

PROCEEDINGS:

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: It is my pleasure to call to order the 183 rd meeting of the Massachusetts Gaming Commission again held at our offices at 101 Federal Street at 1:00 on March 22.

As has been my practice since I recused myself from the deliberations on Region C -- sorry, Region A, thank you, when there have been replays of that or issues which came out of that period, I have chosen to recuse myself. So, I will recuse myself from participation in the discussions today.

And as has been our practice since Commissioner Macdonald was not here when those Region A deliberations took place where the roots of the Section 61 Findings were sown or were planted, he's going to recuse himself as well, but we'll both sit here. And Commissioner Zuniga will chair the meeting for purposes of this agenda item.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. We have only one item on the agenda
today. And that's the legal division to present the Wynn draft Section 61 presentation and review, General Counsel Blue.

MS. BLUE: Good afternoon,
Commissioners. Last fall, the Commission reviewed and determined the process it would use for drafting and approving the Section 61 Findings for the Wynn project.

Today, the Commission is beginning the formal process for approving this final Section 61 Findings for the project. As we discussed then, the Wynn MEPA certificate contained certain additional steps such as a public hearing that the Commission needs to incorporate into its review process. While the Commission was working on its draft Section 61 Findings, other permitting agencies such as MassDOT, DEP and the MWRA were working on their Section 61 Findings. The Commission will incorporate the final Section 61 Findings from these permitting agencies into the Commission's final Section 61 Findings.

Before I go over the steps the Commission needs to take, I want to go over the
steps that MassDOT was required to take before it could issue its Section 61 Findings. Those steps include revising the MassDOT Section 61 Findings based upon discussions with Wynn and other stakeholders; identifying Wynn's financial contribution to the regional working group identified in the MEPA certificate; publishing MassDOT Section 61 Findings in the Environmental Monitor for a 15-day public review and comment period; holding a public meeting to review comments and accept additional comments; and publish the final Section 61 Findings within 40 days of the publication of the draft Section 61 Findings in the Environmental Monitor.

MassDOT has taken all of the required steps up to the point of issuing its final Section 61 Findings. We expect that they will do so within the required timeframe. Staff with the assistance of outside counsel has completed draft Section 61 Findings and has provided the draft findings to Commission consultants for their review. The consultants have reviewed the project as
currently configured against the draft Section 61 Findings.

The purpose of the consultants review is to make recommendations on possible changes or additions to the draft Section 61 Findings. Staff has also provided the draft Section 61 Findings to Wynn for its review.

Wynn's mitigation presentation, the Commission's consultant report and the Commission's draft Section 61 Findings are in the Commission packet. We have also included MassDOT's presentation from their Section 61 public hearing.

Wynn is here today to present to the Commission on their overall project mitigation plan. The Commission's consultants are here today to summarize their report and to answer any questions from the Commission. The Commission will review the presentation and recommendations from the consultants and authorize staff to make any changes if changes are necessary.

After today's meeting in accordance with the process we discussed last fall, staff
will post the draft Section 61 Findings as well as the consultants reports on the Commission's website with a request for comments. Staff has already posted what we refer to the staff draft or the preview draft on the Commission's website on March 18 just to get a jump on the process for obtaining comments.

The Commission will hold a public hearing on March 29 to solicit comments from the public and other interested parties on the draft Section 61 Findings. The comment period will remain open until April 11. The Commission will receive comments by email to MGC comments or by regular mail. All comments will be gathered and provided to Commissioners before the April 14 Commission meeting.

At the Commission meeting on April 14, the Commission will consider the comments received and determine whether any revisions should be made to the draft Section 61 Findings. Once the Commission finds the final Section 61 Findings to be complete, the Commission will vote to incorporate the Section 61 Findings into the Wynn license, authorize
staff to file the Section 61 Findings for publication in the Environmental Monitor, and vote to take final action on the Region $A$ license.

Thereafter, in each quarterly report, Wynn will report to Commission on its compliance with the Section 61 Findings.

There are a couple of important points keep in mind. Section 61 Findings are a determination at a fixed point in time regarding whether all feasible measures have been taken to avoid or minimize the impacts of the project and damage to the environment. The Commission reserves the right to reopen the Section 61 Findings in the future if the Commission finds additional mitigation is required.

The other point is that if there are the unanticipated impacts from the project that require mitigation, the Commission will entertain applications to the Community Mitigation Fund in the coming years for funding to address those impacts. Are there any questions at this point?

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Just to clarify, the MassDOT Section 61 Findings just tell me that date again.

MS. BLUE: They should be done in the next couple of days. And then once we get their final, we'll review them and we'll review them against our draft and make any changes that we need to make.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: But the public hearing that they conducted took place -remind me.

MS. BLUE: I think about two weeks ago now. If there are no further questions, I would ask Wynn to begin its presentation.

MR. DESALVIO: Good afternoon, Commissioners. And thank you General Counsel Blue for that very thorough intro. With me today is Chris Gordon, immediately to my right who is President of Wynn Design Development Massachusetts and Jacqui Krum, who is Senior Vice President and General Counsel.

First of all, we would like to thank you for the opportunity to present this very comprehensive mitigation plan for our project.

Before I turn this over to Chris for the first few items, I want to address one particular thing. Last week Mr. Wynn was in town. And he unveiled new models of the property. We have two new models over in our Medford office along with some new renderings that we introduced. And you'll see those a little later in our presentation. But we also introduced a new name for the project. And I want to spend a minute just talking about that. Wynn Boston Harbor as the name that we've selected for the project.

First and foremost, I want to make one thing perfectly clear. Our location and address is in Everett, Massachusetts. It always has been. It always will be. And I want to make sure that's clear because it's important that we don't forget our community that we are located in, our host community, the tremendous support that we have always received from the Everett residents which we are always thankful for. They attend all of the meetings. They've been great supporters of the project. So, we are and always have been in Everett,

Massachusetts.
Why the interest in the name change?
After some long discussion between the team with Mr. Wynn, we felt that our connection to the water and the harbor was a critical part of the ongoing success of the project. The ongoing success of the project is important for our 4000 employees that are going to be working there as well as the Commonwealth and the expected revenue tax generation that derives from the project.

And the more that we look at the model, the more that we look at the renderings and you realize how much we really salute the water. I know we are at the mouth of the Mystic River, but we are also part of the Boston Harbor watershed. A lot of people maybe don't realize how active a port, really a port city Everett is and connected to the port of Boston.

One example alone is when you think of the LNG tankers that come up. We are in an area that is below the Amelia Earhart Dam. We are tidal. I'm sure a lot of people don't
realize, we have about an eight- to nine-foot swing daily in our tide up front. That's why we are designing our dock system to be able to accommodate the tidal swing.

Of course, as most everyone knows, you don't get eight or nine feet of tidal swing in a river. So, we are below the dam. We think we are a very important part of the harbor scenario even though of course we know we're at the mouth of the Mystic River.

We want to celebrate that. We think
it is important. I had multiple discussions with the mayor, Mayor DeMaria in Everett. He supports the move. He also thinks when you look at the Wynn project and what we are doing and how we are presenting ourselves to the waterfront, the fact that we are using this name and embracing this name will actually help support the lower Broadway master plan and other economic development projects.

Because in a sense really what we are doing is grabbing that harbor and all of the equity that's in it and bringing it right up to the mouth of the Mystic River and the

Everett location where we are.
So, I've had long discussions about it. We're going to have more discussions both with elected officials in the town as well as residents of Everett. But I thought it would be important that we didn't just slip that in on a PowerPoint slide that we spent a few minutes talking about why we felt that was important for the project.

With that now I'm going to turn it over to Chris to start the first discussion on the Section 61 Findings.

MR. GORDON: Thank you, Bob. As you know there's quite a process for the Section 61 Findings. So, we've been working for a number of months to achieve that.

There were other Section 61 Findings leading up to the Gaming Commission Section 61 Findings. As you see list on slide three, MWRA, Massport, Mass DEP, the DOT including MBTA and also combined with DCR are all Section 61 Findings that are complete.

We did talk to DOT this morning. And they do expect to post that tomorrow. So,
we think that will be the last one. And then of course, we look forward to working with Gaming Commission on yours. So, we think we're near the end of that, but there's a lot of material we're going to talk about today that's included in all of those and then wrapped up into the Gaming Commission's finding.

Very briefly, and I know you know the project well, but for any new Commissioners and also for anyone listening, we want to make sure we give you a brief overview of the project before we dive into the benefits.

