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CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Good afternoon everybody, if I can have your attention. Can everybody hear me out there okay?

Good afternoon and welcome. Today is Tuesday, March 1, 2016. This is a public hearing before the Massachusetts Gaming Commission relative to the application for a Category 1 gaming establishment license submitted by Mass Gaming and Entertainment, LLC.

May name is Steve Crosby and I'm the Chair of the Commission. I am joined by Commissioners Stebbins, Zuniga, Macdonald and Cameron. The five of us will preside over this hearing. We're located at the Conference Center at Massasoit Community College, 770 Crescent Street, Brockton, Massachusetts.

We are here in Brockton today because this is the so-called host community to the proposed gaming establishment. This means that this is where the resort casino would be
located if the applicant is awarded the license by the Commission.

As you may know, the Commission has authority to issue up to only one Category 1 casino license for each region of the state. This part of the state is located in what has been identified in our law as Region C. Mass Gaming and Entertainment is the only applicant vying for the one license to be awarded in Region $C$, though it is important to note that the Commission is not obliged to award a gaming license in every region.

I also want to briefly comment on how the Mashpee Wampanoag Tribe casino proposal fits into the picture in the context of this Commission's authority. As you are likely aware, the Indian tribe is a sovereign entity, and accordingly not subject to the jurisdiction of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts or the jurisdiction of this Commission. Tribal casinos are mainly governed by federal law.

However, the tribe has entered into a contract with the state, which is referred to as a compact, that gives this Commission some
oversight of construction and operations in the event that a casino is built on tribal land.

For purposes of this hearing
however, please understand that the Commission has no control over whether or when a tribal casino will be built or who may be involved in such a project.

We have noted repeatedly that the issue of whether to award a Category 1 casino license in Southeastern Mass., so Region C, is a two-step process. First, we will determine whether we have a quality application consistent with the criteria and standards established in the Expanded Gaming Law.

If we do have a quality applicant, then we will decide whether to make the award taking into consideration all of the circumstances at the time including the status, if any, of the tribal casino.

In response to the Commission's request for additional information about the status of the Mashpee Wampanoag Tribe's resort casino proposal in Taunton, the Tribe will make a formal presentation during a public meeting
before the Commission on March 15. The details of that public meeting are being finalized and will be announced publicly upon completion and shortly.

The public support for this proposal or lack of public support is a critical factor that the Commission will evaluate in making the final award of the license. Accordingly, your presence here today and the written comments that many of you have submitted will play a pivotal role in our decision. We thank you and thank you very much for being a part of this process.

Before we begin the public hearing, I'd like to lay out the process and establish the ground rules that we will be following here today. The hearing which is provided for by section 17 of Chapter 23 K of the Massachusetts General Laws, which is our Expanded Gaming Law, and section 118.05 of the Commission's regulations is intended to provide the Commission with the opportunity to address questions and concerns relative to the proposal of the gaming applicant to build a gaming
establishment.
The inquiry may include topics related to the scope and quality of the gaming area and amenities, the integration of the gaming establishment into the surrounding community and the extent of required mitigation plans.

Additionally, the Commission will receive input from members of the public from host and surrounding communities. The hearing will begin with a presentation by the applicant, followed by questions any of the Commissioners may have for the applicant. Next we will offer the mayor of Brockton, Bill Carpenter, and any other elected officials who are interested an opportunity to comment.

We will then allow presentations to be made by representatives from each of the surrounding communities and any impacted live entertainment venues that choose to do so. They will be followed by a representative from Stand Up for Brockton.

After these presentations, we will open the floor to public comments and
questions. At the conclusion of the comments and questions from members of the public, we will afford the applicant an opportunity to make any closing comments that it would like to make. Any Commissioner may at any time ask a question of the applicant or for that matter of anybody else.

In an effort to make the best use of all of our time, we ask that any member of the public proceeds as follows in addressing the Commission. First, any member of the public who would like to address the Commission must be on our list of speakers.

