	Page 1
THE COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS	J
MASSACHUSETTS GAMING COMMISSION	
PUBLIC MEETING #185	
CHAIRMAN	
Stephen P. Crosby	
COMMISSIONERS	
Gayle Cameron	
Lloyd Macdonald	
Bruce W. Stebbins	
Enrique Zuniga	
March 29, 2016 3:00 p.m 4:00 p.m.	
BOSTON CONVENTION AND EXHIBITION CENTER	
41 F G G L L D 1047 /D	I
415 Summer Street, Room 104A/B	
Boston, Massachusetts	
	MASSACHUSETTS GAMING COMMISSION PUBLIC MEETING #185  CHAIRMAN Stephen P. Crosby  COMMISSIONERS Gayle Cameron Lloyd Macdonald Bruce W. Stebbins Enrique Zuniga  March 29, 2016 3:00 p.m 4:00 p.m. BOSTON CONVENTION AND EXHIBITION CENTER

## PROCEEDINGS:

3 4

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: We are calling to order 185th meeting of the Massachusetts Gaming Commission back today at the Boston Convention and Exhibition Center at 3:00 on March 29.

Commissioner Macdonald will be here shortly.

So, we will put off accepting the minutes and go straight to the first item on the agenda, which is research and responsible gaming,

Director Vander Linden.

MR. VANDER LINDEN: Good afternoon, Commissioners. March, as you know is National Problem Gambling Awareness month. It seems fitting as we wind down this month to bring this specific agenda item to you.

It seems fitting to bring this specific agenda item to you as we discuss our strategic plan for services to mitigate the harms associated with gambling in Massachusetts. The Expanded Gaming Act provides numerous provisions that emphasize protections to communities and mitigation of social impacts. And it's these provisions that

lay the groundwork or the foundation for our path forward.

It's specifically within the Expanded Gaming Act there's the Public Health Trust Fund. And I'm just going to read the exact language of the Public Health Trust Fund because I think it's a very important context as we talk about what is our authority and what is our direction to lay out a strategic plan.

So, the Secretary of Health and Human Services shall be the trustee of the fund and may only expend monies in the fund without further appropriations to assist social services and public health programs dedicated to addressing problems associated with compulsive gambling, including but not limited to gambling prevention and addiction services, substance abuse services, educational campaigns to mitigate the potential addictive nature of gambling, and any studies and evaluations necessary, including the annual research agenda under § 71 to ensure the proper and most effective strategies.

This is a pretty broad direction for

us to lay out a public health approach to
mitigating problem gambling.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Mark, just to

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Mark, just to clarify, you might want to mention the MOU that the Secretary -- are you getting to that?

6 MR. VANDER LINDEN: I am, exactly.

7 This is a big task. And fortunately also 8 within the statute -- I will just move on.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Who is responsible for this, for the clicker?

MR. VANDER LINDEN: I have the clicker. Oops, there it is. There is ample funding to fulfill this. So, for the Public Health Trust Fund, there are two sources of funding for it. The first is an annual assessment to our gaming licensees. You will see that that is annual fees of not less than \$5 million proportional shares to each of the

The second is five percent of the gross gaming revenue received by MGC from Category 1 licensees. Obviously, we don't have Category 1 licensees operating right now. So, the five percent will be delayed for some

4

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

gaming licensees.

1 period which I think is an important point.

And I think it is something that is addressed within the strategic plan that when we get to it we will talk about that.

So, an interesting piece of this is that as you can see from the Public Health

Trust Fund language it's the Secretary of

Health and Human Services that's the trustee of the Public Health Trust Fund. However, if you dive into § 71, which is the research agenda, it assigns the authority to the Gaming

Commission.

research inform your programs and services.
So, I think in light of that Chairman Crosby,
you and the Secretary of Health and Human
Services at the time created an MOU that
aligned the efforts, and basically granted
equal authority to the MGC and EOHHS to set the
overall budget and protocol for expenditures
from the Public Health Trust Fund that would
provide programs and services to treat and
prevent problem gambling and assure that the
research is used to investigate the impacts.

1 So, one needs to inform the other. 2 If we were functioning separately, we would 3 lose some of the efficiencies we would have if 4 we were working more closely together. that's the essence of the MOU between the 5 6 Massachusetts Gaming Commission and the 7 Executive Office of Health and Human Services. So, from a public health 8 9 perspective, we have a directive to address 10 problem gambling from a public health 11 perspective. It's laid out clearly within the 12 Public Health Trust Fund language. Quite honestly, I've been working in 13 this field for 10 years. I think a public 14 15 health approach is the right direction forward. There is so much to be learned in this field. 16 There's very little evidence that we could draw 17 18 upon. But what we know is that this specific 19 issue is best addressed from a public health perspective. With that, you'll get the most 20 21 powerful impacts and the most effective method. 22 We also want to recognize that from 23 a public health perspective we need to create a

safety net. Individuals that we can't reach

through prevention services, we need to make sure that there are treatment services that are available out there for them. And again, I think that that public health perspective in the strategic plan that you have before you today does a good job of trying to marry those two and understand it on a continuum.