As you can see on the slide, we're located in Everett approximately in the middle of that slide. We'll zoom in in a second, but it's between Broadway otherwise known as Route 99, the MBTA commuter rail tracks. We're across the river from Assembly Row. We're just south of the MBTA's repair shop.

We're in an ideal location on the river and on the harbor for access downtown, roadway access and other things you'll hear about today during the transportation portion of the briefing.

The site has a long history. It was the home to three different chemical companies since the 1800s. It was a heavy industrial site where a lot of chemicals were manufactured. Things like sulfur were used there extensively. Sulfuric acid was actually manufactured there. It was transported from there. And we'll show you some photos in a second. Dyes and acids were made there.

Monsanto was the longest owner of the property. They owned it from 1929 to 1983. When they left, they left behind a lot of residual products in the ground primarily heavy metals. We have arsenic, led, copper, a number of things that are still in the ground as we go through the remediation process.

Coincidentally, it was also with the original home of the Union Oyster House where they got their oysters, the oldest restaurant in America. So, what started at the home of the purest, cleanest oysters and ended up as a chemical plant. So, it had an ugly transition there, if you will.

The next slide shows a historic
photo of the site. In the lower left, below the tracks that's our site. If you look in the background for wayfinding, you can see the Custom House tower, the only high-rise on the horizon. You can see the little toll on the bridge going across the river.

But more important, in the foreground you can see the chemical plant as Monsanto ran it. And ironically, as you look at that layout of buildings and tanks, we can track some of our worst contamination to some of the biggest tanks.

So, it's not a coincidences that some of those tanks were leaking. They were not well maintained. We still have foundations of the crane on the right. So, that historic legacy has remained on the site which is one the reasons it's remained vacant for close to 30 years until it was proposed for redevelopment.
It also had a bit of an
environmental activist site. You can see the Green Harbors did a civil disobedience on the site and a few other things. So, it's been the
site of controversy in the environmental world for many decades trying to get it cleaned up.

So, it's not a new issue. This goes back a very long time trying to get this site cleaned up. It's one of the worst sites around.

The next slide shows the site just before we started the remediation. It was a vacant lot for roughly 30 years. There were containers stored there, trucks parked there but there was no real activity there. There was material from Deer Island was brought there and put on top of it.

So, the top eight feet is actually tunnel muck from the tunnel from Deer Island that goes out into the harbor. It was dumped here with a goal of creating a clean site. Well, as you know, you don't really create a clean site just by putting a layer of clean dirt on top of dirty dirt. So, we're going below that clean layer and cleaning it up. But there is a layer of clean dirt there which again was meant to enable development a number of years ago.

If you look at the development history, we became involved in 2012. Steve Wynn identified the site. It was brought to his attention. He took great interest, and we worked our way through the process since then.

In 2013, we started obviously with our gaming application, our environmental applications and things really heated up in 2013. Through 2014, we had a number of filings. We also were successful in our surrounding community agreements which Jacqui is going talk about a little bit later.

Working all the way through, we obviously went through the statewide referendum. And we were selected for our Region A license, which we're very, very pleased about. Then in 2015, we continue with design and permitting. You can see a number of permitting activities there.

It is quite a journey to get a permit for a project like this that we worked our way through a number of different federal, state and local permits. Then of course in 2016, we are here today trying to work our way
through the Section 61 Finding. You'll see other things like selecting our construction contractor, Suffolk, working on the remediation and etc. So, we are far along in the process.

Next is slide 11. This is a summary of our current program for the building. We have about 4500 gaming positions proposed for the building. We have about 629 keys. This is a combination of rooms and suites in the hotel.

You can see the square footages, but we have an extensive retail pavilion, which will be a key part of the project where there will be high-end retail where people can browse either as part of their gaming day or not part of their gaming day. They can be there to shop.

Also dining, we have a number of restaurants where people can eat. Again, this is meant to be either part of gaming or not part of gaming. It'll be up to the patron whether they are there for that or for something else. Spas, gyms, extensive convention space, so a very mixed-use building. In the three million square feet,
there are many things that are tucked into the same resort. The intent being, of course, to attract people that might want to be there for different things or to entertain people that might be there with a spouse or whatever it might be. So, there's going to be a lot of different things to do in the building.

Next is the site plan. You've seen this before, so we won't spend a lot of time on it. But starting left to right, you can obviously see the river and the harbor off to the left of the page. You'll see an arrow that says connecting to DCR.

We're going to be connecting over to the DCR park, which is just north of here, which you'll see a little later some slides for bikes and pedestrians. Moving in you'll see a large oval. That's an event lawn. That is a very carefully designed space where we can have weddings, outdoor community concerts, all sorts of stuff. You can get close to 1000 people in that outdoor space that could be there for a function if that was desired. The views from there are spectacular looking back over the
city and over the harbor.
Moving to the right, the end of the building toward the water is primarily meeting and convention space. There's a large ballroom there with a stage, which can be used for a variety of functions. From there toward the middle of the building is mostly where the retail and the food and beverage is.

So, that would be a pavilion was shopping on both sides, access to the outdoors, access to the waterfront. Moving a little further into almost the middle of the page, you see the crescent shape outline on the drawing. That's the hotel tower. That's where the 629 hotel rooms are.

Below that of course is lobbies and access that way. And then behind that is gaming. So, the gaming is behind the hotel tower. So, someone can choose to go to gaming or choose to not go to gaming. And then behind that there's a very large back of house area with utility plants and employee spaces and a number of things for employees.

Off to the page to the right is a
service road that services into the site. Then of course the landscaping and waterfront is a very comprehensive effort to restore the waterfront and make the outdoor space very useful.

Some facts, as you heard Steve Wynn talk about last week, we're in the $\$ 2$ billion range right now. We have a lot of work going on in the estimate and lot of work going on in pricing. Now we have a contract. We're out in the market. We're talking to subcontractors.

This isn't a growth because suddenly prices have gone up. This is a refinement of the estimate. We've now got enough information. We have detailed designs of the interior, detailed designs of the exterior. We've got most of our permits.

So, this is really an accurate estimate as opposed to something that changed since we did the last number. Wo, we're getting quite comfortable in that range that that's where we ought to be. Of that a little more than half is hard costs. And the rest is a variety of other things. It's Gaming

Commission fees. It's design. It's also land acquisition and that sort of stuff.

We're still at about 4000 permanent employees that Bob will be hiring. That has already started. We've got people in the office today that are full-time employees. And that will grow, of course, toward opening.

That will put us immediately in the top five employers in the state which we are excited about. 4000 construction jobs, we talk to the construction unions and trades and subcontractors every day. And they are all excited. And we're out talking to them about ramping up on this.

And we're very confident of getting 4000 workers. We were worried about that initially but it's a diverse workforce. So, we've been talking to not just anybody but making sure we get diverse workforce on the jobsite. So, that's been going we think very well.

Millions will be spent on local materials. We have a lot of buying we're going to be doing both during construction and once
we open. Everybody who is working for us has got the word that if you can buy local, go do it. So, whether it be buying paper cups or buying vehicles or buying furniture or buying whatever you can, buy as much local as you can.

That's part of the procurement we do with a number of vendors and contractors. So, that word is out. And it says here once Bob is up and running, we expect he'll be around $\$ 100$ million in vendor spending. So, that's a big boost that companies in area should be chasing as a future client.

MR. DESALVIO: On page 14, we have a breakdown of the gaming tax allocation. Just as a reminder, the column on the left, the percent dedicated of course comes from the enabling legislation and the funds that were created in order to be able to spread this gaming tax money through a number of very worthy causes throughout the Commonwealth, including such things as the Community Mitigation Fund.

Again, this is all based on an estimate of our gaming revenue. So, right now
we refine that estimate all the time. I know the Commission's consultants went through their own estimations on it. But this number, we keep hovering in this $\$ 830$ to $\$ 840$ million in gross revenue. And that would produce a little over $\$ 200$ million at the 25 percent tax rate.

But using those numbers as the estimate, substantial money going into these funds, $\$ 13$ million in Community Mitigation, $\$ 41$ million in the gaming local aid fund, which is distributed all throughout the Commonwealth, the education fund $\$ 30$ million in that, $\$ 30$ million plus in the transportation fund.

So, we think that obviously as we get up and running in generating those funds there's a tremendous benefit throughout the Commonwealth. For those that are not familiar with these numbers, this is on an annual basis. So, this is not one time. This is recurring revenue from the 25 percent.

The next slide is our exterior rendering. That is the rendering that we presented, I guess, it was a year ago January. So, no difference there. I just wanted to
start with that. But on the next page, we are now starting to show some of the renderings that Mr. Wynn introduced last week.

You can see at the base of these escalators, this is shot by the way, after you come into the main lobby. We wanted to make sure that there was a great arrival sequence and a real wow space when you come into the building. Here you've got a series of curved escalators that lead you up to a café on one side and a lounge on the other side.

At the base is Jeff Koons Popeye statue which is currently in residence in Las Vegas, but was originally, as Mr. Wynn said, he purchased it for this particular project and had that in mind. So, that will be transported back over to Everett and installed at the base of the escalators.

For anyone that hasn't been to Las Vegas, pictures and renderings don't do it any justice. You really have to see it in person. It's a great, great work of art and we'll be very pleased to have it on display. The next shot is a little wider angle shot of the main
lobby.
You can also see the tremendous floral works. We have a relationship with Preston Bailey who is one of the great floral designers in the world. He does a lot of the feature work that you see at Wynn and Encore in Vegas as well as over in Macau. And he'll be doing that work for our property as well. You can see also the tall skylight interior. And on the left-hand side, the presentation of the first doors in our retail esplanade.

And then the next shot is a much more of a close-up shot of the first store immediately on your left on the retail esplanade right behind that first door. You would actually take a left and then walk down and you'd come across the other restaurants and retail stores.

So, you can see the quality of the finishes. It's really gorgeous work. We are very happy with what's been done by Roger Thomas and the interiors group in Las Vegas. And we think this is going to be something very special for the greater Boston area.

And I'm going to turn it back over to Chris now to about LEED in our construction process.

MR. GORDON: As we transition into discussion of benefits and mitigation, we obviously want to start with some of the sustainability features.

The building is quite green. That's partly because we're pursuing LEED certification, but also because we just think it's the right thing to do both for an image point of view and a business point of view.

The biggest thing we are doing of course is cleaning up the site. We're going to show you photos in a minute, but we are deep into the remediation of the site. It's very going well. The generations that are fretting about it we hope is going to come to an end because most of the material has already left the site and is somewhere else in a lined landfill. So, we are making good progress on that.

We've done a number of other building features. We have a large array of
solar panels on the roof. Almost the entire sunny side of the roof, if you will, is covered with solar panels. We are excited about that. That's power that will be used on-site, generated and used on-site.

We set up the plantings both inside and outside the building to minimize heat islands. So, we'll have rooftop gardens. We'll have indoor gardens, of course outdoor plantings. And all of that is meant to set up so we've got a softer, cooler area around the building so that you don't have big areas of pavement or hard surface to create heat islands.

We're going to be using sustainable wood products wherever possible. So, as much of the wood in the building, and there is a fair amount of wood that can be sustainably certified. We're going to be doing that.

We talked about LEED Gold. We will definitely be achieving LEED Gold if not higher. So, we're actively pursuing that as we speak.

Construction waste, if you know the
construction business, an enormous percentage of construction material is thrown away. They're sawed off ends, there are extra pieces, there's mis-ordered. So, there's just thousands of dumpsters of material at the end of a job like this.

We're proposing to minimize that by at least 75 percent or reduce that by 75 percent. That's a conscious effort by us, by Suffolk Construction, by all the subs involved. It's good business and it's also a smart thing to do environmentally. So, we're pushing very hard on that.

We're also going to have two on-site cogeneration systems. If you're familiar with cogeneration, this is when you use a piece of equipment to generate heat but then you are also able to generate other things off that like electricity, hot water, other things off that. So, we're going to be using cogeneration to significantly reduce our power costs and our pollution emitted from that. And I'll show you a picture of that in a minute.

Also, water systems, we're going to
be looking at reduced systems to save about 35 percent of the water. We're also going to be capturing rain water for irrigation. So, we're going to be using water as carefully as we can so that we can minimize that.

The next picture is off of our brand-new model. It's in Everett. That's an example of one of the green roofs. If you know green roofs, they do a lot of things. They absorb rain water and use it so they don't have a lot of runoff. They allow the roof to be cooler. It allows visually a better place to be. So, there's a lot of advantages to green roofs. And we've got significant green roofs on the podium portion of the building. And the pool, that's right, it's right next to the pool.

The next photo is a series of cogenerators. We're envisioning two to three of these, not as many as you see in this photograph. This is the idea of what these are. Very large pieces of equipment that are highly efficient. And in a building like ours, there's a very quick payoff, payback on this
kind of equipment.
Also, once it's operating, once Bob has the building up and operating, we intend to be very green. It says 10 percent of our energy generated from green sources. That's things like solar panels and the cogeneration fixtures. It's also part of it may be power that's purchased as well. But we definitely will be exceeding the 10 percent green power requirement.

Energy performance systems, we've got a number of high-energy systems in the building. We're working closely National Grid. They have a very active review and rebate system we're working on with National Grid.

In other words, if you put much higher efficiency equipment in the building, it helps with the utility rates. It helps with the rebates. Again, all good business but also the right thing to do. So, we're working highly aggressively on that things LED lights and the different kitchen equipment, heating, cooling, all that sort of stuff.

The lighting and optimization, we
talked briefly about that. Electric vehicles, we're going to have 210 priority parking spots to charge electric vehicles. And you might think that's sort of a nice thing to do. But if you look around Massachusetts, people are using them.

You see cars plugged in a lot more than you used to. So, we'll have 210 spaces where somebody can plug their car in. The program is obviously expendable. If those 210 fill up on a regular basis, it's not hard to make 210 more. So, we think that's a good thing to do in the garage.

We'll be compositing the food. We have a number of restaurants. So, we're going to be working with a vendor to have that food taken and composted instead of just thrown away, which would be a waste. We're going to be sourcing as much local food as we can. Again, being in New England and being in Massachusetts, why wouldn't you buy local food. So, we'll do as much of that as we can.

We talked about a 50,000 gallon storm water harvesting system. In the garage
in the basement, there are large tanks that will store rain water. That rain water will then be reused for irrigation. So, we'll have very little water used for irrigation. Most of it will be just recapturing rain water. Saves runoff, saves water, saves all kinds of stuff. So, we've very pleased about that.

Jacqui is going to talk a bit about the MEPA history and how we got here today.

MS. KRUM: As you know, this has been a long process for us, the MEPA process. This says it's been a two-year process. In May, it'll actually have been three years. We've had over 10,15 different consultants working on all different things such as wind studies, shadow analysis, transportation, LEED, storm water.

And we are very proud of where we are today. We think it's a much more developed process than other developers in a similar -similarly situated developers have gone through.

As this says, we've filed over 10,000 pages of detailed analysis. We've
received comment letters from a variety of different organizations both government, public, private as well as individuals.

We've had to respond every single comment that we received in these letters, which we've done so now in our filings.

As a result of this, we've agreed to make approximately $\$ 840$ million in community and mitigation payments over a 15 year process -- over 15 years. $\$ 574$ million will be paid to our host and surrounding communities. Another \$58 million will be done before were open for road infrastructure improvements.

And we've also implemented a transportation demand management program, which will cost us about $\$ 208$ million. This encompasses the Orange Line subsidy, the first of its kind, water transportation and employee and customer shuttles.

In addition to mitigation that we committed to through the MEPA process, we also as you know entered into agreements, host and surrounding and neighboring community agreements with Medford, Malden, Cambridge,

Boston, Chelsea as surrounding communities. Everett is our host community, obviously, and Melrose and Lynn as neighboring communities.

The total benefits that we'll be providing under these host and surrounding community agreements will be $\$ 574$ million over the 15-year period.

Just a quick summary of our host community agreement. As you know, this was signed in April 2013 and approved by over 86 percent of the residents for the city of the city of Everett in June of that year. It provides for $\$ 30$ million in advanced payments for a community enhancement fund. The first \$5 million of which will be made upon receipt of our building permit or the start of construction, whichever comes earlier.

Then we've agreed to $\$ 25$ million, approximately $\$ 25$ million annually following the opening. $\$ 20$ million of that will be for real estate taxes, $\$ 5$ million for community impact fee and $\$ 250,000$ a year for the Everett Citizens Foundation which will be used to benefit nonprofits throughout Everett.

We've also agreed to a job preference for residents of Everett both on the construction and the operation phase. And we've agreed to transportation and infrastructure improvements that are also set forth in our MEPA filings.

Here is just a summary of the payments that we've agreed to both for Everett as well as our surrounding communities. As you can see, it totals $\$ 574$ million over 15 years. This includes the upfront payments as well as the annual payments going forward.

Finally, just a brief review of our most recent surrounding community agreement. I know we were here just recently, but just to highlight some of the commitments that we've made to the city of Boston.

We've agreed to $\$ 25$ million for the long-term Sullivan Square infrastructure improvement. That is in addition to the money that we'll be spending to mitigate our traffic impacts before we open, which is estimated to be approximately $\$ 11$ million. In addition, we've agreed to $\$ 250,000$ to fund the Sullivan

Square Regional Working Group, which isn't actually the Sullivan Square Regional Working Group. I think the name has changed to the Lower Mystic Regional Working Group.

The purpose of this group isn't just
to look at Sullivan Square which is reflected in the new name, it's to look at the whole regional benefits. Obviously, the city of Boston is responsible for the ultimate solution to Sullivan Square. So, this group is a little bit broader than that.

One of the key things we want to point out in the Boston surrounding community agreement is our continuing obligation to monitor operational deficiencies leading to excess traffic and to implement additional mitigation measures if necessary. And this comes up in a number of places.

First of all, we've committed this to the city of Boston. We've also committed to do this in our MEPA files and our Secretary's certificate to MassDOT as well as to the Gaming Commission.

Also in our Boston surrounding
community agreement, we've got an ongoing community outreach commitment, $\$ 2$ million per year in community impact payment, and goodfaith efforts to purchase $\$ 20$ million annually from Boston-based business, which Bob assures us should be no problem at all.

And we've agreed to a hiring
preference for Boston residents for construction and operations jobs. Here's a picture just to prove that it actually happened.

In terms of other benefits, this is not just to Boston, this to all of our surrounding community agreements as well as our host community agreement. As I've mentioned, we have job preferences both on the construction and operations side. We've held already numerous vendor and job fairs, and hope to as we ramp up full construction, again, following the successful conclusion of our Chapter 91 appeal to continue with job fairs.

We've also made commitments to local vendor spending in each of our communities and support for local businesses. We've agreed to
buy a certain amount of gift certificates from each community every single year.

Finally, we are developing our concierge program. That will be used to assist local businesses in participating with our guests. And here is a picture from Mr. Wynn's most recent visit last Tuesday. We had support and representation from Medford, Everett, Malden, Boston and of course our state senators and reps. from the districts.

I will give you back to Chris for the transportation mitigation.

MR. GORDON: Thank you. We want to give you an overview of where we are specifically on the mitigation commitments in the Section 61 Finding.

As you may remember from earlier briefings, we tried very hard to do a holistic approach to transportation. We didn't try to look just at roads or just at rail or just at walking. And this was our own doing, but also pushed very hard by the Commissioning and by the various regulators around the state is don't just look at one little intersection and
figure that out.
As you can see, we looked at rail, buses, bikes, cars, boats, walking and tried to make it a well-rounded approach the project so there's many different options for people.

The next slide $I$ know is a bit dense, but this is a summary of all benefits. You heard the numbers being thrown around, but we just want to remind you of what they are. Preopening we're envisioning spending a little over $\$ 42$ million on transportation infrastructure.

The biggest chunk of that is about $\$ 32.5$ million on roadways. And I'm going to walk you through that in a minute of different roadways that we are envisioning repairing. We've got about $\$ 8.6$ million to set up our water transportation system that's boats and docks. We've got a very extensive system that we'll be funding ourselves completely to run around the harbor and get people to our site on boats.

We have improvements to Wellington
Station. We have DCR funding for the
pedestrian bridge. And we're also -improvements to Malden Station. So, that $\$ 42$ million gets spent before we open and will be done by us completely. Then you've got annual payments of about $\$ 15.6$ million, which are payments that we make over time for various things you can list there. Over 15 years that equals $\$ 223$ million for a total of $\$ 265$ million.

So, when you hear those numbers thrown around, we want to make sure we broke down what that entailed.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Chris, these numbers sometimes they may be part of a host or surrounding community but most of the time are not. Is that a fair statement?

MR. GORDON: Well, many things for example the employee shuttles, the premium park and ride, a lot of those are things we do operationally that are not necessarily part of the agreements but some of course specifically are.

MS. KRUM: Yes. For instance, in the case of Medford. We committed to things in
their surrounding community agreement that was also encompassed in our MEPA filings. So, it shows up in both places in certain circumstances.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: But these are exclusive of many other non-infrastructure costs, surrounding community payments.

MS. KRUM: That's correct. This does not include any of our actual monetary payments to the surrounding communities.

MR. GORDON: We also view these as firm commitments which is part of the Section 61 Findings. In other words, some of these things, even though they're operational, they're not just things we want to do. We're actually committed to doing. So, we know the importance of that too.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Chris, real quick, the last item, improvements to Malden Station. It's not a big ticket item. I just wondering what you do for $\$ 25,000$.

MR. GORDON: You improve the ability
for a bus to stop there. Right now, they've got various places where buses stop and pick
people up. We want our buses to be able to have a clear place where they can pick people up and be recognizable.

So, this is some repaving,
restriping and signage so that people will know where to go to get the bus. It'll mostly be employees, but also some patrons. So, there won't be confusion when they go.

So, we worked with the $T$ on that and Wellington Station and Sullivan Square Station to redesign the stations. In some cases like Malden, frankly, it's minor. Wellington it's a bit more and Sullivan Square is significant. We will be actually working closely with the $T$ in a rebuilding of those stations.

MS. KRUM: Actually, this is a good example of where we committed more to the city of Malden in the surrounding community agreement than is shown on this. So, this is just our MEPA commitments.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Thank you.
MR. GORDON: Next, just to remind people, you always hear that sort of how do you get there? Well, there's three stations very
nearby. Sullivan Square and Wellington are both very close to the site. There's bus access from both to the site. And we'll be adding additional private bus access to the site. Frankly, they're within walking distance. So, on a nice day, you can easily see employees and patrons doing the short walk from Wellington or Sullivan Square.

Assembly Row, you can see it but you can't get there, which is why we funded a study for a pedestrian bridge across the river. We're a big fan of that. We know anytime you put anything over a river it's controversial. But we think eventually there will be a bridge that spans from the new Assembly Row $T$ station to our site and to the DCR mall site next door.

It creates an enormous benefit. It's one of the missing links in the overall transportation system nearby. So, we're meeting with the DCR Commissioner this week. We funded the study. And we're going to be moving forward with a study to look at that bridge. We hope the study goes well. And then we hope we're back talking about a commitment
later on with other people to try to figure out how to make the bridge actually happen.

You'll see here are some photographs of park-and-ride. Part of our mode split is a premium park-and-ride. This is where we're talking with Massport about teaming up with them either at the Braintree site, the Woburn site or the Framingham site to add our own premium buses.

So, someone can go there and park and ride in. I'm very familiar with the Massport system. It's been highly successful. They're usually full on busy times. So, this would be the ability to use a system where people can park remotely if they don't necessarily want to drive the casino.

You'll see in the bottom left-hand corner. That is bus from the Macau property. So, we know how to do this. This isn't a new idea. We've done this in other places and know how to make those bus systems work.

Also in this area around our site, there is a very active bicycle and pedestrian network, a lot of joggers, a lot of walkers, a
lot of bike trails. And they're used. If you're out there on a regular basis, you see how often people are not just recreating, but commuting on bicycles and walking.

Our site has always been a missing link in that. You can get north of here. You can go some 20 some odd miles north of here and eight or 10 miles south of here. But you can't get across our site. By adding our site and connecting it with the bike trails and the harbor walk we're going to build on our property and connect to the DCR, you can finally get all the way through the region on bicycles or on foot which we think will work quite well.

Transportation demand management, this is to not just let things happen. This is to try to control some behavior and try to get more people on Mass. transit, more people on boats, more people on buses that sort of stuff. So, there's a number of things we're going to do that we've committed to. And I'll go through them quickly, but it's a very aggressive program that will fall within Bob's
operating group.
First of all, we'll have a full-time transportation coordinator. It sounds obvious, but this is a person whose job is to facilitate transportation for employees, for guests. Bus schedules, train schedules, how do you do it, where do you go that sort of stuff. It makes sense if you put somebody on it, they will take it seriously.

Guaranteed ride home, if you're an employee and you work late after the $T$ stops running, we'll get you home. So, it takes it off their mind if the $T$ for some reason isn't running.

Ride share, we'll do commuter boards. We'll do ride sharing blogs that kind of stuff so if five people live in Framingham, they all know who they are. And they can figure out how to commute together. And we'll help with coordinating shifts and that sort of stuff.