If you sent an email to the address on our website by close of business yesterday, your name has already been added to the list. If you have not sent us an email or signed up when you entered the building today, and you would like to be heard, please be sure to add your name to that list. And as I said, the signup sheet is at the back of the room. Second, when I call your name, please come up to the microphone and state your name and tell us where you are from. In the
interest of time, I'll call a few names at a time and ask that folks line up behind the speaker until it is your turn.

Third, in order to ensure that everyone who wants to speak gets an opportunity to do so -- And we already have about 50 people signed up in addition to the other speakers. -we ask that you please limit your comments to three minutes in length.

We have a clock up front, which I believe is here, so that we'll count down the time so you'll be able to see how much time has elapsed. Also please be sure that your comments or questions relate to the particulars of this proposal and not to your views on gaming or casinos in general.

Finally, we recognize the great passion that many of you have for this subject matter both for and against. We welcome your comments to reflect that passion. However, in order for this part of the process to achieve its greatest value, it is tremendously important that the discourse here today remain at all times civil. This is one area where we
can all likely agree on.
For those who have submitted written comments about this project, please know that the Commission will review and consider each and every one of them. Thank you all for taking the time to send them in.

At the conclusion of the proceedings today, the Commission will keep this hearing open and continue this public hearing to a further date yet to be determined. Notice of the next hearing date will be provided to the city or town clerk of each host and surrounding community and will be posted on our website www.massgaming.com.

With that $I$ will ask the applicant to please identify its representatives and begin the presentation.

MR. DONNELLY: Thank you. Good afternoon, Chairman Crosby members of the Commission. Hello everybody from Brockton. Thanks for coming. We are delighted to be here and we thank you for the opportunity to present in this town.

I'd like to recognize first, Mayor

Carpenter who is in the room, the Mayor of Brockton and thank him for coming today. George Carney, our partner in this project and the long-term owner and operator of the Brockton Fairgrounds who we've been dealing with for quite a while, we're happy to have him here.

Speakers today and other persons who may not speak but who are available for questions will be the following. First of all, Neil Bluhm, who you've all met who is the Chairman of Rush Street Gaming and has addressed you before. Joe Scibetta who is the Vice President of Operations and shuttles back and forth between the various properties.

Mary Cheeks who was formerly the Vice President of Finance for the two facilities in Pennsylvania that Rush Street operates in Philadelphia and Pittsburgh, but has recently been promoted to General Manager of the casino that's currently under construction in Schenectady, New York that Rush Street will be opening and operating.

Scott Strusiner who you all probably
met during the course of this who has worked tirelessly on this project. He's an executive with the Bluhm family office who has helped oversee the project.

Brian Tennant, Director of Finance for Rush Street has also tirelessly worked on that.

In addition to those employees, we have Mike Levin with the company Development Management Associates. Mike's a planner who has worked with Neil Bluhm for over 30 years in designing and helping build the beautiful projects that we do have, and has designed every one of the casinos $I$ know all of you Commissioners have seen.

Mike Soll, who is the President of the Innovation Group, a financial analyst group that specialize in casino matters is present. David Tennant who is the Managing Partner of the appellate practice in the Indian law and gaming practice for Nixon Peabody out of the Washington office and who has a large presence in the Boston area.

Also, Michael Michaud who is a
manager for MGM Transportation, obviously our transportation consultant locally. Charles LeRay, partner in Dain, Torpy, land use, permitting and zoning expert who has helped in our program for this. Steve Martorano who is with Bohler Engineering, our local civil engineering consultant.

With that, I will turn the microphone over to Neil Bluhm. And thank you again citizens of Brockton for having us here.

MR. BLUHM: Thanks, John. Mr.
Chairman and members of the Commission, thank you for giving me this opportunity to address you. And thank all of the residents of Brockton and other interested citizens who are here today.

We are very excited about this project. We think it is going to be the best project for the Commonwealth. And we are very excited to try to build a better Brockton and a better future for the city of Brockton.

Our proposal will be amongst the largest commercial developments in Southeastern Massachusetts. It is going to cost somewhere
around $\$ 677$ million. We will be paying an $\$ 85$ million license fee. It will make about $\$ 700$ million of gaming tax revenue over the next 10 years. That is net of any losses or cannibalization that the other casinos would incur because there is a new casino in Region C.

We'll have 1800 permanent casino jobs plus 2000 construction jobs. This will help revitalize Brockton which greatly needs a boost and all of the southeastern part of the Commonwealth.

We will open, if we are selected shortly, in the spring of 2019 or possibly sooner if we can get some of the permitting done that could possibly push it until late 2018. It could possibly be the first fullservice casino to open in the Commonwealth.

So, let me spend a minute telling you all who $I$ am for a second, especially because we're meeting the citizens of our host community. I grew up in Chicago and raised by a single working mother who raised my sister and me. We were not wealthy to say the least.

My mother worked hard. I went to the University of Illinois. I think my tuition in those days was $\$ 95$ a semester. I graduated with a degree in accounting. I was a CPA. Then I went to law school on scholarship at Northwestern University.

When I graduated, I went to work for a large law firm in Chicago. It might have been the largest. I became a young partner, but I always wanted to go into business. So, I joined my roommate from college and -- He had started a few months before. -- we started a small real estate company, we had three people, from scratch.

And over the years that company called JMB Realty and then ultimately Walton Street Capital and other companies has done more the $\$ 50$ billion of developments and acquisitions. And I've been fortunate to have some success. This is a great country America, if you get a good education, work hard and get lucky.

I got into the casino business
several years ago and founded Rush Street

Gaming. One of the key elements of what we do is we're basically real estate developers at heart. We do quality development. We are very proud of the projects that we do. I am not going to bore you with a lot of them. But in Boston, I want to mention a couple of projects we have been involved in.

We were involved early in our
history and JMB with the Rouse Company who was attempting to develop Faneuil Hall. I met Jim Rouse, liked him, liked the project. And we agreed to put up most of the equity. And we were a 50-50 partner in the Faneuil Hall.

At that time nobody knew what
Faneuil would turn into. It was somewhat controversial and obviously it's a project we are very proud to have been involved in. We owned a 50 percent stake for many years and sold it some years ago.

We also were involved in the
development of Copley Place in Boston. That project was started by a company called Urban Investment and Development. We provided most of the equity to develop the project. Before
the entire project was completed, we ended up buying Urban and owned 100 percent of Copley Place for many, many years. And I must say when Copley Place was developed, that area didn't look anything like it does today.

Ultimately, Copley Place went into a public REIT that we formed. That REIT merged with other companies, but we still remain an interest although we don't manage the property. And the interest is really the shopping centers. The hotels we sold off some years ago.

And we built other great properties in Chicago, Century City that we are very proud of. First and foremost, we do high quality properties that we can be proud of.

So, let me tell you a little bit about our experience in gaming. I think, I don't know, something like 15 years ago we got involved in developing a casino in Canada for the government of Ontario, the Fallsview Casino. We won an RFP. We were selected to do so. We built about a billion-dollar resort casino. It's a beautiful project. That's how
we got into the gaming business. We still run that for the government. I am chairman of the board of that company.

After that we decided to get involved in development here in the United States. And over the years, particularly since the great recession, $I$ think it's fair to say we've been the major developer of casinos in major US cities here in the United States.

We have developed a casino in
Pittsburgh. We developed a casino in
Philadelphia. This is all since the recession. We developed a casino in Cook County where Chicago is located. All of these casinos are very successful. You will hear more about that.

We are currently developing, as you heard, a casino in what is called the capital region in New York. The state of New York issued four licenses. We were successful in being awarded the license of the capital region which covers Albany, Troy, Schenectady and Saratoga. There's almost a million people in that surrounding area.

A couple of situations that $I$ think I should mention which really deals with us getting what $I$ call getting the job done even in tough times. I want to give you a quick history of a couple of projects. They were different.

In Pittsburgh, the casino license there was issued to a developer who was going to build it in Pittsburgh. He started construction. This was in 2008 when the great recession was starting.

That developer ran into serious financial trouble, didn't have the financial resources. The contractor and employees were going to walk off the job, was facing potential bankruptcy when it just started. I get a call from the contractor. We were already licensed in Pennsylvania to do the deal in Philadelphia.

So, we rescued the project with the encouragement of the gaming board and the governor. We put in new equity. Restructured everything, developed that project and is currently the leading project in the Pittsburgh area with a very large market share and a
wonderful project.
Second situation occurred in
Philadelphia, which had a different situation. There under the Gaming Act in Pennsylvania, two casinos were supposed to be licenses awarded in the city of Philadelphia. They awarded one to us and one to another group that had very strong financial backers, very wealthy individuals and developers and a major casino company.

So, financial resources were not the issue but the great recession hit -- By the way, those licenses were awarded in late 2007. So, when 2008 arose we had the financial crisis. We built our casino. We were the first casino, I believe, built in this country after the recession.

The sponsors of the other project in Philadelphia changed their mind. They said they were going to start construction. They kept saying we're going to do it, just wait, give us more time, give us more time. The gaming board finally ran out of patience and revoked their license. We are the only casino
in Philadelphia right now, because the world changed and the conditions were not so favorable in 2008 and 2009 for them to want to put their money even though they had promised that they would.

We just recently completed the addition -- Well, we opened it up. We are completing it next month, a $\$ 164$ million addition to our project in Philadelphia. It's going to add another 500 jobs and produce a lot more revenue for the state.

Now let me talk about this project because that's why we're here. I want to first talk to you about this site. I am in the real estate business so location, location, location is critical. We were looking around Region C. We looked at a lot of sites. And we were not satisfied with any of the sites that we found that would make us want to move forward to develop a casino there. And we thought a lot about it.

I got a call to go down and look at this fairgrounds site in Brockton. So, went down and I met George Carney who was the owner
of that site.
George Carney is a long-term resident of Brockton. On this property, he had originally a racetrack, which closed down, and is now vacant except for an annual fair that they have. So, it's called the fairgrounds. This is an incredible site. It is over roughly 40 acres plus.

And what excited us so much was a couple of things. First, it is one mile approximately off of Route 24, a major highway as you know. The distance from that highway to this site was roughly the same distance that we had for our casino in Des Plaines near Chicago which is so successful. Those factors were really important to us.

Another factor was its location. It is 20 miles roughly from the Boston border. But it is 17 miles from where a casino might be built in Taunton if that should happen. That was a key factor to us if we were going to build a casino. and if there ever was going to be a tribal casino, we wanted to make sure that we could be successful and survive. And being

17 miles closer to Boston than Taunton, we felt right off of Route 24 we would be successful with or without a casino in Taunton.

Obviously, we'll do better if we're alone. But we'll still have a good investment if there's both of them.

So, we couldn't be more excited about the site. I shook hands with George when I met him. We made a deal. He transfers his land into our venture. He'll be a partner in our project. And we have a great relationship. I've enjoyed working with George and his group and family on this project. And he loves Brockton. He has lived here, I guess, virtually your whole life.

So, let me move on now to what we would build on this property. For that, I'd like to call on Mike Levin. You've heard Mike has worked with me for over 30 years. I believe Mike was with Urban when we bought Urban. So, he worked on some of our projects.

Just by way of example, we developed and owned a very major project in Chicago where there is a Bloomingdale shopping mall, a Four

Seasons Hotel, condominiums, office space, parking, a major retail complex. They worked on the development of that. Worked on our projects in Century City where we built a good portion of Century City Los Angeles.

Most importantly, he has developed for us all of the casinos that I mentioned today, other than the one in Canada. So with that I'd like to call on Mike to tell you what we're going to build on this site.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Thank you, Mr.
Bluhm. Before you start $I$ just want to say, Janice is it impossible to get any more chairs do you know? They're coming, okay, good. There are a few seats around here for those folks who are standing up. If you want them here in front, there are a handful around if you want. Okay. Excuse me.

MR. LEVIN: My name is Michael
Levin, and I'm the founding principal with Development Management Associates. My background is architecture and city planning.

We've been involved in overseeing design and construction of projects all over
the country for Neil and others. As he said, we've been working with Neil since the mid-80s. And we have done all of his casinos to date.

I'll give you a little over overview of the project. As Neil said, it is a $\$ 677$ million investment. The gaming floor 92,000 square feet, 2100 slots, 124 games. I want to focus a little bit on the nongaming elements of this project, because those are really what is going to make a difference in terms of creating a major resort and tourist destination.

We're going to have an expansive food and beverage program. This ownership feels very strongly on providing quality dining experiences in a variety of venues. You really just have to go look at their properties, fantastic restaurants. In fact, I think perhaps the best testimony is you have people going to these restaurants to eat. They have no interest in gambling. They are going to the restaurants to eat. So, that's a major amenity to this project.

We're going to talk more about the hotels, 250 rooms with a spa. I'll share with
you the plans because it's going to be a gorgeous hotel. It really is and will really add to the project here.

The multipurpose room, 26,000 feet. This is going to provide us the ability to have a number of activities. This includes entertainment, meetings, weddings, exhibits. We'll be able to subdivide that room so we can accommodate smaller venues or larger venues. It really relates and supports both the hotel and the casino activities. It's really an essential element of the program here.

So, I think all these with the casino are combined to really provide an incredible destination resort facility for the region.

Let's talk design. It's interesting because I think casino developers have maybe two basic philosophies. The first one is, kind of a Las Vegas let's build something large, flashy, huge high-rise, eye-catching. This ownership's philosophy is exactly the opposite. the most important criteria for us is that the facility fit into the community in which it is
located. It should reflect the architecture of the community. It should be the appropriate scale and what we call contextual architecture.

And I think the best way to
illustrate that is if you go to any of the other developments that they had developed -if you go to Chicago, you go to Pittsburgh, go to Philadelphia, obviously Schenectady is just under construction, none of these look alike. Except there is good quality, you'd never know that they are by the same owners, because each one is very different based on the context in which it's located. We think that is very important for a regional casino.

On this particular drawing here, sketch, you can see some of the design elements that we will implement. You can see an extensive use of red brick. We've got gabled hipped roofs. We're going to have areas of metal roofs. We're going to have clerestory windows and a lot of traditional New England icons, steeples and such. So, this is coming in actually off of West Street, which is one of our major entrances.

This is a shot coming in off of Forest Avenue. Again, it was very important for us to break up these buildings into the individual components. And what this drawing shows here, you can see the garage on the right. It's very important to know that's a masonry facade on the garage. It is going to fit in contextually with the rest of the project.

This ownership has always been committed to good design. I can just tell you the process when we go through design with this client is that everybody is at the table. Ownership is at the table. Operations is at the table.

We don't have a design meeting that these gentlemen aren't involved with. They know every square inch, every piece of material. They are very involved owners in terms of design. All you have to do is, I think, go to the projects. You can look at all of these pretty pictures. You go to the projects and the design is just incredible.

They committed to in our last
project to try to achieve LEED Gold in our casino in Des Plaines. It had never been done. And it was really pioneering and we achieved it with their support. We've gotten very good feedback from the community on this design. And I think what we are proposing here, in addition to the financial benefits, which Neil has talked to, this is a beautiful complex. And I think it is really going to be an asset to the city of Brockton.

This is the site plan. As Neil said, this was so ideal in terms of the site. One of the biggest attributes here is here is Belmont Street. We have a major exposure of the site to Belmont street. This is important from two standpoints. One, Belmont Street is a major arterial serving the region already. Number two, most of our customers are coming from here. So, it made sense to orient the buildings in that direction.

So, you can see here kind of this is the active part of the complex, facing where the traffic and the customers are coming in. This is what we call the quieter, more
inactive. This is basically employee parking. What is critical also is that the vehicular access, there's one entrance drive, here is the other where you saw the sketches. It has got to be a very clear, logical vehicular circulation.

Because what you have here is you have your regular customers. They are here all the time. They know they come on a Thursday at 7:00, they know where to park. They know exactly what the activity level.

We are going to get a major input of tourists here. Maybe they've never been here. They maybe come once a year to this facility. When they come to this project, it's got to be easy to get around. Whether they're going to the hotel, going to the casino, going to the parking garage. So, we have to provide a very clear circulation plan.

This is the hotel right here, six stories. We located it up near Belmont Street. This is the casino in the middle. There'll be a direct connection between the hotel and the casino. Then here's our parking garage which
again provides direct access into the hotel.
We provided a balance of parking. To be honest with you, in all of our facilities, there are some customers that prefer surface parking. Unless the weather is terrible, they prefer surface parking. We have other customers who like to go on parking decks. They know they're going to get very easy, accessible parking. So, we provide a balance of both in our facilities.

The other major design determinant here was buffer. Ironically, we started on the project, reviewed the zoning code. The zoning code required a 20 -foot setback next to residential. Before we even talked to the community, we said that's inadequate.

It would not be responsible for us. We meet the zoning code but that just doesn't make sense. So, you can see here this is an 80-foot -- We committed to an 80-foot landscaped area between us and the residential. Again, the requirement is 20 feet.

In fact, in terms of the definition of setback, under the current zoning, you could
have built a building 20 feet from the property line. We're not only doing the 80 feet, you can actually see our buildings are much farther back either due to roads or parking areas. So, we will exceed the zoning requirement.

The other are of important buffer is here where we have a detention pond, again, across from the school. This is a major visual and major buffer to the neighborhood to the kind of the south and west of us.

Touch a little bit on the building plan here. Here's the casino, again, 92,000 square feet. Security is very important. I know questions have come up in terms of minors getting in. And I think Joe or Mary will talk to that.

We have three secure entrances to this, only three. One is here to the surface lot. One is here to the hotel. And one to the south here, which you can't see too well is to the garage. That's it. There are three secure entrances to the facility.

Here's that multipurpose space which we talked about which we think will be a real
add to the project. Talk a little bit about the hotel. Hotel doesn't get much attention. This is going to be a gorgeous hotel. You can see it up here. We have a beautiful courtyard behind the hotel.

The meeting rooms are going to spill out into this open courtyard. Here's a major spa. Here's a swimming pool, major landscaping up here. This is really kind of a quiet area of the site, which all of the activity going up front here. This could be a very attractive and quiet area of the site.

I know there's been questions raised about the Brockton Fairgrounds Exhibit Hall that's located here. I guess let me make two comments on that. It's fallen in disuse. It's a gorgeous building. As an architect, you take a look at that and you want to do something. When you put a $\$ 675$ million investment next door to you, you know that's got to trigger some interest in that building.

More important, you can see our plans here. We're right across the street, again, our spa, our pool could very easily
relate to what we're doing. We don't own it. We don't own that building, but I think with us being there I think there's a good chance something could happen quite useful with that building.

Again, a little bit more on the hotel, it is going to be a major feature and a major draw for tourism. It's going to be a gorgeous hotel.

Let me talk a little bit about -There's been discussion of the Master Plan here. And again, I'm an old planner. There are several things happening here. Number one, in terms of this development $\$ 675$ million investment, the projections are somewhat less than five million visitors a year.

So, you've got a major generator, a major catalyst for development. You've got a significant amount of vacant or underutilized land around us, number two.

Number three, you have some institutions like Campanelli Stadium and the Shaw's Center that are underutilized that need help. And we're going to do some cross
promotion with them. But you kind of have a dream situation from a planning standpoint. You've got a major catalyst. You've got vacant land, and you've got institutions that need help.

The bottom line is we very much support the creation of the entertainment district Master Plan. In addition to funding it, which was one of the things here, more than fund that we want to be actively involved in the creation of the Master Plan. Obviously, it's to our benefit.

We're going to be a major stakeholder. We're going to be a major investor. The more development we have around us, the better it's going to make this as the focal point for the region. So again, we encourage development. It should be done in a logical fashion. So, we are very supportive of the Master Plan.

And I think what's exciting here is that a lot of Master Plans gather dust. Beautiful drawings, booklets, put them away, you never see them again. This Master Plan has
the ability to be real because if we're fortunate to get the license, you are going to have a complex there generating close to five million visitors, $\$ 600$ million investment, this Master Plan can be real if it's done right.

We have a lot of Master Planning experience. We actually went through a Master Plan in Pittsburgh. Real quickly, as Neil said, we have a casino in Pittsburgh. Next door to us is the Carnegie Science Center, a major institution in Pittsburgh. Next to there is the stadium, Steelers Stadium.

There's an organization there called River Life, which is a publicly funded -- I'm sorry, privately funded organization that kind of monitors the river there. They came to us and we work with them and the Carnegie Science and all of the stakeholders to come up with a Master Plan for ours because it was on the river, which was in Pittsburgh is sacred.

Again, I think there's tremendous opportunity here. And we want to be involved with this. I think I'll turn it over now to Bob Michaud. Bob is the managing partner,
principle at MDM Transportation. I think he will be talking about both the traffic improvements and the public transportation system.

MR. MICHAUD: Thank you, Chairman and Commissioners. It's a pleasure to be here. My name is Robert Michaud, managing principle with MDM.

This project represents the pinnacle of a multiyear and exhaustive process of identifying a site that could properly accommodate the needs and market position of a full-service resort casino facility.

We have been personally involved -I've been personally involved in a number of meetings in living rooms and restaurants and meeting halls in this community to understand what community concerns are and to see if we can mesh two specific goals of this facility with the community input.

First that this site -- And Neil mentioned it, location is everything. This site is directly located on an arterial connection to a regional highway system.

Location is everything. The ability to get customers in from a regional destination as a regional facility is very important. It's important from the customer experience perspective and the market position of the project.

Eight out of 10 customers who will be visiting this facility will be originating from a regional origin and will be using regional highway systems not local streets to get here. And it's an important factor.

The second goal speaks to being a good neighbor. And from the very first time that we had discussions with Rush Street about five years ago, it was a very important aspect of their philosophy to be a good neighbor. And any project that they've been involved with, being a good neighbor means that they leave things better than they found them.

That they serve as a catalyst for making improvements that enhance a community not detract from it. And that offers compatibility with a longer-term vision of growth for a community.

And we believe that both of those goals are met in the following ways. First, that this site is located a mile east of Route 24. The longest section of Belmont Street that has already been targeted by MassDOT for substantial infrastructure enhancement. Over \$6 million of monies are now being spent over the next two years to substantially improve Belmont Street as a gateway to this community, not only for vehicular travel but for pedestrian accessibility as well, which is a very important aspect of what Brockton is all about, pedestrian accessibility, bicycle accessibility as well as auto accessibility.

Likewise, being a good neighbor as it relates to gateways, we were very pleased to see that there have been ongoing efforts by regional planning, Old Colony Planning Council as well as the city to enhance infrastructure independent of what's being proposed here. And the range of improvements involve the Forest Avenue corridor, which is a very important roadway connection within the city, as well as portions of West Street to the north and Route
27.

Despite planning efforts identifying a whole host of infrastructure needs, no one has come up with the money or the means to implement those. So, this project really represents a catalyst to make that happen. This developer offers a $\$ 10.2$ million package that is above and beyond the $\$ 6.5$ million that is already committed and in play by MassDOT.

The combination of that funding, some $\$ 17$ million will effectively provide the highest performing infrastructure of any licensed facility, gaming facility in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts. When I say that I mean that the ability for the roadways to accommodate vehicular travel and pedestrian accommodation is no better than what you'll find at this location when this is complete.

That's a very unique finding among the more than 20 sites that we personally looked at for this proponent or our review of all of the other licensed facilities in the Commonwealth.
As it relates to the second
question, how to be a good neighbor, one of the aspects that Mike Levin touched on is the ability for this project to fit in the context of the neighborhood where sits. This neighborhood is being targeted as a location by the city that will be an entertainment venue location.

So, the infrastructure as it is listed on the slide before you specifically accommodates that need. You will see that a primary feature of that design is a roundabout feature which effectively knits together a series of roadways through a common design element that over time would allow for the development of adjoining parcels being able to plug in with that infrastructure.

So, the infrastructure that is being planned is not only consistent with the goals that were identified by regional planning, it is compatible with the longer-term goal of the entertainment district generally without having to change anything.

Another way that this fits in well with the community is that we very early in the
process understood that the relationship of the high school to the property was a sensitive issue. Of course, the site borders a significant residential community to the east and to the south.

In that regard, pedestrian safety is a major concern. And the ability to properly serve the access and circulation needs of the property as a distinct element apart from those land uses was another very primary objective of the design. And we've achieved both of those as follows.

That any of the improvements you see on this board, whether it's a realignment of West Street here, a widening of Forest Avenue here, the introduction of new signalized intersections along that corridor, all are complemented by expansive sidewalk connections that run along both sides of all of these new roadways with controlled pedestrian crossings at the signals and the ability to get to the high school specifically, distinctly from this project with a new signal.

All of these are consistent with the
regional planning goals that were identified in prior studies before this applicant came before the city.

So, pedestrian safety is paramount to us. This accommodates that need and leaves things in a much more viable and better condition than they are now or would be without this project.

And finally, as it relates to other aspects of transportation, this project isn't all about traffic. This is about transportation and how to best suit the needs of transportation. Whether you're an employee that works here that needs to get here to earn a living, to build a career or you're a patron who opts not to use an automobile to come here but would rather walk or bicycle, in that regard one of the important aspects of this is public transportation.

We know that this area is well served today by Brockton Area Transit Authority bus service that runs throughout the city as shown in this slide. You'll see that the proximity of the site here to the downtown area
and the Brockton Area Transit station, the Old Colony line MBTA is roughly a mile and a half away.

And that BAT Center, as it is known serves as a hub for transportation. The Old Colony line itself runs all of the way from Plymouth to Boston. It has 17 stops. It integrates with the surface bus service run by BAT. One of the routes actually goes right by the site today and will provide one means of getting to this facility whether as a patron or an employee.

In addition to what is shown here, we know that in every one of the Rush Street facilities that public transportation is augmented by private shuttle service. So, that to the extent there is the increased ability to get employees to arrive at a facility without having to drive that shuttle service augments those existing services.

And this proponent is committed to doing that by providing a shuttle connection from the site to the BAT Center that matches up well with the employee and patron patterns that
we expect.
In conclusion, the two answers -the two questions that we've looked at, how do you get here and can you do that efficiently is well answered by its proximity to the regional highway systems.

And the second question about being a good neighbor really speaks to how this fits in with the neighborhood and all of the community input that we received and how the design has come to fruition by insulating the site from the residential component, relying on regional highway systems, enhancing pedestrian safety with complete street design features and enhancing public transportation.

With that I'll turn the presentation to Vice President of Operations for Rush Street Gaming, Mr. Joe Scibetta.

MR. SCIBETTA: Thanks, Bob. Chairman Crosby, