	Page 1
1	COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
2	MASSACHUSETTS GAMING COMMISSION
3	PUBLIC MEETING #212
4	
5	
6	CHAIRMAN
7	Stephen P. Crosby
8	
9	COMMISSIONERS
10	Gayle Cameron
11	Lloyd Macdonald
12	Enrique Zuniga
13	Bruce Stebbins
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	MASSACHUSETTS GAMING COMMISSION
19	101 Federal Street, 12th Floor
20	Boston, Massachusetts
21	March 16, 2017
22	10:05 a.m 11:47 a.m.
23	
24	

PROCEEDINGS

4 | 5

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: We are calling to order public meeting number 212, Thursday, March 16th at the gaming commission headquarters. First item is approval of the minutes. Commissioner Macdonald.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: I was not at the meeting, and so I will defer to other members of the commission who were.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Commissioner Zuniga.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Yes,
Mr. Chair, I have the minutes for
March 2nd. The meeting of March 2nd are
included in the packet. I've reviewed them
and move to approve them subject to
typographical or nonmaterial corrections.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Mr. Chair, I have two just quick additions, just things that I pointed out, you know, I pulled out of the transcripts. One is just a note.

After Director Bedrosian's report, I had some comments about thoroughbred racing.

And then at the conclusion of the Wynn presentation, one of the things, I think, we asked Mr. DeSalvio for was an update on FTE count salary and benefits if any of those changed because of their changes to the operations. And he said he was going to run those numbers for us, so I want to make sure.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: You want that in the minutes.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: In the minutes, yes. So I just share those quick edits for Catherine and Cecelia.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Anybody else?

This was just sort of for future reference.

On 12/10 the diversity update from MGM, you know, the meat of the issue was the numbers, you know, that they were way in excess of their objectives. And, I think, and it doesn't really matter right now but just as a matter of a general principal you get those nuggets in there, that would be great. Anything else?

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Second, Mr.

0aac3e13-1f06-4721-9ec3-7831e9a15376

Chair.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: All in favor?

Aye.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Aye.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Aye.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Opposed? The ayes have it unanimously. Then we'll move to item number three, the update from the executive director.

MR. BEDROSIAN: Good morning,
Mr. Chairman and Commissioners. I have
three items today to which I will address
one, which I'll ask Mr. Ziemba to address.
The first one is with us today from our
vender, IGT, which is International Gaming
Technology. And for those who may have
forgotten, the Commission had voted and we
currently have what's called a Central
Monitoring System, CMS, that monitors right
now currently the slot machines in
real-time at Plainridge. But when we're
fully operational, obviously we'll monitor

2

3 4

5

6

7

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

close to 8, 9, 10,000 machines across the state in all our operations.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: And has a lot of capacity to do other stuff and analytics and so forth.

MR. BEDROSIAN: Exactly. So with our CIO, Mr. Lennon, is an employee of IGT who works at our central monitoring station Priya Gondotra. The reason he's here I wanted to recognize that IGT gives out a yearly game-changer award, which Priya deservedly so got. And I'll just tell you at the prominence awards, IGT employs 12,000 people. There were 140 nominees out of those 12,000. 28 were selected as either team or individuals. And from the 28, seven individuals got awards and Priya was one of those people.

And to give you a sense of what the award is about, IGT gives an award. It's a contribution in the past year of having demonstrated one or more what they call their IGT core values, responsible, collaborative, authentic, passionate and

pioneering. And as I understand it based on reports from our staff, Priya does go above and beyond and always willing whether he has to travel to PPC or help our folks understand the central monitoring system has really gone above and beyond. So even though he's not our direct employee, he works intimately with our staff and I did want to recognize that because that is quite an achievement for him.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Great.

MR. BEDROSIAN: Congratulations.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON:

Congratulations, great work.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Thank you very much.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA:

Congratulations.

MR. BEDROSIAN: Secondly and less exciting, I just wanted to give the Commission a heads-up on something we will be implementing as a staff and it has to do with how we identify ourselves when we are down at the properties. Right now just PPC

but in the future obviously our Category 1 properties. And this sort of came out of one of my experiences just walking in what's called the back-of-house, which is sort of the operations centers of the casinos. And if you haven't had the -- I know you all have -- but if you haven't had the opportunity, Plainridge's back-of-house is fairly modest, I guess, by industry standard. It's appropriate to its size.

What we will experience in MGM, and Wynn, I think, will be much bigger back-of-houses and the security in those situations are also. That's a place that's very sensitive. It's monitored by who is in there. We didn't have a policy about sort of identifying ourselves to those personnel who work there.

So I've decided that we, like the casino folks, will have to wear identifying information that will identify who we are. Probably wear it on some type of lanyard so it will be appropriately displayable to the people who work there and also surveillance

who identify who we are. So not a big issue but just a change I'll let you know about, and we will be working with staff to implement that over the next couple of months so that when we roll out to our Category 1's, it will be second nature.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Will that also unlock the doors?

MR. BEDROSIAN: This will not unlock the doors. This will purely be identification. We've talked about whether we could consolidate functions, and we're still working on it. Right now this is just for identification.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Just for simplicities, I've got a handful of things that I carry around.

MR. BEDROSIAN: And of course the challenge will be you may have three sort of door cards, one for each property.

Consolidating that cross technology may be more challenging, so we're aware of that and we will work on that.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Maybe we can get

the gentleman from IGT to give us a hand.

MR. BEDROSIAN: That's right. And the last one is administrative update that Mr. Ziemba is going to give us.

MR. ZIEMBA: Thank you,

Commissioners. I asked the executive

director if I can inform you about an issue

that we discussed at yesterday's review

team meeting for the community mitigation

applications for this current round.

Earlier this week we were informed by one of the applicants that an application was not showing up on our list of applications that we received for this year.

They submitted our COMMBUYS number, a COMMBUYS number. COMMBUYS is the system that we utilize to accept all applications pursuant to an initiative. At the state level, all agencies, all state agencies have to accept applications through the state. It's the COMMBUYS system. But what we discovered as a result of our review this week is that one application from the

City of Springfield did not appear on our list of applications.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Upon looking at it, we determined that there was a technical issue that resulted in not appearing in our system. In essence, what happened was the City of Springfield through its Department of Health and Human Services submitted an application timely on February 1st at 12:34, which is within the deadline.

But in the submittal, there was no dollar amount that was input into the amount of this bid box. And so when that box is left blank, the COMMBUYS system automatically defaults making the application a no-bid quote. Because this application was considered a no-bid quote, the application did not show up as a completed application.

We think that this is really a technical matter. That we should proceed with reviewing the application. One thing that we will do is we will confirm with the City of Springfield that it did intend to

1 submit that application, but we have no 2 reason to believe that it did not intend to 3 submit that application. 4 So just wanted to inform you we 5 would update all of the applications on our 6 website, and then we would proceed with 7 reviewing this application from the City of Springfield. It's for the continuation of 8 9 the valet program that was initiated during 10 this year. 11 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Seems totally 12 fine. 13 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: I would It seems like they did submit. 14 15 because of the technicality, it didn't show 16 up. So I think you're --17 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Are they 18 re-purposing a bid form because --MR. ZIEMBA: Yes. 19 20 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: So they're 21 adapting another form that doesn't quite 22 make sense to the user. I see the problem. 23 MR. ZIEMBA: You are exactly right.

That's exactly right. That's all I have.

24

1 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Thank you. 2 MR. BEDROSIAN: Thank you. That's 3 all for me. CHAIRMAN CROSBY: 4 Okay. Item number 4, the racing division, Director Lightbown. 5 6 MS. LIGHTBOWN: Good morning, 7 Commissioners. COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Good morning. 8 9 COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Good 10 morning. 11 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Good morning. 12 MS. LIGHTBOWN: We have a number of 13 racing items. Most of them are fairly routine standard operating procedures that 14 15 we go through each year. First of all, one 16 is from the Massachusetts Thoroughbred Breeders Association. We have George 17 18 Brown, their president, and Anthony Zizza 19 here if you have questions. 20 They are asking to race certain 21 Mass. bred races at Finger Lakes in the 22 spring and the summer. We have done this 23 the last two years. This would be the 24 third year. With the shortened

	Page 13
1	thoroughbred season, this allows these
2	horses to race more often and spreads the
3	purse money out. If you have any
4	questions.
5	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: This takes our
6	purse money.
7	MS. LIGHTBOWN: This is our purse
8	money, yes. Most of it comes from the
9	racehorse development fund.
10	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Just gives
11	them more opportunity since there aren't
12	that many opportunities currently here to
13	use that money. So the Finger Lakes,
14	right, they were good enough to arrange
15	races for us there?
16	MR. BROWN: Right.
17	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Mr. Brown,
18	nice to see you. You're healing nicely.
19	Tell me, the Finger Lakes almost had a
20	problem, correct, but now
21	MR. BROWN: But they've worked it
22	out.
22 23	commissioner cameron: They are on

MR. BROWN: Yes. I think it's 149 days and they increased the purses \$500, so everything worked out.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Great. But will we race a similar amount as we did last year at the Finger Lakes?

MR. BROWN: As you know, we've had a reduction in the amount of money we have to work with. But what we have done is we reduced the value of the purses and spread them out a little bit more so that everybody gets a chance. And what we would like to do is run 11 races at Finger Lakes, and then hopefully we'll run our nine races at --

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: At Suffolk.

MR. BROWN: At Suffolk. And then we'd like to run a few more at the end because our two-year olds don't get ready to run until late in the year. And, so, we wouldn't be ready to run them with the days that Suffolk usually runs their races. So we would like to be able to run them later on.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Okay, great. Thank you for that.

3

4

5

2

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: I just have a question, because I'm not familiar with how all of this works. Finger Lakes is a track in New York State; is that right?

7

6

MR. BROWN: Right.

8

they -- in setting up these, you know,

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD:

9

Mass. bred races, do they basically set

10 11

aside the use of the facility exclusively

12

for horses, you know, raised in

MR. BROWN: Yes.

13

Massachusetts?

1415

horses that stay there year-round, I mean,

16

17

throughout the whole meet. And we race

Suffolk Downs so that we get a chance to

18

there in between the races that we have at

19

run our horses like all year-round instead

20

of just running nine races at Suffolk, and

21

then we'd be out of business.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: But one of

And do

There is a lot of

23

22

the -- on any given day, you could have

24

eight races say but one of them be a Mass.

bred race. So it's not exclusively they are housed with other horses for the regular meets. The 14th note the 16 percent split of the racehorse development fund, that goes to the breeders and then displayed among thoroughbreds and standardbreds funds supplement the purses for the breeders, which effectively can take place here or in Finger Lakes.