Charlie cards, we'll be providing discounted cards at a discounted rate to employees. We'll be selling them at the front
desk to patrons. So, if somebody wants to ride the T, it's easy. You just go buy a Charlie card and you're off and running. So, we'll make that as easy as we could.

Public transportation service, obviously, we'll have a lot of information in the building. We'll have it electronically. We'll have it on paper. So, if somebody is here from another state or another country and they want to figure out how to get to the Boston Garden, it's not hard. We'll make sure they know how to do that.

We'll have Zipcars on-site. If somebody wants to rent a Zipcar they can go do that and then can move on that way. Hubway, same way, we're going to have a Hubway bike sharing mode on-site so you can go down and rent a bicycle and take a ride on a bike.

We'll have covered bicycle parking. It sounds silly but employees love to have a nice place to put their bike, otherwise it's a little risky. It also makes the property look better when you have an organized place to put bikes. So, we'll have a covered place where an
employee can ride their bike, put it in the covered room, and it's safe for the day while they're working.

MS. KRUM: Or a patron.
MR. GORDON: Or a patron, very true. We talked about the electric vehicle charging stations. The employee shuttles, we're going to be running shuttles back and forth to Malden and Wellington as we talked about.

Again, this takes the load off of how do I get there and how do I get to work? Well, you take the train to Wellington. You get off and there's a shuttle waiting for you. So, that will be easy.

Water shuttle, we are very committed. I don't want to speak for Bob, but we are very committed to promoting the water shuttle. We think it's a very neat way to get to the property. Not just efficient from a transportation point of view, but it's a good experience. It's a nice ride. So, we'll be promoting that heavily.

And we talk here about marketing and incentive plans. Over time, we'll figure out
how to do this once we really get a sense of how this all works. Do we incentivize the water shuttle a different way, the train, the buses? So, that's always knobs we can be turning to make sure these systems are heavily used.

Transportation monitoring, as you know we are committed to monitor the transportation. We're not just hoping it works. Through our MEPA process and through the Section 61 Findings, we will be regularly monitoring the transportation. We'll be reporting to you. We'll be reporting to the DOT, to the city of Everett and we'll be explaining what we think is happening with mode splits and riderships and that sort of stuff.

And frankly, we'll be adjusting things. We'll all work together to make sure it works well. As you see here, if we're by more than 10 percent on something, if we sort of over- or underestimated how people are going to get there, we'll make adjustments. Things like retiming of signals, additional incentives, increase bicycle parking, more
electric vehicle charging, more local and regional shuttles.

We don't know what the issue might be. So, we don't know what solution will work, but we're committed that we'll find a solution for it. And this isn't a comprehensive list. There may be other things that you or we come up with and say, gee, if you added two more of these you'd have better luck with that. So, we've very committed to keep very close track of transportation.

Again, as we said from our first meeting, it isn't just to check a box, this is good for us. If customers are really sick and tired of how the traffic is working, they're not going to be happy. So, this is in our best interest to make sure this works.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Chris,
something like this, the transportation monitoring, when do you anticipate to begin the monitoring?

MR. GORDON: It starts every six months once we open, and eventually transitions to once a year. So, in the first several
years, we'll do it every six -- And it's a structured program. We actually have to submit a plan of here's what we're going to measure, here's what we're going to measure. So, there's actually a structure to it.

And then every six months we'll go out and measure everything. It's done through surveys and traffic counts and interviews and that sort of stuff. And then eventually it goes to yearly. And then eventually, hopefully it's in good shape. But we'll be doing it every six months to start.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Okay.
MR. GORDON: About $\$ 58$ million in roadway improvements. The big ones are on this list. And I'll walk you through them, Wellington, Sullivan Square, Santilli, Sweetser, Lower Broadway. And I'll break those down each individually.

But as you can see, they are the major transportation intersections around our property that we feel there's a need for mitigation. And we will be mitigating those spaces.

Starting off with the city of Everett, we have the most work to do in Everett. We have $\$ 14.5$ million worth of work to do in Everett before we open. This is all off our property. On Revere Beach Parkway, primarily Santilli Circle -- excuse me Santilli Highway, we'll be re-signaling, rebuilding and doing parts of that to optimize the transportation flow through the Revere Beach Parkway.

Sweetser Circle, which is right at the end of Broadway, just beyond our property, we are restriping, relining, widening, doing a number of things in Sweetser Circle to make that flow better. That's about $\$ 2$ million.

Lower Broadway, that's \$4 million. That's both Broadway itself, which runs in front of our property and the truck lane that parallels it on Robin and Dexter. So, that gets completely rebuilt with traffic islands, new signals, turning lanes, landscaping.

One little example that you probably heard about but one of the biggest problems on Broadway is left-hand turns. There is no left-
hand turning lane. So, if one car wants to go to McDonalds, they can hold up traffic for 10 minutes. So, this adds turning lanes at each intersection. So, somebody can pull off and let traffic flow. Little things like that are going to make a big difference on Broadway.

Again, we're far into the design of these. We've already done the surveys. We've done the roadway safety audits. And we're doing conceptual design. Lower Broadway truck route, that's another big item. We want to pull trucks off Broadway. This has been a goal of the city for many, many years, and now we're finally funding it.

So, Dexter and Robin will be significantly improved so trucks will have a better way to get over towards Charlestown and up north towards Malden and Medford instead of just going down Broadway.

The city of Boston, as you know, by far the bulk of this is Sullivan Square. We're going to spend around $\$ 11$ million if you look at the first item, second, third item. We're at $\$ 11$ million for the Sullivan Square
mitigation. This is to mitigate our traffic. In addition, we've committed \$25 million to a longer term fix. And you know that story as well as we do about the strong interest in a long-term fix in Sullivan Square. We're also funding the study group that's been meeting. And Bob's a member of that. They started. We're putting a quarter of a million dollars towards that as well to try to facilitate the permanent fix. Again, we like this. We think a long-term fix to Sullivan Square is good news. So, we're committed to do this.

If you look at the next plan, we can answer any detailed questions, but this is the overview of what we're doing in Sullivan Square for our mitigation. This is the $\$ 11$ million in mitigation.

The biggest thing you might notice as you come off the ramp off 93, Cambridge Street is rebuilt. You have options. You can now go left towards the MBTA station at a signal. You can go right down Spice Street and D Street. You can go out to Maffa Way and up

38 that way.
So, it pulls a lot of traffic off
Cambridge Street, which is where the biggest bottleneck is today. It also rebuilds the connection with Main Street, which is another big bottleneck. This will absolutely mitigate our traffic. Then again, the following we expect will be a longer term fix for the overall plan for Sullivan Square.

In Medford, we have some work to do in Medford primarily in Wellington Circle. This is lane widening. This is resignalization. It's about $\$ 4$ million worth of the work to do in Wellington. A busy intersection, it doesn't work bad today, but it can certainly work better. So, with our traffic, we want to do this mitigation work.

We also, if you notice the last item, we've committed to $\$ 1.5$ million to study. They have a long-term idea that someday -they've talked about tunnels, overpasses, bypasses, all kinds of big ideas, which is all good thinking. So, they asked us to fund that study.

So, we've committed $\$ 1.5$ million for them to study potential solutions on a grander scale for Wellington Circle way down the road that might be needed in future decades. So, that money is in here as well.

Revere, we have a little bit of work to do in Belle Circle. This is mostly optimization. There's some signal optimization that will go on in Belle Circle for the city of Revere.

The city of Chelsea, we have work to do on Route 16. Again, most of this is signal work. A lot of these signals are old. And by putting in new signals, you can do a lot more of an electronic solution or simulation of how they actually work.

And in Sullivan Square, we are tying them back to the city of Boston's controls. So, all of the new work we're doing on Sullivan Square will be with a hard-wire, 3800 feet of wiring back to the city of Boston control center. So, if there's an emergency or a big event or a Bruins game, they can actually monitor and change the signals in Sullivan

Square from their control center, which they can't do today.

Same with some of these others. They won't be controlled by Boston, but by putting new signals in these intersections, they're just much smarter signals. You can do a lot more with them than just red-light, greenlight kind of thing.

If you look at a comparison, and this not to brag or to point fingers, but if you look at our mitigation compared to other recent projects, we're quite proud of what we've done. The first slide shows that if you look, we're at about three million square feet and we're at $\$ 265$ million in private funds and zero in public funds which dwarfs anything even remotely close to us.

So, again, we're not pointing
fingers at them, but we're saying we think we're quite proud of what we've done. And that's a big number.

It's in graphical form, the next slide. If you look, we are many times higher in the blue bar than any other private money
that's out there. Again, we are getting zero public money. So, we're doing this all with our own funds.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Chris, can I mention something? Your three million square feet include the garage, right?

MR. GORDON: Correct, it's half and half.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: 1.4 million square feet of actual --

MR. GORDON: Correct.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Do you know if the other properties include parking?

MR. GORDON: I believe they do, but we can check that. Things like Assembly Row has significant parking garages there. Station Landing does. But we can absolutely doublecheck to make sure they're apples to apples with parking.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Just curious.
MR. GORDON: Yes. Site remediation, briefly, as I said, one of the things we're very proud of is the remediation.

This was talked about for 30 years
and now we're almost done with phase 1, which is the worst of it. If you remember our remediation has three phases. Phase 1 is getting rid of the very worse material. This is primarily the arsenic, the led, the cooper, the heavy metals that were migrating around the site. Also repairing the low pH area, which was deteriorating the heavy metals and allowing them to move toward the river.

So, that phase 1 was put out to bid last year. Charter Contracting who is a Boston company, actually a Boston minority-owned company won that bid. They've been out there. They've done an extremely good job. Our LSP GZA has kept an eye on them. And we're almost done. We're within probably two or three weeks at having the site closed up from the remediation point of view. So, phase 1 is almost done.

Now we have phase 2 and phase 3.
Phase 2 is part of the excavation, removing all of that material. That is not proceeding until we get our approvals to proceed with our building. And then, of course, phase 3 is out
in the harbor, removing the sediments in the harbor, which won't proceed again until we get all of our permits. But those three phases, the worst of which is done.

We also became a PIP site, which a petition for public involvement. This is when tax payers can petition to have more information about the remediation. They did that for our site, which worked fine.

We had a number of public hearings.
We did weekly reports for them. We communicated with them. At least from our vantage point, that seemed to go quite well. Especially the public meetings, there were a lot people who showed up, a lot of good questions. They were constructive. They were good questions about trucks and dirt and noise and dust, the things you'd expect them to ask. From our point of view, the PIP process was fine.

There's a handful of photos. If you look at the first one, this is a sort of midstream in the remediation. You can see there's a dewatering basin to the upper left.

It looks like a big hockey rink. That's where you put water and you dewater the site by pumping water into there.

Below that you'll see there's some large piles of dirt that are beginning to be processed. In the upper right there are some cells. You can see how there are partitioned off areas. That's different kinds of containment. One of those might be led. One might be arsenic. One might be PCBs.

They're all tested. They're all treated on-site. And then they're eventually put on trucks and taken to landfills. We went as far away as Michigan. We've actually gone to Quebec. We've gone to New Hampshire. We've trucked this stuff all over the place.

About 300 trucks of dirt have left the site. We've probably got 10 trucks left. We are very happy with how it went. We've had no reported incidents at all. The dust monitoring has been -- nothing has been reported. We've got very detailed documentation on where everything went.

Next photo is just an example of
some of the excavation. We went down quite deep to get the contaminants. What we found is that anything that was ever left behind, we've got, railroad ties, foundations, tires, blocks, bricks. The site has 100 years of stuff. So, every scoopful of dirt, none of it's bad. We only found one oil tank, but generally it's all innocent stuff. The site has just got a little bit of everything.

So, you get down through the eight feet from Deer Island, then you get about eight or 10 feet of debris and dirt and everything else. And then you get the clean dirt below that. Nothing unexpected but we found what we thought.

Another shot of excavation is just supportive excavation to make sure the hole was fine. Another shot showing how -- The intent of these pictures is not just to show you sheathing, but the level of effort you have to go through to get down to the dirty dirt was extensive.

We had to put this metal sheathing all around it. We had to dewater it. We had
to dig down 15 or 20 feet to find, in some cases, very small pockets of contamination, remove that and then put all the dirt back in again. So, to get to these pockets of contamination was an extensive effort.

You'll see in this picture it looks like a crime scene almost. In the lower middle of the picture, you'll see there's a hole there. That is where the PCBs were. That is by far the worst contamination we had on the site. Those have all left now. They've gone to Michigan. So, that little tiny orange fenced area was what made the site untouchable for a lot of developers for many years. It's completely gone. So, we're happy to say that that's left the site.

Next is just an aerial photo from a drone showing how the site was being remediated. Next two slides are more on the -Do you want to do these or do you want me to do these?

MR. DESALVIO: I'll take them. On the water transportation program, we've been spending a lot of time thinking about this. As
most of you know, from our previous meetings, our goal is to connect ourselves with the rest of the Inner Boston Harbor. So, we want to do that through Long Wharf or over by World Trade Center or Pier 4. There's some options down there.

On the next slide, on 59, we've had some discussions with Boston Harbor Cruises. As a matter of fact, this is a proposed boat design. We've been using Gladding Hearn, a Massachusetts company to look at this. This is a 49-passenger vessel that is only about 9 foot 6 to 10 feet in height. So, it will go underneath the Alford Street bridge with the bridge closed.

One of the main concerns was that we did not want to cause any additional traffic problems with our water transportation. So, this design was custom done for us for our project. It shows a really neat configuration. We've got the setup where we'd be able to have great ADA access at our dock height.

We can have about 49 passengers in a very nice environment, climate control, heated
in the winter, air-conditioned in the summer. Some exterior space where you can go outside. We think this would create a very pleasant ride from Boston Harbor right up through to where we are at the mouth of the Mystic.

Then on page 60, this is a proposed schedule. So, some discussions back and forth with Boston Harbor Cruises. We were thinking that there would probably be a peak season, off-peak season schedule. Obviously, less traffic on the water in the winter. It also gives you time to do maintenance, pull the boats out of the water, do the required things that are necessary.

So, while none of this is set in stone yet, it's just to show you that we've had very active and ongoing discussions with
operators for this potential water transportation system.

And the net result is it's extremely doable. We think it'll be a very unique way to get folks to and from, and it obviously takes cars off the road. So, we are really, really excited about the possibility of the water
transportation program.
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Quick
question. I know your original projection was six percent, I believe.

MR. DESALVIO: That's correct, and still is.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: And still is.
MR. DESALVIO: Yes, thank you.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Bob, you also mentioned earlier that there was a nine feet swing in the high water, low water. Does that affect any of this?

MR. DESALVIO: We have actually the dock system that will float up and down with the tide. So, taking into consideration navigational dredging that's required that Chris had mentioned, the cleanup.

Right now, there's old barges, all of that has to be removed in a later phase of remediation. But once we do the navigational dredging and we install our new bulkhead and docking system, we'll be able to accommodate that eight-, nine-foot tidal swing and still have great ADA access on the dock system.

It's all about ratios and distance of ramp systems and the docks to make sure that it all works.

MR. GORDON: And the bridge at high tide on a normal day has 12 feet of clearance. So, a nine-foot boat can easily make it under it. On a stormy day, obviously you have to factor that in. But on a normal day, almost every day of the year, it's 12 feet of clearance at high tide.

MR. DESALVIO: We literally, as part of this process, went out on the water, went and did the trip. It took about 20 minutes, 20 to 22 minutes to get from our site to downtown area. We looked at the watermarks. Originally, we were thinking maybe we could have a little higher vessel, but to play it safe we want to go with no more than about 9'6" so that we can make sure we're not having that bridge crank up.

Again, we've done quite a bit of investigation work. We're still in the process. This is nowhere near set yet, but we wanted to the Commission to understand that we
are thinking long and hard about this and want to make sure that it works quite well. And that it's a really fun kind of ride to get folks to and from.

With that mind, if you wouldn't just turning this to the summary page on 61. I think what you've heard today is quite a comprehensive story. When you think about us, always was and now it continues to be the largest private development project in the Commonwealth. The 4000 jobs, union jobs to build it, the 4000 permanent jobs, $\$ 660$ million a year in the taxes, fees, wages and operational costs.

A $\$ 2$ billion price tag for this particular project, $\$ 840$ million in community and mitigation payments over a 15-year period, including $\$ 574$ million to our host and surrounding communities. And another \$266 million in road infrastructure and traffic demand management program. Another $\$ 58$ million in roadway improvements. And really a holistic, multimodal sustainable transportation strategy that really tries to use public
transportation, water transportation, any shuttle systems, any means possible to get cars off the road and into the facility.

And on top of that about $\$ 30$ million
site remediation. All of it 100 percent privately funded. When you think about the commitment on behalf of Wynn Resorts for a project of this nature, it really is nothing short of incredible.

We feel like we have done the most in-depth, due diligence, environmental filings that any project has ever gone through that we've ever heard of, including five trips through MEPA.

So, for anyone that has any
questions at all about our mitigation package and the effort that we put out, I think today's presentation puts those arguments to bed. Thank you very much for giving us some time today.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Thank you.
Any questions from the Commission members? What would be the next part? Do we have Rick Moore and company?

MR. BEDROSIAN: We would. I would just suggest, $I$ don't know if the Commission wants a break or not, but we would transition to our consultants at this point.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Yes. Let's take a five-minute break please. We'll be right back.
(A recess was taken)

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: We are back. I believe we have next presentation by our consultants.

MS. BLUE: That's right. We have Rick Moore here with the Commission consultants to summarize the report that's in your packet.

MR. MOORE: Thank you,
Commissioners. And first, thank you for the break. We all needed one. I am Rick Moore with City Point Partners. With me is Jason Sobel from Green international Jason Lawson from PMA.

Particularly, Jason and I have been involved in this process from the very, very
beginning when the application was first submitted. I would just like to talk for a few minutes about process and then some of the mitigation issues. I'll try to be brief because you had a very comprehensive presentation.

The Section 61 Finding process is typically a fairly routine process for projects. Here it's slightly different. Normally, what happens is through the MEPA process, the notification form, the draft and final EIRs, in this case there were supplemental EIRs, a mitigation package is formulated and is built through consensus. The project is described. The impacts are defined, the mitigation is defined. The public agencies all have a chance to comment multiple times in this case. That brings together a package of mitigation.

And then the agencies, like yourself and the other agencies who are required to have what they call subject jurisdiction. In other words, they're issuing a state permit. They take the MEPA process, which they participated
in, and they basically ratify it in the Section 61 Finding.

So, typically there is nothing new. And think that's why what you've seen here, you've seen essentially all before. There's really nothing new here.

But the Secretary of Environmental Affairs required as was explained earlier, a more elaborate Section 61 Finding for this project where there will be a public hearing, you'll get comments. Essentially, it is a second or another chance for comment and evaluation even though there have been six or seven up to this point.

In order to have that process to be a little bit more robust, what you do see differently here, and $I$ think it's your intention, is to bring all of the various mitigation packages together in one place. Because unlike most projects where you just have the MEPA mitigation, here now you have other agency's Section 61 Findings, which are in most cases identical to the MEPA. Those are the ones from DOT, MWRA, etc.

And you also have, unlike almost any other project in the Commonwealth, the host and the surrounding community agreements, which have their own set of mitigation. So, all of this is brought together in one place in the draft Section 61 Findings that you are deliberating on now. And that provides the public and anyone else now an opportunity for again another round of comments. So, it's a fairly robust process.

Let me talk a minute about the mitigation and just summarize it as we see it in advising you. Generally, we see mitigation in two pieces. First you have the mitigation essentially on-site. And those were the mitigation, the cleanup, all of the LEED Gold processes, the energy efficiency, the water efficiency, all of those types of issues using the materials and recycling them. All of those package up to give you a mitigation package for the site.

Now you can argue that a lot of that mitigation is required. The remediation is required. The LEED Gold is required by your
regulations. But most of the regulations and most of the requirements in Massachusetts have a very high bar. These bars have been met or exceeded on-site.

Essentially, and again you can argue whether it's required or not, essentially what you and the Commonwealth are getting is a clean site, a sustainable facility, Gold certifiable, and a facility that has significantly more access by the public to the waterfront than before.

So, it's our judgment that in terms of the responsibility that you have and that the other regulatory agencies have, the mitigation package on-site, if you will, identifies all of the impacts, mitigates them or avoids them and either meets or exceeds the requirements of the regulations. We are comfortable with that package.

If you go off-site, it's almost exclusively a discussion about transportation. And if you look at the transportation package, which again is robust and you've heard it in some detail, it has roadway. It has rail
transit. It's got water. It's got pedestrian; it's got bicycle. It's a very robust package.

And in almost every one of those categories, it rises above the minimum requirements and actually adds benefit. I'll talk about one or two of those in a minute. But certainly one of them, I believe and we believe, is the water transportation, and the robust to divert. And the whole purpose of the transit and the water transportation is divert people out of their cars into alternative modes of transportation. So, we think it's a robust, adequate and it meets the requirements of mitigating the impacts of the project.

Now I'd like to spend just a minute on one particular aspect of that and that's Sullivan Square, which gets the majority of the comments.

Sullivan Square essentially has a two-step process going on. The first step is what you might call the minimum MEPA requirements. It's to minimize or avoid impacts from the casino in Sullivan Square. In the mitigation that accomplishes threshold is
that $\$ 10$ or $\$ 11$ million of roadway improvements that you saw the diagram of along Cambridge Street and Maffa Way and the signal and the interaction with the bus terminal. That does what MEPA requires. It mitigates the impacts from the casino.

Through the MEPA process, this concept of a long-term plan was developed in some level. It's a result actually of the MEPA process. But as the Secretary of Environmental Affairs and as the Secretary of Transportation said, this long-term process, which is the second track which goes on parallel, if you will, is separate from the MEPA process and over above, if you will, the MEPA process.

And that track actually has two of its own sub tracks, if you will. The first of those is being done right now by the city of Boston, which is to re-examine through their ongoing 25 percent design contract the alternatives for Sullivan Square. They own Sullivan Square. They are responsible for Sullivan Square. They will come up with the recommended plan for Sullivan Square. And that
is ongoing right now.
Once they come up with their preferred plan, that will be dovetailed into the long-term DOT working group that was originally called the Sullivan Square Working Group, is now called the Lower Mystic River Working Group. That looks at a more regional transportation issue. But it takes the specific recommendations for Sullivan Square, puts it in a long-term context and sees what the long-term or regional impact of that is.

That's all good for the
Commonwealth. The Wynn folks are partly funding as you saw the actual study which will take about 18 months. They're also putting the \$25 million in for the long-term plan. But that is over and above, as we determined in the regulations, the MEPA process and is on a separate track.

And when you take the minimum, if you will, MEPA requirements, when you take a long-term approach, when you add that into the sustainability and the on-site package, we think the mitigation package that has been
proposed here that combines all of the different pieces satisfies and in some respects goes above the requirements.

I think a telling proof of that at some level is the slide that showed the amount of private funding going into the, in the particular case there, the off-site roadway improvements based on what is typical and what we see as typical for these type of projects in the Commonwealth.

There's two other points I would like to make. The first one has to do with what I sometimes call the look-back provision. That's the monitoring plans. So, that we are not done once the Section 61 Findings are issued.

There will be a monitoring plan. It was talked about collecting traffic data. Both the city of Boston, DOT and the Commission itself has very significant ability to open up the package, the mitigation package, open up the Section 61 Findings, if you will, if the actual traffic does not track what has been estimated to actually occur in the future.

And if there needs to be more mitigation or different mitigation or a different package that's within your purview and you reserve the right in the Section 61 Finding to do that. It's in your regulations. It's very well-established. And it's a very powerful tool.

And I think it's consistent with the Commission's overall responsibility to keep track of these facilities. It's not just to permit them. It's to keep track through construction and through operation that they continually mitigate and propose all feasible mitigation to avoid and mitigate impacts.

So, I think you have the existing package and then you have the ability to check that existing package and see whether it works. That's a good combination.

The last thing I'd like to mention is that although I said in the beginning there were no surprises, there are two small things that we're recommending that will be additions in your Section 61 Findings that you didn't see necessarily in the MEPA process. These come
out as a result of the many comments that have occurred from DOT's Section 61 Finnding process, and the other agencies have their processes and have gotten comments.

And you will get a series of comments through your Section 61 Finding. We are anticipating some of those questions will be the same. And we've looked at those questions. For the large majority, we don't see the issues or the questions that have been raised since the end of the MEPA process warrant changing the mitigation with two small exceptions.

In the case of the city of
Somerville, there's a host community agreement with the city of Somerville. During the process of reaching that -- a surrounding community agreement, excuse me. During the process of reaching that, Somerville contended that there were some additional traffic mitigation that was warranted in Somerville.

We saw no technical basis for that charge or that request. We think that the way the surrounding community agreement was finally
approved makes sense.
However, there is one intersection that we are proposing in Somerville be added to the monitoring plan. And that intersection is on Broadway. And it's just upstream from Sullivan Square. And the reason we are recommending that that be added to the monitoring program is not because of the amount of traffic that the casino will divert through that intersection but more specifically because if for some reason the traffic in Sullivan Square in the future does not mimic what has been projected and there's backup through it, it would be Maffa Way back into Broadway, it could impact the first intersection in Somerville, which is the intersection of Lomister Way (PHONETIC) and Broadway.

We are recommending that that intersection be added to the monitoring plan. So, that in the future if it is impacted, we'll have some data that can inform a future mitigation.

And then the second change comes from some comments from the city of

Charlestown. The has to do with the lighting. So, we are recommending in the Section 61 Finding, and you'll see it in there, is a requirement that Wynn provide a lighting plans so that the folks in Charlestown can get a better understanding of how the lighting plan could affect their environment in Charlestown.

But other than that what you are seeing is a result directly of the MEPA process, the surrounding community and host community agreements and the other agency Section 61 Findings.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Rick, I had question just to go back to Sullivan Square for a moment since you rightly pointed out those are the majority of the comments that we received pertaining to that long-term plan.

You talked about the two phases, the city of Boston and then that gets incorporated into the larger plan. In your dealings, are all the right stakeholders there to identify federal, state, local monies? Are all those things happening? I just wanted a little more detail if $I$ could on that plan itself. It's
working?
MR. MOORE: Yes. I would say it's working. It took a bit to get off the ground. There was a little discussion about what the shape of the table should be, as you might imagine because there are a number of constituents. But the right communities, the right state agencies and the right interested parties I think are at the table.

You have CTPS and the Metropolitan Area Planning Council doing the actual technical work. They have an enormous amount of data already that they constantly update. So, they're the best people to look at a regional plan.

And the city of Boston importantly is at the table. And they are very sensitive to how the interaction of their workings in Sullivan Square are put into the regional plan. And I think actually if you think about it, this is how the process is supposed to work. The MEPA process, if you will, hatched this kind of a concept as far as $I$ can tell. That's the way it's supposed to happen.

Normally, MEPA would stop at your minimum impacts from the facility. But in this case the secretary, both secretaries found it useful to go beyond that and use the MEPA process to develop this concept. Now it's actually working.

And there is no indication why it shouldn't develop a plan that has quite frankly taken some time in the development process. Hopefully, everyone will be on board and finally solve the long-term plan for Sullivan Square with a considerable contribution from the Wynn folks.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Can you just point to me where that additional intersection to be studied is in the draft? Do we have it here?

MR. MOORE: The additional
intersection?
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Yes, the one in Somerville that you're recommending should be added to the monitoring program. My question is more simple is it already reflected in the draft?

MS. BLUE: It is reflected in the draft as well the lighting plan request.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: That was going to the second question.

MS. BLUE: They are both in the draft that you have in your packet.

MR. MOORE: The intersection is on page 30 and the lighting plan would probably be under Boston's.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Just taking one at a time, the intersection is one of how many intersections are going to be studied just roughly, Rick?

MR. MOORE: There are dozen or more intersections. It's a very comprehensive.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: That one seems
reasonable. The page for the lighting plan?
MS. BLUE: It is on page 43.
MR. MOORE: There have been
renderings of the new hotel. In fact, you probably saw one at nighttime. But this will go somewhat beyond that with some more technical information.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: You said 43?

MS. BLUE: It should be on page 43 the second section. And it will say something along the lines of Wynn will provide a lighting plan to the Commission.

MR. MOORE: This is also something that the city of Everett is interested in.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Okay. I see
it. It's during construction and post occupancy because that applies to all the mitigation improvement measures in this table, right?

MR. MOORE: That's right.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: That's fair enough. Other questions for Mr. Moore?

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Rick, I just had a question picking up on what Commissioner Cameron asked. We repeatedly hear that there is significant federal transportation dollars kind of in the balance with respect to it being utilized for Sullivan Square improvements or kind of surrounding area transportation issues.

Does somebody from the feds, even though it's not a federal highway, but it's federal money, is somebody from the appropriate
federal agency participating in the working group?

MR. MOORE: No, but generally the
federal folks delegate that to the state Department of Transportation. So, they are the ones that have the control over managing the monies that come to the state of Massachusetts from the federal government. Then Congressman Capuano is very much involved in the process and interested in the whole process and the outcome.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Rick, I'm abstaining from any vote here, but I'm curious about this lighting plan. I saw the reference to it in the draft, but it just says lighting plan. What is a lighting plan?

MR. MOORE: To some degree, to lighting folks it's a term of art. The city of Everett has also requested, in my understanding, a lighting plan. It's photometric plan primarily to show that lighting on site does not spill over into the adjacent properties and might cause disruption or inconvenience to a direct abutter.

But this lighting plan also informs how you might see the site from alternative locations. But there is no question that the facility will be lit at night. Up until this point, there have been discussions with the folks in Charlestown about this. The lighting is not directed outside the property. The lighting is directed primarily to illuminate the actual tower itself and the lower rise buildings.

So, the primary issue is not so much lighting directed off the property as it is reflective light or glare if you will. That can be shown by technical drawings.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: With the idea being that if the lighting, reflective lighting was at a certain level that would seem to interfere with the aesthetic judgments of the people that were experiencing it then we would have a lever to address that?

MR. MOORE: This is another one of those issues that can be re-examined. There is some flexibility in how Wynn develops their lighting plan which is underdeveloped now.

They're sensitive to this issue. And they're talking to the folks of Charlestown. So, I think that this issue can be resolved.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Rick, you also made a quick reference to the other projects that were presented before by the licensee, and the level of mitigation that came mostly from public sources.

I am curious, an alternative project on this site would have probably done -perhaps had more impacts on the environment in terms of traffic for example. If there were to have been condos on that site you would have two peak hours as opposed to one. Do you want to comment on that a little bit?

MR. MOORE: Actually, that's not completely hypothetical, because in the municipal harbor plan that was Everett's municipal harbor plan that was approved by DEP they had two alternatives. One was the casino and the other was a residential development.

You are quite right. Whether it's residential or office, you have a morning and peak hour. The casino although there is some
traffic in the morning, it's nominal compared to what you would get with the kind of development that say an office or residential development.

The size of one of those
developments on that site to support the cleanup and the variety of other things you'd have to do would be substantial. And you would have a traffic peak that you wouldn't have from the casino.

So, to some degree the casino is a beneficial traffic generator, if you will, because of that minimal a.m. peak hour.

Nevertheless, the city of Boston and MassDOT required Wynn to look at the a.m. peak hour to make sure that their proposed traffic signal system would operate properly in the a.m. despite the fact that there weren't many casino generated trips in the a.m. So, it was looked at both in the a.m. and the p.m. but more from an operational standpoint.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Other questions for Mr. Moore? Anything else Counsel?

MS. BLUE: No. If the Commission has no suggested changes to the draft that's in front of you, staff will post this. And we will make a request for comments. Then we will work towards our public meeting on the $29 t h$.

This is obviously not the last opportunity for the Commission to make comments or changes. So, as the Commission sits through the public hearing and as you review comments as they come in, if you decide you want changes to this draft, we can certainly do that. We will move forward, post this and ask for comments.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Yes. I just want to mention something that was already mentioned and just emphasize that there is always -- this is a one point in time phase here that we have, the MEPA process. And we have the ability to come back and that lookback provision, if you will, in the process to come back and do this, re-look at the assumptions, confirm some of the traffic as it shows up or not.

And I would add that there is a

Community Mitigation Fund that's another tool that the Legislature gave us for this very purposes that come right after that in my estimation. We continue to fine-tune that program. And we'll get requests from surrounding communities, host communities, others. And all of these will be seen holistically as we continue that evaluation.

Let me mention that there's a lot of work that happened here of course by the applicant, by our consultants, Anderson and Kreiger as well and Counsel Blue and Ombudsman Ziemba. And there's a lot of great detail that we will post on our website for public comment.

And we look forward to all of those comments that I know we will get. They are usually very thoughtful and studious. And we will go forward towards scheduling or posting notice of our public hearing, if I'm correct?

MS. BLUE: We have the notice in draft. If we haven't posted it today, we'll post it tomorrow.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: We'll post that notice. And that public hearing is for
next Tuesday.
MS. BLUE: It's March 29. It starts at 5:00 p.m. and it's at the Boston Convention Center.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: The public will have had an opportunity to have seen this draft for a few days now, obviously for the following week. And we'll be accepting comments until April --

MS. BLUE: 11.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: 11, at least that is the current schedule and the current thinking. Any other comments? Thank you very much, Rick and company, Jason and Jason.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Thank you.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Did we need to vote on this?

MS. BLUE: No. We determined this is the process going forward. You won't vote until April 14. So, you just need a motion to adjourn and a second.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: So I will entertain a motion to adjourn.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Move to
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