access for their residents to park
overnight so that they can park right behind the building now in our lot just overnight.

They also raised concerns about construction impact. So, essentially what we've done and Brian just finished the paving the other day. You'll see this box here next to their property. That's property we own. We've cleared and paved and fenced that so it's a temporary parking lot that we own but that they have exclusive access to during construction.

We've also said that that's not enough, apparently they've been leasing some spaces from the Colvest property history. We said that we would reimburse them for the cost of an additional four leased spaces upon evidence that they are making those payments.

We also provided some storage and trash. We're going to give them a license to use a portion of our property once we are operational to access -- to have new access to trash dumpster or trash receptacles that they've never had before. And all along the property, the parking lot and their property,
we're going to construct new sidewalks for pedestrian access, which again are new amenities to that property.

Finally, there were some concerns about the impact if there are residents on that property the lighting. And we said, if it's necessary to install awnings or interior window treatments to address lighting at night that we would fund the cost of those. Those are the resolutions that we came up with, agreed to and approved by the city.

Finally, much of the discussion around other than traffic access and egress, a lot of the abutter concerns and questions had to do with our parking. So, one of the things that we did through the discussion with the city is we but some details around and received city approval of the parameters of our parking. And there's two -- One of the primary commitments we made was that the previously available on-street public parking that is no longer available as a result of our project will be replicated in surface lots, two-hour free parking in our surface lots
during the business hours.
So, those lot are right here behind the Dave's parcel then the one I was just behind the Michelman parcel. We'll have sufficient handicap parking to replace handicap parking that was previously available. So, that was the on-street public parking aspect of it.

We also as a result of the purchase and acquisition of parcels in the construction of the project, we've essentially replaced what used to be paved parking lots.

And through our MEPA filings that the counts were done. And that's an approximately 700 spaces of private paved parking that was previously available to patrons of businesses, customers, business owners downtown. So, what we've committed to do was provide a minimum of 700 parking spaces that would be available to the public same hours at a rate that we determine in our parking lot.

It's likely going to be more than that. But we said at a minimum, we're going to
replace what previously existed, those 700 paved parking spaces. Then we had some qualifications around peak usage and overnight 24 hour parking during events and that being restricted to our guests.

So, that was the parking program that we spent a lot of time discussing with the Council. And got the Council on board and comfortable with and approved through that process.

Unless there's questions on that I'll move to a brief summary of the host community agreement amendment. There's three aspects. And I won't go through each detail but there's three aspects to that host community agreement amendment.

Essentially, it covered the fact that we are opening approximately one year later than we initially intended. So, the original host community agreement contemplated a fall of 2017 opening. So, that amendment contemplates our September 2018 opening.

Along with that schedule change, we made some payment adjustments two primary of
importance to the city. As you might recall, one of the things we are doing is we are funding in advance these 121A payment in lieu of tax payments that we'll make once we're operational, so around $\$ 17.5$ million a year once we open.

We are prefunding some of those during the preconstruction stage so that the city has access to funds to ramp up, hire police, etc. And we made two of those payments already, a $\$ 4$ million and a $\$ 3$ million payment. It was going to be $\$ 10$ million that we were going to advance pre-opening. We are now going to advance $\$ 13$ million.

So, we've added that additional \$3 million advances that the city requested. We also made a new $\$ 1$ million grant to the city in connection with our delayed opening. And we discussed with the city that we both agreed that it would be important for that million dollar additional payment to really be focused on public safety to improve and address public safety in the city in advance of our opening.

So, an additional \$3 million advance
and a new $\$ 1$ million public safety payment where the primary payment adjustments reflected in that HCA amendment that was approved by the city.

The final aspect of that was reflecting essentially our new design. And what we did is we have the RFP with the city and the host community agreement design which was now outdated. We said okay, we will now take those exhibits out of the host community agreement and we'll just add in the approved site plan as the new design.

There's also some description, Exhibit $G$ which is the chart that talks about the components, the square footages. We essentially updated Exhibit $G$ to reflect the new plan, the new square footages, the new numbers of various components.

And in particular the one that we spent significant time on was figuring out how do we reflect the new residential program. And as I mentioned that's reflected in the amendment by 54 units within a half-mile of the project owned, operated or branded by MGM.

They are to be market rate, urban upscale apartments. And we have to continue to work with the city to get there opinion and approvals on what we come up with with respect to residential.

That's it for the update unless there are any questions.

MR. PACKER: I will go into the first quarter update on construction.

MR. ZIEMBA: I think what I propose is that the Commission could then discuss the redesign, vote on that. And then we could finalize that with the quarterly report unless you'd like to proceed this way, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: I think that makes sense to me.

MR. ZIEMBA: Mr. Chairman and Commissioners, the Commission's regulation 205 CMR 135 sets out procedures that the Commission may use to review project designs. It states that the Commission may participate in the development of key milestones of the design review process.

The motion that we have crafted
before you proposes a process for the Commission to continue to review the proposed design of the facility as it continues to evolve. It also builds upon the significant reviews already undertaken by the Commission and at the local level by the city of Springfield.

Under the process proposed by the motion, the Commission would provide its approval of the site plan and project design submitted to and approved by the city of Springfield.

As noted earlier, when the
Commission approved the Section 61 Findings for the MGM Springfield project, this was essentially also approval of the major and fundamental elements of $\mathrm{MGM}^{\prime}$ s proposed redesign.

The approval anticipated in the motion would build upon that initial approval and provide the licensee more certainty about its design as it begins construction of this almost billion-dollar facility.

Importantly, staff is instructed to
bring back to the Commission any material change from the site plan and project design approval. Because much if not most of the details of the plan for the residential units, retail building on the corner of Main and Union and the planned daycare facility remain outstanding, the further approval proposed in today's motion does not include those aspects of the proposed redesign. Such details would need to be brought back before the Commission. Finally, the motion clarifies that nothing in today's potential approval should be construed to amend or supersede MGM Springfield's obligation under its Section 61 Findings and under the memorandum of agreement with the Massachusetts Historical Commission.

I do note that both MGM and the Commission have fulfilled their obligations to each provide $\$ 350,000$ to the Springfield Historical Preservation Trust Fund.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Questions or discussion?

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: John, what we've heard about the changes in the HCA, how
does that align with our review process and future design changes?

MR. ZIEMBA: Under our regulations, there's a provision 205 CMR 127.06 which is reopening of mitigation agreements. And there's a provision for voluntary re-openers of mitigation agreements.

And it specifies that the parties can change their host community agreement provided that there is a summary of the ability to change that host community agreement provided in the summary that was provided to the voters when they first moved forward with the host community agreement. MGM Springfield included such a provision. So, that is keeping with our process and our regulations.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Okay.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Anybody else?
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Just a
question. Remind me what's the programming for the church or is that still being worked out?

MR. MATHIS: That's still being
developed. It's similar to the discussion we had about Dave's Furniture corner. It's one of
those really unique buildings. We've had preliminary discussions.

I think it's going to be multiple use. And we're leaning more towards a retail use. So, I think we're looking at things that would complement the ice-skating rink. So, you sort of think of hot chocolate and coffee as well as something that has a seasonal use during the summer months, so, ice cream for example.

That's what we're envisioning but again I think we'll have more opportunities as the project develops and we can develop a little bit more momentum with our marketing program.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: You're not
changing that design obviously.
MR. MATHIS: Yeah. We moved it
once. I think that was enough.
MR. STRATTON: That building is
covered by the MHC MOA as well.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: It's not like you're going to leave it empty, for example.

MR. MATHIS: No, we will program
that building.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: So, we'd be approving all of the elements of the design as presented with those three specific carve outs that you mentioned. The residential units because that's still being worked out and that's under the discussions the way you articulated them with the city, and you have a couple of options, the retail space right next to the Red Rose and the childcare center.

MR. ZIEMBA: With the
acknowledgement that staff will continue to review the design as it develops. And if there are material changes, we can bring those back before the Commission.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Right.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Anybody else?
With the carve outs, and I've said what I thought about, felt about -- think and feel about the housing element. Seeing this again, it reminds me about why we were excited about it. I've just got my figures crossed.

It's a really exciting attempt to lift a city and to integrate this casino into a
whole lot of other stuff. If you listened to the Region C discussions, you heard me regret that Brockton hadn't done any of this. And as you look at these pictures and compare them to what we saw there, it just reminded me what's exciting about this.

So, I'm really hopeful and it's terrifically exciting prospect. Does somebody want to move?

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: I agree and I will be happy to move that the Commission approve the site plan and project design as submitted and represented to us here today and submitted in many iterations and approved to by the city of Springfield on February 22, 2016, including any conditions included therein, provided however that the Commission approval, this approval does not include any matters pertaining to the childcare center, the retail space proposed at the corner of Main Street and Union Street, and the configuration and location of any proposed residential units required as part of the MGM license in the host community agreement.

And provided further that the Commission approval shall not be construed to amend or supersede any obligations required of MGM pursuant to the Section 61 Findings and the Mass. Historical memorandum of agreement relating to the project.

I also move that the Commission delegate to staff the review and approval of construction design plans as they are completed and prepared for use in the construction of the project; provided further that if such construction design plans contain any material change from the site plan and project design approved here today, staff will submit such plans to the Commission for its review and approval.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Second?
COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Second.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Further
discussion? All in favor, aye.
COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Aye.
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Aye.
COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Opposed? The ayes have it unanimously. Why don't we take a quick break before we do your update.
(A recess was taken)

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: We are reconvening public meeting 191. I think we are on item 4 (a) the MGM quarterly report.

MR. PACKER: Good morning. I'm happy to announce that this first quarter of this year was the quarter with the most progress on-site since this project has started. So, we are really excited to walk you through some of the things that have gone on here in the last quarter.

As you can see from the overall site progress picture here at the end of the quarter, the majority of the buildings on-site are down. Zanetti is gone. And we're just preparing to move the church, which has since been moved and we'll conclude here with a video of that church move.

A better angle here is kind of from
the air. And you can see the whole site really starting to come together. An important item, we had the road closure approval. And then we actually went and followed through with closing the roads, removing the curb, securing the entire site. The building salvage as required in our MOA is basically 99 percent complete. All we lack at this point are some of the bricks off Union Chandler Hotel. Other than that all the required salvages is complete.

Just some progress shots of Zanetti School coming down. Some of the demolition work along Main Street, along Bliss and then along State. So, we really have made a bunch of progress as it relates to all the buildings and demolition and finalization of abatement.

Important thing to keep in mind for the demolition and part of our LEED requirements is the sorting and recycling of all the materials on-site. So, as you see each building come down, steel, aluminum studs, wood, brick, you'll see different piles being sorted and shipped off to different recyclers. Also a bunch of site utility work
continuing on-site all around in different areas, as you can imagine. Right now we are in the middle of removing utilities that were in the roadways that have been discontinued.

MR. MATHIS: For the record, nowhere near the pipe that burst last week.

MR. PACKER: And towards the end of the quarter getting into the garage site prep and excavation. The majority of the garage footprint is where the Zanetti School was.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Nobody is ever going to remember where Blue Tarp came from.

MR. PACKER: As part of our abutter updates, we've spoken about this before, we give bi-weekly updates email of construction activities that's then posted to the MGM website to all of our abutters. That key map has changed. Before we kind of keyed it by building and now we're going to key it by zone because the majority of the buildings are gone. So, we have a little bit of change in how our activities get reported and communicated to the abutters.

Then probably the most exciting
thing about today is I am really pleased to announce that we've made an award on the design/build garage. And that award -- The design/build garage has been awarded to Tishman Construction.

So, today we have here Eric Nelson who is also a Springfield native. And Eric represents Tishman Construction. And we have Dave Fontaine, Sr. and Junior from Fontaine Brothers who are also part of the project under Tishman. With that I'll turn it over to Eric.

MR. NELSON: Thanks, Brian. As Brian mentioned, my name is Eric Nelson. I am the project executive for MGM Springfield from Tishman. I grew up in 16 Acres. It's down the road.

Tishman has worked with MGM on a variety of projects in the past including CityCenter in Las Vegas, Beau Rivage which Brian knows well and Borgata. We view MGM as one of the finest owner developers in their industry. We are very pleased to be here as part of the team today. So thank you, Michael and Brian very much.

This is a very significant project for the city. And being from city, I am very, very pleased to be here as well. I started in our Boston office, which we've maintained for over 30 years. I've worked in Boston, Las Vegas, Abu Dhabi, New York City, Atlantic City and now Springfield, Massachusetts. And I'm just very happy to be here. So, thanks.

Joining this team that MGM has set up, I am very impressed with their commitment to contract locally and to use a local workforce here, a very diverse workforce. That's something that $I$ think we'll build on as we move forward in the future.

We've been here for quite a while almost 18 months now. We worked with the Pioneer Valley Building Trades to negotiate the PLA. We oversaw a significant portion of the abatement and demolition work on-site. And we've been very fortunate to work with a number of fantastic contractors in this local market.

Dave, Sr. and Junior are sitting next to me from Fontaine. We were able to partner last summer on the Mission, which I
believe you've all toured. That job was delivered on a very aggressive schedule safely and without sacrificing quality, which is very important to us moving forward here.

So, I hand it over to Dave.
MR. FONTAINE: My name is Dave
Fontaine, Jr. I'm Vice President of Fontaine Brothers. For the Commissioners who don't know about us, Fontaine is a Springfield based company. We're fourth-generation construction manager, general contractor, been in the city since 1933.

Some work that we've done around here, we basically work on all types of commercial construction. We also self-perform with our talented union tradespeople concrete, carpentry, masonry, selective demo. Work you'd know in the area, renovations to Symphony Hall, construction of the Hilton Garden Inn, Uno's downtown and the construction of the MassMutual Center, which we are in today.

As Eric mentioned our involvement in the MGM Springfield project began last summer working with Tishman to completely renovate and
add to the Rescue Mission on that tight schedule. And that job went very well for the team with Fontaine and Tishman. And I think we realized at that point that there was a synergy between our teams.

Then another reason the job went well from our perspective is because with MGM coming into town, not having worked in this market before, we didn't know exactly what to expect. But we found that MGM and Tishman, everyone that we've been involved with has been absolutely first-class in terms of owners, developers, contractors.

They've stressed and delivered on their commitments to diversity, to hiring local contractors, to safety. We've just been blown away and very impressed by their commitments and their expertise.

So, that good experience with MGM and Tishman on the garage and again that finding our team -- I'm sorry on the Rescue Mission finding that our teams worked well together translated into us deciding to partner with Tishman on the garage, and ultimately be
awarded the project which obviously we are very excited about.

As a local firm and as a local
employer and as a local business in the city, we are excited about the economic development that MGM is bringing to the region. And we are very excited to be part of the project and to also work with MGM to bring other local firms like us into the project.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: As one Commissioner, I think early on we were worried about the size of the project excluding some of the local contractors from participating in the project. We heard it from the building trades they'd be getting kind of the tail-end of the employment opportunities.

But using somebody local and kind of as Brian has always talked about piecing this project or laying this project out in individual projects I think has given -Fontaine is certainly a well-known local name, but giving the local companies the opportunity to be part of the project and hire locally which is great.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Is that how you
work? Is that the relationship that you'll take pieces -- Tishman will take pieces of the project and give it to Fontaine? Or what is the operational relationship between the two entities?

MR. NELSON: Between Tishman and Fontaine on the parking garage?

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: So, the deal with Fontaine is for the parking garage?

MR. NELSON: Yes, Sir.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Oh, okay. I got it.

MR. MATHIS: Yes. We need to preserve a little bit of our negotiation powers. So, that partnership isn't going to necessarily going to happen on every component but certainly we found two really good partners.

MR. FONTAINE: Although if you want it to.

MR. PACKER: From our standpoint, Fontaine really helps with the dialogue of local subs. There may be some hesitation do I
want to bid this project because will MGM pay on time. And if they don't, it's a lot of outflow of cash.

So, some of that dialogue in us being fair to these guys trickles down into the market and helps that dialogue move forward. So, we're looking forward to it. We're excited to make this announcement. And shortly here get some foundations in the ground.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: So, like in the next quarter you're talking about foundations?

MR. PACKER: For the parking garage that's correct.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: You mentioned it's a design/build. Is there anybody on your team that is designing as well?

MR. NELSON: Yes, Sir. Tim Haus (PHONETIC) is.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: That's great.
MR. PACKER: And Tishman on the design/build, we had bid out a bridging document which lays out certain parameters but the detail and the actual drawings will be completed by their team.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Sounds good.
MR. PACKER: So on schedule, I think just what's important to note here is we are giving you our monthly updates. We are on track for the September '18 opening. We started walking the site with staff once a month right after those updates come out. So, if there's any questions Tishman and their team do that walk and we can answer them right there and status the schedule live.

On diversity, I understand our team was in front of you not to long ago on a comprehensive update of everything we're doing. Just through quarter end, some of the highlights WBEs project goal of 10 percent, current commitments are 16.6 percent, MBEs 5 percent goal, current commitments are 9.9 percent, and on VBEs project goal 2 percent, current commitments are 6.2 percent.

And here I think it's worthy noting to date we have 56 companies with commitments on this project that are diverse.

MR. MATHIS: If can just pause, these statistics are really remarkable. And we
don't get to them by accident. We've got Brian focused on his bidding process and he can be tyrannical with some of these requirements. So, everybody in the subcontractor community knows, you don't come to our bidding process without a strategy on diversity.

And you've heard mentioned of Chelan Brown who I'm personally proud to have identified in some of my early days in the community as someone that knows the community. We've given her this task as a dedicated position to make sure we find diverse contractors. So, I just want to commend Brian and the team. It's really pretty remarkable. COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: It's a very, very impressive performance.

MR. MATHIS: Thank you.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: It is. And does that include the award of the contract that you just mentioned or has that not yet caught up?

MR. PACKER: It does. Actually, I'm sorry. For this quarter, I'd have to go look for you. I know in the April numbers we did
report that. So, since we're a month behind here, I'd have to research that for you. But in our last report last week it was included, the full barrage of work.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: I heard incidentally, I didn't come to that meeting, but the rest of the committee was also very impressed with the report that you made.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Yes, it was great. MR. MATHIS: And the last qualifier with that I think is these numbers will move. And we won't necessarily maintain this level of performance exceeding our goals throughout the project at every milestone, but the commitment is here. And we will continue to strive to meet and exceed these goals.

MR. PACKER: Typically, just quickly we also break down for you the spread between design and construction. Our plan requires us to report the combination. But just so you can see where design is tracking, 10 versus 11.3 on WBE, 5 versus 8.4 on MBE and 2 versus 4.1 on VBE. Then there is usually a question on what are the companies? Where are they from? Who
has actually been paid since these are commitments? So, we highlight in green anyone who has actually received certified payment on the project.

And on construction commitments the exact same treatment. You'll notice a lot of local folks on these slides.

So, those were commitments. We kind of have two parts to our plan, commitments and dollars versus workforce. So, workforce is a calculation on hours worked in the field. The goal is 6.9 percent, current project to date is 10.56 percent, on minority 15.3 project to date 28.35, and on veteran 8 percent and project to date is 8.65.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: That's impressive.
MR. PACKER: With the diversity summary, I'll turn it over to Seth for cost estimate update.

MR. STRATTON: Commissioners, I'll be brief. This is our quarterly report on where we stand financially incurred to date versus our estimates. You'll see we've incurred just over $\$ 200$ million overall to
date.
I will touch on two points of note and then happy to address any questions. Before $I$ do that let me just highlight again this is Q1. So, these are figures through March 31, 2016. For instance, the number which I'm going to talk about now, the building construction number as Brian has continued to make progress in the past couple of months that number incurred to date continues to rise.

But this quarter report is where we saw a real increase in that first line item, the building construction design number which is now at approximately $\$ 32$ million versus a total estimated spend of just under $\$ 500$ million on the hard construction. Obviously, OSE will come later. I just wanted to touch on that $\$ 32$ million spend. There's some early money spent but that's really been over the past say six months and really accelerated in the first three months of 2016.

The other item I'll just touch on which reflects -- shows an increase from our previous numbers is the financing cost
capitalized interest. As Brian's team was released to start construction, they'll commenced a process where they will do a quarterly analysis of cash flow and cash expenditure.

So, on a quarterly basis going forward we're going to be able to have more precisely look at the cash outlay and be able to determine what is really an accounting metric, capitalized interest. But due to those more in-depth reviews, we've made a determination internally our cap interest number is going to be more in the neighborhood of $\$ 68$ million versus $\$ 45$ million which we previously reported. And incurred to date on that is $\$ 6.4$ million.

Again that number is more of an accounting metric because we are using cash off the balance sheet. So, it doesn't -- Any increases in that number don't necessitate cutbacks in other areas of the budget. But we're reported it from day one in our RFA-2 and I know there had been some other previous questions on it. So, as that number fluctuates
quarterly, we'll continue to update it, incurred to date as well as our projections.

MR. MATHIS: Seth, let me just add, Chairman Crosby, you may remember our last meeting on this. You and Commissioner Zuniga challenged us on that line item because it was a little counterintuitive. We had increased the budget but that number had moved slightly down.

It was that line of questioning and some other parallel activities internally that led us to go back and look at an updated accounting for that figure. And that's where we landed here. So, we wanted to recognize that we had given you a different number. And it was part of the inquiry that led us go back and challenge it a little bit.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Seth, remind me what is OSE. In line item three, what does that stand for?

MR. STRATTON: Supplies and equipment.

MR. MATHIS: If there are no other questions, I think I'm going to conclude with
the fun part, the church relocation. As you know, it's hard for MGM to do a presentation without a sexy video. I don't know if sexy and church relocation is appropriate, but unfortunately I wasn't able to attend. I know Commissioner Stebbins was here for it.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Commissioner
McHugh came out too, didn't he?
MR. MATHIS: And Commissioner McHugh came out. So, we have short video to show you what occurred that day on an expedited basis.
(Video plays)

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: I have said this before but I hope MGM gets credit somewhere along the way for the historic preservation. And I know you had to negotiate to get even more. Maybe I'm naïve, but I don't remember any development projects that have done as much historic preservation as you did. In the first instance that you volunteered and then you got beat up for a while. But $I$ think it's really just amazing.

I happen to love that stuff, so I'm particularly appreciative of it. But I just don't think anybody else pays that much attention to the kind of historic values that you have. And I hope you get credit for it because it's terrific.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: I have to tell you it was a pretty amazing experience. Brian started speaking and there was no kind of firing the gun to start the church moving. It started moving and I think everybody who was sitting, is that moving or is that me.

Mr. Chairman, you can appreciate trying to stand up there and share remarks with a group that has no interest in what you're saying but is more interested in the church behind you. It was pretty incredible.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: It is pretty incredible. How long did it take in real-time?

MR. PACKER: It was on the pad that night and then the wheels were removed the next day.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: And you thought it was going to take three days.

MR. PACKER: Originally, the projections were about two days with some other stuff it could have been three. From the contractor's standpoint, it was a really nice day. If things were going well, you wanted to try to get it wrapped up.

So, over the course of the day we could watch it from the office. And it landed probably at five or six that night.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Is it now in its final foundation or is it waiting to be --

MR. PACKER: It's off the wheels.
And there's probably still some existing cribbing out there. And we're working on getting the foundation in to support the walls.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Brian, were
there challenges? Did anything not go according to plan?

MR. PACKER: I'm sure there were. They did not get back to me. In my world, usually no news is good news. But I think the biggest challenge actually prior to the move that we did come across was from the geotechnical reports that some of the soil we
were going to be going over could not support necessarily the weight of the church.

That's why you see the steel plating. We investigated a couple different scenarios. Do we lay down a better sub base or do we -- because the church has to go at a certain slope. You can't just go with the existing contour that was out there.

So, after the regrading and looking at the geotechnical, we ended up steel plating along the way. So, the plates that's why you see them kind of move with the church. That was something, a challenge that did come up ahead of time that was addressed.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Is Wolf a local builder.

MR. PACKER: They are actually out of Pennsylvania.

MR. MATHIS: Amish country, believe it or not. We happen to have a couple of the parishioners from the church at attendance at that event. So, I think it was pretty special for them to see the building preserved even though they've relocated. Hopefully, we're
delivering on a little bit of the wow, that intangible wow.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: That was a serious
wow.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Sure is.
COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Brian what
was the propulsion?
MR. PACKER: I'd have to have Eric -- I kind of describe it as watching going to watch the shuttle at Cape Canaveral go to the launch pad and the speed of that.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: But did you have an engine underneath there? I couldn't see.

MR. PACKER: I believe it is hydraulically powered.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Who was steering it? I couldn't see anybody -- Was there anybody behind it?

MR. PACKER: Basically a big iPad. It wasn't an iPad. Actually, in one of the pictures that you see on the beam there were a couple of screens.

MR. MATHIS: There was no one
actually in the foundation driving, right?
MR. PACKER: I believe they were right next to it while it's moving.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Tell Jim Murren
we're excited. It's cool.
MR. MATHIS: I will. I'll pass that along.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: All set? Thank you very much. Okay, it's noon, perfect timing. Is 45 minutes enough? We'll take a 45-minute break and we will be back at 12:45.
(A recess was taken)

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: It is now about 12 minutes of one. We are reconvening public meeting number 191. We are going to switch the agenda items around and now go to agenda item
(6) Executive Director Bedrosian.

MR. BEDROSIAN: Good morning, Mr. Chairman, Commissioners.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Good afternoon.

MR. BEDROSIAN: Good afternoon.

Thank you for correcting me. How time flies. The only agenda item for me actually is to turn it over to Mr. Lennon and Ms. Beaulieu to give you our quarterly budget update.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: You don't have any administrative updates? After all these two weeks, nothing's happened?

MR. BEDROSIAN: I will report that staff is rested and excited to go on our new future.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Great. Thank you for the update.

MR. LENNON: Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman and Commissioners. Agnes and I are here to provide you with our third quarterly update on our budget. It's going to be a brief budget update. Thankfully things are going somewhat as expected and planned.

The summary is our revenue estimates are going to be revised down with this update by $\$ 570,000$. Spending estimates are also revised down by $\$ 1.08$ million which result in an overall $\$ 510,000$ decrease to our budget.

I'm not recommending an adjustment
on the assessment right now. I'll get into that a little later indirect costs uncertainty there. I just wanted to give a quick overview. At the beginning of the year, we started at $\$ 28.3$ million projected budget with $\$ 28.3$ million in spending and $\$ 6$ million in revenues and $\$ 22.2$ million assessment on our licensees.

Since then, we've revised our spending down with this quarterly adjustment to $\$ 26.87$ million. We'll have projected revenues of $\$ 27.7$ million which results in a surplus $\$ 863,000$.

The two items that brought our revenue down also had corresponding cost decreases. And they all had to do with Region C. At the beginning of the year, we projected $\$ 800,000$ for consultant costs to review the Region C applications. We brought in revenue. And we're expecting expenditures of $\$ 580,000$ for that project. Correspondingly, we had projected $\$ 400,000$ in grants for Region $C$ to the local community to review the impacts. And we only spent $\$ 50,000$ on that. So, those two items came down.

Two areas that we are still watching that we may have additional decreases in spending is the UMass ISA for responsible gaming, the cohort and the baseline studies. Spending is behind right now but we are told that they' re ramping up on a few contracts that we'll spend significant amounts approximately $\$ 200,000$ per month. This is their time that their salary really jumps, because their professors get paid their regular salary as well as a piece of their summer salaries. So, you'll see that item jumping up too.

So, if you were looking through the expense budgets and you saw Mark's budget in the $P P$ was down, we're still watching it. We still believe that it's on target but if they slip awarding one of those contracts, you'll see us after the fourth quarter coming back and saying there will be a reduction in spending there.

The other item we're still watching is the Attorney General's office. They turned back in $\$ 350,000$ of their $\$ 1.9 \mathrm{million}$. they've still spent -- Even turning that in,
they've only spent $\$ 750,000$ through the first three quarters. But they' re going to have a few large equipment purchases which will bump them back up. But if they don't get one of those pieces of equipment ordered on time or purchased, you'll see a reduction.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Like a tank weapon? What kind of equipment?

MR. LENNON: So, I guess it's surveillance equipment. So, it's very costly, hi-tech equipment that they're looking to purchase.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Let me say something Derek because it's a good presentation, but there is one item here that we did have control over and that was the Region C evaluation.

MR. LENNON: Correct.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: I think that's a testament to the internal group here. We took internally the coordination, Janice and yourselves and Director Bedrosian. So, our initial projection came down because for the most part this was efforts that we did
internally. We still relied on consultants to a great degree for the evaluation, but it's a testament to good efficient management that I just wanted to point out.

MR. LENNON: That's completely appropriate, Mr. Zuniga. That $\$ 800,000$ was a conservative estimate when we began. And the amount that the Commissioners did cut down on the use of consultants as well as the amount that Janice contributed by taking on that oversight role and coordination role really drastically cut that down.

We were hoping that it would come in at $\$ 800,000$. Having it come in at $\$ 580,000$ is a very good story.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Mr. Lennon, it sounds like you have a good relationship with our partners. And they're advising of their spend and you're aware, which helps you budget appropriately, I suspect?

MR. LENNON: Partners with --
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Well, you just mentioned UMass and the AG's office. MR. LENNON: So, I have had a few
conversations with the $\mathrm{AG}^{\prime}$ s office.
Commissioner Zuniga and Agnes meet with UMass as well as Mark almost on a monthly basis to go over their spending estimates.

Agnes meets on a monthly basis with all of our directors to go over by line item, by contract their spend, what we're anticipating. We run payroll every two weeks to make sure that we're projecting that accurately. And when we find that we'll have savings, you'll seen them reflected on the quarterly updates.

So, we're trying to stay on top of it so that we don't have a big bump at the end of the year that we're turning in.

The one area that I want to touch on again is we are showing a surplus of $\$ 863,000$. But I still think we have an internal deficit because of the indirect issue. We are being charged 10 percent of indirect costs on payroll and consultants. Last year that amounted to $\$ 1.4$ million, $\$ 1.2$ million of which came from the Gaming Control Fund.

So, if you look at the $\$ 863,000$ that
we have right now, we still have about a $\$ 350,000$ deficit that we'll have to make up before the end of the year. So, we may be praying for some of these contracts to come in a little slower than anticipated but I think those meetings have been progressing well.

We had a meeting with A\&F yesterday, discussed the finer points of it. And we hope to have another follow-up with them.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: But it's
perhaps fair to say that we should assume that that cost will be there for us. We've been at this now for a little while. If nothing for the next year, regardless of the deficit which is important, I'm thinking we should think about budgeting that for the following year and apportioning it to the appropriate departments because it hits different departments differently.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: What is the status of the tribal assessment?

MR. LENNON: So, for the tribal assessment, we've taken a look at the compact. We figured out the areas that we can develop a
budget. And then there is still a lot of areas that we cannot figure out until we sit down and have negotiations with them as far as what are we going to take on versus what they're going to take on.

We have to have discussions before we can present the budget to them for them to vote on and to approve. So, I think we have a good understanding of what's allowable at this point. Now it's just what do you want to turn over us versus what --

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: -- you want to do yourselves. Any impact one way or the other on the balance of this year, which isn't much, or on the 117 budget is not yet calculated $I$ gather.

MR. LENNON: Correct. So, I don't see much on this year unless we get some discussions moving quickly. But on next year, it'll be just take a look at once again what they're going to let us do. Figure out what the direct costs are. And then figure out what that overhead associated with providing those direct costs would be which would be like we do
with racing.
I think we are charging off five point some odd percent of our general administrative positions to the racing budget as a percentage of a total budget. Then we'll take a look at what the Tribe is and charge out.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: That's in effect an income item that we don't yet have in our '17 budget.

MR. LENNON: Correct.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: So, that's a piece of good news.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: That could very well be a revenue reflection with minimal or almost nothing in certain areas cost increase.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Right. That's what I'm getting at apropos of our other discussion.

MR. BEDROSIAN: And we're in the process too of now in the quarterly budget update Director Lennon and $I$ and his staff are obviously working on our commercial side FY `17
budget.
And we'll also at the same time start those conversations with the Tribe as will the Commission generally to figure out what our responsibilities and co-relationship will be. And we'll start to build that. And I assume we would come in front of the Commission at some point too with a suggested tribal budget.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Okay.
COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: That's payable by them by the Tribe in advance; is that right?

MR. BEDROSIAN: My memory of the compact, Commissioner Macdonald, is that it is. And we also are sort of obligated to have a projected budget with them in place. And I think now what you have are sort of two entities the Tribe and their operator and us who are in the startup mode about tribal gaming. So, I think we just have to get together and have those conversations.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Okay. Anything

```
else on that topic?
```

MR. LENNON: No. In your packet you have the normal attachments, the quarterly spending. We cut the spreadsheet down so people could read it. So, it just shows actuals through 3/31. If you want to see it by month, it's available on the website in the regular budget section.

You have the adjustments in detail that we're recommending for this quarter that we -- since it's not adding any additional money, unless you object to anything, we're going to approve. And then you have the expense budget by division so you can see where each division is as a percentage of their total budget either spent or committed. And committed once again is spending plus open contract amount for the year.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: One of the areas that I know you do and I'd be interested in having us look at is how we apportion costs of certain -- certain Commission costs on racing versus gaming. I know there's a five and change percent. I know there's a methodology behind it. But if we can come back
and true up or especially as we think about next fiscal year. I know there's a lot on that end that is in a bit of a state of flux but there's revenue coming in as well. So, it's something that we should continue to analyze.