So, now pivoting over to the strategic plan itself. We are in an ISA with Department of Public Health. Within that ISA, there was funding specifically for a strategic plan to be developed. That is done by Emily Bhargava who I will introduce here in just a moment. And it is led by Victor Ortiz who I'll also just introduce here in just a second.

This was an intensive effort over the course of about 18 months. The information that they gathered leading to it came from many different perspectives. They did a review of articles related to best practices. They did more than 200 stakeholder interviews including people working in the prevention of problem gambling field, clinicians who treat problem gambling, clinicians who work in other

- addiction fields. It included members of
  communities of host and surrounding
  communities. It included researchers from
  different institutions. It included
  representatives from our gaming licensees. It
  even included Legislature.
  - It also takes into consideration, which I think is very important and instrumental, it takes into consideration our research, the SEIGMA research. You'll see that the SEIGMA baseline data is woven throughout this.
  - As you know that's baseline data.

    It becomes more powerful over time. And that data will become more powerful and informative for this strategic plan and future iterations.
  - So, I'm going to now turn it over.

    I feel bad. I wanted to introduce my guests
    here. I meant to do that from the onset. I am
    very pleased to announce that DPH has a new
    Director of Problem Gambling Services. And
    that is Victor Ortiz.
- I've worked with Victor for a number of years now. I would say it's safe to say.

Victor has worked in the field of problem
gambling for a long time. He's a social
worker. He brings an amazing amount of
passion, commitment and energy to the field,
and I think is an ideal person to work and
spearhead this from DPH and work in close
collaboration with us at the Commission.

To his right is Emily Bhargava who is working with EDC. She was the pin behind this strategic plan. There were others, but Emily did a phenomenal job, I think, of pulling together the data, taking a model that has been used in public health and translating that for problem gambling -- this problem gambling services plan.

So with that, I want to turn it over to Mr. Victor Ortiz.

MR. ORTIZ: Thank you, Mark. Good afternoon Commissioners. It's a pleasure to be here today.

The Public Health Trust Fund strategic plan presents a great opportunity in the development of a comprehensive plan for the services to mitigate the harms associated with

gambling.

The task of developing a strategic plan as Mark talked about was not a simple task. Our field of problem gambling is a young field. From its early beginning in 1972 when Dr. Custer first carrying the term compulsive gambling to the first appearance of the term pathological gambling in the APA DSM3 in 1980, we've had many, many questions in developing answers.

Gambling studies have predominantly focused on the individualized characteristics of problem gamblers. Then in 2002, the Annual Review of Public Health published Gambling and Related Mental Health Disorders, a Public Health Analysis by Dr. David Corn and Dr. Howard Shaffer.

This landmark study was the first of its kind to analyze gambling from the public health perspective. According to research, one benefit of this view that it promotes consideration of health-related phenomenon at a macro level that might not be available using more individual oriented research approaches.

The complexity of problem gambling creates the opportunity to examine this issue from multiple lenses in order to promote the highest level of help. According to research, a public health approach to gambling encourages examination of the social risk and protective factors that encourages or discourages the transition from recreational to problem related gambling. The identification of vulnerable demographic groups or ethnic differences and the acceptance of gambling.

The Department of Public Health is committed to the optimal health and well-being of all people in Massachusetts. Essential to our work is working in partnerships and collaboration. And at this time, I just want to say thank you to the Mass. Gaming Commission, in particular Chairman Crosby and Commissioner Zuniga for your work in our partnership in this effort to get to this stage in the strategic plan. Thank you to EDC.

Our collective efforts I have no doubt will develop the services to mitigate the harms associated with gambling. And the

1 strategic plan will inform my annual work plan 2 as Director of Problem Gambling Services for 3 the Department Public Health. 4 I look forward and I'm excited about 5 the challenges and the opportunities ahead. 6 Thank you. 7 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Victor, why don't you just mention the other members of Public 8 9 Health Trust Fund executive committee. Are you 10 going to do that too? 11 MR. VANDER LINDEN: I would be glad 12 to do that. 13 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Sorry. MR. VANDER LINDEN: So, the Public 14 15 Health Trust Fund executive committee is 16 chaired by the Chairman of the Gaming 17 Commission. It's also co-chaired by the 18 Secretary of Health and Human Services or that 19 person's designee. And that designee is 20 Associate Commissioner of the Department of 21 Public Health Lindsay Tucker who is relatively 22 new but has brought an amazing energy to the 23 group. 24 We also have three additional

- 1 | members that were appointed by the two chairs.
- 2 | Those include the Executive Director of the
- 3 | Massachusetts Lottery, Michael Sweeney. It
- 4 | includes the Executive Director of the Mass.
- 5 | Public Health Association, Rebekah Gewirtz, and
- 6 Undersecretary of Public Safety Jennifer
- 7 Queally.
- 8 MR. ORTIZ: At this time, I'm just
- 9 going to turn it over to Emily who is going to
- 10 provide the slides here.
- MS. BHARGAVA: Good afternoon.
- 12 Thank you for this opportunity. And I'd like
- 13 to introduce Jack Vondras who just joined me
- 14 | also from EDC.
- So, my role today is really just to
- 16 provide a short overview of the contents of the
- 17 plan. It is an 86-page document. So, we will
- 18 | not go into excruciating detail. But I will
- 19 start by saying that the purpose of the plan is
- 20 to articulate strategies and activities that
- 21 | will best utilize the funds that will be made
- 22 available through the Public Health Trust Fund
- 23 | specifically for services.
- 24 And the framework that we used in

developing the strategic plan was actually

SAMHSA, the Substance Abuse and Mental Health

Administration's strategic prevention

framework. So, that the framework is not

specific to prevention but it does have a focus

there.

It allowed us to begin with an assessment, to build on that assessment, to think about implementation, capacity building and do some really solid planning that included planning for evaluation, sustainability and cultural competence. So, you will see all of those pieces reflected in the contents of the draft plan.

Mark alluded to this a few minutes ago as did Victor, but the plan is structured in such a way that it includes content both current services and recommendations for future services spanning the full continuum of care. And what we mean by that is reflected in the graphic that you can see on the slide.

It means that we include prevention and health promotion for people who are currently healthy and for populations that are

currently healthy through screening and referral, treatment services and recovery support for those who need it. You can see at the base of this graphic there is infrastructure and capacity building and also data collection. Those are the two piece that will be necessary to provide the other services, necessary to make the other pieces happen.

The plan itself has a number of sections or the document has a number of sections. First, we provide legislative context and other background that you'll need to understand what's being recommended. We've included a description of our methodology and the processes to develop the strategic plan.

We provide an overview of current services. We found this extremely important to look carefully at what exists now and to be able to identify those elements of current services that can be expended on, can be enhanced and can be maintained.

We provide a list of priority areas that I'll show you in a moment that were

identified through the assessment process. The strategic plan itself is strategies and activities across the continuum of services, as I mentioned, moving from prevention all the way through recovery and support. The document also includes process evaluation and outcome evaluation plans.

So, the priority area that emerged from the assessment process are listed here.

And they are in order of the prevention continuum right now. So, they are not in order of priority they are in order beginning with prevention moving through recovery and support and infrastructure development.

So, we have prevention for youth, prevention for other high-risk populations, a focus on community level interventions that refers to the public health approach that Victor and Mark both mentioned. Coordination of problem gambling services, coordination between problem gambling services, integration of addiction services, mental-health services and primary care. Decrease in the stigma and unsupportive social norms regarding gambling,

increase in availability of support services, and increase in availability of culturally appropriate services. Contribution to the evidence-based for problem gambling services and that is a reference to the fact that this is an emerging field and there is more to be learned.

Establishment of an evaluation infrastructure to monitor and evaluate the impacts of the work as it moves forward. And expansion of institutional capacity to address problem gambling and related issues.

So, last finally I will share with you the fact that in the strategic plan within the strategic plan document you will notice that there are two phases of activities that are included. Phase 1 activities are those that could be carried out realistically in the coming years. So, over the next year or two before there are dollars available in the Public Health Trust Fund for services.

The phase 1 activities focus primarily on infrastructure and capacity building to set the stage for the addition of

dollars but also for the potential for increased need for services that will come with the opening of casinos.

So, phase 2 activities are included because they reflect potential expansion of the strategies that could be done when dollars become available. They give a sense of the path forward on a number of the strategies.

That is all I have to say right now but we are happy to take questions about the current draft of the plan.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Anybody?

MR. VANDER LINDEN: If I may, I was going to ask Victor to kind of go through the timeline of events moving forward.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Before you do that I just want to mention one thing about the phase 1. You said phase 1 is designed for the period prior to there being monies in the Public Health Trust Fund. That is accurate but not causal.

Phase 1 is previous to there being the launch of the casinos which happens to be the same time the money will start to come into

the Public Health Trust Fund. Until 2018, we aren't going to have any appreciable increase in gaming other than the modest, very modest impact of Plainville.

So, it's not like we're holding back services because we don't have the money.

We're holding back services because we don't have the problem yet. So, we have got to get ready.

Just to be clear and I think I've said this before for you guys, if there is a need to spend money then we'll figure out a way to deal with that. So, the issue is to get ready for what might happen when these things launch at whatever the appropriate cost is.

MS. BHARGAVA: Thank you for the clarification. That's right.

MR. ORTIZ: So, I'm going to review the timeline very quickly. So, currently right now at this stage, we drew up a timeline in regards to getting this document approved. So, currently right now the plan is sitting at the Executive Office of Health and Human Services, at the Secretary's office for review of their

1 office. We will conduct that review up to 2 April 6. 3 On April 6, we will then 4 redistribute the plan with any adjustments or 5 whatever the case might be to the executive 6 committee of the Public Health Trust Fund in line for April 11, which then will be the day for the vote by the executive committee for the 8 9 Public Health Trust Fund to approve the 10 document. 11 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: A question, 12 I'm looking at the continuum of services. 13 know this is the plan moving forward. 14 would you say the most need exists or what 15 we're not able to do presently from looking at these four categories or infrastructure or data 16 17 collection? What is an area in which this plan 18 will really have the most effect because you're 19 just not able to do it now? 20 MR. ORTIZ: I would say, 21 Commissioner Cameron, that I think that one of 22 the priority areas right now is really two 23 fronts. I think that one is prevention is key. 24 The way prevention works, the way it's

structured, we have to get to the ground right now with the planning and development of prevention.

We already have -- A slots parlor is already open in Southeast Mass. We have some data obviously from the SEIGMA data that we already have. So, I think developing prevention strategies is really key for the planning and development of that it.

I also would say that for us as well, it's important on the treatment side to begin to analyze our current treatment structure in Massachusetts, where the gaps are, where do we need to sort of fill in those gaps and also the data collection portion is really important as well.

So, I think those two fronts are really two critical fronts for us sort of moving forward right now.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: My two cents worth on that, I would have said those two things too, Commissioner. But there's also another massive issue, which is not unique to us but which is one we're going to have to deal with

which is the incredible disconnect between the prevalence of problem gambling and the request or access to treatment.

It's true with most addictions but in gambling it really just drops off like a cliff. It's very, very hard to identify. And then it's very, very hard to figure out how you move people from having self-identified into help.

So, whether we can add appreciably to that or not, I don't know. But it's certainly something I feel strongly is a priority and we want to try to really work on. We are thinking about it.

We're talking about our GameSense advisors, can they play some kind of gateway role? Is there people on the helpline that come into the Mass. Council? Can we upgrade that to be a little bit more of a gateway to treatment? We're already starting to think about it, but it's a really important and interesting challenge.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Follow-up question, what I don't see here is the analysis

which is critical -- And maybe it's built into all of these pieces -- to know what's working, what's not where are the gaps. To know that analysis I think is critical to making sure you're successful with these efforts. Is that built-in everywhere?

MS. BHARGAVA: As far as the structure of the document, there's a section -- There are three portions of the plan that move through the continuum of services. First is current services. And then the next is -- Maybe I'm mixing this up.

Let me back up and say there's a section of the plan that describes in detail each of the priority areas that I listed. And for each one does that analysis and talks about what is currently in place, what's missing, and what we heard during the assessment in terms of what the needs are.

So, the suggested activities and strategies are designed to directly respond to those analysis.

MR. VANDER LINDEN: I think that that's one of the strengths of the model that

1 was chosen, using this SAMHSA model that it 2 includes an evaluation and a cycle back to evaluate what is being done to see where you 3 4 need to adjust services or resources. I think 5 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: 6 that's a piece that is missing in so many 7 programs. What's working, what's not, how do 8 you tweak it as you go along. 9 MS. BHARGAVA: In response to that 10 piece, I mentioned there is the process 11 evaluation plan included as well an impact 12 evaluation plan, an outcome evaluation. 13 that is intended to provide indicators for monitoring the activities and looking at 14 15 whether the impacts are actually being 16 achieved. So, that should provide a feedback 17 loop to see what needs to be changed over time. 18 MR. VANDER LINDEN: And our entire 19

MR. VANDER LINDEN: And our entire research agenda quite honestly is a method of getting additional information to provide a loop for evaluation of how to adjust our services and where we need to go.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: I think

Commissioner Cameron's question is so

20

21

22

23

important. And there is a very powerful answer
because the evaluation loop is deeply
integrated into all of our work.

But it's important enough -- You didn't mention it, so Commissioner Cameron didn't know for sure if it was there. And even at a very high level presentation like you just now made that loop should be in there, because it's absolutely core and critical.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: I was just going to mention something that is relevant now. I think the team was perhaps a little modest in the interest of time. But this process started in October of last year and went through a number of iterations.

I was just rereading this recently for this meeting, but I've read the versions that came about. I don't know how many versions, but there were many since October as they evolved. I attended those meetings.

Although I am not a member of the Public Health Trust Fund executive committee, I attend them in interest.

And the evolution since October in

1 all those meetings, in the versions 2 incorporating EDC and DPH and Mark and 3 everybody being very flexible to incorporate 4 new data that happened along the way. baseline study came about with that timeframe. 5 6 And there was a lot of input from that effort that informed a lot of what transpires here. The team really took -- went to 8 9 great efforts to understand all of the 10 activities that are taking place here in parallel and are converging as all of this is 11 12 coming to fruition. And I think that the 13 document really captures all of that really 14 well. 15 Your presentation has to be brief by necessity, but just to offer a slightly 16

necessity, but just to offer a slightly different perspective to the prior two comments, there's been a lot of thought and a lot of really good deliberation that has occurred in this effort. I think it's a great testament and it's all in here. It's just easy to miss in a very small brief presentation.

MR. VANDER LINDEN: Thank you. The SEIGMA team, Rachel Volberg, Amanda Houpt were

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 also very, very important in this process. 2 I think met with Emily and her team numerous 3 They wrote a white paper that 4 specifically provided recommendations based on 5 the SEIGMA data moving forward. And I think 6 those are all -- It's important relationships 7 that came to the document that you have. COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Let me mention 8 9 one more thing. There were enough versions in 10 this that I remember a couple of conclusions to 11 many of these conversations which was the 12 natural conclusion. This is a living and 13 breathing document. This is a process that only begins. And it felt at times like it was 14 15 already living and breathing as we were --16 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: It was breathing 17 heavily. 18 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: -- breathing 19 heavily, yes, as we were in yet the next 20 iteration. But I think that's a testament to 21 how important it is to think of the natural 22 evolution that these effort takes place. 23 And that strategic planning is more 24 of a cultural -- ingrained in everything we do

including the evaluation process that we have and the research that's going to inform programs and so on. There is that loop that we really doing everything we want to do that has been very much a part of this already.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: I would just like to add -- First of all, congratulations to the team and the work you put into this. It's a very thorough document.

I had two points, and I shared them a little bit earlier today with Mark. One is in your target area prevention of high risk populations. And even though you list several of those, the one that stood out for me that I thought might be missing and I think it's backed up somewhat by Dr. Volberg's research was veteran population. Maybe not huge in terms of numbers, but for that segment maybe a higher prevalence of potential problem gambling being at risk.

Now you may find veterans kind of locked into your other categories. I hope you all kind of cull through that information as the plan gets implemented. But I just draw

your attention to maybe refocus or relook at that group.

The other question I had was right before we opened Plainville, we did kind of a problem gambling strategy approach to minimize the impact of the opening of Plainridge Park.

As I think back to it, maybe that plan was at the 30,000 foot. The strategic plan is really trying to put some more meat onto what resources are needed.

I hope if time allows there's the ability to kind of come back and align the strategic plan with that kind of regional local plan because I expect we'll be laying out a local plan for the area around MGM when it opens and also with Everett when it opens and potentially down in Region C as well.

So, good work. It's just nice to kind of see it tie back into some of the local strategies that all of you worked so hard on prior to the opening of Plainridge.

MR. ORTIZ: Commissioner Stebbins, I just want to thank you first for mentioning the point on veterans. It is a priority for us.

Definitely there is enough evidence there to tell us quite a bit in regards to veterans in regards to suicidal issues, to issues around depression, around anxiety and other issues that are very well documented in research.

Just yesterday I just had a meeting with the coordinator of veterans services at the Bureau of Substance Abuse Services to talk about these issues and those concerns. And it's definitely a priority for us and this plan as well to focus on veterans.

MS. BHARGAVA: Just as far as the plan document itself and its reference to veterans, if you look there are a number of priority populations listed that are bulleted. And a few paragraphs later there are a few other populations mentioned that various stakeholders didn't feel there was sufficient academic research evidence to support including as priority populations but that have been pointed to either by the research or by other concerned parties. So, it is listed there with good reason. We will be looking at that.

And the other way to think about

reaching that population through the strategies that are laid out is through looking at common comorbidities. So, we know that there has been research to indicate that rates are higher of depression, anxiety, sometimes substance abuse in veteran populations. And there will absolutely be efforts to coordinate screening and referrals for those groups.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: And as we've talked about in this body's work is that the infrastructure support of veterans via VSOs at the local level. We had a letter from a VSO coming in because they thought a veteran in their community was taking his check and going to Plainridge and cashing it, which we quickly debunked that myth for them.

But again there's that infrastructure that's there that is in constant contact with veterans. And it is certainly a great resource in terms of being able to direct people to the appropriate resources.

MR. VANDER LINDEN: Commissioner
Stebbins you also mentioned the interim plan
that was specific to the Plainville area. That

1 plan was really more of a mapping and 2 organizing of existing services recognizing 3 that there wasn't any new services that would 4 be there at that point in time. So, the 5 intention of that was to just really kind of 6 get a lay of the land. This is really intended 7 to provide us with the direction forward. COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: 8 That's 9 I appreciate the work. 10 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Great work. This looks terrific. 11 12 MR. VANDER LINDEN: Thank you. 13 MR. BEDROSIAN: I see the Chairman I think you have a forum and 14 has stepped out. 15 you could go onto either approval of the 16 minutes or the approval of racing officials. 17 COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: The Chair 18 asked me to be deputized for purposes of the 19 minutes. And in that capacity I would move, unless there is discussion with regard to the 20 21 minutes of the meetings of March 15 and March 22 17. As to the minutes of the March 15, 2016 23 public meeting of the Commission, I would move 24 for its approval subject to any corrections,

Page 33 1 typographical errors or other nonmaterial 2 matters. 3 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Second. 4 COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: All in 5 favor, aye? 6 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye. 7 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Aye. 8 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye. 9 COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: 10 opposed? The minutes of the March 15th meeting 11 are approved. Similarly, with regard to the 12 minutes of the March 17, 2016 open meeting of 13 the Commission, I would move for their approval 14 again subject to corrections, typographical 15 errors or other nonmaterial matters. 16 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Second. 17 COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Any 18 discussion? 19 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: I'll just let 20 the record show that I'll be abstaining. I was 21 absent from that meeting, Commissioner. 22 COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: All in 23 favor, aye. 24 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye.

1 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye. 2 COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Any 3 opposed? 4 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: One 5 abstention. 6 COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: One 7 abstention. 8 MR. BEDROSIAN: Commissioners, I would suggest that we move on to item 5, 9 10 approval of racing officials at this time. 11 Then I can give my administrative update when 12 Chairman Crosby returns. 13 DR. LIGHTBAUM: Good afternoon, Commissioners. In front of you, you have a 14 15 request from Steve O'Toole the Director of 16 Racing for Plainridge Park Casino for approval 17 of the key operating officials and racing 18 officials. 19 These people have all been licensed 20 with us in the past. We are currently in the 21 licensing process and doing the background 22 checks on them and fingerprinting. So, my 23 recommendation is that the Commission approve 24 these people on this list with the condition

1 that there is a satisfactory completion of 2 their licensing and background checks by the 3 state police. If you have any questions? 4 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Doctor, any 5 new faces on this list? 6 DR. LIGHTBAUM: No new faces. Edwin 7 Harrop is being the track superintendent now 8 which is a step up for him. 9 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Just also for 10 the record the first group of personnel are 11 Plainridge Park personnel, right, Steve 12 O'Toole, Mr. Calderon, Savastano, Chester Piontkowski, and Christine Lynch? 13 14 DR. LIGHTBAUM: Those are the 15 operating officials, yes. COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: And then the 16 rest of them are racing officials. 17 18 DR. LIGHTBAUM: Racing officials, 19 yes. 20 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Gaming 21 Commission employees. 22 They are all DR. LIGHTBAUM: 23 employees of Plainridge Park Casino. Some of 24 them are in the administrative area. And

Page 36 1 others are in the racing area. 2 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Okay. 3 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: So, I move 4 that we accept the recommendation and approve 5 these racing officials for Plainridge Park. 6 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: I second that. 7 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Pending 8 completion --9 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: -- pending 10 completion of their background check. COMMISSIONER CAMERON: 11 Pending 12 completion, just as outlined. 13 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Second. 14 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: I did second 15 that and I'll ask for all of those in favor, 16 aye. 17 COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Aye. 18 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye. 19 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye. 20 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: The ayes have 21 it unanimously. 22 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Thank you, 23 Director. 24 MR. BEDROSIAN: Chairman Crosby, I

believe the last matter is administrative update by me. So, if you're ready I will proceed.

raise one new topic.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: I am, we are.

MR. BEDROSIAN: Good afternoon, Chairman Crosby and members of the Commission. I am here today to give you one update and

You will see under administration item 4(a) there is an item called LMS update. For those who do not know what LMS is, it is an acronym for licensing management system. licensing management system will be our software program that we anticipate will help us accept, process and approve online the bulk of our upcoming applicants or employees working at casinos.

I'm going to give you a brief update today, because we are in the midst of contract negotiations on this. And hopefully, we can give you a more substantive update soon thereafter.

We put our needs out to bid and believe we have a successful bidder. We are

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

currently, as I said, in the negotiation process and hope to have a signed contract by the end of the week. We are encouraged by this process that this will be a successful project because we engaged what is called a proof of concept before with the bidder, which we had some back and forth on what our actual needs are. And we are confident that this bidder understands what our needs are and we understand what their capabilities are.

Very importantly, we believe this phase of the project will be within our current budget proposal. Once our contract is signed, I would like to come back to you with a few of the directors who are more intimately involved and we give you a more substantive update both on the process and the timing of the LMGS project, if that's acceptable to you.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: One way or the other the functionality of it which is the real issue. I don't know whether we can demonstrate it here. I think other people might be interested in it too. Or whether we have to do it at the office on two bys or whatever. But I

1 think all of us would be interested in seeing 2 the functionality. 3 MR. BEDROSIAN: We will. I think 4 what would first benefit you is just a 5 description. There's no functionality yet. 6 But a description of the functionality and as 7 it develops, we can keep each individual Commissioner updated. 8 9 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Okay. Anybody 10 else? 11 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Thank you. 12 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: That's a great 13 update. I actually benefited from being part 14 of the group that did -- that was involved in 15 this proof of concept. I was just curious to the functionality. I became what's called a 16 17 bit of what's called a dummy user, I suppose. 18 MR. BEDROSIAN: We call them geek. 19 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: I was very 20 encouraged by the approach, this agile 21 methodology of developing and testing and 22 getting something in return. It's really a 23 project management tool, but that's clearly 24 appropriate for this kind of effort. And I'm

really encouraged by that method.

What I observed from that process, there's a lot of great challenges and everything, but a lot of upside to that method.

MR. BEDROSIAN: I would follow up on that comment and say that this will be a very important project for us and will require staff attention and staff dedication to work in partnership hopefully with the successful bidder to get this done on time.

And I believe we have staff buy-in and staff is totally on board with this.

Great. Thank you.

So, I'm going to lead to item 4(b) which is social gaming: background public education. Again, Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission, this item was put on our agenda really as a result of the confluence of a couple of events that happened recently.

First, the parent company of one of our licensees Penn National contacted and visited us about their potential use of social gaming. And at the same time, local press raised the issue about propriety of social

gaming as it relates to one of our current active licensees. Based on these two events, it seems appropriate for the Commission to be more educated about social gaming as it intercepts with land-based gaming.

And there are, I'm just thinking out loud, a couple of issues that arise. The first is what if any jurisdiction does a gaming regulator have over social gaming as it relates to a brick-and-mortar licensee?

And secondly, what if any are the implications for social gaming as it may relate to problem gaming. And we actually asked Director Vander Linden to start to look into that issue.

I could suggest a number of options going forward. And I know the Commission before my time had some experience on educating themselves on this new technology. One of the options would be to invite our licensees to come and present on what if any views they have on this.

And second obviously would be -These are not exclusive. They could be

altogether. -- to identify industry experts and ask them also to come in and present to the Commission. We would think about whether again there are any consumer protection issues. And ask Director Vander Linden to see if there are any solid research and data on the implications of social gaming on problem gaming.

So, I raise that issue. I would say this. In terms of timing, if the Commission wants to pursue this, I would suggest we have a very robust April. This might be something appropriate for May, but I just want to raise that issue for your concern.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: I think both of those suggestions would be appropriate to not only hear from licensees but also from industry experts. I think information -- We always make better decisions when we have timely, accurate information. So, I think both of those would be appropriate.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: I would agree with that. We obviously have a growing industry cluster of kind of skill-based but free-game makers in Massachusetts. There's

also the Game Show Network is located one block away from our office. In my visits with them in the past, they've been more than willing to offer up their time to come over and talk about social gaming with us.

as well. I wonder though if given the timeframe, which is important, you are right that we have a very busy April in front of us, it's also just easier to start with our licensees however early because they may have — It may be very efficient to just come before us.

And either shortly after or after having had initial discussions, we could think about something that would take us longer to put together anyway if we are talking about an educational forum like we've done in the past with people from outside or whatever that may be.

I would ask that we consider talking to our licensees first and then follow up accordingly.

MR. BEDROSIAN: I would defer to

1 your experience on it, whether an incremental 2 approach would be preferable to sort of try to 3 put it all in one presentation. 4 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Were we 5 talking about a forum? I thought we were 6 talking about possibly having them here at a 7 public meeting to give us -- present 8 information. 9 MR. BEDROSIAN: Again, it could be. 10 I defer. We could certainly do it in a public 11 meeting setting. I know, as I said, you've had 12 experience in other settings also. 13 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: I guess that's what I assumed when you mentioned experience 14 15 before. COMMISSIONER CAMERON: And I assumed 16 they would come in. It wouldn't be as --17 18 COMMISSIONE ZUNIGA: Like any other 19 meeting? 20 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Correct, and 21 just present the information which probably 22 wouldn't be timely -- rather it wouldn't take a 23 lot of time to put something together. 24 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: In that case,

I think both could be very well put together at the same time. I imagined an all-day educational type forum which takes us longer to put together that's all. But if that's not what we're talking about --

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: There are hybrids too. I think this is a little bit of a tricky issue because we are using the term social gaming. First of all, hardly anybody knows exactly what it is. And its relevance to us, if there is any at all is only that which is done with casino games, facsimile games done on a social gaming economic model.

authority even over that but you could consider that. But even not our licensees, but other subsidiaries of our licensees have a full range of social gaming not just casino related. And it's the rest of social gaming, even though we did discuss this as everybody knows in our DFS report. This was one of the things that we recommended that the Legislature take into their consideration if they think about an overall omnibus approach to online gaming.

At the moment, the rest of social gaming, which is the vast majority of social gaming is outside our ambit as was DFS but we were sort of asked to take some looks at it.

So, I'm mixed on this.

I kind of think everybody including me but even us would be well served by starting out with a little bit of a broader education on what is social gaming so we really know for sure what we are talking about and what the range of stuff is out there.

I don't mean a full-blown day-long forum, but you can have a person or two whatever come in and talk to us at a public meeting about sort of the phenomenon of social gaming, what it means, what are its economic models, what are its long-term strategies.

Then as a subset of that have our licensees talk about their forays into social gaming, particularly their forays into social gaming which have a casino-like manifestation and see where we go from there.

I sort have a hybrid. I'd say let's make it a regular meeting so it's not a huge --

1 Let's start out with an overview of social 2 gaming for a broad informational. We're not 3 suggesting to anybody that broad social gaming 4 is our job. It isn't. But just so we and the 5 public, begin to learn what this is and then 6 focus in probably at that same meeting but 7 maybe the next meeting on where our licensees 8 are on this. Does that makes sense? 9 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: I like that 10 approach. 11 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: It sounds 12 good. 13 MR. BEDROSIAN: I think with that 14 direction, I could have staff work trying to 15 put together what that presentation or 16 presentations would look like. I can come back 17 to you Mr. Chairman and we can talk about 18 scheduling. 19 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Okay, and the 20 agenda too, we've got to think about that 21 agenda. I think everybody would want to look 22 at that. 23 COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: 24 certainly in favor of the informational

sessions that are described.

Whether or not it falls directly within our jurisdiction, there is at least one aspect of social gaming that potentially falls if not within our "jurisdiction" within our area of concern. And that is its overlay with problem gambling issues.

At the meeting with the Penn
National people that I attended, the strongest
objection which they took to the article that
appeared I think that very day in the Boston
Globe was the very strong suggestion that the
social gaming games were set up in a way to
lure people into active gaming, and deceptively
was the suggestion of the article because the
winning percentages of the games that Penn was
proposing to implement were actually greater
than the actual games, the slot machines and
other things that are employed at the casino.

The suggestion being that people get excited about how much they're going to be winning when they're playing the social games.

There's no actual win there, but it primes them for wanting to get out and make money by going

to the casino. And then find themselves playing games with machines that in fact did not operate the way that the social games do.

Penn, and this is very, very important, the Penn people categorically contradicted that point that had been made in the article and said that their games are identical -- that the games align perfectly with the machines that are in place in the casino.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: What's the relevance of that to the discussion about how we're going to handle this going forward? Are you just saying that --

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: I said number one, I am in favor of our learning more about it. I was addressing in those comments the question of whether this is really outside of our jurisdiction.

I think that it actually is -- If we were to find that people were being lured into the casinos in part by being misled as to what their real chances of winning are, I think that's something which ought to be of a concern

```
1
     to us if for no other reason than it's tying
 2
     into the problem gaming mandates that we have
 3
     under the statute.
 4
                CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Okay.
                MR. BEDROSIAN: That is the end of
 5
 6
     my administrative update, Mr. Chairman. And I
 7
     think we have done all of the other items.
 8
                CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Anything else?
 9
                COMMISSIONER CAMERON:
                                       Move to
10
     adjourn.
11
                CHAIRMAN CROSBY: All in favor, aye.
12
                COMMISSIONER MACDONALD:
                                        Aye.
13
                COMMISSIONER CAMERON:
14
                COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA:
                                      Aye.
15
                COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye.
16
                CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Opposed? The ayes
17
    have it unanimously. It is now 4:00. We will
18
    be back at 5:00.
19
20
                (The meeting adjourned at 4:00 p.m.)
21
22
23
24
```

		rage	J _
1	ATTACHMENTS:		
2	1. Massachusetts Gaming Commission March		
3	29, 2016 Notice of Meeting and Agenda		
4	2. Massachusetts Gaming Commission March 15,		
5	2016 Meeting Minutes		
6	3. Massachusetts Gaming Commission March 17,		
7	2016 Meeting Minutes		
8	4. Massachusetts Problem Gambling Services		
9	Strategic Plan Overview (MassTAPP)		
10	5. March 28, 2016 Massachusetts Gaming		
11	Commission Memorandum Regarding Plainridge		
12	Key Operating and Racing Officials		
13			
14			
15	GUEST SPEAKERS:		
16	Emily Bhargava, EDC		
17	Victor Ortiz, Department of Public Health		
18			
19	MASSACHUSETTS GAMING COMMISSION STAFF:		
20	Ed Bedrosian, Executive Director		
21	Dr. Alex Lightbaum, Director of Racing		
22	Mark Vander Linden, Director of Research and		
23	Responsible Gaming		

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I, Laurie J. Jordan, an Approved Court
4	Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing
5	is a true and accurate transcript from the
6	record of the proceedings.
7	
8	I, Laurie J. Jordan, further certify that the
9	foregoing is in compliance with the
10	Administrative Office of the Trial Court
11	Directive on Transcript Format.
12	I, Laurie J. Jordan, further certify I neither
13	am counsel for, related to, nor employed by any
14	of the parties to the action in which this
15	hearing was taken and further that I am not
16	financially nor otherwise interested in the
17	outcome of this action.
18	Proceedings recorded by Verbatim means, and
19	transcript produced from computer.
20	WITNESS MY HAND this 31st day of March,
21	2016.
22	Eduri Jordan
23	LAURIE J. JORDAN My Commission expires:
24	Notary Public May 11, 2018