		Page 1
1	COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS	
2	MASSACHUSETTS GAMING COMMISSION	
3	PUBLIC MEETING #216	
4		
5		
6	CHAIRMAN	
7	Stephen P. Crosby (present by telephone)	
8		
9	COMMISSIONERS	
10	Lloyd Macdonald	
11	Enrique Zuniga	
12	Bruce Stebbins	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17	MASSACHUSETTS GAMING COMMISSION	
18	101 Federal Street, 12th Floor	
19	Boston, Massachusetts	
20	May 10, 2017	
21	10:00 a.m 12:23 p.m.	
22		
23		
24		

Good

PROCEEDINGS

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS:

morning. Welcome everyone. This is the 216th meeting of the Massachusetts Gaming Commission. We're here at our offices at 101 Federal Street. I'm Bruce Stebbins, Commissioner, and sitting in as chair.

Chairman Crosby is participating in this meeting remotely. Mr. Chairman, can you hear us? He said he might be on mute.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Can you hear me okay?

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Yes. Can you hear us all right?

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Okay, I am here.

I'm out of the office today because of a personal illness.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: All right.

Just a reminder to my colleagues, because we do have a member of the commission participating remotely that all of our votes will have to be by roll call at this meeting. I'll also note that Commissioner

	Page 3
1	Cameron and Executive Director Bedrosian
2	are not here. They're on official
3	commission travel at this time.
4	That said, let's move ahead to the
5	first item of business is the approval of
6	minutes from the April 27, 2017 meeting.
7	Commissioner Macdonald?
8	COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Yes. I
9	move that we approve the minutes of the
10	April 27, 2017 meeting subject to
11	corrections for typographical errors and
12	for other nonmaterial matters.
13	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: I second that.
14	COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: I have a
15	second. Any other comments or questions?
16	Seeing none, roll call vote. Commissioner
17	Macdonald?
18	COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Aye.
19	COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Commissioner
20	Zuniga?
21	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Aye.
22	COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Commissioner
23	Crosby?
24	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Aye.

	Page
1	COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: And
2	Commissioner Stebbins aye. The minutes are
3	approved. The next item is the
4	administrative update. Sitting in for
5	Executive Director Bedrosian is our Deputy
6	Director Karen Wells. Directer Wells?
7	MS. WELLS: Good morning,
8	Commissioners. I did speak with Executive
9	Director Bedrosian this morning. He had
10	nothing that he needed me to bring to the
11	Commission's attention this morning, so I
12	have no items for the update.
13	COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Okay, we'll
14	move along. Next item is Research and
15	Responsible Gaming, Mark Vander Linden,
16	Director. The item is the Plainridge Park
17	Casino new employee survey report. I'll
18	turn it over to Director Vander Linden.
19	MR. VANDER LINDEN: Great. Thank
20	you and good morning, Commissioners.
21	COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Good
22	morning.
23	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Good morning.
24	MR. VANDER LINDEN: And

Mr. Chairman. I'm joined here by Dr. Mark
Melnik and Andrew Hall of the UMass Donahue
Institute. The UMass Donahue Institute is
a key member of the social and economic
impact of gaming in Massachusetts or as we
know it SEIGMA. They're a key member of
that team. And they have been charged with
carrying out the aspects of the research
agenda related to the economic impacts of
the Expanded Gaming Act in Massachusetts.

Last September the Donahue Institute reported on activities undertaking to construct Plainridge Park Casino and the economic impacts generated through that process. If you recall, they've also led the study on the impacts to the lottery and the baseline real estate and host community reports.

Today they release a report on the workforce and employment opportunities at Plainridge Park Casino. Specifically they will present on work-related characteristics and aspirations of employees hired during the first two years

of operations. I reviewed their report, their power point presentation. It's incredibly thorough, so I won't go into it any deeper, and I'll let Mark and Andrew take it.

Before I do, I just want to call out a few individuals that were part of our review team for this report. Lisa

McKenney, Compliance Manager at Plainridge

Park Casino led our review of this report at Plainridge Park Casino. Also Jill

Griffin, Paul Connelly and Commissioner

Stebbins were part of the review team for this, so a big thank you to them for helping. So with that, I'm going to turn it over to Mark and Andrew.

MR. MELNIK: Great. Thanks, Mark.

Good morning everybody. Good morning,

Chairman Crosby. Good morning,

Commissioners. As Mark already

described -- well, let me introduce. I'm

Mark Melnik, and I'm the Director of the

Economic and Public Policy Research Group

at the UMass Donahue Institute. Separate

from that, I'm also one of the co-PIs on a larger SEIGMA research project. As Mark has already touched on, the SEIGMA project works on studying all related impacts around social and economic issues related to expanding gaming in Massachusetts, including problem gaming and other economic issues on construction, and the larger spin-off of fax operations. The largest spin-off impacts a lot of the real estate and so on.

What I'm going to talk about today is give a really quick overview of some of the things that are going on in SEIGMA project overall, but then talk about our new employee survey that was deployed over the last two years, show you descriptively some of the things that we've learned from our initial data collection and the new survey and then discuss with you where we see extensions of this work going forward, and some of the powerful things we think we're going to be able to do with this data collection once we're able to myriad it to

some other administrative data related to employment of casinos.

So first, before I begin, I wanted to acknowledge a few other people as well.

Andrew Hall, who's with me today. Andrew's a research analyst on our team at UMDI and is one of the key contributors on a number of things that we do on the economic analysis in SEIGMA, and Andrew is an instrumental part of this particular work with the new employee survey.

I also wanted to acknowledge Rebecca
Loveland who does really manage the
day-to-day on the economic piece that we do
and help review and do this work with
Andrew. Rob Mitani, who also played a roll
in this, and you guys have seen Rob
recently. In fact, I think he was here
just a couple of months ago presenting on
the construction impacts.

So, as most folks here are already familiar with, the 2011 Expanded Gaming Law in Massachusetts allowed for resort-style casinos in three geographic, I believe, the

first regions around Massachusetts. No more than one casino per region, and then one slot parlor not graphically restricted. Casino legislation took several years to come to fruition in Massachusetts. And starting in 2007, there was at least some form of this every year until 2011.

This allowed Mass. legislators to really think about how they wanted to implement and execute expanding gaming in Massachusetts. This slide talks a little bit about what was unique about the law in Mass. In particular, regulators required to get equal importance to establishing a viable casino industry as well as minimizing and mitigating negative impacts.

Legislation gave strong voice to close communities, and issues of problem gambling was framed through a public health blend and related funds were put aside to both research and provide services related to problem gambling.

On the research side, this ensuring the role of the research to enhance

responsible gaming and minimize problem gaming and established an annual research agenda to examine the social and economic effects of casino gambling in Mass. and making annual scientifically based recommendations of legislature, and that's a big part of the role that we play now.

Our annual research agenda as part of SEIGMA both touches on social and economic things. It has three key elements, understanding social and economic impacts broadly related to expanded gaming, provide baseline study of problem gaming existing prevention and treatment programs, and help facilitate independent studies to obtain scientific information relevant to enhancing responsible gaming and minimizing its harmful effects.

This next slide kind of gives an overview of some of the activities that have been taking place throughout the course of the SEIGMA work. Down the left column we see just the years of the project, and we have three main phases that

we picture the SEIGMA work taking place and there's the baseline piece of, you know, before or really the beginning stages of gaming in Massachusetts, the operational construction period where we measure the impacts related to the building of the casinos, and then the operational post or the post-operational impacts.

So right now we're still in the baseline in operational construction phase and a little bit into post-operation. In particular, we have Plainridge running and we've been working with the other two facilities now in understanding construction impacts and setting up data collection as it relates to employees in this country. And for more information on SEIGMA, you can go visit that website.

So next what I would like to transition into is talking about the new employee survey. So the purpose of the new employee was to provide a baseline understanding of the casino labor force, where did they come from, what was their

employment status before being hired and what kind of job training opportunities did they seek out in advance of being hired.

In this first section, I'm going to talk about what the goals were of this collection, and how we went about doing it, and how we plan to leverage it in the future. But key to what we plan to do in the future is to link these data with other administrative data to inform a turnover analysis and think about how workers coming in and out of casinos.

I mean, obviously one of the key potential positives that we focused on about expanded gaming in Massachusetts was enhancing employment opportunities for middle-skilled, middle low-skilled folks, particularly in parts of the state where there are -- where there have been problems in terms of job creation. But that's a key question for us is like so who is being hired, and how long are they staying in these jobs, and how successful are they in terms of, you know, wage growth and these

kinds of things.

So while today we'll talk descriptively about data we've already collected, I think a key thing to keep in mind here is how these data would be used and linked in the future to better understand the workforce in the casinos overall.

So who is in the new employee survey? The new employee survey, and I should note here that this has been put together as a PDF right now so all of my fun animations have been killed. But who's captured in the new employee survey? Newly hired gaming and non-gaming employees, employees who are already working at Plainridge Park and -- great, I'll take it from there.

So employees who are already working for Plainridge Park and employees of group port venders. Who's not captured?

Construction workers and other Penn

National employees who temporarily helped out in opening up the casino.

2.1

Now, construction employment is something we have measured and is a part of the construction report that was already released, but this new employee survey is specifically about folks who were hired to work inside the operations of the casino, which includes both gaming and slot parlor, which includes both gaming and food and beverage.

So to walk through the process, this slide describes -- to walk through the process, perspective employees fill out an application. And then if the applicant moves forward to the next level, the applicant is fingerprinted. New applicants take the survey right after they are fingerprinted. These surveys are administered by the Mass. Gaming Commission, and that data collection is then delivered to UMDI for secondary data analysis.

Since the background check has not been completed by this point and, therefore, a conditional point has not been

offered, there may be a slight discrepancy
between the total number of survey
respondents and the full applicants that

So for this reason, it may be most useful to interpret our responses as those individuals who go through the licensing process rather than necessarily employees. That said, the vast majority of these folks, well over 90 percent, end up being employees after this.

have gone through the licensing process.

So we introduced the survey right there during the fingerprinting phase. And while they are sitting to wait for fingerprinting, it's a very brief questionnaire, just five minutes, ask some basic questions about themselves, including employment status prior to hire, current or new -- are they current or new employee, their reasons for seeking the job, and whether or not they move to take the position. And if there was any -- if they got any training independent from employment, right?

So one thing that I want to underscore here is that our data will show here that the majority of folks who are employed at Plainridge Park did not receive training before employment. That's not to say the casino is not training the employees, rather that these workers did not seek training in advance of employment and, I think, speaks a lot to the accessibility of the jobs in the facility because these aren't jobs that necessarily require, hey, you had to go get some sort of a certificate in order to work here kind of thing.

So in terms of linkage, so our plan is to ultimately link the new employee survey data with other forms of data down the road to tell a more complete story of turning over in workforce issues. The survey, as I already noted, looks at previous employment status, reasons for seeking the job, did you move to take this position or are we hiring people who are already from the region or people coming

here from somewhere else, and did they seek independent training in advance to employment.

Ultimately what we're going to have is a unique identifier for folks that UMDI would not have that identifier. It would be anonymous. It would be something that the Mass. Gaming Commission would assign. But we would attach this to applicant data from the MGC about gender, age, citizenship status, military status, educational attainment and where folks live and, likewise, connect to operator data on the casino job or title, ZIP code, salary and wages, part time and full time status and hire and termination date. And, again, getting at that issue of turnover.

So we put all those three pieces together, and that will give a more full analysis of the casino workforce. So today we're talking about one leg of a stool, which is the survey. So some highlights about what we learned in the survey.

First, over the last two years,

we've surveyed over a thousand new employees at Plainridge Park Casino. Most new hires did not transfer from a Penn National location. Approximately half of all new hirers were working either part time or were unemployed at the time of hirer, which I think is a critically important issue here.

We are talking about new opportunities for workers who either were underemployed or unemployed. And most new employees sought casino employment to either advance their career or improve pay, and most workers did not receive preemployment training in advance of hiring.

Based off this analysis, we identified several enhancements to the survey for new questions that will be deployed in new rounds of data collection going forward.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Dr. Melnik, can you spend a minute on the number of the thousand employees survey, which I know is

in the report, but it includes the turnover obviously that has been since the beginning because the normal level of -- the steady stage level of employment is around 540.

MR. MELNIK: Correct, yes. So the way to think about this is this is basically a census of everyone who has been employed at the casino or more specifically who has gone through the licensing process. There is obviously turnover. And, again, that's something that we plan to do a deeper analysis of going forward about how long people are staying on. If we're having turnover, where is that turnover occurring? Is it in particular positions, particular populations and those kinds of things.

We can't answer that right now, but it is something that we plan to answer in the future. But as it is now, this is more of a census of everyone who has been employed, not the current workforce at Plainridge Park right now.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Thank you.

MR. MELNIK: So some key data results from the new employee survey.

Unsurprisingly, we see this -- data were collected between March 2015 through

March 2017. Unsurprisingly, the crush of employment that took place there was most significant over the spring, summertime of 2015, in particular May, June and July.

And as folks here are aware, Plainridge Park opened in June 2015.

Just a couple of key points on that. The hiring peak in June of 2015 there were 264 new hires in that month alone. Since then hiring has slowed down. We see about an average of around 30 every month though, which does speak a little bit, again, to this turnover just like what percentage of the workforce we see flipping at any given time. But in a typical month now, we're seeing somewhere between 20 and 30 new employees coming through the process.

Unfortunately, I think this is what we are looking at here. It might be a version issue between power points, but

~ *1*

what we see here is the number of -- a breakdown of the types of workers in advance of employment, what their characteristics were. Of the 1,047 employees that were -- that went through the new employee survey, about half or 522 were previously employed full time. 363 or about 35 percent were employed part time, and 15.5 percent or 162 were employed -- were unemployed.

So, as I noted before, about half of the population that we're surveying either didn't have a job before or were part time employed, and we'll talk about reasons why folks were looking for employment. But, I think, an important part of the story here is that half of this workforce was either unemployed or employed.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Do we know if or is there a way to know the unemployed and part of the unemployed people obtain part-time employment or full-time employment; did we do that sort of --

MR. MELNIK: That crosswalk is

coming. It's to the connecting these data with the operational data. It's a key point where -- so if you work part time, did you do full time or did you go part time and part time but you're making more money, which is also positive for that worker. But the key that that identifier is what links all three of these databases.

commissioner stebbins: Mark, is it safe to say that the 522 who were previously employed kind of a macro level they're creating 522 vacancies from the jobs that they are leaving, so there is kind of ancillary job creation that's also going on, you know, maybe moving between professions, but they are creating potentially a vacancy on the other end.

MR. MELNIK: Right. That's an excellent point. And, I think, one of the things that we raised before is how much are we cannibalizing labor force from particular industries. The new version of the survey is going to enhance on this point by asking folks, so where were you

working before? And to really get at so are the casinos taking from a particular industry or not, or is it spread diffusely across different sectors. But, obviously, if they were previously employed, they were leaving some job, but these in a way are new opportunities.

But, I think, it will be critical is to know, and I think there's a lot of sensitivity to this in western Mass., for example, of are we taking all of the line cooks from local restaurants and now they are working in a casino. Are we creating some sort of skills issue somewhere else? I don't that is going to happen. But narratively from a policy perspective, those are important things to know.

This next slide looks at work status prior to hire and looking specifically at the unemployed population, and did the worker have previous experience in casinos? And as we see here, 90 percent did not, 91 percent did not. Did they receive training in advance of employment? 83 percent did

not. And did you move here to take a position? 94 percent here did not. So what's happening here.

What I find particularly interesting here is it tells a story of accessibility of these opportunities, because they did not receive training or need to receive training in advance and didn't have previous experience in the industry, and that these were local folks who are being hired among this unemployed population.

So next we look at reasons for seeking employment. The key reasons that folks highlighted for why they sought employment in casino is focused around one, first, the excitement of working in a casino. These percentages just underscore. We asked respondents to select all of the listed options as is this a reason for seeking employment? So if you added these numbers up across, it's not going to equal 100. It's going to be quite a bit over 100.

But what is meaningful here in each

case is what percentage of the folks who did the survey answered this as a reason. So, for example, excitement for working in a casino was selected over 50 percent of the time. So we get in these instances of what the characteristics of the industry or the job are as reasons for why people sought employment, so here excitement for working in the industry, for re-advancement, improved pay, improved benefits all standing out as being particular important.

What also stands out is that these two categories are mutually exclusive.

Were you unemployed and needed work or are you formally part time or underemployed?

And what we see here is 40 percent of the respondents who are hired pick these as reasons for why they sought job importance.

Next we segmented this population full, part time and unemployed to look at the reasons for employment. What stood out, again, as I just noted before, was excitement for working in a casino and

career advancements were -- career advancement rose to the top as being some of the most important factors. But we dig in a little bit on these individual categories we see some interesting things as well.

For the full time, these kind of characteristics around the job were reasons for selecting the casino or wanting to work there. Career advancement, over 50 percent picked that as a reason, over 50 percent take the excitement for working in a casino, and other ones that stood out were improved pay and benefits.

For the part time, this was similar. Characteristics of the job were important, excitement of working in a casino, advanced pay, flexible hours also rated high. For the unemployed, not surprising, three-fourths wanted to work here because, well, they were unemployed here and they needed a job. And then other characteristics surrounding the casino stood out as well.

As I already noted before, did you have previous gaming work experience?

86 percent did not. So, again, getting at this accessibility issue. Only 13 percent had worked in gaming previously. Next we looked at folks who moved here, which was a small part of the population, but who moved for their job. The vast majority came from Massachusetts or other New England states.

And then we checked in on so where did you move to? And for the most part, folks who were moving to the region for employment were staying somewhere in the greater Plainville area. We had Plainville was the most common answer, although a number of communities around Plainville, including parts of Rhode Island were also places that people moved to. That is where the casino is. And then one of the reasons for that is obviously the location of Interstate 95 and the accessibility both north and south.

We asked respondents whether or not they received training in advance of hirer.

Two-thirds did not. Excuse me, three-fourths did not. 75 percent did not receive training in advance, and I apologize that the graph does not show the percentages there.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: But it's shown in the packet.

MR. MELNIK: It's shown in the packet, right. And one-third are saying yes. As I noted earlier, this does not mean there wasn't on-the-job training after employment begins, but rather did you come to your job with training in hand. One of the things that we're going to be enhancing in the new survey is getting in questions of the types of training the new employee would like to receive.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: You know, on that subject, Mark, did you ask what training these people did receive?

MR. MELNIK: In the initial version of the survey, no. It was: Did you receive training in advance? And this was one of the tricky parts of this process for

us is, you know, we were trying to keep the survey brief because we had a very short window to give the questions, right? And then, again, the intention was to connect these data with other administrative data with an identifier that would give us a fuller picture of these folks.

That's a process that's still ongoing. But we did learn along the way that we just -- this is such a unique opportunity to ask questions that we need to get more information from them. That's one of the reasons to enhance that part of the questionnaire going forward.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: I think
that's a good point you made, Mark. You
have people who at the time of the
interview are not official employees. So,
as we as commissioners, you know, visited
Plainridge Park, we saw groups, especially
before our opening, we saw groups of
employees being taken around and showing
different facets of their job, whether it
was working in the restaurant or working in

the cash area. But that all happens, as you point out, kind of after the hiring process and not prior.

MR. MELNIK: Right. A couple of other things on training and previous experience, we found that interestingly that the folks with previous experience had to have more training than folks who did not in casinos. We theorize that may either have something to do with more advanced positions already in casinos or that these were folks who came with training with different opportunities. Again, something that we're going to scratch away at a little bit more in the future.

There was more training for folks who were previously full time proportionally than folks who worked formally full time, excuse me, than for folks who worked part time or unemployed.

So some basic summary of findings.

Approximately half of new hirers work part time or were unemployed before being hired.

Major reasons for seeking employment included career advancement, improved pay and improved benefits. More than 40 percent said they needed work due to being unemployed part time or being underemployed. And nearly three quarters of the respondents come to their jobs without preemployment training. Most new hires do not transfer from other Penn National locations. In fact, most of the hires were from the region.

So what are some next steps for us?

We're making some minor revisions to the survey to refine the questionnaires in preparation for the resort casinos. We're going to elaborate further on reasons for seeking new employment, add some new questions about current residency, educational attainment, salary and wages and previous industry and occupation.

We are going to enhance the questions around training and add more clarity on that. We're going to link the survey results, as I noted several times

2

45

6

7

8

10

1112

13

14

15

16

1718

19

20

21

2223

24

already, with other administrative data to tell a larger workforce story as it relates to populations who are employed, turnover, changes in wages, how long folks worked for casinos and so on. And then, of course, begin data collection of the resort-style casinos is next on our list.

So with that, I'm happy to answer any questions that you guys have.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Open it for questions. I'll start with the Chairman. Do you have any questions, Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: No. I had some in advance, but Mark answered them all. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Commissioner Zuniga?

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Sure. I think the incite, the theme here that you outlined initially relative to the accessibility of these jobs is quite good in my book. Help me understand or compare, if you can, this half -- the 50 percent number where you say that about 50 percent

of new hirers were part time or unemployed before being hired. Do you have a sense as to how that may compare to other industries; is that part of a course in the hospitality industry or others; do you care to comment?

MR. MELNIK: So the question being is: Are new hires in other industries, do they tend to be 50 percent?

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Yes, it sounds like a great number. You know, it's intuitively a great number in my opinion. But if you can answer that question.

Obviously, don't guess it. But what did it tell you?

MR. MELNIK: Unfortunately, I can't

answer that. I'm not totally sure.

Intuitively, though, I think a lot of this has to do with the type of industry you're talking about, right? So, for example, if this were a medical testing facility, I'm wondering if you're getting 50 percent of your staff from unemployed workers or from part-time staff.

So, I think, a part of this -- so, I think, this would align a little bit with what we might interpret as being middle or lower-skilled industries. And we know that because of the amount of food prep and some of the other things that would go into whether it be a resort-style casino or a place like Plainridge Park, there would be a lot of opportunities for folks who may be more likely attached to the labor market. But how this compares to a hotel, for example, or, you know, the restaurant industry in general or something like, I'm not sure how those percentages come out.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Commissioner Macdonald?

commissioner macdonald: I have more of an observation than a question. I've from the very outset found the research component of the Expanding Gaming Act and how it's been implemented to be amongst the most impressive aspects of the regime as implemented in the Commonwealth.

And I say that with the expectation that that research component could, you know, produce both welcomed and unwelcomed news and that the objectivity that it provides to measure, in fact, what the results of the introduction of expanded gaming have been in order to inform the legislature policy that's coming forward is a really important aspect of the whole -- of the whole enterprise.

Having said that, it appears here that, even though this is a relatively small part of the larger endeavor, that as I read the results here, this is really good news in the sense of it providing evidence that among the objectives that was behind the introduction of expanded gaming; namely, to be a vehicle for the entry of under or unemployed people into the active labor market has been shown to have been realized, in fact, at least up until now.

And, furthermore, an objective of the Expanded Gaming Act was to have a positive impact on the workforce side in

the localities in the Commonwealth, number one, and then in the localities of where the operations are introduced. And I see evidence of that in here as well, and you're moving -- it's a small percentage of people have moved. Where did they move to, and it's that Route I-95 access with the predominance of the people being in the Plainville area specifically. And this is a very encouraging data point.

MR. MELNIK: So a couple of things on that. First on the compliments of the larger research project, I totally agree. It's really important stuff, so you guys should totally keep doing that. But separate from that, I do think there is a lot of good news within this. I also think that there's a lot of incomplete news.

You know, one of the things that I find most important or interesting -- an interesting question is like, so who is turning over? And we obviously can't answer that now, and I addressed that a little bit in the beginning. But there is

a natural churn that occurs in almost all industries. And one of the things I want to see us be able to do more of in telling a story is saying, all right, well, there is a natural churn that's occurring. But in which occupation, which populations is that occurring and can we identify ways in which that churn slows? Is it a training issue? Is it just, well, hey, this is what happens kind of issue? And so, I think, that's the important part of the ongoing longevity of a project like this.

And, I think, the second part is we didn't address a lot in this presentation is, you know, related to this but separate from this we're talking with folks at STCC and some of the other community colleges and understanding what programmatically are you offering, and how we may potentially link the story around new employees in training with --

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Mark, I'm sorry to interrupt.

MR. SANGALANG: The stream has cut

	lage 50
1	out. I'm trying to figure out what is
2	going on. It might be an internet issue.
3	COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Okay. Hold
4	that thought. It's a good one, but hold on
5	to it.
6	COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Now you
7	have to remember what you were about to
8	say. We can hear you, Steve.
9	COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Mr. Chair,
10	you might want to mute.
11	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Commissioner
12	Stebbins, is there a pause?
13	COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Yes, there
14	is. We lost our internet feed. We're
15	trying to get back online.
16	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Okay. I thought
17	that was my computer. Thank you.
18	COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: I'll note
19	this never happens when you're chair.
20	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Yes, I noticed
21	that.
22	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: And we could
23	hear you when we got that other call.
24	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: The video image

	Page 39
1	froze when Commissioner Macdonald was in
2	the middle of his remarks, and the screen
3	had Commissioner Zuniga and Commissioner
4	Stebbins focused on Macdonald in wrapped
5	attention, and it just froze.
6	COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: No comment.
7	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Do you want to
8	take a break?
9	MR. SANGALANG: It looks like e-mail
10	and a couple of other things are down as
11	well.
12	COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Do you want
13	to take a quick break, Mike?
14	MR. SANGALANG: I think that's best.
15	COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Let's take a
16	three minute break. We'll take a three
17	minute break, Mr. Chairman.
18	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Okay, thank you.
19	
20	(A recess was taken)
21	
22	COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Thank you.
23	We'll reconvene this meeting. We've just
24	been informed that several state agencies,

not just the gaming commission, is suffering from technical difficulties but we will continue the meeting. The meeting will be filmed and available as well as the transcript from the meeting for anybody who is not able to participate or join us at this point. Commissioner Macdonald -- oh, Mark, you were making some points.

MR. MELNIK: The end of the point was simply it was the incompleteness of the story and that what we were going to do going forward, and it's separate from the linking of secondary data is looking at programmatically what are some of the things that may be coming online that would be helpful for better attachment of workers to this industry.

So, you know, this really is a beginning of a much larger analysis of what's happening with this workforce. But to the point that Commissioner Macdonald was making is there are a lot of positives to glean from this initially just in terms of who is able -- the accessibility of the

jobs and the fact that locals are getting the jobs.

MR. VANDER LINDEN: And if I just may add, Chairman Crosby has made this point several times. This is an enduring research agenda, and much of the work that we have been doing so far has been established in the baseline. So the true value of this — of the research is the fact that we will be following-up on many of these areas and measures ongoing, and we'll be able to really tell a picture of both the positive and the negative aspects of this, and where there's negative we certainly have the resources to respond.

I'm encouraged by the results. It's nice to have a survey where there really is no marginable variables. Everybody is participating in it. You know, I like the fact that, you know, our survey results even showing at this programmatically level are drawing people who have been underemployed or unemployed.

Obviously, I think, there's positive results and the reasons that they are pursuing a career with our licensees. As I mentioned, and we talked about, you know, 500 people who have left a current position to pursue creates a backfill. I think that puts a lot of current employers on notice that they need to step up their game a little bit to retain their employees, and that's good for everybody.

So, yes, I thank UMass for their work. I thank PBC for their cooperation. I think there's actually an opportunities we dig deeper in the data that there is some helpful information that we can share with PBC and their HR department kind of going forward. Obviously, we're going to begin this process with our other two licenses, and that's going to be helpful information.

Doctor, Mark, is there any information that we can extract from this survey and begin to overlay it with the Springfield area, the Everett area; is

there any way to kind of align some of the results with results that we might see with those two cities and those two regions?

MR. MELNIK: At this point, I'm not sure where we could do that yet. But, I think, this -- but, I think, one of the interesting things for us to really be in tune with right now is we think about Everett and Springfield is that they are going to be -- I mean, one is the context is quite different in terms of the urban environment and the kind of economic condition of those places. And the second is just that their size of -- you know, how is this going to play out differently than a resort-style casino versus a slot parlor.

So for my perspective I think of a comparative nature of, you know, how these workforce issues might play out differently depending on a place like Springfield is quite a bit different than Plainville, and it's just so many more employees, these kinds of -- so many more activities, what is that going to mean in terms of both

turnover churn and the potential training opportunities for both.

is, and you already alluded to this, in many of the same ways that Plainridge served as a test case for our regulations, for our agency, they will also serve as a test case for the larger, in this context, the larger casino. You have a better tool, because now you thought about what questions has higher impact with your time constraints, et cetera, or there's ways in which, you know, you may not need to do a census -- I will leave that up to you -- you know, given where we are capturing the data and so on would be really helpful.

MR. MELNIK: It's interesting, you know, obviously all the efforts that have around our Plainville analysis are professionalized buy out very well and strong methods and so on but it was -- it turns out wonderfully convenient that the smallest operation was the one that was first, because it allowed us to really

figure out how we were going to structure things and then are able to with a separate from this case survey but, for example, on construction and operations to meet with the licensees and say like, okay, guys, here is how we did it over in Plainville. How can we work with you to move forward to do similar data collection knowing that, you know, we're talking about multi-times larger operation but, you know, we've tested it out. We've done it this way.

We may have tweaked the knobs with you a little bit to make it work in the mere context, but we've run through it once now. So it was a -- you know, I don't want to talk it as a dress rehearsal, but there is this way in which, you know, the smaller operation was much easier for us and to kind of get all these things.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Can I make one point that you already talked about but, I think, is really the strongest is what to come. And I remember learning about the casino industry as one, which is not

atypical to see a high level of turnover, especially initially when there is excitement and so on and people think, you know, they probably can do midnight shifts or weekends and then realize that, you know, that may not be for them in the long

run.

The way that you're planning to link to a more operational data, and I do look forward to those steps, and get incites as to what may be preventable or improvable aspect versus what is or should continue to be a natural churn of the industry is something that we very much look forward to. That's really exciting in my book.

think the continuing on look and connecting the data, the operational data is exciting. You should think about two exciting takeaways from this is one, it's showing that these jobs, as you pointed out already, are accessible to people who have been unemployed potentially for a period of the time or underemployed and don't

Yes.

Ι

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS:

necessarily require a significant amount of training to move into these careers and moving into these careers for the right reasons, better pay, et cetera.

And at the same time, you know, if somebody is currently at a job and they move to one of our licensees, it's creating a bill and potentially that it added shows, you know, the rising tide floats all boats and will benefit the economy as a whole, so great report. Thank you for your time. Any other questions?

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Thanks very much, appreciate it. Next on the agenda is the ombudsman report. We'll start with the Wynn Boston Harbor quarterly report.

MR. ZIEMBA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and Commissioners. On the agenda today, we have two quarterly reports, the Wynn Boston Harbor quarterly report, the Plainridge Park quarterly report and then I have a Community Mitigation Fund request for you.

I would also -- I'd love to ask you

if we could move around some things in the agenda just briefly. Wynn is also here to present on a diversity recognition program, and what I'm asking is if we could join the Wynn presentation one under Director Griffin and one that is under the ombudsman report so that these fine people don't have to get up and get down.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: I think that without objection we can do that.

MR. ZIEMBA: Thank you very much,
Mr. Chairman. You're a wise chairman. So
joining us today from the Wynn team we have
Bob DeSalvio, President of Wynn Boston
Harbor, Jacqui Krum, Senior Vice President
and General Counsel and Chris Gordon,
President of Wynn Design and Development of
Massachusetts and Joe Delaney, Construction
Project Oversight manager from the gaming
commission is also here. With that, Mr.
DeSalvio.

MR. DESALVIO: Thank you, John. Good morning, Commissioners.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Good

Good

morning.

update.

morning.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Good morning.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD:

MR. DESALVIO: I'm very pleased to be here today with Chris and Jacqui to report on an excellent quarter for us and a lot of advancement on the site. Chris is going to take the first part and do the full construction update, and then I'm going to talk a little bit about our diversity program and our community outreach, and then Jacqui is going to do the final piece of the presentation that Jill was very interested in coordinating with us. So with that, I'm going to turn it right over to Chris for the construction

MR. GORDON: Good morning,

Commissioners. Thank you, Bob. We're

going to walk through the normal format,

and then we're going to dwell a little bit

on the construction photographs and sort of

explain exactly where we are with the

construction when you see it today.

The first sheet is on permitting.

As you know, we still have a fair amount of permitting going on at the local, state and level. All the big permitting for the parties themselves is done. But in this quarter, we are able to do a couple of key things. One is the notice of project change. As the Commission knows, there was some refinements to the design, including the convention area and some of the hotel counts and other things. That was submitted as a notice of project change to MEPA. That was approved, so we're very happy about that having that completed.

Also, the Chapter 91 work on the sediment remediation, we're anticipating filing that but we also did several minor modifications this quarter that involved some of the water, which was also approved during this quarter. So the two things we were hoping to achieve from permitting point of view we did, and now we're ramping up for the next round of filings, which

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

1718

19

20

21

22

23

24

will primarily be about the dredging. Some of that work has already been scheduled for this month for hearings in May, and the Chapter 91 application will be going in as well.

Under the -- if you go to the federal and local permits, again, most of these you heard about but just to remind you, there's a couple of rounds of permitting we're working on right now. is the off-site infrastructure. We have been submitting plans to a number of state agencies, including the DOT, MBTA, Massport. We've also been working with the City of Everett, City of Boston and all of that processes is going along, going along well, because a lot of it we are doing. also received an early approval from the City of Boston from the Conservation Commission who work in Sullivan Square and on and on. So there is a number of permitting steps for the offset roadway work.

And as I mentioned, the dredging is

our next big piece of permitting. This is to dredge 7.2 acres of the Mystic River to remove the sediments that are in the harbor. The way that the waterfront work works is that there's only certain months of the year you can dredge because of fish migration, so we can only dredge through roughly October into February. So we are trying to get it approved this summer so we can mobilize and dredge this October.

If we don't make that window, we'll do it next year because it doesn't affect the resort, but we'd like to get it out of the way this winter if we can. Those filings are all in order.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Excuse me,
Chris, what's object of the dredging; is it
to create a deeper waterway or is it to
remove both?

MR. GORDON: Both. We're already approved to do what's called a navigation dredge, and that brings the inlet around our docks down to a deep enough level -- a lot of material was moved into the harbor

over many, many years. We've already got approval to take that back out again so that we can have the appropriate depth for the normal size vessels that might come and go from the property.

LSP process, we're trying to remove sediments that are in the water that are historic sediments from the Monsanto operation, and that is to remove contamination. So that will be a partial dredge and cap that whole area. We're going to try to do them together, because the dredging operation is a big, frankly, expensive operation. So we're trying to do those all at once, immobilize once. So if we get through the remedial dredge approval now, we'll do it all at once but it's for both purposes.

Moving to some construction photos.

If you look at this, about every week we can happily do a photo from a drone, and I just want to start from right to left and explain what you're seeing. If you look to

the right, there's a portion of the building that looks like it's mostly out. That's because it is. That's the central utility plant also known as podium north, and that's an area where all of the utilities will be, cogeneration. It's also we have a lot of the back of the house facilities, back employee dining, employee uniforms, employee locker rooms, that sort of stuff.

We want to get that done about a year before we open. So it actually works out well, because it's outside the garage excavation. So that steel is all up. The decks in that area all poured. We're in there fireproofing steel as we speak, and then very soon mechanicals will start being installed in that area. And also in about a week, we expect the first portion of the facade to be delivered.

It's being manufactured in a factory off-site, and that's going to start to be delivered in about a week, and then we'll start installing a facade around that

entire area. So by next summer we'll be using that space, so this is going to be moving in quite quickly.

If you go to left of that, you'll see what used to look like a big hole.

I've got some photos in a minute. One thing we did this quarter is finish the Mass. excavation work, which was a big effort. We'll show you that in a minute.

But now that that hole is complete, we've been able to start at the bottom with concrete decks and move our way up. The bottom level is known as B4. The lowest level garage, then B3, B2, B1. The B4 level is completely poured and done. We're now far along in the B3 level, probably three quarters done. We're now probably halfway done with B2 and now we're working on B1, which is the highest level of the garage.

And before I left the office, I checked the camera to make sure I was being accurate. Today we're actually pouring the first level of the hotel. So we're now in

that corner we're up out of the garage, and we're actually pouring the first level of the hotel, so that's moving along quickly.

We've poured about 12 acres of slab so far, and we're about a third of the way done, so concrete moves quite quickly.

You'll also see to the left there -there is a closer photo in a minute -- but
you can see the elevator core. That's
important, because that's the core of the
tower that actually gets it rigidity and
that goes about a floor above each floor of
the hotel with the tower moves in
advancement, so that's up. You can see it
now. If you drive up Broadway, you can
actually all see the hotel elevator floor
moving up above the grade level.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Chris, do you have a pointer that you can actually point this stuff out?

MS. KRUM: It doesn't work on the screen, unfortunately.

MR. GORDON: You want me to stand up and show you; is that helpful?

1 COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Because I'm 2 having a difficult time following you. 3 MR. GORDON: Let me just stand up at 4 that screen and do it real quick, and I 5 apologize for anybody who --6 MR. DESALVIO: Chris, if you stay, 7 I'll point it out. 8 MR. GORDON: So, Bob, can you circle 9 the cup area? 10 MR. DESALVIO: Yup, I'm good. 11 MR. GORDON: I'll tell you it's a 12 great thing about hiring the operating 13 executive, Bob knows every square inch of the site better than any of us. The cup 14 15 area to the back, as I mentioned to the 16 right, that's where all the back houses and that's all far along. It's well 17 18 aboveground. The structure is up and 19 moving quite well. Bob, can you just 20 outline the garage? 21 MR. DESALVIO: Sure. It's right 22 there. 23 MR. GORDON: So that's all the

concrete is going in the hole now. Again,

24

we're coming from the bottom up, and then you can see where the elevator core is.

Bob, can you see it on the screen? The elevator core is right in that area there.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: To the right.

MR. DESALVIO: Right here.

MR. GORDON: The reason that's symbolic is that's the first thing you see coming out of the ground, so that would travel up to the top of the building as we go along. And then to the left of that is the large convention area. And if you remember, we redesigned the convention space to provide a larger ballroom. All of the piles are done in that area and now we have piles of grave beams, which is terminology to the foundation.

So the foundation in that area is already starting, all the piles are done. They are out there pouring the pile caps today, and the steel for that area actually gets delivered in August. So the big, big, big trust is expand the whole convention center are being manufactured. They'll be

here in August, and we have to be ahead of those and the foundation is fairly extensive, so we're making sure that gets done.

And then all around the waterfront there's a variety of work going on. The bulkheads are going in. The waterfront walkway, the precast right where Bob was a second ago. It actually started working on the living shoreline, which is a little more complicated than you think. It's removing a lot of soil that shouldn't be there, debris, materials, and replacing it with more natural soil, so that's on the way right now.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: I'm glad you pointed that out, you know, Bob. I was thinking the elevator core was the rectangle immediately to the right and above.

MR. DESALVIO: No, this is -actually, these two those are the ramps.
Because as you drive in off Broadway and
come around, that's the ramp down and the

ramp up that gets you in and out right here, so you're seeing the bottom down there on B3 and 4. And then that's the one coming up from 3 back up to 2.

MR. GORDON: You can also see the ark of the hotel now. So if the elevator core is in the middle, you'll see a sheer wall here.

MR. DESALVIO: Sheer wall here, sheer wall here and the tower wraps that way with the elevator core right where my finger is.

MR. GORDON: If you're out there today, you'll see the first floor of the tower on the north -- excuse me, on the west of that elevator core is being poured as we speak. So after we -- up to about four or five, the slab goes -- it has a lot of penetrations because of the spa and the restaurants. Once we get above 4 or 5, the hotel goes up about a floor a week, so you'll start to see a floor every week will appear on the horizon, which will make that go up quickly.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Is the parking facility, does it extend over the entirety of the --

MR. GORDON: It's not under the cup, but it is under the convention area. The first floor slab we pour is actually a valet level. And then we build a floor above that, and then we bring the landscaping up to match that. So the first floor, the B1 level, which we're working on now, it looks like it's done. That's actually a garage level. It goes all the way out to the convention level.

MR. DESALVIO: And, Chris, parking will go all the way out underneath the convention space.

MR. GORDON: Correct.

MR. DESALVIO: So it will go virtually right out to about here.

MR. GORDON: Right, exactly. So you'll see in a minute I'll show you a slide of the walls we're pouring. We're pouring walls about 10 feet above grade. That's because the landscaping comes up

with it. Just to go through a few more of these. This is the photograph looking across where the hotel is going to be. You can see the sheer wall of the foreground. It's just an example of the amount of formwork that's going in, and we have an extensive concrete crew that is out there everyday. We try to do 500 to 600 trucks a day of concrete.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: How much?

MR. GORDON: Five to 600 trucks a day. That's a lot of concrete. If you go to the next shot, we call this the wedding cake because if you look at the bottom, that's the B4 level. Then you see B3, B2, B1. The elevator core is on the left and you can see it has a pump built into it, so that gets jacked up every couple of weeks. That moves up to another floor, and that will be the elevator core coming out of the ground. You can start to see below there's actually you get a sense it's going to be a garage.

The next is this is the wall. As I

mentioned, this is by the SW Steakhouse. You can see it curves a little later on in the photograph. This is actually building up to the first level of the resort. So below this will be landscaped and grated down to the site, but the first level is actually up at about elevation 25.

Important for lotteries is, one is it gives the view of the river is spectacular. We're way above the 500 year flood. It allows for the garage to be a little shallower so there's less excavation, so it's worked out extremely well. So these walls are all being poured around the garage as we speak.

Next one is a little bit dark, but this is the waterfront work. A lot of removal of materials, piles going in, precast members being assembled, so this is moving along at a good speed. This summer they'll make a lot of progress on this with the good weather. So we expect to be off the waterfront hopefully by the fall.

This is sort of a funny one but this

is Natalie Brown, our project manager for all the site work. This is the last scoop of dirt coming out of a hole and the reason -- this means nothing to anybody but us, but the dirt was such a challenge that it's just nice to see the last dirt leave the site.

We moved about 630,000 tons of dirt out of the hole. That's about 4,800 railcars, and it's about 6,600 trucks. So between the two, we were over 11,000 vehicles left the site with dirt. And except for the utilities, it's done and gone, so we're very happy to get that out of our way.

MR. DESALVIO: You can see how happy Natalie is.

MR. GORDON: The next one I won't read all of these, but these are some examples of where we are. We mentioned 100 percent of the tie-backs are done. 100 percent of the cap beams are done. Mass excavation is done. The drilling and grouting is more than half done. This is

actually drilling it down into the ground.

Believe it or not, the garage has been so big that we had to tie it down to the bedrock before we put the building on top of it, so we put in about 1,600 tie-downs to hold that down. This says 52 percent at the end of quarter. We're actually well past that now, so that work is winding down. Waterfront continues and on and on. So there is a lot of foundation work is done, and the good news is to be out of the ground makes this a lot easier.

Safety, we want to spend a few minutes on safety. Everybody wants to have, you know, strong safety. We have taken a very aggressive approach to safety for all the right reasons. With this many workers and this kind of a site and all these issues going on, we just don't want to take any chances.

So last week was National Safety
week as you may or may not know, so we took
that opportunity to do some special events.
This wasn't just cheerleading. This was

_ _

actually trying to remind everybody over and over and over again about safety. We had a barbecue lunch where we gave out, you know, reminder T-shirts and promotional stuff. We had to stand down twice but we stopped the entire project, brought people together and talked to them about safety.

Suffolk did all of this. We were there, but they were the lead on this because as their responsibility. We did reminders. We did mini-toolbox lectures. We did a number of things, and it was appreciated. I mean, the workers and the unions have all said, you know, this is unusual. We don't get this very often. They said over and over again it's the safest site. So we do not want to get complacent or comfortable, but right now the numbers are good.

We have detailed safety metrics we track. We track every injury. We track where it happened, you know, what part of the body, what the injury was, what time of day, what company, how it was treated. And

the reason is we're trying to look through that data and see for any trends.

The best example so for was we noticed about a month ago that many of the minor injuries were hands, cuts and bruises which is no big deal. They go to the paramedic, and they get a Band-Aid. But it was a lot of injuries.

at what they were doing and the gloves they were wearing were very thin, fabric gloves and they were moving all the rebar around. We went with a heavier glove, and so far we've seen those numbers go down. So it's a simple, tiny example. But it shows if you look at the data before over long enough, eventually you might see a trend.

We've also seen a couple of companies that have higher injuries than others, so they have been strongly told what to do. So we're trying to make sure that they get with the program, so safety has been a big issue. Right now, you know, without risking any bad will, the numbers

are very good.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Chris, any serious injuries so far?

MR. GORDON: Well, I don't want to say they're not serious, but we've had three reportable injuries that are being tracked, and they're serious to the people involved. But they weren't -- on a scale of injuries, they weren't that serious.

We had a serious hand laceration. A guy dropped a pipe, and he almost lost his fingers and cut all the way through his fingers. We had a gentleman who ripped both of his biceps when he was lifting some forms and both his bicep muscles ripped off. And we had a third one, I believe, is a knee injury that fell down through some formwork.

So, again, very serious to the individuals but on a national safety scale, they were well within the norm. All three are going through the proper treatment. We have it all insured. But beyond that, we've had the usual lumps, bumps and

bruises. We also have an on-site paramedic, which we strongly believe in, but it sometimes makes the numbers a little higher because we tell them even if you need a Band-Aid, go to the paramedic. So the paramedic reports everything, writes it down. So you might see in a good month, you might see 30 trips to the paramedic, which sounds like a lot and then you read them and it's literally a Band-Aid or cleaned out his eye. So to answer your question, no, we've not had anything major.

On the schedule piece, too, let me go through the rest of these. On the project schedule, I'm not going to go through the bar chart in detail but I want to show you some summary --

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Hold on a second. Steve, can you mute your phone?

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Sorry, did you say me?

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Can you mute your phone? We're hearing the ringing in the background.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Yes.

MR. GORDON: On the schedule again,
I won't go through this in detail, but one
thing we did do in April is we signed the
guaranteed maximum price with Suffolk
Construction. That's important for
lottery. First of all, it's locked on to
the hard cost of the project. That was a
little under \$1.4 billion hard cost. We're
very happy about that lockdown. It also
locked down to the schedule.

So they've agreed to a schedule, which is a completion date of June 24, 2019. And as of today, they are on that schedule. Matter of fact, in some areas they are a little ahead of schedule. They don't like to admit that, but they are actually ahead of that in a few places. They're trying to build up a little flow as they get to the end of the job, which is smart on their part.

We have a long way to go, so I don't want to be overconfident. But right now they're doing a very good job, and they are

on or ahead of the schedule in all areas and we track it everyday, so we'll keep pushing. But we do have an agreement with them to complete in June of 2019.

As far as big events coming up, we mentioned the facade rise in a couple of weeks. The other thing which is important is the steel for the podium, which is where the casino is. That starts arriving in the next week as well. So in the same sort of southwest corner where we started the concrete, we're now going to start at that same point with steel and we'll start chasing it all the way across the podium.

So in the next couple of months, you'll see the podium steel flying along. It will go up very quickly to cover the podium. And then in August, you will start to see the trucks arrive to the convention center. So June, July, August, September you're going to see a tremendous amount of steel. We'll probably have 4 or 500 ironworkers on site doing that work, and that's going to make the site appear

dramatically different than it does today.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: We had the benefit of having a representative from the ironworkers at our AOC meeting yesterday and he said, "Let me put these two projects in context." Obviously with Springfield there was a lot of existing facilities.

But he said, "In Springfield we did about 58,000 hours of work." He said, "On Wynn we've done already 57,000 hours of work because, you now, barely aboveground." So I couldn't quite remove the smile from his face, because he was excited about that report.

MS. KRUM: We saw a whole bunch yesterday.

MR. GORDON: You will see in a minute in -- if you were there today, you find about 550 workers and you add to it 4, 500 ironworkers in the next couple of months because your building goes quickly. So this summer we wouldn't be surprised if we peaked over 1,000, and then next summer we'll peak over 2,000, so the site

logistics are fascinating. But it's moving a long very, very well.

We do a lot of deliveries at night, so a lot of the steel comes in at night, a lot of the form, everything comes in at night and then during the day they go crazy. So right now we're primarily one shift. We're seeing a little bit more work on the second shift.

For example, the steel erectors have asked to work second shift because they want to be out of everybody's way. They are moving big members overhead, and that sort of stuff. So a lot of the heavy steel will be moved and erected at night, which is fine with us. And then third shift they will be cleaning and organizing, so that will all start happening soon.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Chris, on that note, are you still taking advantage of the access to rail to precure some of the steel?

MR. GORDON: No, we removed the rail. We used it for soil, and we looked

Τ

at it extensively for other materials. We looked at moving steel, moving Sheetrock and everything. And it didn't make sense, because the loading and unloading and double handling and all the other access plus we needed the room. So the rail hasn't been completely removed, but the southern portion of it has been removed. It could easily be put back in, but right now we don't see the need to use it.

And the reality is the heavy
trucking, believe it or not, is over. I
mean, the soil was by far the heaviest
truck. Even with the steel and all the
finishes, you know, it won't stack up with
the amount of trucks we had for the trucks.
We're happy to say we had no issues that
we're aware of with the truck.

So that sort of got us, I think,
over the hump of some of the communities
that we're including Everett who's nervous.
I mean, Everett has been wonderful but they
always say construction traffic is
horrible. That piece is over. The next

wave of issues will be when we start ripping up the roads for repairs. So we'll have less truck traffic for the casino, but we'll have more interruptions to that, so we're working closely on that.

Again, I won't go through the bar chart in detail. I'm happy to if you want to, but there's a lot of detail you can go over. I think Jacqui is going to take it from there. But, again, to close we don't want to be overconfident, but right now we're satisfied with where we are on the schedule.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Thanks, Chris.

MR. DESALVIO: Thanks, Chris. I wanted to talk for a few minutes about really good news on the diversity front for the project. I'll spend a minute just going about the design portion, which we're almost done with. Almost the final numbers on this our goal for the MBEs was 7.9, and it looks like we came in at 8.7. As mentioned at previous meetings, we were

under on the women business enterprise. We had a goal of 10 percent and came in with 5.3. And on the Veterans, we crushed that goal. We had a goal of 1 percent, and we came in at 6.8. So overall we did have a goal of 18.9 on the design front and came in exceeding that goal at 20.9, and we're just about done. There's just a few small pieces left on the design front.

As far as the construction contracts, some really good news here. So far on the MBE goal we had 5 percent, and we're currently running at 5.7 percent.

And that's a total of about \$46 million worth of work. On the WBE front, we had a goal of 5.4 percent, and we're currently running at 7.1 for another \$58 million worth of work. And on the Veteran goal, we had a goal of 1 percent and we're currently hovering at 5.1 and another \$41 million worth of work. So in summary, we're just about 100 million-dollars worth of work.

Our goal is 11.4, and we are currently at 12.1 percent.

2

4

5

7

6

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And then really good news on the construction workforce. In this particular case, on the minority goal, we had a goal of 15.3 and we're currently running 25.3 percent of the hours worked. And for the first time I'm able to report that we have met the female goal on the job site thanks to a lot of help from Jenny Peterson at the office, Suffolk Construction, Chris and Peter and the gang on site. They've all worked very hard on this up to and including John Fish getting involved himself, and so now we're at a goal of 6.9, and we're currently at that goal of 6.9. And we'll try to make sure that we watch that over the course of the rest of the job.

And on the Veteran front, we had a goal of 3 percent of the hours and we're currently at 6.2 percent, so well-exceeding that goal. So this is really great news kind of all the way through this process, and we're happy to report that these efforts are being paid off.

2

4

5

7

6

8

10 11

12

1314

15

16

1718

19

20

21

22

23

24

I wanted to talk for a few minutes about our community outreach. We have literally three pages of different events. I will not take you through all of them. I'll leave you to read them. A couple of them that I wanted to highlight.

We had a wonderful event in January for our Furniture, Fixtures & Equipment procurement. We brought some folks in from Vegas. They met with a lot of local firms that were trying to do business with us. think it was very, very well-received. have been actively involved with Scholar Athletes who looks like they are going to be doing some work with Everett on their very successful program. Another Girls in Trades Alumni event in February. with the Charlestown community. I think, I reported on that at the last meeting, and we will be planning another one of those meetings shortly. Boston Harbor Now. Let's see.

We have our quarterly breakfast with the Hispanic American Institute. Those

have been very successful. Some of the
Chambers of Commerce, and those have been
very good outreach meetings for us. And on
that last page, we have the Mass. Mayors
Site Tour that was hosted by Mayor DeMaria
and had some mayors from all over the
Commonwealth that came to join us. So,
again, very, very active quarter in terms
of outreach.

We have some photos that we've got of some of the various events. You know, both the supplier event, some girls in trades events. And, again, very, very active outreach from the entire team. So I have been getting great cooperation from our folks in Vegas as well as the local team here.

So with that, I am going to then open this up for questions.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Mr.
Chairman, do you have any questions? I'll start with you.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Yes, I have a couple. Bob, I think you mentioned this a

2.1

little bit earlier but I forget whether you reported on the details. I know you had a meeting or an opportunity for former employees of Suffolk Downs to apply or to learn about opportunities. How did that go and how many came, and do you have any idea how many might be involved for jobs?

MR. DESALVIO: Sure. Great
question, Chairman, thank you. We had the
event on Saturday, April 29th, and we held
it at the Hilton Garden Inn over in east
Boston from nine a.m. to eleven a.m. in the
morning. I don't know the exact number.
But if I could guess, about 60 or 70 people
came, which I thought was a great turnout.

It was some of the people that we had -- if you recall, we had a process whereby the Gaming Commission did a letter for us and mailed it out so we could gauge some interest because we didn't have the database. So it was a good number of folks that were on that list, plus there were some friends and family that those folks brought along as well. So we added the new

names to our database, and now we have that centralized.

I thought it was an excellent meeting. We had some really good people came. I would say the two biggest categories of potential employees were in the cashiering or money handling area and the food and beverage area as well as some maintenance and some grounds folks. All very engaged.

You know, obviously there's going to be some gap between when we need them and what they are doing now. Many of them are still working over at Suffolk Downs, and they are working as part of the simulcasting process, and of course we'll be there on the days when they have live racing.

But I found the audience engaging.

They asked some really good questions, and
I hope we do wind up hiring a number of
them. But it was a solid almost two hour
event and so very well-received, Chairman.

Thanks for asking that.

Yes, great,

thanks. The other question, Bob, is, if I remember correctly, you guys are building specialized water shuttles that will be able to bring the folks under the bridges. And then I heard some discussion from maybe it was from Jacqui at the Sullivan Square task force meeting that sounded like there was some other discussions or negotiations going on with some of the Boston Harbor crew people or something. So can you give

me a status report on the water shuttle

project?

CHAIRMAN CROSBY:

MR. DESALVIO: Sure. I met with a potential boat builder on Monday of this week, and we are very close to putting together a final deal with them. I'm not going to announce the name today, because they are just going through the vetting process. You know, first step, Wynn background; second step, we're going to be sending you folks a letter and they are going to have to apply as a non-gaming vender, so we have to go through all the

contractural arrangements.

But I will tell you that we're fairly close on nailing down the potential building of the water shuttles. I think you will be very excited when we can talk about it publically and we'll be able to, you know, show you a little bit more about the vessels and what we're planning on. So on that front, it's moving along very well.

And the second part of your question involves the operations of the vessels, and I have a meeting next Monday in regards to a potential operator and see if we can work on getting a framework of a deal together.

So it's all pressing very well. The idea is that we wanted to get a jump on it this year so that we get in the queue for the building of the vessel, so they will be delivered in April of 2019 and undergo sea trials, testing and we can get all this organized so that when we open in June, the shuttles will be ready for the public.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Wow, that's great. Glad to hear. Thank you. That's it for

me.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Commissioner Zuniga, Commissioner Macdonald?

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Well, only to comment that it's remarkable the amount of concrete workers, logistics, progress and diversity that you do and you continue to do, so thank you for the update. Really impressive as always.

MR. DESALVIO: Thanks, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Commissioner

Macdonald?

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: No, other than to congratulate you on the minority, women, Veteran workforce milestones, very, very impressive.

MR. DESALVIO: Thank you. A lot of hard work on behalf of the team up there, and Suffolk has been a great partner in this. They take this very seriously.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: I would just echo the comments on the diversity numbers. Yesterday we had our AOC quarterly update, and we always thank Jenny Peterson from

your team and Shelly Webster from Suffolk for their participation. And for those of you who haven't been to one of our AOC meetings, it's a team effort to go literally through every contractor, subcontractor. Every union looked at the diversity numbers as they're filling out for the previous month.

A couple of issues kind of raised yesterday or at least we talked about making sure is, you know, the required trades begin to shift depending on, you know, the type of work being done that, you know, the message, and I think both you and MGM have been great about this, is reinforced with new subs coming on the site of the diversity targets, and we asked that of -- you know, both MGM and Wynn had that conversation.

Also, kind of reinforcing the message of don't get behind in your diversity because it's quicker -- you know, it's harder for a subcontractor to kind of make that up as they go along. And, also,

the point was raised about hiring women on the construction site. Some previous, I guess, industry experience shows that sometimes the first one on the job but sometimes the first to leave the job, so they are aware of that issue as well. But excited with the progress and, again, I'm a big fan of the ironworkers and the number of hours still ahead of them, so that's great news.

MR. DESALVIO: Thanks, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Can I just ask a question? Someone likely I love the graphic of the Massachusetts Girls and Trades. Who is responsible for that?

MR. DESALVIO: That was a collaboration between Wynn Boston Harbor and Minuteman Vocational School out in Lexington. So we are the cofounders of that organization, and they came up with their logo and but now add a number of events, and including a very successful event out in wester Mass. So it looks like this thing is getting some legs across the

whole Commonwealth. It's a big deal, and we're getting a great response.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: My comment was very serious. I think it's a wonderful graphic.

MR. DESALVIO: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: We are, as we talked about, we're going to take Item 6A out of order and bring up Director Griffin and talk about Wynn's diversity recognition program. Director Griffin.

MS. GRIFFIN: Good morning,
Mr. Chairman, Commissioners. First of all,
congratulations on the diversity members
and all your hard work. It's great to see
and the recent progress make all your
numbers look great.

So we all know the purpose of diversity programs has been to cure past discrimination and eliminate barriers for economic opportunity, typically for minority in women, by providing a business community and workforce that is representative of a community where the

work is performed. And as you well know, all of our licensees are required to set construction diversity goals.

Wynn Boston Harbor, as you can see
by the results that they reported today,
has created, staffed, funded a robust and
active compliance system with clear
workforce goals, monitoring, expected
outcomes and strategies such as corrective
action meetings when subcontractors should
fall short of their workforce diversity
goals.

But recently the folks at Wynn
Boston Harbor with their contractor,
Suffolk Construction, have introduced a
diversity incentive program that we are
already hearing being described as cutting
edge, innovative and a best practice in the
industry, and that was just yesterday at
our AOC meeting.

So you heard earlier about Suffolk and Wynn's work regarding safety, and results in diversity can be directly analogist results and safety. OSHA

provides tremendous incentive to make safety a priority. And years ago people -- some people said accidents would happen. And now we celebrate, you know, the reduction of reportable accidents for craft workers in the construction industry.

Wynn is doing the same thing for a diversity or something similar. We hear anecdotally that this early program is encouraging additional attention and commitment from the top and throughout the organizations of subcontractors and contractors on site.

So I was excited when I heard about this program, and I've asked Bob and Jacqui to provide you with the details of this program, so we will turn it over to Jacqui Krum.

MS. KRUM: Thanks, Jill. So as we all have been talking about, this is a collaborative effort that we have been engaged in with Suffolk, and our diversity incentive program we have two goals. One is the amount of subcontracts that are

awarded to minority, women and

Veteran-owned business and the second goal

is just workforce -- workforce numbers.

So moving into this program, the program objective was focused solely on the workforce goals. So it's to recognize and reward outstanding subcontractor achievements in meeting and exceeding workforce diversity goals and local hiring preferences established for the Wynn Boston Harbor project.

And the reason that we focused on workforce and not the amount of the subcontractor awards is some trades don't have any of these subcontractors available. So we wanted to make this a level playing field for all of our subcontractors to participate in.

The selection criteria for the program, there were five main items. First was simply just numbers, pure numbers. Did they meet or exceed their goals for minority, female, Veteran and workforce participation? The second we looked at is

sort of an overlay was of these people,
where do they come from? Do they come from
the local community? Do they come from
other communities, our surrounding
communities, our neighboring communities?
And, third, we looked at the workforce
hours. So, you know, some subcontractors
are only on there for, let's say, for 1,000
hours. Others are on there for 50,000
hours, so we wanted to balance that out.

We also looked at the cover operation that they had with both us and Suffolk compliance team. And, finally, how much they helped in terms of the events that we had. As Bob talked about earlier, we had, you know, three pages worth of events over the first quarter. And we rely on our subcontractors to help us to participate in these events, and so that was weighed in as one of the factors.

So, what they received was, one, a certificate of achievement signed by our president, Bob DeSalvio and by the president of Suffolk Construction, John

Fish. A formal letter of recognition signed by Bob DeSalvio, John Fish, Chris Gordon and Shelly Webster. And they are also given recognition in the Suffolk project wide communications. So an e-mail goes out to everyone on site and lets them know who won and who were the other people who did -- the other companies that did very well.

They were given a \$200 dining gift card for up to four individuals. This was typically the project managers and the compliance officer and an on-site team lunch and then Wynn dice, because everyone wants the Wynn dice, for the entire work crew. And, finally, and what should be we will say the winner and each of the others who are recognized as part of this, they all get entered into a drawing for an all expenses paid weekend stay at the Wynn Las Vegas, including airfare, meals, hotel and a show.

So we're pleased to announce that our first diversity recognition award went

outstanding example of what needs to happen on our site everyday to achieve our goals. I also want to recognize the other participants who received best effort awards, and these were Bob Steel, Edward G. Sawyer, Liberty Construction, Lund Rebar Services, and TREVIICOS. So this is just an example of one of the letters or the letter that was sent to the president of J. Derenzo signed by Bob, Chris, John Fish and Shelly Webster. And here's a photo of the winners.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Derenzo does site work and concrete?

MR. GORDON: No, they do site work, no concrete. So they build the service road, do the Mass. excavation and do all the utilities.

MS. KRUM: They have been on site for a very long time, and they've worked a lot of workforce hours and so, I think, it's particularly -- it's wonderful that they haven't been on site for so long

highest goals.

3

4 everybody is excited about the prospect of that weekend in Wynn Las Vegas.

5

MR. GORDON: We agreed to chaperone.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: And I'm sure

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 2.1

22

23

24

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: This may be unfair to Derenzo, but it's just a question that somewhere in the back of my mind that somewhere earlier in the process that I read something that Derenzo, the organization, actually had been criticized for a mediocre performance in the past on the minority, women and Veteran front. there any substance to that? Because that

working all these hours and achieved the

MR. GORDON: I would characterize it this way. They got off to a slow start. Because some of the early trades it was mainly machine operators. They were trying to get more and more females. It was primarily number of female numbers that weren't where they wanted to be.

makes this that much more impressive,

because it would reflect a turnaround.

that's when -- when Bob said that Suffolk and Wynn, everybody really, really focused on it, it was really Derenzo working to get the numbers up. But I don't think they started off bad, but it was a bit of a slow start. They weren't where they wanted to be, so you're right. They jumped from maybe lower than they should have been to exceeding the numbers.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: That's great.

MS. KRUM: And, frankly, it's people like Jenny Peterson and Shelly Webster who are tracking this on a day-to-day basis and running around the office saying, "We need to get more women on the job now." And that's how the goals are achieved.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Mr. Chairman, did you have any comments?

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Nope, I'm all set.

Thank you. It's great. I heard about this program before. I think it's really terrific and innovative and I'm pleased.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: And I would

Page 96 1 echo that and just say we've got positive 2 feedback when I was discussing it yesterday 3 at the AOC meeting. Thank you very much. 4 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Just one more 5 question. How often do you anticipate in 6 reporting this information? 7 MR. DESALVIO: Quarterly. 8 MR. GORDON: You have to remember we 9 talked about percentages, but right now 10 it's the percentage of the 200 workers. 11 When it's a percentage of a few thousand 12 workers, it really starts to move. 13 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Great program. 14 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Anything 15 else? Great, thank you very much. 16 MR. DESALVIO: Thanks, Commissioners. 17 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: We'll bring 18 19 up the team from PPC for their quarterly 20 report, and we're back to our ombudsman. 21 Thank you, MR. ZIEMBA: 22 Mr. Chairman. As part of the Plainridge 23 Park team, we have Lance George, General 24 Manager, Ruben Warren, Chief Financial

Officer, Michele Collins, Vice President of Marketing and we're also joined here with any questions for Lisa McKenney, Compliance Manager. And with that, I'll turn to Lance.

MR. GEORGE: Good morning, Commissioners.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Good morning.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Good morning.

MR. GEORGE: We'll get started here.

Just a very brief comments, and then I'll

turn it over to Ruben and Michele. Q1,

always an interesting time to operate in

New England and you can certainly see that

in our numbers. Inevitably, the weather

influences the outcome, sometimes

positively, sometimes negatively. You can

see that in the operating performance down

slightly in January and February and then

up dramatically in March where the property

was about 14.1 in net slot gaming revenue,

a revenue of over \$365, so a tremendous

month for the property and for optimism as

we look forward.

-

Ruben and Michele will touch on some additional detail. But with that, I will jump right in to where we typically start and that is employment. Currently were sitting at or were 474 as of March 31st. That number is largely stable. I believe it's down slightly from previous update of 490. That has more to do with open rents and filling positions.

numbers are about 500 to be fully staffed, so that has been pretty stable. Full time and part time mix remains largely stable as well as 66/34. I believe last time it may have been 65/35, so these seem to be pretty solid numbers for us as we move forward.

Additional detail on employment.

Diversity continues to be a great story for us. We had a goal of 10 percent. That number has grown, and it continues to grow right now. We're sitting at 22 percent, so great results for the property. Veterans at three, some additional detail on

Massachusetts based residents and 68 and 1 2 male/female split about 51/49. Again, a 3 lot consistency in these numbers. 4 I'll turn it over to Ruben for 5 additional detail on Q1, financial 6 performance. 7 MR. WARREN: Good morning. 8 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: 9 morning. 10 COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Good 11 morning. 12 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Good morning. 13 MR. WARREN: For the first quarter 2017 --14 15 MR. SANGALANG: Microphone, please. \$38,000,000 for the 16 MR. WARREN: 17 first quarter 2017, in taxes \$18.8 million. To put it in comparison, 2017 versus 2016, 18 19 we're down about a half of a percent for 20 over year over year. We had one less last 21 year. We had double the snow events in 22 2017 versus 2016. And, of course, we all 23 know the Patriots are 5-miles down the

road. They had a great year and playing on

24

Saturday and Sunday affected business as well, so I think we are happy with where we came in in the first quarter.

Next slide, spend by state. We spent \$1.4 million in the first quarter of 2017. The question we made on this slide is businesses that have corporate headquarters outside of the state. We've added those into the mix. With that, historically we were about five and a half percent lower in state spend versus what we reported, so we hover around 74, 75 percent. The first quarter came in at 74 percent.

Next slide, local spend. Our local spend, \$72,000. Foxborough benefited from us pulling those businesses back into the state that sit in the state that have corporate headquarters outside. They normally were about maybe 1 percent. They are going to about 17 percent going forward with total spent.

Diversity, we are hitting overall goal with diversity. We came in at 25

percent for the first quarter '17. In comparison, we are at 29 percent for the fourth quarter. That 4 percent is basically due to the LED lighting project that we had in that fourth quarter. The minority-owned business, it fell below the mark for this month. We have worked to bring awareness to our program and to procure, you know, those venders. We have two venders that showed up in the end of the first quarter that will show up for the full second quart, so we will see that number improve.

On our diversity spend by segment, we spent about \$355,000 total, women-owned business coming in at number one with 21 venders and \$219,000 minority-owned and Veteran-owned or both five venders, minority-owned will be at seven venders for the second quarter. We're working diligently to, of course, meet that goal consistently.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Back to the local. Can you just give me a sense of

what kind of services that you are procuring locally? In general. You don't need to --

MR. WARREN: So elevator repairs and maintenance, landscaping, printing, those work together. On to lottery. Lottery continues to impress at the property.

Again, last report shows that we are not hurting the industry. We are actually helping. The number continues to hover about 750,000 for the last three or four quarters. It's up 4.6 percent over the first quarter of 2016.

Compliance, for the first quarter, we had about 26,000 patrons that we checked IDs for. 537 were either turned away because not having the right IDs or they were minors or they were underage. So that's about two percent that we turn away from the turnstiles and 21 percent are minors and underage of that 537.

So with that being said, 21 percent is a significant number of what we turn away. And, I think, our security guards

2.1

and security staff and the property in general, we understand the importance of doing a diligent job and happy to report no findings in the first quarter. I'll turn it over to Michele for local community.

MS. COLLINS: So for local community charitable contributions included the Boys and Girls Club, St. Vincent de Paul Society and Relay for Life Chili Cookoff. We had about 30 of our employees participate in the cookoff, and our director of racing actually won. Sponsorships, Adopt a Highway, we're currently on two locations, 495 and 95, and we're looking at additional opportunities there.

Q1 marketing partnerships, we continued what we had done last year with Foxborough and Patriots doing the ticket giveaways for the season playoffs. We have a billboard in that location as well, and we've added Renaissance Stay and Play packages, so we've partnered with them so that we have offers to give to our Auburn market where it's a hotel stay Thursday

through Sunday night, so it allows us to be more competitive since we don't have a hotel. And then, again, we continued our NESN sponsorship with the Bruins and the Celtics and the Wrentham Premium Outlet partnership with the valet sponsorship that we've been doing in Q4 and moved into Q1 as well.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Where is the Renaissance that you partner with?

MS. COLLINS: That's the new hotel at Patriot Place.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Oh, of course.

MS. COLLINS: Q1 marketing, we did what we call "Make a Plainridge Deal" and we mixed the game show and we had the cases, everyone up on stage. The customers really enjoyed it. "Winter Gear Wednesday," we partnered with Home Depot in Mansfield gave away some snowblowers with attachments so that people could plow their driveways. "NCL Crews Giveaway, Mini Slot Machine Bank Giveaway" and now that Dunkin Donuts is on site, we've partnered with

1

2

3

them to do a couple of different giveaways, including gift cards and the most recent one was pound of coffee.

4

5

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Jill, I have a question if you can hear me. Can you hear me all right?

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: You might have

6 7

MS. COLLINS: Yes.

8

9

already said this and if I missed it, my

10

apologies. You mentioned the Wrentham Village partnership. What is that about;

1112

how does that work; what's the deal just

13

out of curiosity?

14

area branded with Plainridge Park Casino,

MS. COLLINS: So we have the valet

15

area branded with Plainridge Park Casino,

1617

so all of the valet attendants wear our jackets, and then we do leak behinds where

18

the water is branded that they leave in the

19

vehicles along with a call to action that

20

invites the customers using valet to come

21

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Great.

into the casino for an offer.

2223

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Commissioner

24

Zuniga?

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: No, no questions.

commissioner stebbins: I was encouraged by the "Stay and Play" package, because I know in the license phase it was a huge piece of the application understanding the hotel but trying to find a way to connect with many of the other hotels and local businesses in the areas.

MS. COLLINS: It's worked out well.
We've seen about 30 percent of the patrons
come in from New Hampshire and then the
majority are from Auburn, Massachusetts, so
it's been helpful for us to reactive
customers.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Very good.

Anything else you want to add, Lance?

MR. GEORGE: I think we're good.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Thank you, guys.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Thank you very much. Last item under the ombudsman report is the Community Mitigation Fund request for the Hampden County Sheriff's Department.

2.1

MR. ZIEMBA: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman. So this request we are asking for approval to allow an amendment to the grant to the Hampden County Sheriff's Department to allow them to use one month's worth of lease assistance in the new fiscal year, fiscal year '18 instead of fiscal year '17.

When the Commission first awarded the grant last fall, the lease assistance was for fiscal year '17 assistance. But because they had a delay in their lease, a one month delay, a one month's worth of lease assistance became unallocated and we're asking to be able to shift that into the new fiscal year so that they could use this for the July lease assistance.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Any questions for the ombudsman?

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: No.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: So do we -- are you asking to vote on this?

MR. ZIEMBA: Yes. The original was by vote, so we ask for a vote for the

concludes my report.

_ |

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Thanks,

John. We'll move on to Item No. 7 --

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Commissioner

Stebbins, excuse me, I'm going to step off
now. Thanks very much for hosting me long
distance.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Bye-bye.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Obviously we can continue to do our business, because we do have a quorum of three. We'll move on to the next item, Item No. 7, the IEB, Director Wells, we have a qualifier suitability report.

MS. WELLS: That's correct. Good morning, Commissioners. It's still morning. It's still ten minutes before noon. On the agenda this morning are the results of a suitability investigation for J. Lynn Johnson. He's a retired Admiral with the United States Navy and qualifier

for Wynn Resorts.

In October of 2016, he began his position as a member of the Board of Directors at Wynn Resorts. He holds one of nine positions, directorship positions at Wynn Resorts and also serves as a member of the Compensation Committee. Based upon his directorship position at Wynn Resorts, he was determined to be a qualifier for Wynn Mass., LLC.

Admiral Johnson submitted all the required forms and supplemental document requests to the licensing division and the IEB. Investigators conducted their rigorous background check. I've gone over those topic areas with you before, including references, media coverage, employment history, criminal record, et cetera.

He was interviewed in person by the IEB state police and financial investigators as part of their routine protocol, and investigators also conducted a financial responsibility evaluation with

positive results.

Admiral Johnson went to West Salem
High School, and then in 19 -- from 1964 to
1968 attended the US Naval Academy in
Annapolis, Maryland graduating with a
bachelor's of science degree. He then was
in the US Navy for over 30 years, and
ultimately held a position of Chief of
Naval Operations, was a member of the joint
chiefs of staff and an adviser to the
president.

After retiring from the Navy, he held various executive positions and dominion resources incorporated from 2000 to 2008, and then was the chairman and chief executive officer of General Dynamics Corporation from 2008 to 2012.

Admiral Johnson also disclosed in his application that he currently holds several directorships currently including -- along with Wynn Resorts, including the Peregrine Fund International Paper, the US Naval Academy Foundation and USAA.

The Peregrine fund is a nonprofit organization found in 1970 that conserves threatened and endangered birds of prey worldwide. The international paper company is the largest paper company in the world found in 1898, and currently employs over 65,000 employees. And the US Naval Academy foundation was originally formed in 1944 to support athletic programs through scholarships, grants and awards. And USAA is an insurance banking investment and retirement financial institution established in 1922 that serves military members and their families.

Admiral Johnson is new to the gaming industry and is neither has applied for or held a gaming license in any jurisdiction nor be previously subject to a suitability background review by a gaming regulator.

Massachusetts is the first. Nevada Gaming Control Board does not require a board of director members in his capacity to qualify, so we're the only ones that have done the investigation.

1 2

Overall no issues were uncovered related to Admiral Johnson's application for licensure. He demonstrated by clear and convincing he is suitable for licensure in Massachusetts and, therefore, the IEB is recommending the Commission find him suitable as a qualifier for Wynn Resorts.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Thanks,

Director Wells. We've obviously had a

chance to review your suitability report.

Are there any questions for the director?

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Who were the staff members who did the investigation?

MS. WELLS: I have that here. That was Trooper Dean Cerullo and Financial Investigator Collin Hennigan.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Quite an impressive individual, both service to our country. If there is no further conversation, I'll entertain a motion to approve his suitability.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: I just add I think it's the most impressive

Page 114 investigation packet that I've ever seen. I do see Collin Hennigan here. It was a very nice job done by both. COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Yes, I agree with that. I'll move that the Commission approve the suitability of Admiral Johnson as applied by Director Wells. COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Second. COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: I have a motion and seconded. I don't think we need to -- do we need to do a roll call? MS. BLUE: We should continue with the roll call. COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: We'll continue with the roll call votes on these motions. Commissioner Zuniga? COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Aye. COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Commissioner Macdonald? COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Aye. COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Commissioner

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Admiral Johnson is approved. Next item is legal division. We have a number of small

Stebbins votes aye. The suitability for

business impact statement votes. General Counsel Blue.

MS. BLUE: Good morning,

Commissioners. We have a number of regulations in front of you today, some of which are in various stages of the promulgation process and some of them are for initial review. So if we start with Item A, all of the regulations in Item A are ready to start the promulgation process. I believe we have drafted a motion, if you're so inclined, for each one of them going forward. We also have legal staff and other staff here to answer questions if you have any questions about these particular regulations.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: The first one is small business impact statement 205 CMR 136.08, this is removing names from alcoholic beverage license. Is there any questions or comments?

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: No. This is the end or the beginning of the formal promulgation process?

2.1

Page 116 1 MS. BLUE: This is the beginning of 2 the formal promulgation process, yes. 3 COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Is it the 4 small business impact statement that we are 5 6 MS. BLUE: It's the small business 7 impact statement and the reg. You have 8 seen the reg. before, but you will also 9 have it come back to you again. And if we 10 get more comments, we will provide those as 11 well. 12 COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Are we 13 voting to approve the small business impact 14 statement? 15 MS. BLUE: Yes, and the req. Ι 16 realize the motion doesn't say and the 17 reg., but it's both. 18 COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: And this is 8A1? 19 20 MS. BLUE: Yes, it is. 21 COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: And I move 22 that the Commission approve the small 23 business impact statement for 205 CMR

136.08 as included in the packet and

	Page 117
1	authorize the staff to take all steps
2	necessary to begin the regulation
3	promulgation process.
4	COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Do I have a
5	second?
6	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: I second that.
7	COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: A motion
8	made and seconded. Any further discussion?
9	Seeing none, we'll move to a vote.
10	Commissioner Macdonald?
11	COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Aye.
12	COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Commissioner
13	Zuniga?
14	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Aye.
15	COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Commissioner
16	Stebbins votes aye. The motion is approved
17	three to nothing. Next
18	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Do we now need
19	one for our regulation or
20	MS. BLUE: No, we've included them
21	together.
22	COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Next we'll
23	move on to Item 8A 2, 205 CMR 143.02, small
24	business impact statement for transfer of

progressive jackpots.

_

O 4

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: This one is, again, is the beginning of formal promulgation we've heard about it already.

MS. BLUE: It is, and we will go through the process. There will be a period for comments. We'll hold a public hearing. We will bring it back to the Commission before we go through final promulgation.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: This is an amended small business impact. Is there a particular amendment to this SPIS?

MS. BLUE: 143.02, I believe, is just a small business impact statement. I don't know that it's amended. Let me look. It's just the regulation and a small business impact statement. We do have it amended further down the list.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: So I move that the Commission approve the small business impact statement for 205 CMR 143.02 as concluded in the packet and authorize the staff to take all necessary

Page 119 1 steps -- all steps necessary to begin the 2 regulation promulgation process. 3 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: There is a 4 motion. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: I second that. 5 6 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Any further 7 comments or discussions? Seeing none, 8 we'll move to a vote. Commissioner 9 Macdonald? 10 COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Aye. 11 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Commissioner 12 Zuniga? 13 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Aye. 14 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Commissioner 15 Stebbins votes aye. Motion is approved 16 three to nothing. Next item is 205 CMR 143.01, changes --17 18 MS. BLUE: So the next item is three 19 particular changes to regulations. They 20 are all coupled together as a packet, so we 21 have put this into one motion so that you can do them all at once. 22 23 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: So this 24 takes care of the next three items, right,

3, 4 and 5? 1 2 MS. BLUE: 3, 4 and 5, yes. 3 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Any comments 4 or questions for General Counsel? 5 COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: I move that 6 the Commission approve the small business 7 impact statement for 205 CMR 143.01, 205 8 CMR 138.56, and 205 CMR 139.04 as included 9 in the packet and authorize the staff to 10 take all steps necessary to begin the 11 regulation promulgation process. 12 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: I have a 13 motion. Do I have a second? 14 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: I will second. 15 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Motion made 16 and seconded. Any other further comments, 17 questions? 18 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: 139.04, is 19 this reports to the Commission that they 20 relative to the gaming devices; is that 2.1 correct? 22 MS. BLUE: I believe that is, yes. 23 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: I'll move to 24 call for a vote. Commissioner Macdonald?

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Aye.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Commi

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Commissioner Zuniga?

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Aye.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Commissioner Stebbins votes aye. The motion is approved three to nothing.

MS. BLUE: The next regulation that we have is the regulation on rules of the game. These aren't the rules but just the regulation. This has gone through the process. We've had a hearing, so this is a final draft of the regulations and the amended small business impact statement. Your approval will allow us to complete the promulgation process.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: This is a vote shown in the packet for 205 CMR 147. Any comments or questions?

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Is there any edits that we did? There's a couple of edits here. But were they the result of public comment?

MS. TORRISI: Yes. They were from

2.1

the informal comment period, so we discussed them at a previous meeting. You just hadn't seen them already in the packet, so I redlined it for you.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Okay.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Any other comments or questions?

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Just to also clarify, this is the umbrella for all the rules of the game that incorporates all the actual rules by reference that we have title to and modified?

MS. TORRISI: Yes.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: This requires a vote. Do I have a motion?

that the Commission approve the amended small business impact statement and final version of regulations 205 CMR 147, the Uniformed Standards and Rules of the Games as included in the packet and authorize the staff to take all steps necessary to file the regulations with the Secretary of the Commonwealth and complete the formal

	Page 123
1	regulation promulgation process.
2	COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Second.
3	COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Motion made
4	and seconded. Any further conversations or
5	discussions? Seeing none, call for a vote.
6	Commissioner Macdonald?
7	COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Aye.
8	COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Commissioner
9	Zuniga.
10	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Aye.
11	COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Commissioner
12	Stebbins votes aye. The motion is approved
13	three to nothing.
14	MS. BLUE: And if we could move to
15	Item F next, that way we can conclude the
16	votes and then we can present the other
17	regulations. The other ones on the list
18	are initial reviews. It's the first time
19	here.
20	COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Okay.
21	MS. BLUE: So Item F is the amended
22	to 134.14 for administrative closure.
23	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: And we've also
24	talked about this in the past. Is this

Page 124 1 formal promulgation process that starts? 2 MS. BLUE: Yes, it is. 3 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: And this is 4 with respect to licensing, closing the 5 application, time lines and refile and 6 reopening the applications. 7 MS. BLUE: Yes, that's right. 8 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Any comments 9 or questions for our staff? 10 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Any informal 11 comments for this one in particular; do you 12 know? MS. BLUE: No, I don't think so. 13 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: 14 15 entertain a motion on the item. 16 COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: I move the Commission approve the amendments to 205 17 CMR 134.14 as included in the packet and 18 19 authorize the staff to take the steps 20 necessary to file a regulation with the 2.1 Secretary of the Commonwealth and to 22 proceed with a regulation promulgation 23 process.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS:

24

I have a

	Page 125
1	motion. Do I have a second?
2	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Second.
3	COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Any other
4	comments? Seeing none, I'll call for a
5	vote. Commissioner Macdonald?
6	COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Aye.
7	COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Commissioner
8	Zuniga?
9	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Aye.
10	COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Commissioner
11	Stebbins votes aye. The motion is approved
12	three to nothing.
13	MS. BLUE: So next up we have 205
14	CMR 141. These are updates to a
15	surveillance regulation. This is the
16	initial review. There is no vote on this
17	today, but we are just bringing it to you
18	and we have staff here that can answer any
19	questions you may have about it.
20	COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Where is
21	this in the tabs?
22	MS. BLUE: It's Item C.
23	COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Tab C?
24	MS. BLUE: Yes.

1 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Well, my main 2 question is that there is quite bit of 3 language that gets added. Could somebody 4 just give us an overview of --5 The majority of these are MR. BAND: 6 clarifications that through our experience 7 with Plainridge Park and speaking with MGM 8 and Wynn we needed to clarify the current 9 req. to make a clear record of what we are 10 trying to do, and that's what the majority of these changes involved. 11 12 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: How did 13 these changes impact -- any impact on the current operations at --14 15 MR. BAND: It's what they're 16 currently operating under. It's kind of what we directed them that way, but we 17 18 thought it was important to actually have 19 it in the regulations for it's clear. 20 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: And this 2.1 affects only progressive? 22 MR. BAND: No, there's a couple of 23 other changes, too.

24

54dff0eb-e48d-4ecb-a41e-4dd5aaeb90d7

1 progressive wide area is the next section.

MR. SANGALANG: Microphone, please.

MR. STEMPECK: The wide area aggressives are the next 143, Commissioner. 141 is just surveillance. 143 deals with the progressive slot.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: I was on the wrong path, I'm sorry.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: So,

Mr. Band, if I understand what you said

that these changes are, in effect, causing

the regulations to conform with the best

practices that you served on the same time.

MR. BAND: Yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Is there any vote that we're planning on this?

MS. BLUE: No, this is just the first time we've brought it to you. If you would like, we could put it out for informal comment or bring it back again and have the regular process started, whichever is convenient.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: By putting it out for informal comment, that's the

Page 128 1 next step, right? 2 MS. BLUE: It's up to the 3 Commission. It's not built into the 4 process by as necessity, but we have been 5 doing that more recently. So if you would 6 like us to do that, we can put it out for 7 informal comment. 8 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Informal 9 comment period about two weeks be sufficient? 10 11 MS. BLUE: Approximately, yes. 12 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Okay. 13 don't have it down for a vote, but we can direct staff --14 15 MR. STEMPECK: We'll put it out for informal comment and return in two weeks. 16 17 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Next one is 18 discussion on the regulations related to 19 the wide area progressive. 20 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: So now I 21 update my comment. There's quite a bit of 22 language added. Could somebody just care 23 to give a summary of intent of the --24 MR. STEMPECK: Let me just, as a

precursor, previous version 143 had adopted the GLI standard July of '12. If you reference GLI-12, GLI-12 covered a large amount of ground but it left a lot of things to different jurisdictions to work out.

By adding in all this text, what we're trying to do is put in what would be our best practices are after looking at other jurisdictions, New Jersey, Nevada and speaking with our own internal staff who know this industry and are very familiar with wide are aggressive and how they operate, Floyd has a lot of knowledge how about how these work, so we put that in here so we have the specific information so no one would be confused if they went to GLI and you saw questions about what do we do in this particular circumstance. That's why you see so much text here.

Also, wide area progressives, they're plexed, so there's a lot of things to explain, so hopefully we can resolve questions. That's why you see so much red

text when you go through this new version of 1.3.

a prior discussion on this progressives what's relative to what to do when the casinos wanted to change a current game how to credit, if you will, that amount to the next progressive to the next game. Are we addressing or are we touching anything on that here or is that in addition to that conversation?

MR. STEMPECK: These are in addition. So that is what you just approved by way of the small business impact statements. So we incorporate that having already been done into this version. So this goes beyond that, but it incorporates that work that's previously been done into this. If you walk through this, you will see that language is in here as well.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Can I take a step back and ask Floyd to explain what a progressive gaming device is?

2.1

54dff0eb-e48d-4ecb-a41e-4dd5aaeb90d7

MR. BARROGA: So what a progressive gaming device is is the offer of large award. And as the players contribute and wager money on that machine, there is a small percentage of that goes to progressive. And as we limit these regulations, we allow the linking of more than one machine say it's across one casino or across all casinos within Massachusetts to even the next step where it be machines across the country. So these regulations will allow for the casino properties to include higher jackpots for our players to achieve higher jackpots across the board.

just had one quick question. Help me relate to the last -- on the last page of the draft regulations, you say, "From Section 3.4 when complete, the gaming regulator shall adopt and replace it with each player shall be." Can you tell me what that references?

MR. STEMPECK: That's a reference to the GLI language. So because what happens

is the Subsection 1 here says we adopt GLI12, and then you actually have to go back
and look at GLI-12 to find these specific
language being changed there. But with
that, I'm not sure what the specific
reference there is without having the GLI
12 here, but I can certainly take a look.
But this is all -- everything in here is
modifying GLI-12 to plug in gaps that they
were looking for or to change language so
it fits within for what we have in
Massachusetts.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: I'm a little apprehensive about the language saying the burden is off us. The burden appears to be now on the players, so if you can kind of come back to me on that and clarify it.

MR. STEMPECK: Sure.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: So I'm thankful that I am just hitting on me that this assumes the GLI standards, and we are going back and forth saying leave that section in GLI standards and to this other section, so they are supposed to obviously

be working.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1 22

23

24

MR. STEMPECK: That's right. GLI had a lot of broad mandates, and they left a lot of the details purposely to be adopted by specific jurisdictions, so we're plugging in those gaps with specific best practices in the industry to address wide area progressives, which Floyd just mentioned, between state issues as well as just casino to casino and the state issues and some of the technical issues as well.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: And how different or similar are we by adopting this language to other jurisdictions?

MR. STEMPECK: Well, as I said, we looked at New Jersey and Nevada. spoke in term and met several times with folks who are very familiar with what's going on in the industry technologically. Floyd did some independent research of what's going on with the cutting edge as far as the wide area progressives.

So I'm very comfortable in what we're doing is as current and modern as any

other jurisdiction and incorporates best practices in New Jersey, Nevada, any other major jurisdictions that has these progressives as well as wide area progressives.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Any other questions? We're going to put this out for informal comment is your suggestion?

MR. STEMPECK: Sure.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Thank you.

Next item is discussion of 205 CMR 115.01,

continuing duty of gaming licensees and

qualifiers to update and report certain

events.

MR. GROSSMAN: This one is an attempt to clarify the reporting process by establishing time lines and identifying specific information that we are requesting be submitted by our gaming licensees and qualifiers to the IEB. At present, there are similar requirements for gaming employees, gaming venders, including registrants and non-gaming venders. But they are not as clear a requirements that

apply to gaming licensees themselves and qualifiers.

There are certain requirements that require the licensees and the qualifiers to update their RFA-1 submissions, so there are certain obligations placed upon these individuals and entities at present. But at the time, it seems right to make an effort to streamline this process, be very clear what information we want these entities and individuals to provide to the IEB and when we want it provided.

Of course this was put together in collaboration between the legal department and the IEB itself, including the financial investigation team and the other units within the IEB to try to ensure that we're collecting only the information that we will make the best use of.

So this is where these provisions came from. The first couple are very similar to the ones that we require are, again, all of our gaming employees and the venders as well.

2

4

3

5

6 7

8

9

1011

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2223

24

We'd be happy to run through any specific areas of interest but, otherwise, this similarly we would ask to go for out informal public comment.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: And if I'm reading this right, Mr. Grossman, the addition here has to do with continuing duty of disclosure?

MR. GROSSMAN: Correct. So basically all of these individuals have gone through a suitability review and have been approved by the commission after investigation by the IEB and deemed qualified, deemed suitable. So what this pertains to is their ongoing duty to report to the IEB any changes in the status of the information that was initially provided relative to criminal background investigations or investigations in other jurisdictions for the gaming licensees, their parent companies, any disciplinary matters, any legal matters. That's one of the notable changes in here are these specific types of legal matters that we

want reported to the Commission.

)

At present, each of the gaming licensees have provisions in their licenses themselves that direct them as to how to report certain legal matters, lawsuits, updates the lawsuits and things of that nature. They are somewhat inconsistent with one another between Wynn to Plainridge to MGM. This would streamline that.

The provision in here is consistent with these respective companies SCC filing obligations. In fact, we cite that specific provision reg. in here. So this would tie that all together and, essentially, just streamline the process when it comes to those types of --

under the impression that they were already a continuing duty incumbent upon our licensees. Are you saying that that existing duty in that regard is something that was formalized in the individual licenses and now this is a way of making uniform fee obligation between each of the

licensees regardless of what's in the license?

MR. GROSSMAN: As it pertains to the legal matters, yes, I think this would ultimately supersede those provisions of the licenses. There is a continuing duty and obligation of all licensees and other entities to cooperate with the Commission and the IEB, provide any information that is requested or required by the Commission.

But there is nowhere where we prescribe specifically what information we want to provide other than in the RFA-1 process where we're very clear what type of documentation and information we are looking for. But beyond that, it's not as clear as it could be and that is where this comes in.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: I have a question relative to what a couple of these, and you touched on this, touch on what the licensee, not the qualifier, but the licensee as a company is required to do under SCC rules and they probably do it

even before the ten days that we are requiring here. Why do we feel we need to get that?

MR. GROSSMAN: Legal matters in particular?

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: If we already get that through SCC disclosures.

MS. WELLS: I think as far as if there is any kind of enforcement action for noncompliance, it makes it much more of a streamline process for us to be able to point to the regs. instead of us saying you didn't do something you should have done with the SCC filings. It's you have in the reg. that you were supposed to notify to us. That may be sort of the operationally be the most official way to do it for us.

MR. GROSSMAN: This would also cover our licenses. The SCC filing may not cover that in that the particular suit against one of our licensees may not meet the threshold of the material legal proceedings. So, I mean, that's one of the things this does. But in theory, yes,

_ 1

there could be some overlap certainly between the filing with the SCC and with us in this.

my question. You know, you're issuing -if you're going to be listed from the stock
exchange, the SCC already knows or if there
is a significant financial event, for
example, it's really their obligation to
report it to us to the SCC and to the
public as soon as they know. I just
question whether there is a reason for us
to also ask for it. We can simply look it
up in Edger or --

MS. WELLS: I think it's more we may not know to look it up unless we are alerted. For example, Penn National they will send me their SCC filings, so that reports with the notice requirement. So I think you're concerned that's sort of double duty or too much of a burden on the operator. If they're routinely sending us the SCC filings that incorporate that information, that would be covered in under

this reg., so that actually is a good way to do it.

MR. GROSSMAN: It may be just a check and balance matter, you know, we isolated a few important areas that certainly despite our diligence and reviewing the SCC filings we want to make sure are flushed out for us a little more clearly. I think that would be probably one of the reasons we've done it this way. It's certainly subject to further discussion.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: I know you can subscribe to alerts from all these services that the minute they file it you get an alert from any public companies whenever they do any kind of disclosure so it's easier to look, but that's fine.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Any other comments or questions?

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: No.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: This is also

going out for informal comment?

MR. GROSSMAN: We'll put this out as

2.1

well.

I.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Thank you very much. I think that's everything from the legal division.

MS. BLUE: That concludes the legal report.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Item No. 9 is the Commissioner's update. Any of the Commissioners have anything to update?

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Not really.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Neither do

add, you know, during the course of the meeting mentioned a number of the good things that happened out of the greyhound building work that the AFC continues to do. That committee, as you know, is represented by both our licenses. They are general contractors and a number of critical stakeholders and just continue to be impressed by the level of work and cooperation the committee does. That's all I have. I don't think we have any other

	Page 143
1	items for business. I'll entertain a
2	motion to adjourn this meeting. Do I have
3	a motion?
4	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: So moved.
5	COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Second.
6	COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Motion made
7	and seconded. Quick roll call vote.
8	Commissioner Macdonald?
9	COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Aye.
10	COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Commissioner
11	Zuniga?
12	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Aye.
13	COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Commissioner
14	Stebbins votes aye. We are adjourned.
15	
16	(Meeting adjourned at 12:23 p.m.)
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

	Page 144
1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	MASSACHUSETTS GAMING COMMISSION STAFF:
4	Catherine Blue, General Counsel
5	Karen Wells, Director of IEB
6	John Ziemba, Ombudsman
7	Mark Vander Linden, Director of Research and
8	Responsible Gaming
9	Todd Grossman, Deputy General Counsel
10	Justin Stempeck, Staff Attorney
11	Carrie Torrisi, Staff Attorney
12	Bruce Band, Gaming Agents Division Chief
13	Jill Griffin, Director of Workforce
14	Michael Sangalang, Digital Communications
15	Coordinator
16	Floyd Barroga, Gaming Technology Manager
17	Joseph Delaney, Project Oversight Manager
18	
19	SEIGMA:
20	Mark Melnik, Director, Economic & Public Policy
21	Research, UMass Donahue Institute
22	Andrew Hall, Research Analyst, UMass Donahue
23	Institute
24	

	Page 145
1	APPEARANCES (Continued):
2	
3	WYNN BOSTON HARBOR:
4	Robert DeSalvio, President of Wynn Boston Harbor
5	Jacqui Krum, General Counsel, Wynn Boston Harbor
6	Chris Gordon, Wynn Design and Development
7	Massachusetts
8	
9	PLAINRIDGE PARK CASINO:
10	Lance George, General Manager
11	Michele Collins, Vice President of Marketing
12	Lisa McKenney, Compliance Manager
13	Ruben Warren, Chief Financial Officer
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	