MR. LENNON: Correct. So, we do
freshen that up each year based on the last year's spending. That's what we presented to the licensees. They were all right with that as well. What we try to do is if it's space costs, we'll take the actual space of those people working for racing and charge them off and not try to charge off a piece of ours. But we can always do that too. It's just how much of the racing budget can afford it.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Okay.
MR. LENNON: I'm going to turn it over to Agnes for a quarterly update on our diversity.

MS. BEAULIEU: Good afternoon,
Commissioners. In your packets, you have our supplier diversity program benchmarks for the fiscal year and to date for the third quarter. It also includes our spending as well as the
encumbered amounts to date.
And currently we have reached and surpassed our benchmark for the small
businesses. We'll continue to work towards our benchmark for the minority- and woman-owned businesses. In those two categories, we still have two large contracts that we will be winding down. And they will be coming off of those categories.

With those reductions in our current projections and adjusting the benchmark accordingly with Derek's budget decreases, we look to come in at about 82 percent for the woman-owned businesses -- I'm sorry, 82 percent on the minority-owned businesses and that we will still probably meet the woman-owned business goal.

We continue working towards getting both of those to 100 percent. We are working diligently with Director Griffin to identify any new businesses that we can work with between now and the end of the year. Sarah Gangi (PHONETIC) has worked tirelessly trying to identify new and existing vendors that could
fill those gaps for us.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Remind me of the percentage calculation. So, if I'm looking at minority-owned, we take the discretionary budget because we've excluded items that we have no control over, multiply that discretionary by the benchmark. And the benchmark would be in dollars 648?

MS. BEAULIEU: Correct.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: And what you're reporting is we've encumbered 1.3 but spent $383 ?$

MS. BEAULIEU: Right. And one of those is the large -- one of the OPM contracts that will be going away.

MR. LENNON: What we need to do is revise.

MS. BEAULIEU: We need to revise those benchmarks.

MR. LENNON: -- our estimates which will then bring the benchmark spending down. The benchmark will stay at seven percent, but our discretionary money, since we are moving away from the OPM that we had set at the
beginning of the year at $\$ 700,000$ down to the actual spend which will be closer to $\$ 250,000$ or $\$ 300,000$. That will drop it down.

$$
\text { We're still not going to hit } 100
$$

percent goal based on just that by revising that. But it will bring our anticipated from 78 or 80 up to 82 , which means we still have a lot of work to do.

We've been inviting Jill to all of our RFR meetings. Whenever we have a new procurement going out the door, whenever we have something that we're looking to we've been inviting Jill because we are meeting that area where we are having a hard time finding vendors that are competitively pricing.

So, it may be a change in policy that we have to make to meet these goals. We're not always going to go with the lowest bid. The best value is meeting this benchmark. So, that's something we're discussing as well. CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Are we using all the tools that we are having our licensees use, all of the support organizations out there? For example the group that's meeting the

Hispanic American Institute is partnered with Chelsea Chamber of Commerce or something like that, are we using the same resources?

MR. LENNON: We haven't gone to that level. We are bound by the Supplier Diversity Office because that's who responsible for the state. That's who registers everyone that we can get credit for.

The piece that we can look at is if we want to open up our definition and say that they don't have to be registered with the Supplier Diversity Office of the state. And then we can take a look at some of our subconsultants to our prime consultants are to see if we capture them as far as the diversity spend.

I'm saying that as an option. I'm also saying that with that will come a lot of legwork to figure out and create that inventory and database and certification. I'm not saying it's not possible.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: We are unrelenting with our licensees in insisting that they make that effort. We frequently find vendors who
are minority-owned or woman-owned who aren't registered and we get them registered. We help get them through the process. We can't use a different standard for ourselves than we use for our licensees.

MR. LENNON: Well, a big problem with that and this is an area that we get into when we adopted 801 CMR 21, just because we go them registered, doesn't mean that we can use them. If there's a statewide contract, we are bound by 801 CMR 21 to use statewide contracts before we go out and do a competitive procurement.

So, unless they're on that contract, which is a frustration $I$ have run into many times. I was a Secretary at Supplier Diversity Office for Housing and Economic Development. I can't tell you how many times I had vendors come up to me at these events and say to me I'd love to give my service. Here's my certification. And I'd have to say there's really nothing I can do. Then they get frustrated. Why did I spend my money to get certified?

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: We're not limited to stateside contracts, are we?

MR. LENNON: If we adopted those procurement rules, which we did, we are going into the competitive pricing of using those contracts. So, the people who applied who followed all of those rules get first preference.

If we can show that they aren't meeting our needs, and maybe this is one of the areas where we say the vendor list isn't meeting our needs, we can apply to OSD for a waiver from using their contract.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: This is a little bit of the steady state in which now we can find ourselves from the position we were in before. What's really behind some of this is the fact that we're thinking about eliminating the two largest contracts that we had with a minority firm and a woman firm. Those were the OPM operator firms.

I think it makes sense for us to do that because of the lifecycle of where we are that there was a business case early on. We
hired Joe and evolved in some ways as to what we want to do and how we want to do it. And that begins to limit our ability in the discretionary amount that we have.

MR. LENNON: But you're right,
Chairman. We have a lot of work we can do. There's a lot more we can do and that's what we're trying to do with Jill, figure out where those opportunities are.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: To pick up on the Chairman's point, we allow our licensees through like a CWE registration, Greater New England Minority Supplier Development Council certification. Oftentimes, you won't find them certified in both the woman agency or the SDO.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: But our
licensees are not bound by 801 CMR procurement regulations that we adopted.

MR. LENNON: So, one of the things we can do, and Catherine and I have talked about this is come to you with a recommendation of what areas of 801 CMR 21 to follow and what areas we would want to exempt ourselves from and want to make a rule of it, and it's well
written out and it clears up a lot of these issues.

So, I think that's something that if we can't meet the benchmarks this way, we come to you with a recommendation on how to open it up so that we can. We're still sticking with the major competitive procurement aspect of 801 CMR 21.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Okay, good.
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: It's good to see you're thinking of a way to succeed.

MR. LENNON: It's the second year we've struggled with this. We have to find some way to get to the point where we're 100 percent compliant with our benchmark.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Derek, without getting into too much detail on it, how could you summarize what 801 CMR 21 requires?

MR. LENNON: So, what 801 CMR 21 requires is that there is always competitive procurement. You make yourself open to as many people as possible. And that OSD, Operational Services Division is designated by the Governor as the entity that will set out the regulations
and the policies that comply with the regulations for competitive procurement in Massachusetts.

And a lot of the stuff that they throw out are the statewide contract list. So, they are the designated agent for procuring those. They are the ones that send out these benchmarks, set them for the year. They are the group that came up with the Supplier Diversity Office and registering people and the background qualifications for registering those people. Who would qualify, what financials they had to submit.

So, that was a lot of what originated from that. It's a competitive procurement rule for the Commonwealth.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: So, it's a comprehensive regime and structure for purchasing in the Commonwealth.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: And by
adopting them, we have the flexibility, for example, whenever some purchase need comes up to first go to the approved state contracts and just pick a firm that has been prequalified or
qualified.
COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: And we're
obliged to do that; is that right?
MR. LENNON: We are obliged, yes.
If we don't do it, one of the exceptions in their policy is to write to their General Counsel and the purchasing agent and provide a reason why their statewide contract doesn't work for us.

And then they will make a justification of whether that's accurate or not or whether we've met that standard if it doesn't work. Then they'll give us the approval to either procure something on our own or they'll tell us no, go back and ask another group of vendors. That's happened to us a few times.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: And we did competitive procurements on our gaming consultants, our outside counsel when we started. We continue to do them. And it might be part of my earlier point was, I think we are going to see less and less of that just because of where we are, less competitive procurements
that we'll conduct as we continue to mature. COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Less and less of managing the procurement process through this centralized --

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: No, no, less and less of our own competitive procurements. I think it was very understandable for us to do that when we procured unique gaming consultants, for example. There's no statewide contract for gaming consultants.

MR. BEDROSIAN: There is now.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: There wasn't when we started. A lot of the costs that are on the horizon for us, there's a lot of statewide contracts that we can look at.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Okay. All set?
Thank you.
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Thank you
both.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: We are back now to
item (6).
MR. BEDROSIAN: Item (5).
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: You're done, so
back to item (5), right, Director Vander

Linden.
MR. VANDER LINDEN: Good afternoon.
The first agenda item I have for you is an update on Play My Way which is our play management tool that will be launched right now expected to be at the end of this month, but I'll give you an update on that timeline as well.

I think this is coming along beautifully. I think it's a great tool. I think you'll appreciate the presentation. Granted it's not as cool as picking up and moving a church, but at the same time the effort to this forward has been significant.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Similar. It
weighs about the same as the church.
MR. VANDER LINDEN: What I'm going
to do is just kind of walk you through each of the screens that you would see as it would show up on a slot machine at Plainridge Park Casino once we launch. Understand that what I am showing you is one type of screen that you would see. And that would be what's called the DM screen, which is the screen that basically
it's the large picture slot machine.
There are two different types of screens that are on the floor at Plainridge Park Casino. The other is an eyeview or LVDS. If you recall, you saw this in December that that LVDS screen is much, much smaller.

So, while it looks like there's plenty of space on this screen, it does look different on that LVDS or small screen. But I assure you, as we've been testing this we've taken a look and made sure that the product that we're developing fits well onto that small screen and that players can see it and easily move through it.

What you have is the introduction screen in front of you. This is the screen that a player would see in a couple different scenarios. The first would be right after they sign up for a Marquee Reward card or player card. Mind you that this is a tool only for Marquee Reward players. We're calling -- It's a benefit of joining Marquee or being a Marquee Reward member.

So, as soon as an individual would
put their card into the machine, this screen would pop up on half of the screen. You can see that Play My Way basically kind of takes over half of the screen. On the other half of the screen is a slot machine.

Another way in which a player would see the Play My Way is on the first day of launch. When they first sit down and put their card into the machine, they will get this screen. Another way in which they could find this screen is through enrollment at a GameSense kiosk.

It is intended that and as approved by the Commission back in I believe the end of 2014 that we wanted to have many ways in which a player could enroll in Play My Way through a slot machine, with a GameSense advisor, through a GameSense kiosk. And that's the product that we have now.

And the final way in which somebody would see this screen is you can kind of see it at the very bottom. It's the carousel and you can see the Play My Way icon. Say for example an individual declines to enroll at one point,
but a week later says, yes, I want to enroll. They can tap the Play My Way icon at the bottom of the screen. And this screen would come up for them.

Also as adopted by the Commission, it's intended that we want to introduce Play My Way, provide this as an option but we don't want this to become a burden or something that they would see every time they sit down at the machine.

So, if somebody says close, return to game, the slot machine takes over the full picture. But this screen would pop up for them once every 30 days. Again, this was an issue that was contemplated or directed by the Commission from the onset.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Mark, I thought I remembered, I think maybe we talked about this before. The first screen you get, you put your Marquee Rewards in for the first time, it's this. I thought there was a first screen that was a question. Do you want to use Play My Way? Because we had then talked about -- Am I wrong? Was there never a question?

MR. VANDER LINDEN: There was a placeholder screen very early on. And it looked like it served that purpose, but this is actually the first screen an individual would see.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Because that's all the more reason why the marketing launch is important. Because they say introducing Play My Way. I don't want to be introduced to Play My Way. What's Play My Way? Whereas if it said do you want to use Play My Way then at least there would be some text that said -- So, it's all the more that they've got to be aware of it when they first sit down with their Marquee Rewards card.

MR. VANDER LINDEN: Right. And
Elaine and I will talk about a very robust promotional campaign that it's our goal that when somebody sits down when this is launched that they won't have a question about what Play My Way is or what this screen is. And that we will provide a lot of opportunity to talk about it and promote it.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Would it be
assumed, Mark that when people apply for their Marquee Rewards card that Play My Way will be specifically described to them at the time?

MR. VANDER LINDEN: Yes. My hope is that there will definitely be literature within the packet of information that they get. And part of the training that I'll be doing over the next couple weeks is meeting with player services to talk about how they introduce Play My Way as well.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Mark, do we know the percentage of players at the casino that have the Marquee Rewards card?

MR. VANDER LINDEN: Yes, we do.
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Ballpark do you know?

MR. VANDER LINDEN: I don't know what the percentage is, but $I$ know what the number of active Marquee Reward players they have.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: I remember a figure of around 60 percent but that may be an industrywide, not necessarily a Plainridge.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: I thought it was much higher than that. We can find out.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: I know I've asked the question before and $I$ just $I$ think it's probably changed. I think I recall a 60 percent number but that was a while ago.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: That could very well be an industry once you have table games too. So, who knows.

MR. VANDER LINDEN: Without getting into the actual number that they have, I'm not sure I can share that just for protecting that for them.

What my anticipation is that there is a certain percentage of their Marquee Reward players that spend an average of $\$ 50$ or less per day. Given that we have an incentive and this may be a more valuable tool for them, my hope is that we capture between 10 and 30 percent of those customers that would spend in that price range per day when they are gaming at Plainridge Park Casino.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: And you think that the lower spend is more apt to use this; is that the history?

MR. VANDER LINDEN: Again, this is
all speculation mind you, because this has not been rolled out in the United States previously. For a couple of different reasons, I think it may be a more attractive tool.

One is that it may be a group that is more likely to monitor the amount that they spend first and foremost. Another is that what we have is an incentive that goes along with enrollment in Play My Way. And that incentive may be a more attractive offer for them than perhaps players who spend more.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: That makes sense.

MR. VANDER LINDEN: Again, if you hit return to game, the game takes over. If you hit enroll, you go to a terms and conditions screen.

Any of the verbiage that you see on these screens has all been language that we've worked very closely with Elaine, with Mike, with our advertising company, More Advertising. And it's a very concise, limited way in which we talk about Play My Way. We want to keep the message of what it is and how to use it as
simple as possible.
So, you'll see language throughout here that is also translated through various types of promotional items that we have.

Again, if somebody wants to continue through the enrollment, they are asked to enter their Marquee reward number or PIN number. There is a very brief one to two seconds processing screen that comes up. Then it goes to set your budgets.

Individuals can set either a daily, weekly and/or monthly. You can set one or you can set two or you can set all three. In order to do that you would push the set button on the right-hand side there. And it would take you to this screen, a very easy to use keypad.

And again, at any point if decide you're in this and you don't really want to use it, you can $X$ out and return to the game. You can $X$ out either through the green button or in the top right-hand corner.

Once you do, you get an enrollment successful screen. And it directs you to the GameSense Information Center where they would
receive a five dollar food credit for any food vendor at Plainridge Park Casino. That's the extent of it.

It could be more complicated. What
I walked you through is if somebody sets a daily budget. If you want to set an additional budget, if you want to set a weekly or monthly budget, you would go through that process two additional times before you get to the enrollment successful screen.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Mark, the five dollar reward doesn't seem to be an awful lot. Did that figure come up from us or was that what Plainridge said that they were willing to --

MR. VANDER LINDEN: That is a number that came from us, but I do have to tell you -We pay that and it is credited back to Plainridge Park Casino. I think incentivizing it -- When we looked at major barriers to this type of program in other jurisdictions, the two things that popped out and I think I mentioned this before, is enrollment, getting people to use it and measuring its effectiveness.

And I think that in order to get a maximum enrollment, we really wanted to pay attention to the incentives and how we promote it. Both of which $I$ feel strongly that what we have at least at the onset is a very solid program to maximize the enrollment in the program.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: I'd be completely supportive of that. It just doesn't seem like five dollars would get you much more than a Coke.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Coke is free.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Coke is free at Plainridge.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Half a beer.
MR. VANDER LINDEN: I would say at our GameSense Information -- It's only for food not for alcoholic beverages. Our GameSense Information Center, I know that any promotional items that we have there to hand out that would have a value of far less than five dollars are very popular with patrons that visit the casino.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Mark, the example you got here is the person has chosen to set their daily budget. They enter \$50. Now if they want to set their weekly budget how do they do that?

MR. VANDER LINDEN: They would go back and it would then set --

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: So, you don't push enter. You'd push your \$50 and then you'd push back? I think that's going to cause people a lot of confusion.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: I remember that you went to the 50, if you push enter it defaults to that screen again.

MR. VANDER LINDEN: Actually, you're right, Commissioner. It does. It goes back. And when it goes back to this screen next button, the next button is activated. You can't see the next button on this screen because nothing has been entered, is not activated.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: It's not right
here. We've skipped a slide.
MR. VANDER LINDEN: Right, I'm
sorry. That's right. It does. After you enter, right now you would press enter for the daily -- or set for the daily. It would take you this this screen. You would press enter. It would return you to this screen. The next button would be activated so that you could actually go to the enrollment successful screen or you could set a weekly and/or monthly budget.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: You'd see a $\$ 50$ on the first white box there and you could then return to game, reset or set the weekly or monthly budget if you wanted.

MR. VANDER LINDEN: Right, yes.
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: That's a
wrench as in adjust.
MR. VANDER LINDEN: Correct.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: I first thought it
was a lobster.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: With very
small arms, very small claws.
COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Mark, where does it tell you that you have the option of doing all three.

MR. VANDER LINDEN: I believe it's in the -- We have it throughout our promotional materials, but I think that's a good point, Commissioner Stebbins. That we could add a line in there under the introducing Play My Way that would state that you could set a daily, weekly and/or monthly budget.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Yes.
MR. VANDER LINDEN: There's a number of small content revisions that are sitting with Bally who is our developer for this right now. Those at this point are pieces that we could continue to make requests that that would be included prior to the launch.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: The team here has worked very to try to find the right balance to non-verbose. There's a real estate screen issue here especially when you look at the small displays. The more information that we put in the more that there's a risk for a patron to be turned off and say oh, just get me out of here. That's always been very thoughtfully trying to balance.

MR. VANDER LINDEN: Yes. It looks
like there's plenty of space on here, but again you take it back to the eyeview small screen and that space is crunched down.

What I want is to provide people with the information they need in order to make a decision about whether or not they want to enroll. As I said, we have a lot of really important things to say about responsible gaming and ways to keep their gaming fun. But for the most part, it's not going to be captured on these screens because the real estate is very small.

We continue to rely heavily on the staff at the casino and more importantly our GameSense advisors to communicate the bigger picture of it.

And what I've said before also, this is but one tool that would fall under the GameSense strategy. There's much, much broader strategy that we have employed in Massachusetts to talk about responsible gaming and all the different kind of ways to the principles of responsible gaming. And this is one tool. It's a really interesting tool. And I think
it'll be very interesting to see how it unfolds, but it is just one tool.

Moving on then. There's a way in which to adjust your budget. So, if you want to adjust your budgets and actually this is a screen where we have made a few changes. I'm going to try to remember that those changes are to the best of my ability.

What you are seeing right now is a screen prior to the testing that I had done last week with some recommendations for changes. But before I explain that let me just explain what this screen is.

So, if you want to adjust your budgets, you can do so at any time. And what it would do, it would tell you exactly what your current budget is and then you can press the adjust button. And it would take you to another keypad screen. The keypad then would take you back to this screen so you could see what your new budget is.

One of the changes, it wouldn't say actual budget versus my budget choices. It will say current budget and the new budget so
that there's not confusion about kind of what your current budget is. In addition, we're going to remove the reset button. Really all that that does is it deletes all of your current budget choices.

In order to again try to keep as concise as we possibly can with as few buttons and options as possible to get the person from start to finish, we are looking at all of these different factors and ways we can make it easier to use.

Once you do that you get a budget successful screen. I wanted to take you back to the reminder screens. Again, there's a small change on these reminder screens that is in process right now too.

So, you get reminder screens at 50 percent 75 percent as you approach your set budget. You'll get a reminder at 100 percent and then 25 percent -- every 25 percent thereafter.

Play is not suspended. Play is not cut off. The machine does not freeze. An individual can continue to gamble if they
choose to. And that was a very conscious decision by the Commission that this a tool for individuals to use. They can use it or not use it. We don't want to make it any type -create any type of penalty or a barrier for them. What it is intended to do is to increase a patron's informed choice about their gaming decisions.

So, what you see right here is a screen that would say you're approaching the budget that you had set. You have spent 50 percent of your budget for the day. And it would give you an opportunity to return to the game.

Here is a screen that would indicate that the individual has hit 100 percent of their budget for the day. What we are going to add is another statement in there that would say if you want to stop play to remove your player card and that would terminate the session for the individual.

We want to create an option. You're at a crossroads, if you will, when you get one of these screens. And we want there to be a
decision, an informed decision about whether or not they would continue to gamble or whether or not they would decide because I hit my budget, it's time to walk away.

That's why I think that this screen just in general creates that crossroad. But adding the additional question in there or the additional statement in there kind of further puts -- further makes that point.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Say you walk away, you go have lunch. Then you decide you want to continue. So, you come back at a different machine and you put your card in, would you get that screen immediately that said you have reached your budget limit?

MR. VANDER LINDEN: That's correct. If you set that's correct, you would get that screen. It would let you know where you stand.

It's also important to note that these are approximations. When I say that you get a notice at 50 percent, 75 percent, 100 percent, the machine calculates that up to I think it's 100 percent once every three spins of the reel.

So, you may actually be over 50 percent. You may be over 75 percent because it's only calculating it once every three. After 100 percent, it's calculating it every single time --

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: -- up to 75.
MR. VANDER LINDER: -- up to 75
every single time you press the button. So, you get a much more accurate, clearer picture of where you stand in terms of budgets you had set.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Theoretically
you're at 99 percent, you have a big loss, you push, you have a big loss, you're now at 110 percent not 100 .

MR. VANDER LINDEN: And it'll give you the actual percent. So for example, as the Chairman said you would get a message that said you are at 110 percent.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: This one is a flexible one. When you get to 100, it'll give you what your actual is with that the last spin.

MR. VANDER LINDEN: Correct.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Oh, I didn't know that.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Does it slow down the machine at all to calculate?

MR. VANDER LINDEN: No, there is no slowdown in the machine. The machine remains active. Even when you have a screen like this, the machine remains active. It just takes over half of the screen.

So, for example, you could get a message that you could adjust your budgets and on the right side. the machine is still active and you can continue to play, which is another feature that the Commission had requested early on and said we don't want to lock up the machine. We don't want to lock up the machine.