MS. LIGHTBOWN: They got the law changed a couple of years ago with the change in the racing season to allow Mass. bred races to occur outside of the state.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Which then allows the breeders to continue their breeding program at least in theory.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: In our funds, the racehorse development fund proceeds in part can contribute to the purses despite the fact --

MS. LIGHTBOWN: They provide the purses for those races.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Provide the purses for those races.

MS. LIGHTBOWN: So it's a benefit to Finger Lakes. They don't -- for that race on the card that day, they don't have to provide the purse. It gives them an extra race and also helps the horsemen there, you know, if they are short on horses. You have a group of horses with purse money that are helping fill your card for the day, so it helps them and then obviously helps the Mass. breeders.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: So whatever is paid out of purses is racehorse development fund.

MR. BROWN: Yes. And it goes directly to the Mass. breeders and the owners. None of that money goes to Finger Lakes. The only money that they make for running those races is the handle for those races. All of the money goes to Massachusetts owners and breeders, so it all comes back in our state.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: You mentioned 11 races. It says six here, six and seven. I don't know if it makes too much difference,

1 but this says, "six restricted Mass. bred 2 allowance races and seven restricted Mass. 3 bred claiming races." Is that what you're 4 planning on? MR. BROWN: Yes. Well, we have a 5 6 variety of races this year. Because what 7 happens sometimes is somebody will -- a couple of people will have exceptional 8 9 horses, and they can win all the races and 10 everybody else gets shut out. So what we've tried to do is make it so that all of 11 12 those good horses can't run in every race 13 and win everything. It gives everybody else a chance. That's the purpose. 14 15 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: And how do you 16 do that, through the scheduling or --MR. BROWN: Through the conditions 17 18 of the race. 19 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Like allowance 20 claiming system. 21 MS. LIGHTBOWN: They will set the 22 parameters on who is eligible. 23 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Does this give you 24 the right flexibility? We're saying six

restricted allowance and seven restricted claiming; is that --

MR. BROWN: Yes. Because what happens is if you have a real good horse, they are not going to run them in a claiming race, so that eliminates that real good horse from getting in the claiming races and allows people with horses that aren't so good a chance to win a race.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: But you don't need the flexibility to decide maybe you want six claiming races and seven allowance races.

MR. BROWN: You can do it anyway, but what we try to do is to balance it out so everybody gets an equal opportunity.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: I just don't know whether the language needs to be more flexible so they can do it or is it we are technically approving only one use, seven claiming, six --

MS. LIGHTBOWN: Right. What you asked for in your letter is what Chairman Crosby is referring to.

MR. ZIZZA: The board voted on the races that we approved looking at our --

3

2

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Mike.

4

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Hit the

5

button.

6

MR. ZIZZA: How's that?

7

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Good.

8

MR. ZIZZA: So the Mass. breeders

9

board spent a lot of time looking at our horse population and looking at what our

10 11

needs were. And like other breeder

12

programs across the country, we wanted to

13

make sure that we had multiple condition

14

races, so maiden races and claiming races

15

restricted to Mass. stallion, open

16

allowance races so that everybody, no

17

matter what type of horse you had, good

18

horse, a fast horse or slow horse, a horse

19

that runs short, a horse that runs long, a

20

maiden, no matter what you had you had an opportunity to participate in our program.

2122

And this way all of those horses could then

23

get ready to run so that they can be ready

24

in the state's program at Suffolk Downs.

	Page 21
1	So what we did was we basically came
2	up with a list of 11 races that we felt
3	would fulfill those criteria, and then
4	Finger Lakes will include those races in
5	the condition book. And the way we the
6	way we allot those races is due to the
7	expertise of the board and how we feel
8	would best fit our population.
9	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: So you thought it
10	through. This is the construct you want.
11	MR. ZIZZA: Yes, all set.
12	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Do we send anybody
13	out there?
14	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: We have
15	typically not had someone out there to
16	watch. Mr. Chair, is that something you're
17	interested in?
18	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Might, might.
19	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: It's always
20	simulcasted.
21	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Let us know, Alex,
22	when the days are scheduled.
23	MS. LIGHTBOWN: We can get it
24	scheduled.

	rage 22
1	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Okay, further
2	discussion?
3	COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Yes. I had
4	just this happened in your issues for the
5	Finger Lakes owner's association and
6	whatever they've resolved this so they will
7	be racing. What's the population of
8	horses, owners that are involved in these
9	races; how big a group are we talking?
10	MS. LIGHTBOWN: For Finger Lakes?
11	COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Yes.
12	MS. LIGHTBOWN: I'm not sure what
13	the horse population in Finger Lakes is.
14	Would you have an idea?
15	COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: I mean, for
16	the Mass
17	MS. LIGHTBOWN: Oh, for the Mass.
18	breds. How many horses do you think are
19	going to participate from Massachusetts in
20	those races?
21	MR. BROWN: In the regular races or
22	the Mass. breeder races?
23	MS. LIGHTBOWN: The Mass. breeder
24	races.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Eleven times

eleven.

MR. BROWN: Maybe 100 or more.

There's going to be more this year than there was last year actually, and every year from now on hopefully there will be more because I have more fulls being born at my farm than I've had in the last couple of years, so that helps.

MR. ZIZZA: We've also found more horses in training, and people are putting their horses back in training. So we feel looking at all of the horses that were in training last year that will be able to fill this list of races, which is why we came up with about that number, so hopefully we will be in good shape.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Mr. Chair, I recommend that we approve the request of the Massachusetts Thoroughbred Breeders
Association to run six restricted Mass.
bred allowance races and seven restricted
Mass. bred claiming races at Finger Lakes

	Page 24
1	racecourse this spring and summer.
2	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Second?
3	COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Second.
4	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Further
5	discussion? All in favor? Aye.
6	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye.
7	COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Aye.
8	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Aye.
9	COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye.
10	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Opposed? The ayes
11	have it unanimously, and Mr. Brown would
12	like to speak.
13	MR. BROWN: I just have one other
14	question. If we decide we can afford to
15	run the races in the fall, do we have to
16	come back up here and present those races?
17	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Why don't you
18	lay out your plan to Dr. Lightbown, and
19	she'll advise us what she thinks we need to
20	do to move forward, okay?
21	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Or you could just
22	amend your thing.
23	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Well, that
24	would be the fall you're talking about

the fall?

MR. ZIZZA: After the Suffolk Down.

MR. BROWN: After the Suffolk Down

races.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: So this is strictly for the spring and summer. Yes, why don't you just discuss what you think you would like to do, and Doctor will advise us what kind of approval. We probably need a second approval.

MR. ZIZZA: I would like to make one comment. I just want to take about 10 seconds to thank the gaming commission because these races at Finger Lakes, and George can attest to this, have really been the lifeblood for owners and Mass. bred horses and for the breeders. It has allowed us to keep our horses in training, earn money, run all year long and be able to take that money and put it back to rebreeding.

And, for example, I have five new mares this year that are in full. One is due any moment. And it's the ability to

keep running all year and until we get a permanent place to race to really be able to keep the breeders active. And on behalf of all the breeders, we just do want to thank you for your support. You guys have been nothing but supportive to us, so thank you so much.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Pleasure.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: And could you introduce yourself? I'm not sure if --

MR. ZIZZA: Oh sure. My name is
Anthony Zizza. I am the secretary
treasurer on the Mass. breeders board.

 $\label{eq:commissioner} \mbox{COMMISSIONER MACDONALD:} \quad \mbox{Anthony,} \\ \mbox{thank you.}$

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Since you're saying nice things, I will put in a pitch for the legislation that's up at the statehouse. As you know, the current legislation runs out this summer and something has to happen hopefully, you know, if you have suggested amendments that they make you feel better about it, you

know, more power to you. But it would be great if everybody could get together finally and get this resolved so we can think really strategically about this racehorse development fund and try to figure out a long range plan here.

MR. ZIZZA: We certainly do, and we welcome the idea of being involved in that. Thank you so much.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: We will pitch right in.

another question just based on the comments after the vote. So if I understand the approach correctly, there is an expected value on the purse money that comes to the breeders based on the split and all that. You're also of course weighing all the factors in coming up with a number of races. I guess, I assume that what you know about Suffolk Downs and what you know about these 13 races what's going to you sort of maximize the use of the horse race development fund money that is due to come,

what is the factor that then allows you to say we will come back for more -- for an additional request in the fall; are you not using the moneys that will be available?

MR. BROWN: No, we are. But, see, the problem we have is with the change in the split and the fact that we had to pay back retroactive money left us short and it's going -- we're going to be short for the next year also, because the casino money is not going to come in hopefully until 2018. So we've got to plan on running our program for 2018 also.

So what we're trying to do is to budget our money so that we can run basically the same program for 2017 and 2018 based upon the amount of money that we think we will have. And as far as the fall races are concerned, we don't know -- you know, we'll have a better idea as to what we have available to work with. And, so, I don't want to commit to, you know, the races until after we finish with Suffolk Downs. Then we'll have a better idea of

how much money we have left.

MS. LIGHTBOWN: If I can speak to that. The racehorse committee's meeting is, I believe, in May. So there's a possibility that the percentage would change then. The last time that was made retroactive back to January 1st. So in May there may be another changing of that percentage. So it does make sense to kind of go a step at a time and see what money is going to be available.

MR. BEDROSIAN: Or it could stay the same, right?

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: It does sound like you also value the credibility of similar year-to-year meets or races, because that keeps your membership.

MR. BROWN: It keeps people breeding horses. Because you have to remember, you breed a mare and then you have to wait three or four years before that horse actually gets to run. And what we have been saying is that we have to sort of stabilize the amount of money we're going

1 to have available not being changed year by 2 year. 3 In other words, the best thing would 4 be to come up with some way of establishing 5 an amount of money that is going to be 6 there every year and people can count on 7 that money being available. And, so, they can count on breeding their horses and 8 9 racing their horses, because they'll know 10 the money is going to be there when they 11 actually get to race. 12 13

That's the big problem we have right now, the instability of, you know -- it changes from year to year how much money we have, and that's not good. It's like a lot of speculation.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Okay. Did we vote?