Another important piece of this is the ability to unenroll at any time. So, if an individual enrolls in it for whatever and then for whatever reason it is that they decide this is not a tool that they want to use, we've always wanted to make it very easy for them to unenroll.

But we want to get a little bit of
information about why it is that they are unenrolling. What you see right now is a number of choices for them to unenroll. In the latest version that's going to be released, we've trimmed the number of reasons that an individual can state down to $I$ think seven reasons. Again because when I saw this, we didn't want to have a scrollbar. We wanted it to fit on the LVDS screen. So, we looked at the various reasons why would somebody unenroll and trimmed the ones that we thought were either redundant or less likely to be clicked.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Mark, remind me was the text in this also discussed with the Division on Addiction?

MR. VANDER LINDEN: Correct.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: I'm
particularly interested in the one that says $I$ no longer have a problem with gambling.

MR. VANDER LINDEN: Actually, Commissioner Zuniga, this isn't what the Division on Addiction and the Gaming Commission had agreed to early on.

When I got these screens, there were
some other reasons that came from other jurisdictions that were prepopulated. What we have is a very small set of approved reasons in which somebody wouldn't want to.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Does it have the bad experience with RG ambassador?

MR. VANDER LINDEN: No.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Okay, good.
MR. VANDER LINDEN: In fact, that was the first thing that tipped me off that we had the wrong set. We don't refer to them as RG ambassadors.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Do they have to check at least check one box to successfully unenroll?

MR. VANDER LINDEN: Correct, yes.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: But one is I prefer not to say.

MR. VANDER LINDEN: Yes, I believe it does. Then you would get a screen that says your unenrollment is successful and you can reenroll at any time.

So, the incentive is for first-time
enrollees. So, if somebody comes back for -unenrolls and then comes back for a second time, they're not eligible for that five dollar incentive.

So, in addition to the Play My Way program that's launching, at the same time, we're going to offer a set of static screens, GameSense static screens. Again, it's hard to see down there at the bottom carousel, but you see the Play My Way icon but you can also see the GameSense icon on the right side of that.

So, what would happen if you hit that GameSense icon again is it's a set of static screens that would provide information only for you and it would launch into those. So, it would provide information about the GameSense Information Center. It would provide information for you about Play My Way.

This is language that was adopted -that we had adopted in collaboration with More. It would provide some very basic information about how slots work, and GameSense tips.

What you don't have in front of you also is the shots from the GameSense kiosks
which are interesting. You can enroll in Play My Way from the GameSense kiosk. There is also a number of different screens and ways to get information from the GameSense kiosk.

Commissioner Zuniga, Chairman Crosby last week tested it in an isolated gaming lab at Plainridge Park Casino. It's live on two test machines there. This doesn't I feel do justice to (1) kind of the ease of the tool and (2) just what the value of the tool is. And I invite you to come down sometime over the next couple of weeks before we go live to test it, see it, use it. And I would be glad to kind of walk you through it at that point too.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: You'll also be invited when we go live.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: I'll be there on Tuesday. So, I'd love to try it. Did Bally -- They developed.

MR. VANDER LINDEN: Correct.
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Did they develop the Canadian model as well?

MR. VANDER LINDEN: Yes.
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Did they have
any feedback of this compared to -- I know you don't want to necessarily say this is better than their model, but did they have any feedback?

MR. VANDER LINDEN: It's
interesting. This is called precommitment. It's the Bally precommitment system. And it was a system that was originally developed for a jurisdiction in Australia. So, that became kind of the base model. And we received a lot of benefit from just taking that base model.

But we also had a lot of our own specific unique requirements. Some of those specific unique requirements are then being transferred to other jurisdictions that are picking it up. For example, in Canada Bally also is working with the Ontario Lottery group and the British Columbia Lottery Group -- Both of them are lotteries as well as casinos. -- to develop this exact same tool.

It's live in Ontario. They did a test at one casino and are preparing if not they haven't already gone through the entire province are in the process of doing that. The

British Columbia Lottery Corp. is planning on taking I think what is very similar to this tool and taking it live throughout British Columbia sometime it's either this summer or early fall. Their timeline like ours has shifted to some degree as well.

So, these GameSense tips, the static screen was actually a function that was developed for British Columbia that we then adopted. And I think that there are some great lessons to be learned. What we learn in our evaluation can be applied to other jurisdictions. What other jurisdictions are learning, we certainly would want to consider and see if it makes sense for us in Massachusetts.

The key point though is that this is all a test. This was adopted as a test. And a test to be evaluated before we would decide whether or not this is something that we would want to adopt and use throughout our licensees in Massachusetts. As I hear very clearly that's a let's wait and see what the results of our evaluation are.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Great, looks excellent. Thank you, Mark.

MR. VANDER LINDEN: One last piece and I want to credit Mike Sangalang for this. And I thought this was great. Again, not as cool as moving a church but still great is the Play My Way icon in the upper left-hand corner is sort of a dynamic icon where you can kind of see it sparkle or star. That is dynamic where it continues to kind of sparkle. It's more of an animated piece than a static icon on the screen.

Now going to the timeline. When I brought this to you originally or when I brought this to you last, it was in March. And we were getting ready to launch into a series of content revisions. Most of those content revisions are done. As you saw, there were a few that were lingering out there that we continue to work on. That's really to be expected. So, we've gone through a number of content revisions both for the EGM as well as for the kiosk.

We last week, the Mass. Gaming

Commission went through user acceptance testing and training with some additional changes.

Right now, Penn Plainridge Park Casino is in a two-week period of user acceptance testing. I think this week the site is doing their user acceptance testing. And next week they'll have representatives from Penn corporate that will be there to do user acceptance testing.

The week of the 23rd, so a week and a half from now we will go into an intense week of training where Bally will be back out. And we will go into a number of trainings across all levels.

Right now $I$ was adding it up. I have roughly 15 different trainings that I'll be doing, some of them in conjunction with Bally and some of them just on my own to talk about the program, what the value of it is, why it's there and how to use it. The bulk of that will take place the week of May 23.

It will be pushed out on May 30 to kind of the system. The idea that on May 31 -Right now, the plan that we have is that it would be pushed out to the gaming floor to two
banks on the gaming floor, which is roughly as I understand 20 slot machines on May 31.

Those slot machines, those two banks will be cordoned off so that we can go through additional intensive testing to make sure that when it's live and on the floor that it's functioning as expected and everything works.

This is a plan that $I^{\prime}$ ve worked out with Director Glennon as well as Penn on-site. So, I'm feeling good about that sort of test environment both now as well as when it actually hits the gaming floor.

And on June 1 starting at 9:00 a.m., it would be turned on live onto the gaming floor.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: That will be a soft launch.

MR. VANDER LINDEN: It will be live and on the gaming floor. We will be staffed up for it.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Right. But we're not announcing it as a public event for some time thereafter. It's soft launch, like early opening of a restaurant so we try to get the
bugs out before we really promote the fact that it's there. If there's press coverage, it won't be that day.

MR. VANDER LINDEN: So, that's the update on Play My Way. I appreciate the help. We've had weekly calls on this. I've had numerous, numerous meetings with Bally who's done a fantastic job in partnering and developing this.

And certainly with Penn in providing feedback and trying to figure out where there are barriers to implementing this first of its kind type of program in the United States to figure out how those barriers can be eliminated. That's been great $I$ can't imagine -- Without that cooperation, I can't imagine how we would be able to be successful at getting this actually even off the ground.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Mark, beyond some of the free media, Elaine, what other avenues of promotion or highlighting this program are you thinking about?

MR. VANDER LINDEN: That's a great question that $I$ think will turn over to Elaine
to start talking about the promotional campaign to get the word out about this, if that's okay with you.

MS. DRISCOLL: Good afternoon. I'll be very brief because $I$ think that we did already touch upon the vast majority of this information when we unveiled what the collateral and what not would look like.

The collateral that we've shown you already is essentially the bulk of it. Since that time, we've added a few different styles pieces and things like that. But they all have obviously the same look and feel.

In the last couple of weeks, we've been working very closely with Plainridge Park Casino to establish a strategic timeline for the promotion, and then to also ensure a plan that prioritizes and maximizes customer experience. We obviously don't want to do anything that compromises customer experience while we're in the process of trying to roll this out.

Plainridge had a lot of really great feedback for us in terms of how to determine
the timeline, and what types of materials to give to the customers when, why, where. So, we're in the process of doing all that right now.

Essentially, all of the collateral has been developed. It's been sent to print. We are printing upwards of 30,000 pieces of collateral and things like that, essentially, brochures and signage in a variety of different styles. Again, to be handed out in different types of venues whether it's at player services, the type of brochure they'd be getting, an employee poster for the back of the house, signage that will be hung up around the gaming floor and things along those lines.

That's all been done. Basically, they wisely told us that we shouldn't start promoting around Plainridge until the employees have been trained up. So in other words, don't start hanging signs about things that the employees aren't aware of yet, which makes a lot of sense.

So, that training will be happening between the 23 rd and the 27 th. So, coming soon
signage will start going up during the course of that week. And we have Play My Way coming soon signage being developed right now.

Basically, that will be in the form of posters on the gaming floor as well as we'll be sending just some files to Plainridge and they will be creating signage with our guidance for all of the available digital signage that's around the facility.

Mark and I are also scheduled to go out there next week just to make sure that we're not missing any signage opportunities. We think we know everywhere that we have the ability to hang stuff. We just want to make sure that there isn't anything that we missed. So, we'll do a walk-through next week to make sure we're maximizing all available real estate for signage.

And then like I said, coming soon signage being created right now. The email blast that will go out to all of the Marquee Rewards holders will happen. And they said, meaning Plainridge said you really don't want to send that until right before it's live, so
literally two or three days prior to it being live.

Once it's live, the other thing that we'll be doing which was a great suggestion from Plainridge will be essentially creating almost a Play My Way I'm calling it like a popup shop inside the GameSense Info. Center. So, we'll transform the GameSense Info. Center into like a Play My Way headquarters, festive environment with handouts, signage, balloons, all that type of stuff. That will be happening for as much as a week to two weeks during that rollout period.
We'll also be doing a small
advertising buy in the month of June, but it's small. And the reason for that is although we obviously want to be able to create a general mass awareness of the fact that this program is offered at Plainridge, the fact of the matter is this is largely a direct marketing campaign, because those who can sign up is so targeted with Marquee Reward holders that we want to make sure that that's the vast majority of our efforts are.

And then at the same time, as the Chairman mentioned, there will be a media campaign that happens around this at the same time. All of the materials will be ready to go.

But we're going to have to be a little bit nimble in terms of deciding which day exactly we hit send on the press release, because although we have an idea of when all this is rolling out, the fact of the matter is before we do have a media day or anything like that we do want to have some time to have a bit of a soft launch to work out -- Any time you roll out technology, there's like to be a few kinks and growing pains and things like that. So, we want a little bit of time to be able to work through that. And then be ready to move forward with the media campaign.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Comments?
MR. VANDER LINDEN: Can I make one last comment? The staff piece in making sure we provide additional staff support during the first week, possibly more of the launch that we're working with staff at MGC that are
typically based out of the Boston office.
We're working with the Mass. Council on Compulsive Gambling, staff that they have there that have been backups for our GameSense Advisors to provide additional staff support during that first week of the launch.

It's so very important for us both through the promotional campaign but also that human touch to make sure that if people have questions, if they want more information that we're there to do that. I think that I appreciate everybody's kind of putting forth that extra effort during that week or so to assure a solid launch of this.

MS. DRISCOLL: And just to add one more thing too, it's important to note the GameSense Info. Center the good news is is where it's located within the casino, they say that about 70 percent of people who are entering the casino enter through that way. So, the good news is is that we'll be able to touch a lot of people right at that entry point with giveaways.

> We're giving away like different
types of swag, stress balls, lanyards, things like that that are all Play My Way branded. But then what we'll also be doing because the other issue is if you have a lot of people coming in at once and there's a lot of free things to take away, logistically one of the concerns is backup, so almost creating a bottleneck right at the entrance that could potentially happen.

Again, helpful feedback from Plainridge in terms of our consideration. So, there will also be another location within the casino, another table over by the valet area that again get the other 30 percent of mostly those that are entering, but also an additional place to hand out and inform people and what not so that there's not a complete backup at the GameSense Info. Center in the event that that were to happen. Maybe it won't, but it will be planned for.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: It's great. COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Excellent. CHAIRMAN CROSBY: You've got one more, Mark? Thanks, Elaine.

MR. VANDER LINDEN: I do have one more. It's the fiscal year 2017 gaming research agenda I'm bringing back before you.

In December, I brought it to you for advice as we were kind of beginning to form this gaming research agenda. Section 71 of Chapter 23K directs the Gaming Commission to develop an annual research agenda. So, we look to how we can continue this research agenda on an annual basis in a way that provides us with the information that we need to fulfill this section of the mandate.

I have a memo for you that's in your binder that provides a little bit of background on section 71. It also provides for you just a very brief explanation about the Public Health Trust Fund Executive Committee which is the body that is chaired by our Chairman Crosby as well as associate Commissioner Lindsey Tucker from the Department of Public Health.

It is this group that is charged with setting annual budget and protocols for expenditures that will come from the Public Health Trust Fund.

Now mind you that the Public Health Trust Fund has not been established yet but this group is still charged with setting the budget for expenditures that would come from or fall under the umbrella of the Public Health Trust Fund.

Also included in there is a brief explanation of each of the research projects that fall under the current research agenda. It's been a process to kind of whittle down the recommendations for the fiscal year 2017 research agenda, and included extensive conversations with our Gaming Research Advisory Committee as well as our partner at the Department of Public Health.

And it was brought before the -Again, it was brought before our Commission. It was also brought before the Public Health Trust Fund Executive Committee for advice. And on April 11 when the Public Health Trust Fund Executive Committee last met, they adopted this gaming research agenda that I'm bringing before you today.

So, the research agenda that will be
launched or continued mostly in fiscal year 2017, as you know, we have the study of social and economic impacts of gaming in Massachusetts. That continues to be a cornerstone study that we would like -- we plan to continue.

We have done an enormous amount of work with SEIGMA. The deliverables that we've received to date are outlined on page one of the memo. And on page two it talks about kind of whether the deliverables that are forthcoming. And a lot of those deliverables then will be carried into the fiscal year 2017 gaming research agenda.

The agenda also includes a continuation of MAGIC, which is the Massachusetts Gaming Impact Cohort study. Again, this would be the second of the two cornerstone projects that we have underway for us to get a better picture of gambling behavior of residents in Massachusetts, but more specifically of gambling behavior of individuals that are at risk or problem gamblers in Massachusetts to better inform our
strategies and plans for intervention and prevention.

Another important project that we look to continue is the evaluation of responsible gaming initiatives through the next year. Play My Way is an incredibly important initiatives that we plan to evaluate. That evaluation will dictate where we go in the future with this type of technology. The GameSense Information Center is also an important evaluation piece as well as the voluntary self-exclusion program.

We also look to continue our study of the public safety impact that we do in collaboration with Christopher Bruce. We will plan to continue that in the Plainville area and begin to look towards expanding that into Springfield and Everett area.

In fact, in the fall of this year we plan to begin discussions with Springfield and all the surrounding communities and begin to pull together the baseline for that study in this part of the state.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: So, Mark,
the baseline for the Boston-area casino and Springfield has not been done yet?

MR. VANDER LINDEN: No, it has not. That will be in the works in the near future. CHAIRMAN CROSBY: The baseline? COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: The targeted samples you must mean.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: The targeted sample for Boston is within the big sample. MR. VANDER LINDEN: No, I'm talking about the crime impact study.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Okay, sorry.
COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Actually, I was asking about the baseline on everything.

MR. VANDER LINDEN: Let me further explain that. We have a baseline of the entire state, a general population study of gambling behaviors added to its prevalence of problem gambling for Massachusetts. It was a sample of roughly almost 10,000 adult residents in Massachusetts.

Where we have licensees, we have said that we want to get a clearer picture on those specific areas. In the Boston-area, the
sample is large enough from the Boston-area that we didn't need to go out and do a specific targeted sample on that area to get that clear picture.

But for Springfield and Plainville, we did need to go out and do a targeted survey in those areas in order to establish that baseline. That has been done for Springfield and Plainville.

In fact, another part of the gaming research agenda for 2017 is to go back out and do another targeted survey in the Plainville area to get a picture of what has been the impact in that local area a year after the opening of Plainridge Park Casino.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: The
Southeastern, the targeted sample. The targeted sample around Taunton is part of the fiscal year ' 17 research agenda because now that's also an area.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: There's also the secondary data, the data collection for the secondary data which is all the crime statistics and property values and all that
other stuff that's all been collected or is pretty near collected for the entire state.

MR. VANDER LINDEN: Correct.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: So, our baseline is pretty well set. We pretty well have all of our baseline done.

MR. VANDER LINDEN: That's right. In fact, we plan to be in the field for the Taunton and surrounding community baseline targeted survey at the same time that we would do the follow-up targeted survey for Plainville and surrounding community. There's actually a great benefit of being out in the field for both of those at the same time. There's a cost efficiency in doing that that we will realize through this process too.

There's two additional parts to the gaming research agenda. One piece is required by statute § 97 that requires us to work with an experienced nonprofit entity to collect, anonymize, store and disseminate information generated by loyalty programs, player tracking software, player card systems or other information systems.

It was in the last research agenda for last year, but we were unable to launch it at that time. We've had talks with our licensees just kind of about some of the complications of that and making sure that the groundwork is set to launch that. And we'd look to then procure that in the next fiscal year.

To some degree that actually is going to already take place as we launch the evaluation of Play My Way. We will be taking, collecting player information for individuals that enroll in Play My Way as well as a sample of individuals to do a comparison study. Again, following the same piece anonymizing the information so we would never be able to track it back to the individual.

The last piece of it is identifying a priority subgroup where further information is needed. And it's expected that the priority subgroup is largely would be isolated by an evaluation of the SEIGMA baseline population survey as well as additional research.

And that we will work with the

Public Health Trust Fund Executive Committee and other advisory groups to identify the specific subgroup that we would want to take a closer look at.

Again, the idea is that we will target an at-risk or high risk group, high risk for a gambling problem so that we have that information. We can develop more targeted types of prevention and intervention programs to those groups.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Can I ask, remind me MAGIC last year had two waves effectively. There was crossover of fiscal years a little bit. There was wave two remainder and wave three almost complete.

Do you know -- And I know that UMass has not yet provided us with the details of what they think the budget might be. But what is your understanding of whether we'll be doing only one wave versus more than one for MAGIC?

MR. VANDER LINDEN: So, in terms of the MAGIC waves, the first wave was actually considered part of the baseline population survey. That's from the baseline population
survey that's the sample that we drew for MAGIC. So, we're able to call that wave one.

Wave two was roughly a year ago. And that was complete. So, that's two waves that we would have. And they're in the field right now for a third wave of MAGIC trying to maintain consistent contact to make sure that we retain that cohort for as long as we possibly can.

They would look, as I understand, in the next year to continue and follow the same pattern. That they would reach to that cohort of roughly 2300 individuals to do another wave. COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: To do one.

MR. VANDER LINDEN: To do one wave, correct. I continue to work with UMass both on the SEIGMA project as well as the cohort study to kind of finalize those research plans.

It's somewhat tentative right now, but given the experience that we have with them we're able to get a general picture of kind of what the scope and in turn budget would be for those projects in fact, all of the projects that we have out there right now.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Any other
questions or summations?
MR. VANDER LINDEN: There's one point that $I$ do want to make, it's the Gaming Policy Advisory Committee. § 71 says that we would adopt -- we would seek the advice of the Gaming Policy Advisory Committee as we develop the gaming research agenda.

And for various reasons, the Gaming Policy Advisory Committee has not met in the past year. So, we were not able to get their advice prior to adopting -- I'm sorry, the Public Health Trust Fund Executive Committee was not able to gather their advice prior to adopting this research agenda.

As I understand, the Gaming Policy
Advisory Committee will meet sometime in June/July timeframe. And we will certainly reach out to them to capture advice on the gaming research agenda. Any advice that we would get from them, we would take back to the Gaming Policy Advisory Committee and they could weigh and ratify the gaming research agenda if need be.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Are the members of that set by statute?

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Yes.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Yes. The problem has been is there is somebody who is supposed to represent the municipalities and somebody who is supposed to represent the licensees. And to make a long story short, if they serve they become a special state employee which creates a -- Do you remember this issue? -creates a conflict of interest within the state ethics laws.

So, they can't be advisors to us on the GPAC at the same time as they are serving their company or their municipality. So, we haven't been able to get anybody to fill those seats. We therefore haven't been able to get a quorum for the meetings.

So, we talked to the Legislature
about it. We've now talked to the Ethics Commission about it. The Ethics Commission has proposed a modest regulatory change that we have sent to the House and Senate in hope that they will pass it with something or other so
that it exempts people from being special state employee for this particular function. To make a long story short.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Thank you.
MR. VANDER LINDEN: Thank you. CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Let's take a quick break and then we will have Director Lightbown and Mr. O'Neil come up for the last topic. COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Mr. O'Toole. CHAIRMAN CROSBY: It's O'Neil in the agenda by accident.
(A recess was taken)

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Ready to reconvene public meeting number 191 at about 2:15 on the 12th. We have one item left, Dr. Lightbown.

DR. LIGHTBOWN: Good afternoon, Commissioners. Our first item on the agenda is the amended small business impact statement and the final draft of 205 CMR 149 the Race Horse Development Fund regulations. And I'll let Catherine Blue speak to that.

MS. BLUE: Thank you. You have in
your packet an amended small business impact statement as well as the amended version of 205 CMR 149.

Just to put it in context the Race Horse Development Fund is established by the Gaming Act. It is one of up to 10 or so funds created into which gaming revenues that come to the Commonwealth are allocated. The purpose of the fund is to provide supplemental purse money for racing and to support racing. So, we created regs. under this section of 23 K in order to get that money out to the thoroughbred and the standardbred racing tracks and organizations.

So, what you have in your packet is this particular regulation. It's been before the Commission twice before. The changes in it that you see in red were predominantly changes that were made at the Commission's suggestion when it came before you the last time.

Since then we've had our public hearing. We have the comments that we received prior to the public hearing and at the public hearing in your packet.

The one big change that came out of the public hearing was that our stakeholders asked us to delete the requirement that there be a signed purse agreement before the

Commission pays over the purse money. They explained that they wanted to have the right to race if they hadn't fully negotiated that. And I do believe they are the best groups to make that determination. So, I have made that deletion in the regulation.

You'll also see a deletion on the second page. It's paragraph 1 (b). That was deleted because when we brought this before the Commission the last time, the Commission directed us to add language that it was in your discretion when you wanted to pay out the purse money in terms of how much and when and how it was paid out.

So, $1(\mathrm{~b})$ would have conflicted with that language in the balance of the regulation. So, I took that out. But essentially it is pretty much as it was before.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Questions?
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: So, the Race

Horse Committee at this point they determine the split between the two breeds. And the Commission determines the other money issues, correct?

MS. BLUE: Yes, that's correct. The Race Horse Committee still has the obligation under the stature to determine the split. But it will now be up to the Commission to determine how the purse money is paid out. And as you did last year, for example, you entertained requests from the various tracks. And you reviewed them. And then you determined whether that was appropriate and when to pay it out.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: With regard to the purse agreements, $I$ know we had an earlier conversation -- This may be Dr. Lightbown. -- they were close to both having purse agreements.

MS. BLUE: They both have them.
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: They do have them. They have executed agreements.

MS. BLUE: They each have a purse agreement.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: You're okay with the change that we made to not require --

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Yes, I think that's appropriate. So, the racing wouldn't stop if they didn't happen to have it done. And that's happened for a couple of years now where that hasn't been done at the beginning. It was done during a meet, correct?

DR. LIGHTBOWN: Right, correct.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: You mentioned that the comments we received were from both groups of horsemen including also tracks relative to the purse agreement or it was just from horse people?

MS. BLUE: Most of our comments were from the thoroughbreds and both groups. The standardbreds didn't provide comments. But the thoroughbred folks were adamant that they wanted the right to move forward. And as Dr. Lightbown said, there have been years where they have moved forward with the meet and didn't have a purse agreement at the start.

My original thinking was that might be helpful to have that requirement, but they
were very clear that it was not helpful to them to have that. So, we take their opinion on that.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: And the default is without a purse agreement whatever monies flow from our discretion based on their petition goes to purses.

MS. BLUE: It goes to the licensee. It goes into an account for the benefit of the horsemen, but what will get paid out is the purses. And anything that's not paid out in purses will have to sit there until they agree on what's going to happen.

So, it won't go to the horsemen automatically. It doesn't go to the track either. So, they will have to come to a purse agreement at some point.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: But it doesn't put anybody at a disadvantage from a negotiating perspective --

MS. BLUE: No, it shouldn't.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: -- when it comes to the purse agreement.

> MS. BLUE: That's right.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: And I know
that was the initial intention.
MS. BLUE: Yes.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Okay, Commissioner
Cameron do you move?
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: So, I'll do
that Mr. Chair. I move the Commission to
approve the small -- the amended small business impact statement and final amendments to 205 CMR 149 as included in the packet and authorize the staff to take steps necessary to file the amended regulation with the Secretary of the Commonwealth and complete the regulation promulgation process.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Second?
COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Second.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Further
discussion? All in favor, aye.
COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Aye.
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Aye.
COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Opposed? The ayes
have it unanimously.

DR. LIGHTBOWN: Our next item on the agenda is the approval of two new racing officials for Plainridge Racecourse. Tony Salnero, who has been their presiding judge for several years got an offer to work in his home state. Although we are very sad to see him leave, we are very happy for him personally that he'll have this opportunity. I just want to let everybody know from our staff standpoint, he's been wonderful to work with.

In his place, Plainridge would like to have Annmarie Mancini approved. You've already approved her as a racing official earlier this year as a clerk of course/chart writer. She also was approved last year as a substitute judge for Tony. So, she's been in that position before. And she is accredited. So, she's already been through our background check and is good that way. So, if you approve her, she is set to go.

Then to replace her, Plainridge would like to have Catherine Breedlove approved as clerk of course/chart writer. And she's been in the industry a long time also and has
been doing this job down at Pompano.
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: The only
issue there would be the completion of background investigation.

DR. LIGHTBOWN: Correct. She hasn't been through the complete background check yet. So, we would be approving her upon completion of the background check.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Obviously, you need the people in these positions right now.

DR. LIGHTBOWN: Pardon.
COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: You need these people in these positions right now.

DR. LIGHTBOWN: Yes. We are hoping that one of them might start next week and it might be a week or two before the other one starts.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: I think we're fortunate that Ms. Mancini is accredited. That really works. because that was important to us to have accredited officials. I know she was a good addition when she filled in last year. I certainly believe we should approve these
recommendations.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Okay. A motion,
Commissioner?
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: So, I move
that the Commission approve Annmarie Mancini as the presiding judge and approve Catherine Breedlove as clerk of course/chart writer pending her satisfactory completion of licensure by the Massachusetts Gaming Commission racing division and satisfactory completion of her background check by the Massachusetts State Police.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Second.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Further
discussion? All favor, aye.
COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Aye.
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Aye.
COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Opposed? The ayes have it unanimously.

DR. LIGHTBOWN: The third item on the agenda is a request by Plainridge for the ability to offer a minimum $\$ .10$ wagers on
certain exotic bets. This is something that came into the industry back in 2004. I believe Hinsdale, the greyhound track in New Hampshire was the first one to it. And it caught on since then.

Included in the packet is when the State Racing Commission approved this for Suffolk Downs back in 2007. So, they've been doing it for quite a while. And they've had success with it.

It's a nice way of being able to bet a number of horses with a small amount of money. If you do a was superfecta which is where you have to pick the first four horses in order, if you do a box which allows different combinations with a one dollar bet, it would be $\$ 24$ bet total. With $\$ .10$ betting it's a \$2.40. So, if somebody has some change left in their pocket at the end of the day and there's a superfecta, it's a great way of getting them in. Also, I've heard that the bettors that spend higher amounts of money enjoy it also because you can cover a large number of horses.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Mr. O'Toole,
you've never offered this before?
MR. O' TOOLE: No. We made a
decision years ago to stick with the traditional -- Well, we did come down from two dollars to a dollar when a lot of the exotics when people liked box trifectas and superfectas. We did come down to a dollar. And then we stayed there traditionally. This is kind of the way that it's been. And I think we were a little preoccupied over the last few years.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: You were a little preoccupied?

MR. O' TOOLE: Now we see the industry trends. The USTA also has a guaranteed pool that they put out there for certain wagers. And a lot of those wagers meet a lower minimum in order to participate in those. So, we want to take advantage of those opportunities as well.

Alex put it pretty well, obviously you get paid out at a fraction of the pool. You don't get what the two-dollar bettors put into the pool but it generates a lot of
interest because boxing makes $\$ 24$ instead of $\$ 2.40$ to box a super. And you can throw an added horse in there as well, brings it up incrementally.

The bettors like it. And we're taking them now at Plainridge, because tracks like Gulfstream offer them. So, if their jurisdiction offers them, we are taking \$.10 wagers at are track right now just not on our live product because we never individually got it approved by the Commission.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Does Penn use this at some of their properties?

MR. ${ }^{\prime}$ TOOLE: Yes.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: I suppose there's a bit of a parallel to some of the slot machine trends where the denomination has gone down but the combinations are such that you could be effectively betting $\$ 5$ in a $\$ .01$ denomination because the permutations are many more than what would be similarly on a regular slot machine.

MR. O' TOOLE: On my way over from my office to the back offices at the casino, I
walk by the penny slot machines all the time. I've never seen one say $\$ .01$ for a wager. They say more than $\$ .01$ because of the different lines that they use. It's the exact same.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Same analogy. CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Why would one be resistant to it? Why didn't you adopt it back in `07 or ` 08 or `09?

MR. O' TOOLE: It was a thought process at the time. CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Tell me later. MR. O' TOOLE: And if $I$ could just weigh in one second on my name. I was telling Janice that my brother was known for my whole life as O'Malley. And how they came about, I have no idea, but $I$ imagine when he was small, someone called him O'Malley.

As I think you know that I played hockey when $I$ was a kid. And I've been threatening for the last four or five years to exercise more and maybe put the skates back on. So, last July 4 I started. I put the skates on in the summer and I started. And I got into a league that plays on Monday nights. And when I
walked into the locker room, there was three Canadian fellows that come from Waltham that play on my team.

So, I was introduced as Steve O'Toole. And there was one kind of crusty Canadian. And he has a lot to say about everybody's play and everything and kind of harasses the guys. He never ever called me O'Toole. He always called me O'Riley, O'Neil, O'Malley, O'Donnell, no matter what. Get in the corner, $\mathrm{O}^{\prime}$ Donnell.

So, I just thought that was his way of harassing me. So, through the course of playing, everybody's occupation comes up. They find out that $I^{\prime} m$ over at the casino and pointed out that $I^{\prime} m$ on the racing part of casino. Him and his wife go to the casino and they like to play. And they'd go to all the casinos. And we're coming down to your place. So, he visited. And the machines don't pay out enough and all the standard complaints. I'd hear from him, hey, $0^{\prime}$ Flynn you've got to get your machines to pay out more.
So, I said look it, next time you
come to the casino, look me up. I'll have dinner with you or whatever. Yeah, I will.

I went to a game and he said hey, O'Brien, I was at your place the other day. I asked for you and nobody knew who you were.

I said really? I said who did you ask? He said, I walked up to the guy with the gold jacket on, which is the security podium. And he said I asked for you. And they didn't know who you were.

And I said, well who did you ask for? And he said Steve O'Brien. So, the whole time he was never busting my chops. He really didn't know my name was $O^{\prime}$ Toole I guess.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: And then you thought he was in cahoots with Janice on the agenda. All right anything else? Do we need to vote?

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Yes, we do. Again, Mr. Chair, I move that the Commission approve the request of Plainridge Park Casino to offer minimum $\$ .10$ wagers on certain exotic wagers.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Second.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Any further
discussion? All in favor, aye.
COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Aye.
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Aye.
COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Opposed? The ayes have it unanimously.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: One last question of Mr . $\mathrm{O}^{\prime}$ Toole, did you have a full house for the Kentucky Derby with the simulcast?

MR. O' TOOLE: We had a very good crowd. We parked employees up in the new horseman's lot. So, it opened up parking. It accommodated that well. We were up on Friday, Kentucky Oaks day, we were up 12 percent. And for the Derby we were up 19 percent, which we are really happy about it.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Great. Was there spill over into the casino?

MR. O' TOOLE: Well, personally I noticed it. On the figures the next day, food and beverage, Slacks was packed for the entire
day because I go by there a lot. From what I'm told Flutie's was packed as well.

As far as the floor, $I$ don't know. There was a good crowd all day. It seemed to be a cross flow of people up and down the ramp all day long. It was a very good crowd all day. It started early in the morning.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: I think that's it, Sir. Anything else?

MR. BEDROSIAN: No, Sir.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Motion to adjourn?
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: So moved.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Second.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: All in favor, aye.
COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Aye.
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Aye.
COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: We are adjourned.
Thank you everybody.
(Meeting adjourned at 2:40 p.m.)
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