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Yes, we did. We're good.

> CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Yes, we voted.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Thank you for your passion and coming in to see us and good luck with your races this year.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

	Page 31
1	MR. BROWN: Thank you.
2	MR. ZIZZA: Thank you so much. Let
3	us know if you want to make a trip out, and
4	we'll arrange a nice day.
5	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: All right, great.
6	Thank you.
7	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Thank you.
8	MS. LIGHTBOWN: So next on the
9	agenda I'm going to turn it over to senior
10	financial analyst Doug O'Donnell, and he is
11	going to talk about the Suffolk Downs
12	capital improvement and promotional trust
13	funds.
14	MR. O'DONNELL: Good morning,
15	Commission.
16	COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Good
17	morning.
18	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Good morning.
19	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Good morning.
20	MR. O'DONNELL: Once again I'm in
21	front of you with a request for
22	reimbursement for the Suffolk Downs capital
23	improvement trust fund. On this particular
24	request, we have a total of 26 items that

had been reviewed by the architect and approved and the total amount for this RFR is \$338,795.67.

This will -- as of the 10th, the balance on this fund was \$880,838.72. And with the Commission's approval on this request, that would leave us a balance in this fund of \$542,043.05. And as you know, this accumulates on a daily basis, so we will need your approval for this.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Questions, thoughts? Commissioner Cameron, anything?

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: No. All the paperwork is in order and receipts and everything looks to be in order. So,
Mr. Chair, I move that we approve the request for reimbursement for Suffolk Downs capital improvement trust fund dated
March 16th as just outlined by Doug
O'Donnell.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Second?

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Second.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Further

discussion?

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: I do have a question, and I feel I may have asked a question similar to this in the past on these approvals. There is a number of projects from 2012, '13 and '14. They do not necessarily get reimbursed chronologically; is that a fair statement?

MR. O'DONNELL: That's correct.

It's how the architect goes out and reviews those particular items. So, for example, the architect was out a couple of weeks ago and was looking at items that were done in 2014 and hadn't been around to finishing all the items in 2012, so it isn't in chronological order. It's submitted to us in a chronological order. But by the time he reviews it, it is done sporadically, so we don't know exactly what's coming in.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: But is it fair to say that the number of 2012 projects eventually diminish and 2013 and '14?

MR. O'DONNELL: Yes, they do. We fell behind on this, because they had a huge project back in 2012.

1 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: The 2 administrative consent quarter from --3 MR. O'DONNELL: Yes. So all of the 4 moneys had gone to that particular project 5 and these just accumulated over the years, 6 so we're getting caught up now. 7 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: MS. LIGHTBOWN: And Doug does keep a 8 9 spreadsheet, so it's all straight on what's 10 been asked for, what's been checked and 11 what's been paid so that we are not doing 12 it twice. 13 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Yes, that I realize and realize before. 14 I was just 15 curious as to the pipeline, if you will. MR. O'DONNELL: And we do have a 16 number of revisions that come in and we 17 18 have a dedicated team that works with us to 19 get this done, so everybody does review it. 20 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Yes, that's 21 great. 22 COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Tf T 23 understand it right, the key control 24 feature is that we have -- we have obtained

	Page 35
1	an architect to independently review the
2	work to attest to it having been done
3	adequately?
4	MR. O'DONNELL: That's correct. We
5	submit a letter to the architect every time
6	we get a request for consideration. So
7	he'll know what is in. And when the work
8	is finished and paid for, that is when he
9	goes out and does a review for the
10	reimbursement.
11	MS. LIGHTBOWN: And that's by
12	statute.
13	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Right. If we get
14	our review renewed statute, we might
15	rethink this whole process.
16	MR. O'DONNELL: That would be a
17	great idea, for the benefit of everyone,
18	Mr. Chairman, yes.
19	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Was there a
20	second? Yes, there was. Any other
21	discussion? All in favor? Aye.
22	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye.
23	COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Aye.
24	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Aye.

1 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye. 2 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Opposed? The ayes 3 have it unanimously. 4 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Thank you. 5 MR. O'DONNELL: Next on the agenda 6 is also a request for reimbursement from 7 Suffolk Downs promotional trust fund. And this is going back to projects that were 8 done in 2010 and 2011. The request for 9 10 reimbursement for the 2010 protect is \$79,499.58, which will bring a zero balance 11 12 on that project. 13 And for the 2011 project, the request is for \$127,452.99, which would 14 15 give us a balance on that particular 16 project of \$185,219.60. Total request is \$206,952.57, and that is currently what is 17 in the trust fund today, so this will 18 19 basically deplete our promotional trust 20 We will need your approval on this. fund. 21 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Questions? Do we have a motion? 22 23 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Mr. Chair, I

move the Commission approve the request for

24

	Page 37
1	reimbursement for Suffolk Downs through the
2	promotional trust fund for the total
3	balance of \$206,952.57.
4	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Second?
5	COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Second.
6	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Further
7	discussion? All in favor? Aye.
8	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye.
9	COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Aye.
10	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Aye.
11	COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye.
12	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: The ayes have it
13	unanimously.
14	MS. LIGHTBOWN: I forgot to mention
15	that we have Chip Tuttle, CEO of Suffolk
16	Downs here too.
17	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: That's who that
18	is. I was wondering who that guy was.
19	MS. LIGHTBOWN: So our next agenda
20	item is the payments to individual
21	ticket-holders for parimutuel tickets.
22	Doug O'Donnell has gone over with Jimmy
23	Alcott from Suffolk Downs to review these
24	and came to the conclusion that \$471.20 is

	Page 38
1	owed. The individuals are listed in your
2	book there, so we do need a vote on that.
3	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Commissioner
4	Cameron, you want to speak to this?
5	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: I just have
6	to find the right
7	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Remind me, the
8	outs, tickets.
9	MS. LIGHTBOWN: Yes. This is if
10	somebody has a ticket for some reason that
11	they can't produce that they have ways of
12	going back and seeing and verifying it on
13	some of them. So if they can, they do that
14	at the end of the year after they're cashed
15	out. Naturally this is two years
16	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: In arrears?
17	MS. LIGHTBOWN: Right.
18	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: You have to
19	wait one year to make sure that no one else
20	claims it?
21	MR. O'DONNELL: This is from 2015.
22	MS. LIGHTBOWN: 2017.
23	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: So,
24	Mr. Chair, I move that we approve the

Page 39 1 request of Sterling Suffolk racecourse for 2 ticket payments from 2015 for a total of 3 471.20. CHAIRMAN CROSBY: 4 Second? 5 COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Second. 6 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Further 7 discussion? All in favor? Aye. 8 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye. 9 COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: 10 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Aye. 11 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: 12 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Opposed? The ayes 13 have it unanimously. 14 MS. LIGHTBOWN: So our next item is 15 now that we have approved that money going 16 out, what we do is the rest of the tickets from 2015 are now considered unclaimed and 17 18 so we ask for the tracks for that amount 19 back, and so the request for Suffolk Downs 20 is \$208,279.26. And, again, Doug O'Donnell 21 has gone over this with the folks at Suffolk Downs to confirm this. 22 23 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: This just goes to 24 the general fund.

Page 40

	Page 40
1	MS. LIGHTBOWN: This will go back to
2	the purse accounts.
3	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Oh, to the purse
4	accounts.
5	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: And 2015 was
6	the first year of the short meet, remind
7	me?
8	MS. LIGHTBOWN: Correct, yes.
9	COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: But this is
10	all parimutuel stuff also.
11	MS. LIGHTBOWN: Right. It's
12	simulcasting. It's everything.
13	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Do I have a
14	motion?
15	COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: I'll move,
16	Mr. Chairman, that we approve the
17	recommendation of the payment of
18	\$208,279.26 from the Sterling Suffolk
19	racehorse to the Commonwealth for 2015
20	unclaimed winnings otherwise known as out.
21	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Second?
22	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Second.
23	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Further
24	discussion? All in favor? Aye.

Page 41

1 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye. 2 COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Aye. 3 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: 4 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye. 5 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Opposed? The ayes 6 have it unanimously. 7 MS. LIGHTBOWN: So next up we are doing the same thing for the Wonderland 8 9 outs money. That came to 17,261.75. 10 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: So, Mr. 11 Chair, I move that we approve the payment 12 of 17,261.75 from Wonderland Greyhound Park 13 to the Commonwealth of Massachusetts for 2015 unclaimed winnings. 14 15 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Second. 16 COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: I just have a question for clarification on this. With 17 18 Wonderland no longer being an operating 19 greyhound track, is this simulcasting 20 tickets? 21 MS. LIGHTBOWN: Right, simulcasting 22 greyhound races at Suffolk Downs, which 23 they've done for quite a while. 24 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: So they have a

	Page 42
1	license from Wonderland but they operate it
2	in Suffolk Downs, correct?
3	MS. LIGHTBOWN: Right. It's
4	actually the license itself is at Suffolk
5	Downs.
6	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Second? We had a
7	second. Further discussion? All in favor?
8	Aye.
9	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye.
10	COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Aye.
11	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Aye.
12	COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye.
13	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Opposed? The ayes
14	have it unanimously.
15	MS. LIGHTBOWN: So the next item is
16	a similar one for Plainridge racecourse.
17	Their unclaimed tickets came to a total of
18	158,701.82.
19	COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Mr. Chair, I
20	move the commission approve the payment of
21	158,701.82 from Plainridge racecourse to
22	the Commonwealth of Mass. for 2015
23	unclaimed winnings.
24	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Second?

	Page 43
1	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Second.
2	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Further
3	discussion? All in favor? Aye.
4	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye.
5	COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Aye.
6	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Aye.
7	COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye.
8	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Opposed? The ayes
9	have it unanimously.
10	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: I have a
11	question, Director, this one was oh
12	wait, is this yes, for life handle or
13	parimutuel as well in Plainridge?
14	MS. LIGHTBOWN: It's for whatever
15	unclaimed tickets they have.
16	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: But they
17	have the sum of the handle is
18	significantly higher at Plainridge Park
19	compared to Suffolk Downs; is that a fair
20	statement?
21	MS. LIGHTBOWN: No, Suffolk does
22	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: That's what
23	explains the difference in unclaimed
24	proportionately?

	Page 44
1	MS. LIGHTBOWN: Yes.
2	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Next up.
3	MS. LIGHTBOWN: Okay. Next up is
4	the same thing for Raynham Park. Their
5	total came to 108,953.94.
6	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: So,
7	Mr. Chair, I move that we approve the
8	payment of 180,953.94 from Raynham Taunton
9	Massasoit Greyhound Associations to the
10	Commonwealth of Massachusetts for 2015
11	unclaimed winnings.
12	COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Second.
13	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Further
14	discussion? All in favor? Aye.
15	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye.
16	COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Aye.
17	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Aye.
18	COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye.
19	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Opposed? You know
20	what. Next up.
21	MS. LIGHTBOWN: So next up we have
22	some items from Plainridge Park getting
23	ready for the racing season. We have got
24	with me Steve O'Toole, the director of

racing. The first item is approval of their key operating and racing officials. These folks have all been with them in the past year and have been licensed with us obviously in the past. Some of them are still undergoing the background check and all that for this year. So I ask for approval but pending completion of the licensure and background checks by the state police.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Doctor, because some of these names are familiar to us, including the guy who wrote us the letter, does that help speed up the licensing process? I mean, hopefully they haven't gotten into too much trouble since September.

MS. LIGHTBOWN: Right. And, actually, this year -- this is a good opportunity to bring this up. For the first time, we have what's been an actual renewal license. So if they were licensed with us last year but it's expired, they can fill that form out instead of the

full-fledged form. So the from itself is shorter, so that will help with that.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: And,

Commissioner, certainly the state police

understand that all it is is an update from

where a previous backgrounds, so they would

just run checks within the last year, so it

is a much shorter process.

MS. LIGHTBOWN: And these people are equally familiar to the state police down there too. They know them all, so they know they have been around.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Mr. Chair, I move the commission approve the request for Plainridge Park Casino to approve the March 11, 2017 list of key operating personnel and racing officials pending satisfactory completion of licensure by the Mass. Gaming Commission racing division and satisfactory completion of the background checks by Mass. State Police.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Second.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Further discussion? All in favor? Aye.

Page 47 1 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye. 2 COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Aye. 3 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: 4 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye. 5 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Opposed? The ayes 6 haves it unanimously. 7 MS. LIGHTBOWN: The next item for the agenda is the Pentafecta that 8 9 Plainridge is going to be implementing. 10 There's a few items that are just here basically for notification on how they are 11 12 going to split their major pool and their 13 minor pool 50/50, and that they are going to use the monetary value of 20 cents for 14 15 their unique wager, so you don't need to 16 approve those. 17 One thing they are going to do, 18 though, what they are asking for is a 19 difference in the takeout, reduce it to 20 20 percent on exotic wagers. It's normally 21 26 percent, and Steve is here if you have 22 any questions. 23 MR. O'TOOLE: Thank you, 24 Commissioners. So the objective of the

3

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22 23

24

Pentafecta rollover is to grow a jackpot that's carried over for the rest of the slot machines. And in order to -- the reason for the lower takeout is to advance the jackpot progression, make it grow a little bit quicker. Lower takeouts are always a big customer favorite. customers are always asking for lower takeouts.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Will you promote this; is this something that you advertise? MR. O'TOOLE: Oh for sure, yes.

> CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Interesting.

MR. O'TOOLE: And maybe at the next commission meeting, I'll have more information but the United States Trotting Association also has a strategic wagering program where funds are available to seek pools and guarantee them at a certain level to create more interest. Pompano Park has had pretty good results with that as well as Woodbine. And a fellow USDA director that I was with a couple of weeks ago who operates cattle expo is in charge of the

strategic wagering, and it's had pretty
good success.

They've guaranteed over \$19 million in pools and only had, I think, it's \$37,000 worth of liabilities and guaranteeing \$19 million. So we're going to try to work with them to maybe boost this along as well. So we're hoping that we will be able to get a pretty good jackpot and create some excitement around that.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Steve, I did my best to understand what you wrote here in your proposal. I'm the new guy on the block, as you know. But can you just help me --

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: I didn't understand it either.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: -- with what a Pentafecta is and how that fits into that program?

MR. O'TOOLE: Sure. I think I might understand it. It actually took a few days to sift through it to figure out what we

were going to do. We actually looked at other states and what they do and how much they rollover. But a Pentafecta is picking the first five in exact order. If the way that you hit this unique way of doing a Pentafecta is that just because you pick five doesn't mean that you get the jackpot. You have to be the only person that day that picks the jackpot. So that's where the unique ticket comes in.

If there is more than one person that picks the exact five in the finishing order, then the pool on that day, 50 percent of the pool on that day gets paid out as a constellation. The other 50 percent of the pool gets rolled over. So it will keep growing --

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: To the next race, to the next Pentafecta.

MR. O'TOOLE: Until the next

Pentafecta. We're only going to offer it

one race a day. So it will rollover and,

and that will grow until one person. Now,

the reason that it asks for the monetary

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

value of the ticket, Delchen (phonetic) Park does what's called a super high-five and that you have to have a one dollar ticket even though they sell them in 10 cent increments, and you can box a lot of horses for 10 cents, and it comes up to \$12, \$24. But you have to have a one dollar ticket in order to be able to hit the jackpot.

So it has a lot of similarities to a slot machine with the lines and everything, but I don't know that much about it but I walk by them all the time and see them operating. And so it's kind of that same way. You can strike a line on the slot machine that's a huge winner. But if you didn't play the right amount of money into it, you don't hit the jackpot. kind of similar in that way.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: I am curious because this is now the second year that -we approved the Pentafecta before, correct?

MR. O'TOOLE: Well, we put the regulation in last year. We did not

utilize it. It got in late, and we were halfway through our meet, a little bit of a horse shortage. We want to have nine or ten horses.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: You want to have a field of five horses.

MR. O'TOOLE: We will all be playing, right, and still be tough to hit believe it or not. It would be a lot easier. We're going to try and have a nice full field of nine or ten horses for these races. And so we didn't take the chance at jumping at it last year by the time we got going, but we want to have it in place for our opening day this year.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Do you pick any particular kind of horses or is it like --

MR. O'TOOLE: That's a great question, and let me explain to you why because you can have the best class -- the fastest class and the best class and the most money, it wouldn't be a very competitive field. Because it might be, as Mr. Brown was explaining, sometimes there's

just some that stand out.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Same guy wins every time.

MR. O'TOOLE: Right. So claiming races are a little bit more level because trainers actually put the price tags on their horses and all decide to race against each other, so there's a little bit of a way to keep that competitive. But my secretary is very good at putting competitive races out there on the track and, you know, by nature they all can't be the most competitive races, but he will identify a class.

We always seem to have a class or two that becomes very competitive, and it will actually be a little bit difficult here because we also don't want to do a lot of rerun races because those are a little bit telling, you know. If you have the same group of horses going week after week after week, it might be a little telling with their performances.

So it will be a little bit of a work

in progress, but there is always some -seems like there's always something that
comes up. Something every week where one
class becomes very competitive whether it's
horses dropping down, horses winning their
way up and we'll try to make the best of
the most competitive race we have for that
day to be this Pentafecta.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: So the idea is to offer one Pentafecta a day?

MR. O'TOOLE: Yes.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Is that the plan?

MR. O'TOOLE: We don't go into as many outlets on Thursday and Friday as we do on Monday and Tuesday. Monday and Tuesday is pretty consistent. So we are going back and forth with the idea of maybe just Monday and Tuesday and not shutting out any outlets of the jackpot. So we're talking with our corporate simulcast director, David Cope and Chris Mackalane, about that just to make sure that we are not going to offer it on Monday and Tuesday

	Page 55
1	throw out some big jackpot and some outlets
2	don't take us on Friday because of capacity
3	or what their issues are. They don't have
4	a chance to their customers don't have a
5	chance to bet into the pools.
6	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: The idea is
7	you will increase some of the simulcast
8	money with all these moves, right, the
9	lower takeout number and more steady wages.
10	MR. O'TOOLE: The simulcast as well,
11	and this is our live product for our
12	patrons and at the track as well.
13	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Right.
14	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Great. Motion?
15	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: So,
16	Mr. Chair, I move that we approve the
17	request of Plainridge Park to reduce the
18	takeout of the 20 percent on the Pentafecta
19	wagers.
20	COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Second.
21	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Further
22	discussion? All in favor? Aye.
23	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye.
24	COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Aye.

1 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Aye. 2 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye. 3 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Opposed? The ayes 4 have it unanimously. 5 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Well, while 6 we have you here, Mr. O'Toole, could you 7 give us a just a quick -- I know you are 8 preparing for the racing season. There are 9 more racing days this year. Does that 10 create some additional challenges? Also, I know you have a big racing day, one of the 11 12 biggest in the country, right, this year, 13 so could you just give us a quick update? MR. BEDROSIAN: And there's still 14 15 snow on the ground. COMMISSIONER CAMERON: I know. 16 17 Those are the challenges I'm talking about. MR. O'TOOLE: Well, Alex asked me 18 19 maybe at the next meeting to give you a 20 more formal update. 21 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Okay, good. 22 MR. O'TOOLE: But this is a pretty 23 good event. It's a quarter of a million 24 dollar race for open trotters, the best

_ 4

really nice hole in the national schedule for this class. It's one week before the Hamiltonian Day at the Meadowlands, which fits perfect for the preppers for the memorial, which is held that day for open trotters across the country and we anticipate that we'll have a nice field, the best trotters that are racing at that time.

The Hamiltonian Society is servicing the race for us, so they are putting out the nominations and checking all the fees and sending the nominations back to us. So they advertise it, and they have all the access to all those stake horses, because most of those go through the Hamiltonian Society.

But, also, this is going to be considered a grand circuit race, which grand circuit races are held throughout the country at all different tracks. They acquire points, grand circuit points for their categories. So we are happy to be

1 back in the grand circuit, which has been 2 probably 20, 25 years missing in 3 Massachusetts. CHAIRMAN CROSBY: 4 So at the end of 5 the year some horse has won the grand 6 circuit is like automobile racing? 7 MR. O'TOOLE: Yes, for their class, for their respective class. 8 9 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: What is the date 10 of that race? 11 MR. O'TOOLE: That's July 28th. 12 It's a Friday. 13 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Congratulations. That's really a tribute 14 15 to how far the track has come. 16 MR. O'TOOLE: And we're really happy that we found that hole in the schedule, 17 18 because it's very difficult to get into the 19 schedule. We were looking for any 20 opportunity -- there's two horses that 21 raced around the country last year with one 22 of them had a very catchy name, Wiggle It 23 Jiggleit and the other one was Always B

Miki.

24

Always B Miki wound up being the horse of the year, and he set a new world record of 146 to cover a mile, which is outstanding. And they created a lot of excitement throughout the country through this type of races. They were open pacers, and the open pacers were pretty much their dance card was full pretty much throughout the year. So we were looking for an opportunity for that class.

Wiggle It Jiggleit is coming back to the race this year as well as a couple of others who competed, so they are going to have a pretty good following. But we're still excited about the trot class.

Because, actually, last year's trotter of the year for nationwide was a horse called Obrigado and Obrigado was a Maine Bred, so he is a New England bred horse owned by Paul Kelly, David Kelly who was the producer of "Picket Fences" and "Boston Legal," those shows.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Oh sure.

MR. O'TOOLE: They are actually

local -- actually a local connections.

They are from Walpole originally. And so I got to see him at the Dan Patch awards, and he is definitely game for this race this year. So it will have a local flavor to it even though it's a national event if the horse races, you know, races as well as he did last year. So I look forward to giving you a more formal presentation.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Yes, that will be great.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Thank you. I didn't realize you were going to do that, but I knew this was really behooved to get this.

MR. O'TOOLE: We're happy. We want to add a few more supporting events, and we're also going to do some type of, for our local horses that race every week, some type of an earning type series that lead up to that day and that they will be racing on that day as well.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Thank you

very much.

MS. LIGHTBOWN: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Next up, General Counsel Blue.

MS. BLUE: Good morning,

Commissioners. First on our list today is
the election of officers for the
commission. Every year the commission by
statute is required to elect a secretary
and a treasurer, and March is the time that
we traditionally do that. So as you've
done in the past, you would nominate a
person or persons, Commissioners, for each
position and then vote on them and they
will hold that office for the upcoming
year.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: I actually forgot we were doing this. I should have done a little advance work, but I think probably we repeat where we're at.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: We have some willing volunteers I suspect.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Right. We've had a long serving treasurer and a new but now

experienced secretary both doing outstanding work. So, I guess, my recommendation would be that we suggest that we retain our two officers as before.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Second.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: I agree.

Both doing great work and I thank you because it is extra time to do the work that you do, both of you, so thank you for volunteering.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: And thank you to Treasurer Goldberg for reappointing Commissioner Zuniga who is the one of us that really understands all the arithmetic of this business.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: So, I think, the

two of you probably will abstain. Do I

have a motion?

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: I move, hopefully by acclimation, that we can support the nomination of Commissioner Zuniga as our treasurer and Commissioner Macdonald as our secretary for one year.

	Page 63
1	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Second.
2	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Any discussion,
3	campaign speeches?
4	COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Questions
5	and issues?
6	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: All in favor?
7	Aye.
8	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye.
9	COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye.
10	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Commissioner
11	Cameron, Chairman Crosby and Commissioner
12	Stebbins vote yay.
13	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Thank you.
14	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: And have
15	re-elected our two officers.
16	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Thank you
17	both.
18	COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: I'm
19	humbled.
20	MS. BLUE: Next on our list we have
21	Attorney Torrisi and her colleagues, Bruce
22	and Burt from the IEB to talk with you
23	about bringing the uniformed standard rules
24	of the game regulation into the formal

promulgation process.

2

MS. TORRISI: Good morning, Mr.

3

Chair and Commissioners.

4

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Morning.

5

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Morning.

6

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Morning.

7

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Morning.

8

MS. TORRISI: So last month

9

Assistant Director Band, Senior Supervisor

10

Gaming Agent Cain and I presented you with

11

the draft rules of the game regulations,

12

205 CMR 147 and following the meeting we

13

put those regulations out for informal

14

comment. We did receive comments from

15

Scientific Games and MGM, both of which you $\,$

16

have in your packet and Assistant Director

17

Band is going to run through those comments

18

19

with you.

MR. BAND: MGM's first comment

20

involved their ability to switch and

21

implement changes to the rules of the game

22

or for the tables as they go. We required

23

a half hour notice and also the

24

notification of the IEB. They wanted to

eliminate that part. The IEB feels that it's important that we retain that. For any investigation that we might have to do on a table game, it's important that we know what rules are in effect as an incident occurred or happened and this is no delay to the casino at all to notify us, because we have staff there 24/7.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: What's done elsewhere; what's the standard practice?

MR. BAND: In Pennsylvania and Maryland, they give notice to the proper authorities there, New Jersey does.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Advanced so there's a delay if there is a lag time?

MR. BAND: In order to really do it, you're talking maybe a half hour process to implement a new rule. I would question the ability for them to be able to do that any faster. You know, it could be as easy as picking up a phone in the pit and calling one of our agents and saying, "Hey, we are going to be offering this wager starting at such and such."

1 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: That all 2 sounds to me very appropriate. I fail to 3 get the business case for that MGM states 4 here for not even that half an hour notice. 5 Why would they want to change all of a 6 sudden without even alerting us? 7 MR. BAND: I can't really answer that for you. 8 9 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Okay, fair 10 enough. 11 MR. BAND: The second thing was on 12 147 045, and that's to relocate the signage 13 that was going to be outside the casino to 14 near the table. We agree with that. 15 is no problem with that. The third thing, which is 147 071, and that was the spread 16 17 on the minimum/maximum wagers. We agreed 18 with this comment as well and have no 19 problem with it. 20 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: So, Bruce, 21 really quickly the second change, is that reflected in the current version that we 22 23 have?

MR. BAND: I don't believe it is.

24

MS. TORRISI: No, it's not.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: So whatever that is, number 3 under field trials, we'll change that to posted by the game.

MR. BAND: Yes. Then Scientific

Games, they wanted to add a line into the
thing that it said to approve or deny with
reasonable cause, they wanted that in
there. I don't see us ever denying it
without some reasonable cause. I have no
problem with adding that into the wording
in the regulation. If we were going to
reject it, we would have to have a reason I
assume.

The second part is 147 04, this I would recommend that we deny in the sense that the modifications and things that they suggest in here -- well, I just don't think are reasonable in a test environment.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: I'm sorry, in what kind of environment?

MR. BAND: Test, game test.

MS. TORRISI: Also, we had added the public comment period largely because we

were moving the rules of the game from the regulation -- the formal promulgation process. So by doing that, we were eliminating the public comment period. So we had put that in so the public would still have an opportunity to comment for transparency sake.

MR. BAND: I don't see where we would want to deny the public for some reason to comment if they wanted to.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: And they claim here that if we allow public comment during the trial period, it could be essentially confusing; is that --

MR. BAND: I think you do just by the nature of the test we accept comments from people that write us letters or notes saying, "Hey, this game really isn't fair to the public." I mean, that is part of the testing to see what kind of a feedback we get from the patrons.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: But that is not a formal public comment is what you're saying.

2

3

MR. BAND: No. I think it is a public comment when you put something in writing.

4

5

6

7

8

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: No, no. I mean, but it's not announced. When we do public comments, we put it out there. So what you're saying is this adds kind of a formal public comment period as well as whatever remarks come in during testing.

9

MR. BAND: Yes.

10

11

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Other questions? What about the general point? You didn't speak to that one at the top there.

1213

MR. BAND: At the top?

1415

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Yes.

16

17

18

that we would want to get all the materials. It doesn't mean that using somebody else's information wouldn't speed up the process, but we would need that

MR. BAND: We had recommended before

19 20

information submitted to us as well. We

2122

would look at how that game does in the

approved, but the final decision on whether

23

other jurisdictions that are already

24

Page 70 1 that game is used in our jurisdiction would 2 be Massachusetts. 3 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Are there 4 examples of in your experience? I know 5 there is a great deal of experience, and I 6 see you have your four most experienced 7 gaming agents with us today as well. MR. BAND: I was going to introduce 8 9 them during the next -- yes, Poker would be 10 a good example that's used in almost every 11 jurisdiction. 12 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: And we've decided 13 not to? MR. BAND: No, we have. 14 15 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: T was 16 asking -- I was going to ask is there an 17 example of a game that we may not choose to 18 have that someone else may have? 19 MR. BAND: Well, I'm sure there's some in Nevada that --20 21 MR. CAIN: Some of the games that 22 have been accepted in other jurisdictions 23 by trial and error, the licensees know that

might not be a popular game here, so

24

probably won't even be brought up here.

MR. BAND: Croquette would be an example of one. It was adopted in New Jersey, and nobody ever played it ever.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: But that's not -what Commissioner Cameron is asking is:
Are there ones approved elsewhere that we
might not approve?

MR. BAND: I don't think we would approve that, because nobody played the game at all. We didn't put it in our packet.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: So part of our vetting is to see how it does elsewhere.

MR. BAND: Yes, I think we would look at that. I'm sure that the licensees would be looking at the same thing before they wanted to offer it.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: My understanding of this is that the process is not just automatic. That we would look at everything else. We would look our due diligence like we always intend to. But,

importantly, we'll take data points from other jurisdictions.

MR. BAND: During the information process.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: It's just not going to happen automatically. Just because Nevada approves it, it's approved in Massachusetts.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Correct, but you will use that information so that you are not --

MR. BAND: Absolutely.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: You are not reinventing the wheel. There's some body of work out there that's useful to us.

MS. TORRISI: So the next step with these regulations is to move them forward in the formal promulgation process. In your packet, you have a draft small business impact statement and you have a resolution in front of you as well if you're prepared to vote on that today.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: I had a couple of questions about the reg. if nobody else

	Page 7
1	does. On page three of the reg., Section 7
2	review, this says in the middle it says,
3	"similarity to other authorized games or
4	other games of chance." Why do we say "of
5	chance"? Aren't there likely to be games
6	particularly in the future that are still
7	skill-based or at least partially
8	skill-based; what's the relevance of having
9	the words "of chance" there?
10	MR. BAND: I think skill-base is
11	really more in the slot venue, at least
12	what I have seen so far.
13	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Yes, you're right,
14	okay. But we also so do you consider
15	poker a game of chance?
16	MR. BAND: You have to get the right
17	cards.
18	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: It's both.
19	It's always a mix.
20	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: So the words "of
21	chance" doesn't it's really unnecessary
22	because you're saying
23	MR. BAND: I think I agree with
24	that. It's just a term that's commonly

used.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: You're not meaning to limit it in any way by the use of those words, okay. I don't know whether there's -- I don't know whether it's -- I guess if it's sort of a term of art, yes, okay.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Well, in this context this applies -- this is meant to apply to every game, right, that we're looking at it here, so even a qualifier or a skill or a chance would apply.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: I'm sorry?

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: So this section is meant to apply to a new game, right, and this sentence is meant to be broad, so that any other authorized games or other games of chance, meaning we could write it as broad as possible or a skill or a chance or a combination of both but it's meant to apply to all the games.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Are there games that are considered -- are there games that are not considered games of chance?

MR. CAIN: Perhaps this wording is developed so that in the future there is a game similar to dice, which is a total game of chance. Maybe there is another one coming along as incorporating all of them.

I'm not sure of the language here.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Okay. We don't see anybody nodding. Are there any games out there that aren't considered games of chance, gentlemen?

 $$\operatorname{MR}.\ LINDROOS\colon$$ Not that I'm aware of, no.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: So what I am saying is irrelevant basically.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: I always think of games as a combination some more chance than others. But once you have chance in the game, there's a chance. It requires skill to play it. You have to know how to play it. But with a little bit of chance, it's a game of chance.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: It's fine. I'm dropping the point. I've got it. Anybody else other ones? I had -- I was just

curious on the last page five, number four,
"gaming licensee may in its discretion
permit a player to wager below the
established minimum or above the
established maximum."

So does that mean you're in the middle of a game and somebody says or you're in the middle of a table that has been playing along and somebody says I want to come in below the minimum, the dealer can say yes and that just goes along?

MR. BAND: It would usually be approved by the shift boss or shift manager, and it would probably be used exclusively for a high-roller or a real well-known player.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: What would it be -- would there be an obligation to post that somehow or other? It seems to me you'd want to.

MR. BAND: Usually on their mid/max signs, it has that statement that management can allow somebody to bet below the minimum or above the maximum, a new

player.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: So is it standard operating procedure that a game -- that this clause will be utilized that you'd be permitted to go above, permitted to go below fairly flexibly and without any big deal you might just do it in the middle of a game and the other players hardly even know?

MR. BAND: For certain players, yes.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: And there is no need -- there is no notice need. We shouldn't be requiring somebody to --

MR. BAND: Because it doesn't apply to the whole table that they allow that.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Right, right. It doesn't affect, I guess, anybody other -MR. BAND: Than the one person.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Could they do it back and forth; like now you can exceed the max, now you can, you know --

MR. BAND: It would usually be agreed on like when they come in or that he's allowed to place any wager above the

And, Bruce,

table max.

Eric?

MR. BAND: Yes.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: He says it's usually posted on the signs. So, I guess -- were you trying to say something,

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD:

this flexibility at the tables?

is this customary in the industry to have

MR. CANTELL: An example is if somebody has a table max that he could play on any given blackjack game and say he's playing in a private table but then he decides to leave that private table and go to another active game, they would give him his max on that active game, and that's basically what it comes down to.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: I also thought of when let's say over the weekends the casinos raise the minimum because it's busier or whatever but they let the player who is there before they raise to continue at that minimum. Is that also operating here?

2

3

MR. CANTELL: It's considered like grandfathering somebody into the game, but it's only for a period of time.

4

MR. BAND: Yes, usually a half hour. You don't see them betting below the minimum that often.

6

5

7 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: And this one -this may be a useless exercise. But on

8

9

page four at the bottom, item one, this one

10

about the \$100 that was referred to, what's

11

the point of this? I don't quite

12

understand. What's the logic here; what's going on?

13 14

MR. BAND: Oh, with the mid/max?

15

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Yes.

16

MR. BAND: It's giving for somebody

17 18 who doesn't have a table that's a 5-dollar

19

minimum and a 10-dollar max. It gives the

20

players a chance to regroup some of their

21

funds on other wagers, but competition will more dictate that in this jurisdiction.

22

we're really not as concerned with

23

regulating that aspect.

24

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: But this says, "If

you have a required minimum wager of \$100 or less which has corresponding payout odds of five to one or less shall be required to have a maximum wager which is at least 10 times the amount of " -- I don't even follow that. I don't even know what that says.

MR. BAND: Like, if you had a 5-dollar table, it would have to be 10 times. That would be the max, maximum wager. That kind spread at least. 10-dollar table would have to be \$1,000 max.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: But this is only on certain games. It doesn't apply to every game.

MR. BAND: Correct.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: It applies to the minimum/maximum type games.

MR. BAND: Yes. But I still say, like, that was part of MGM's comment that I would leave that up to the licensee more because competitively they are going to have to give the fairest thing to the public; otherwise, nobody will come there

1 to gamble. There's too many casinos in the 2 area. 3 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: What are 4 examples of a minimum/maximum bet? 5 MR. BAND: Blackjack. 6 MR. CAIN: I was just going to defer 7 to Eric and Sterl, these guys back here. Is that similar to the Roulette bet for the 8 9 minium? Might be higher but inside you are 10 only allowed to bet a lesser amount. Am I 11 saying that correctly? 12 MR. CANTELL: Yes. In Roulette you 13 have an outside wager on the even chances that a certain max and then on a dozen bet 14 15 or a common bet, which is two to one, there 16 is another max there. So say the outside was 5,000, the common bet is 2,500. That's 17 18 your max you can bet. On the numbers, they 19 might match you out at \$100 per bet on any 20 given bet on the inside, okay, and that is 21 the --22

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: And the number is the minimum.

> MR. CANTELL: Yes.

23

24

MR. CAIN: Because of the payout being 35 to one, they didn't want to make you bet 500 on that because now we are into math they are not comfortable with. So they tiered the different bets based on the payout odds in the game.

MR. CANTELL: And like Bruce said about competition, there is going to be competition on odds betting for crap tables. Some of them might offer three, four, five. Some of them might offer five times bet. Some of them might offer ten times the odds. So that's what he is leaning towards as far as competition goes for certain maximums.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Will we ever see 100 times?

MR. CANTELL: You might see Steve Wynn offer it to somebody I'm sure. They offered it a couple places. I would think their standard would be 10 times would be your biggest on the Craps table.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Anybody else questions? So this is a vote to put this

1 into the formal process to send it to the 2 secretary of state? 3 MS. BLUE: Yes. This is a vote to 4 start the process, so we will be putting it out for public comment. We'll schedule the 5 6 public hearing. 7 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: So this was an informal public comment period. 8 9 MS. BLUE: Yes, that's right, what 10 we have done so far. 11 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Mr. Chair, I 12 move the commission approve the small 13 business impact statement for 205 CMR 147 as included in the packet and authorize the 14 15 staff to take all the steps necessary to 16 begin the regulation promulgation process. COMMISSIONER CAMERON: 17 Second. 18 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Further discussion? 19 20 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Just a quick 21 question, Carrie, when we say "authorized 22 staff to take all steps," you're going to 23 include that change in the trial period? 24 MS. TORRISI: Yes.

	Page 84
1	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Further
2	discussion? All in favor? Aye.
3	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye.
4	COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Aye.
5	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Aye.
6	COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye.
7	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Opposed? The ayes
8	have it unanimously.
9	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: That was only
10	the small business impact statement? We
11	don't need
12	MS. BLUE: No, it's to take all
13	steps, so it includes the regulations as
14	well, yes.
15	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Thank you.
16	MS. TORRISI: So next up is the
17	second set of game rules. So for that,
18	I'll turn it over to Mr. Band and Mr. Cain.
19	MR. BAND: I'd like to start by
20	introducing the supervising staff, the
21	gaming agents, who were all very involved
22	in the creation of these rules of the game.
23	It's Eric Cantell, Angela Smith, Jay
24	Lindroos and Sterl Carpenter. And if you

	Page 85
1	have any serious questions about the games,
2	they will answer them.
3	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: So are we on item
4	number 6? We've gone to the IEB, so we're
5	not doing Director Wells. We're just going
6	directly
7	MS. WELLS: I have nothing.
8	MR. BAND: At today's meeting, this
9	is, I think, like the third phase of games
10	that we've submitted. It's the next 13
11	games on the list, which include
12	mini-baccarat, Sic Bo, Pai Gow, Pai Gow
13	poker, baccarat-Chemin de Fer, poker,
14	Caribbean stud poker, double down stud, Let
15	It Ride poker, Spanish 21, three-card
16	poker, fast action hold 'em and Colorado
17	hold 'em poker, which
18	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Is Pai Gow the
19	tiles?
20	MR. BAND: The Pai Gow tiles, the
21	Pai Gow poker.
22	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Can we hear about
23	that some more?
24	MR. BAND: Yes. Eric?

1 MR. CANTELL: I'll set your hands
2 for you.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Commissioner Zuniga has offered to teach it.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: I only know the supreme payer.

MR. BAND: That works and Chemin de Fer means railway in French. I did look that up. Are there any questions on these games?

at how complicated some of them are, I think that we are very, very fortunate to have this experienced staff willing to come here to Massachusetts. I think that's a tremendous addition to our team. Having had lessons and also observed their work product down at Plainridge, I'm just thanking you all for leaving your states, coming here and this really demonstrates the need for your expertise looking at some of the games and how complicated they really are.

MR. BAND: Thank you, Commissioner.

We would certainly be lost without them.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Yes. I mean, y

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Yes. I mean, you must be bored to tears. And now that we have seen you at the tables teaching us and all the stuff you guys know about the table games, you must be bored to tears at Plainridge dying to get these other places open.

MR. BAND: They're anxious. Let's put it that way.

MR. CAIN: Looking forward to the next step, absolutely.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: But I agree with Commissioner Cameron. It's a really good point. We're really lucky to have all six of you, you too, Cecelia, but this would be impossible for us obviously without having people like you who really know what you're doing.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: And not only their expertise but their willingness to teach our less experienced gaming agents.

I've observed that also. That doesn't always happen and that's very, very helpful

as well.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Let me ask you this. I can't add anything. I can't read these rules and say, hey, forget such and such. I mean, it's ridiculous. But what is the process that you -- where -- did you pick up somebody else's rules pretty much whole cloth and then review them or what's the process that you all are going through?

MR. BAND: We actually pick and choose from numerous jurisdictions, from Maryland and Pennsylvania, New Jersey, some of the Midwest with variations of the games that they had. And all these people did was the research on it and even spoke to people that they knew that offered those games for certain things to make sure that, you know, you want to add in Jay and Angela from the surveillance end, things that they have seen with these games and different protections that they felt we needed in our regs. to stop some of these things from happening.

MR. CAIN: They all came forward

yourseli.

during this process once we have been reviewing all these regs. for different jurisdictions and getting their input and they have all come forward with great, great points and many of which have been adopted into our regulations.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Can you give an example of something that we might not have picked up, something that has changed?

MR. CAIN: The shuffle, if you're going to do a manual shuffle, we put in the five things that are required. Sterl, do you remember the turn and racing, what else are there?

MR. CARPENTER: You have the strip.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Speak up, Sterl.

 ${\tt MR.}$ CAIN: So that helped protect.

MR. BAND: Yes, but that was the big one. And, also, we even had input from Sal who was telling us if you're worried about people that are reading the cards, these are things that you want to do to protect yourself.

MR. CARPENTER: An example that you

might be wondering for our regulation where we took regulations from several jurisdictions and looked over them in Pai Gow poker, and we weighed what we wanted and actually had the licensee ask us about maybe bonuses and whatnot. So we had to adapt to the regulations to make sure that we were both comfortable with what they wanted and both the rules were applied to what we wanted and to follow as well, so we kind of like developed our own by a combination.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Great.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: So they had input with the licensees on these rules?

MR. BAND: We got a list of requested wagers that they wanted us to include. To be honest with you, we're still working on that. I'm working with Jay on organizing that so we can get all the wagers that they requested into the regs.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: But those -- on that note, those changes to wagers or

the stipulation of different wagers will not be a part of the regulation because we don't have those things in regulation, have them in the rules of the game.

MR. BAND: For the rules, yes, that is where they will be added into.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: So we would not need the formal promulgation process.

MR. BAND: No. So, therefore, it would be faster. If some new wager comes out, it will be something that we would be able to adopt.

MR. BEDROSIAN: And, Commissioner, that's a good point. Just to remind the Commission, you've made a decision that these are rules that they would be promulgated pursuant to an overarching regulation that basically says, for the rules of the game, go to the website and see those with the underlying theory that, as we just said, we want to be as flexible as we can.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Right.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: And the

process from here, will these be submitted to the licensees for their further comment?

MR. BAND: Yes. This will be put out for further comment as of today. I assume you will have them on the website. And then at the meeting of the 30th, we'll complete the list of games that we are going to be offering and have the comments come in, the licensees and the public.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Okay. So we do ask for public because, Elaine, there is probably some players out there, maybe people that would be interested in just folks who play to read these and make some suggestions. We should make sure that we have a public announcement that these rules are out there for public comment.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: I was also just curious on the highlighted sections in the packet, they're usually referred to our own regulations. Was that the intention just to --

MR. BAND: They are highlighted just so they can be updated correctly as we

1 adopt like the gaming equipment. 2 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Right. 3 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Are there 4 anything in the rules of the gaming, 5 especially when it comes to wagering, that 6 impacts the next section which is talking 7 about the table game regs.? I mean, talking about the equipment regs., 8 9 different things you need on the board. 10 MR. BAND: It is in the sense 11 because the wagers are usually spelled out 12 on the layouts, so they are tied together 13 that way and go hand in hand. 14 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Great. So, I 15 guess, this does not say vote. 16 MR. BAND: It's just light reading. 17 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Right. 18 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: So we're on 19 the third batch; is this the third batch? 20 MR. BAND: We have one more coming 21 that's the remainder of the games, and that 22 will be at the meeting of March 30th. 23 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: This is the second 24 batch.

MR. BAND: Well, I think we did like a five and a five. And when we discussed it, we thought we will be going to May if we get all these things, so we thought we'd just do it in batches. That way with your ongoing training, it will all kind of blend in together.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: I said Cecelia, sorry. It just hit me.

MS. TORRISI: That's okay. So the last item that we have on the agenda is the draft gaming equipment regulations, 205 CMR 146, which you have in your packet. Just a reminder as to the process, this is the first time that you are seeing these. So if you approve of them today, then we can put them out for informal comment just as we did with the rules and regulations. Following an informal comment period, we can begin the formal process. As far as the content of the regulations goes, Mr. Band is going to address that.

MR. BEDROSIAN: Before they start, let me just preface it with one comment,

which is, structurally as it exists now, this is different than the rules of the game which there was a decision made to do an overarching regulation and then publish the rules.

The way this is structured, the table game equipment regulations are promulgated just as regulations. I would suggest that we ask our licensees whether they have a preference and can articulate a reason why. I can make an argument that, geez, we should be consistent with the way we promulgated our rules of the game. I can understand, though, that rules of the game and table game equipment, which is a much more fixed component, you might not need the same type of flexibility in equipment.

So having said that, I think we should ask our licensees and get the feedback and we can revisit that issue with you. They're good arguments on both sides. But whether it's in this form as promulgated regs. or as a regulation with

rules, this is the substance of what we are suggesting.

3 4

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Okay, good. glad you brought that up, because I was going to make the same point.

5 6

7

8

9

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: I think that's a good ask we're asking for formal comment on this, which is the state that we are in. I wonder, though, it occurs to me that changes to the rules, especially different wagers and things like that would happen a lot more often than say changes to

10 11

12

13

MR. BAND: Yes.

specifications of gaming equipment.

14

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Which may lead us in the current direction; is that a fair

15

16

17

statement?

18

19

It might be we needed to MR. BAND: change it if they add certain wagers, you'd have to change the layout to add a spot to that wager to be made, so that would probably be the main way that would affect gaming equipment.

20 21

23

22

24

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: When you say

It would have a new

1

make a spot, does that mean --

2

MR. BAND: Like a betting circle.

3

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: So the entire

4

surface would be resurfaced with --

MR. BAND: Yes.

5

one with a spot or a dragon wager or

6 7

something like that.

0

8 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: And we could

9

be in a position where we either have to

10

approve -- consider an exception to the

11

MR. BAND: Yes.

equipment regulations.

1213

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Or approve

14

something by emergency or amended or

15

what not.

16

MR. BAND: Yes. These regulations

1718

address everything from how the chips are

. .

approved, how gaming equipment is handled

19

and the security of it, what the

20

inspections of the equipment are prior to

21

22

them being used, how you destroy the

23

equipment so you can control the inventory,

24

how they keep track of the number of cards they have on site, how many they put out on

1 the floor, how many they pick up at the end 2 of the night. It's a very detailed 3 process, but that's all part of game 4 security. You want to keep control of your 5 cards or dice or your tiles and all that 6 equipment. 7 MR. CAIN: Much of this process has not been updated in many years, so it's 8 9 kind of standard for a long time. 10 COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Would you 11 say that again, Burke? 12 MR. CAIN: Most of the process that 13 Bruce was just talking about is pretty much standard, and we haven't had to update 14 15 regulations pertaining to them often at all 16 in 15, 20, 25 years. 17 MR. BAND: For the games. 18 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: For the 19 equipment. 20 MR. CAIN: Yes, for equipment, 21 security, parts of that. 22 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: So, for 23 example, I know that the shoes on dealing 24 the cards did it used to have a little --

the sort of briquette edges of the hair, if
you will, where the card comes out.

MR. BAND: The Harrigan access plate.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: How is it called?

MR. BAND: Harrigan access plate.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Excellent.

Would that have been a change -- would that have required a change in the gaming regulations?

MR. BAND: It would have been a change to the rules and was in New Jersey as well, but we did a test with it. The original one actually had a little door that you would push up and pull it out but it would go click, click, click, click, and it drove everybody crazy, so that went out the door. This guy with the last name of Harrigan came up with this. The original one was made out of paint brush hairs that he put together himself. He was a blackjack dealer.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Was he able to

1 patent that?

MR. BAND: Yes. There was one casino that was trying to steal the patent from him, but he ended up getting the patent and fired from that casino.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: He got fired from the casino?

MR. BAND: Yes. Because he patented it, and they wanted to patent it and two days later he was working for another property.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Bruce, I have a question because we've talked about this. We've gone through your demonstration of games, you know. I think at one point you talked on the Roulette table of how much distance from the edge of the table to the betting areas and it's more visible for people to, you know, be caught capping the bet or what have you.

MR. BAND: Yes.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Without saying, okay, we need to have four feet or whatever, are we just -- is there some

obligation that falls to our licensee to say, listen, instead of betting extravagant amounts of money on a redesign table, we're going to go with the risk of what the kind of basic table is and understand that people agree with that.

MR. BAND: We have an inspection manual that we utilize when we do everyday things like camera coverage and things for everybody is doing consistently and we have a minimum distance from the betting circle to the rail, you know. If you get it too close, people are going to cap bets or hold their bets, so you have to have some distance for what the design is.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: But will an equipment manufacturer really have all those variations of the table with different betting distances?

MR. BAND: They will do schematic layouts with the licensees prior so they get an opportunity to look at what the distance is and everything, and hopefully would share that with us at the time same

to see if it's something that we would approve. I think in the 30 some odd years, I've only denied one and that was like it was 4-inches, which was ridiculous, you know, for betting circles.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: I just had a quick question because you've shown me all the promotional non-gaming chips you've collected over the years. Do you have an item which says, you know, chip needs to bare the name of the game and the licensee issuing them with language on both faces saying they have no redeemable value; do you often find they will put a five on the chip even though it has no redeemable value or why aren't we just saying you don't place any value on the chip?

MR. BAND: Are you reading from the non-value chips?

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Yes, the bottom of three, top of four. Instead of having it say no redeemable value, just don't put any value.

MR. BAND: Yes, they are the

non-value chips. The only value that they have is whatever you buy in for. So you wouldn't put a five because sometimes it could be 100. Sometimes it could be, you know, whatever that person wanted.

MR. CANTELL: Non-value Roulette, right?

MR. BAND: Yes.

MR. CANTELL: Non-value Roulette chips will be basically just on Roulette tables or it could be poker tournament chips that all have no redeemable value.

MR. CAIN: Most of the promotional chips, though, the drive for five New England Patriot chip that could come out would be a \$5 chip or the Ms. America chip was a \$5 chip, and they became collectibles. The Willie Maze had four different catches and batting stances in Atlantic City, and they were collectibles.

MR. BAND: The average outstanding inventory is about \$400,000 in casino chips that don't come back.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Say that one

	Page 104
1	more time, Bruce? I'm sorry.
2	MR. BAND: The average is about
3	400,000 in outstanding inventory that the
4	casinos get to collect interest on on chips
5	that will never be redeemed, because they
6	are collectables.
7	MR. CANTELL: Commemorative chips.
8	People buy them and take them home. They
9	just want them. The cheaper it is to make
10	them
11	MR. CAIN: There's been 25 \$100
12	collectables, right?
13	MR. CANTELL: Most of them were \$5
14	value.
15	MR. CAIN: There were \$25
16	collectibles too.
17	MR. BAND: 85 cents to a dollar per
18	chip. You are making four bucks on this.
19	COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: So we're
20	going to have a new chip fixed on six.
21	MR. BAND: Yes. We'll have a Bruce
22	Stebbins chip eventually.
23	COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: No, no, no,
24	no redeemable value.

	Page 105
1	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Are we going to
2	pass a reg. on Burke's 25-foot rule for Pai
3	Gow?
4	MR. BAND: We might sneak that in.
5	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Okay. So we're
6	ready to go on this.
7	MR. BEDROSIAN: We don't need a
8	vote.
9	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: No action to be
10	taken. Is that it?
11	MR. BAND: Yes, thank you.
12	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Thank you all
13	for coming in.
14	COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Thank you
15	very much.
16	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Last item is
17	number seven, Commissioner updates on our
18	various projects that we can't talk about
19	to one another without a public meeting.
20	Anybody have things? I've got were you
21	about to say something?
22	COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Yes. I have
23	one quick regarding our efforts on the
24	report on the Gaming Economic development

3

Fund. Actually had a good conversation with Bob DeSalvio who, prior to coming to Wynn, was at Saint Bethlehem. Kind of an economically challenged spot in the northeast. Got some feedback from him on his experience, and we have our last meeting set up with the appropriate senate chair I'd say within the next two weeks.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Great. To get him to sign-off on the project.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Yes.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Great. Anybody else? I've got a few. I was contacted by Pat Jehlen, Senator Pat Jehlen, who is the senate chair -- no, is coach of the chair, I guess, of the committee. The senate has put together to rethink the marijuana law and she asked if, first of all, just on the phone whether I had thoughts about things we've learned that might be relevant for them as they are rethinking the marijuana law.

And I did talk about a few things like the research component, the dedicated

fundings for moneys for responsible gaming, local control issues, mitigation moneys.

They are not exactly the same by a lot, but they are similar. And she has asked me to testify, I think, next week at the

But I'm very -- any other

Commissioners that has any other thoughts

about anything that we might contribute

from our experience or staff for that

matter, you know, I'd love to have those

thoughts. The second --

committee hearing.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Mr.

Chairman, can you describe a little bit

more detail what she's looking for from us

on this or from you?

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Well, from us specifically she is just looking for any experience that we've had in either taking our law, you know, in getting a law and then turning it into an industry and into a business and into an agency and do we have any experience that we've had that might be helpful as they are rethinking.

They are not going to dramatically change the essential fact, which is legalizing marijuana. But they may think up a slightly different regulatory environment. They may amend the law in various ways, so any --

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Kind of structure for the regulatory agency to oversee it.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Yes, for example.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Mr. Chair, I think we could lend some expertise just best practices with investigations. I know they, with the early rollout of medical, there were some issues around investigations. So, I think, we really have a lot of expertise and really some best practices that we could talk about, and I'm sure Director Wells would be happy to assist you with that portion of it.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Well, that would be great. And, you know, it's different obviously from the nature of the background investigations and the suitability

2

4

5

6

7

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

investigations that we do for casino people. But the question is: What's a reasonable level, you know, how would you extrapolate from what we do? So if you had any thoughts on that or your team had any thoughts on that, I'd love that. That would be really interesting. Good thought, thanks.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: But you alluded to this, and I have actually heard from Speaker DeLeo recently in a -- at a breakfast that speak to this again very briefly, I think, is a critical component. The Public Health Trust Fund that was set up here in the research, especially the before and after the baseline and the look back, I think, are really referred and corroborated on the conferences that we go that is quite unique in the gaming industry. What we are doing is going to be really powerful for our purposes. concept is clearly a good parallel that the state could benefit from and of course the open meeting --

Jehlen that if the commission that runs the marijuana law is going to be a full-time commission that at least they might want to address some of the issues in some general, very thoughtful, careful way to not inhibit those full-time commissioners as seriously we were inhibited in sort of the administrative side of something. She heard me, but also that's a very sensitive area for obvious reasons. But, nevertheless, it is something I agree that we can contribute.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Is there anything in kind of our licensing process experience in terms of facilities, location, local input, local hearings that we conducted and things like is that included in the bill or are they still walking through that process?

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: No, I think it's pretty basic. So when I talked about investigations, I was actually combining licensing as well, you know, licensing

management system, our system which, you know, is really starting to work very, very well would be another area in which they may want to take a look at what we're doing so they can really have the ability to keep track of all their licensees and --

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Maybe adapt our system possibly.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Well, that would be something they should come in and take if -- when and if the new commission is up -- when, not if -- when it's up and running, that would be I think something we could assist that new commission with.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: I was referring more to our licensing process in terms of site selection.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Oh, the big licenses.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Yes, the big licenses, site selection, local, you know, approval, you know, the public hearing process that we went through.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: There is something

Page 112 1 in the bill about that now. And because I 2 have -- some communities have already said 3 that wasn't medical marijuana, but that is 4 a good point. I should look and see what there is there. 5 6 COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: I think 7 some communities have already voted not to have any facilities. 8 9 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Not just medical? 10 MS. BLUE: Yes. 11 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Right. And 12 they are not sure that will stand up to a 13 challenge, but they are taking a proactive 14 step. 15 Well, certainly CHAIRMAN CROSBY: 16 the local control and the community mitigation elements of our statute and our 17 18 process has been huge, so those are good. 19 I'll follow up on those too. 20 COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: I think we 21 can have very significant helpful input if 22 they are open to our experience. 23 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: The new 24 commission.

2

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: The new commission, yes.

3

4

5

6

7

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Well, there is two First of all, it's in the drafting levels. of the bill are there things we think ought to be in the bill; and then secondly is when the agency is up and running for sure, I think we could share a lot of experience with the staff and the commissioners.

8 9

> COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Is there a pending bill or is there a draft bill at

10 11

this point?

12 13

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: No. They are just reviewing the referendum that passed and -well, they've already amended it slightly, right; didn't they postpone the start date?

15

14

16 17

MS. BLUE: To extend the start date.

18

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: So they are

19

considering whether to have other changes

20 21 in the statute. Any other thoughts?

22

the last one I was at, as was Commissioner

23

Commerce public affairs breakfast where

Zuniga, a Greater Boston Chamber of

24

Speaker DeLeo spoke and I was sitting near

a fellow named Paul Paranova, who is the codirector of the MIT government community affairs office and he is blind and he said to me, you know, "Why don't you people think about having some kind of voice-activated EGMs, slots so that people who are visually impaired could play as well."

It reminded me that oddly enough casinos are one of the few places where people in wheelchairs, for example, can easily go because there is big, wide aisles and lots of people in wheelchairs find this -- so people with other disabilities already find this, you know, an interesting exercise. So I thought that was an interesting idea. I have no idea what the technology would consist of.

I spoke to -- well there's another issue I have to get to. I meant to do it in a different sequence. I spoke to Steve Wynn, the head of Wynn Resorts about something else, which I will tell you about in a minute about because he is

visually-impaired and he jumped at it and thought it was really interesting and wanted to speak to Paul, the guy from MIT. They have spoken.

Paul is meeting with Bob DeSalvio, so they are going to put together sort of a skunkworks with a manufacturer with some people that MIT has in the voice-recognition world and flush it out. We may or may not be a part of that sort of little working group, but there are a number of parties now, including one very significant player in the industry who thought it was a really interesting idea. So we will see where that goes.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Very good.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: And then the last one was the reason I was speaking to Wynn is because he called me to clarify, and this is an important point, at the committee hearing that we had of the legislative commission on online gaming and DFS his staff, Jacqui Krum, took the position on behalf of Wynn that Wynn

Resorts itself was not at all interested in online gaming. It didn't fit with their kind of business strategy, their culture, their sense of customer service, customer relations, customer experience. But that if there were online gaming made legal, they would want it to be attached exclusively to bricks-and-mortar casinos.

Wynn called to say that his personal opinion is not that. That he is going to be strongly against online gaming. He is going to team up with Shelly Ailson and take the position that online gambling is a bad idea, and he wanted me to know that was his position.

And, also, just not -- because we have been sort of implicitly, not explicitly, but implicitly kind of supportive of it by suggesting a regulatory environment, which it might be addressed, he just wanted to make sure he didn't get out-of-sync with us or not stumble over different opinions. So that was another piece of background, which I've also now

	Page 117
1	conveyed back to the legislative committee.
2	Anything else? Do I have a motion?
3	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Move to
4	adjourn.
5	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: I second that.
6	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: All in favor?
7	Aye.
8	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye.
9	COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Aye.
10	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Aye.
11	COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye.
12	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Opposed? The ayes
13	have it unanimously.
14	
15	(Meeting adjourned at 11:47 a.m.)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

_		-	-	
Paq	\sim	- 1	1	\sim
гач			_	C

	Page 110
1	MASSACHUSETTS GAMING COMMISSION STAFF:
2	Catherine Blue, General Counsel
3	Edward Bedrosian, Executive Director
4	John Ziemba, Ombudsman
5	Alexandra Lightbown, Racing Division
6	Doug O'Donnell, Senior Financial Analyst
7	Carrie Torrisi, Staff Attorney
8	Bruce Band, Investigation and Enforcement Bureau
9	Burke Cain, Investigation and Enforcement Bureau
LO	Eric Cantell
L1	Angela Smith
L2	Jay Lindroos
L3	Sterl Carpenter
L4	Michael Sangalang, Digital Communications
L5	Coordinator
L6	
L7	GUEST SPEAKERS:
L8	George Brown, Massachusetts Thoroughbred Breeders
L9	Association
20	Anthony Zizza, Massachusetts Thoroughbred Breeders
21	Association
22	Chip Tuttle, CEO of Suffolk Downs
23	Steve O'Toole, General Manager, Plainridge Park
24	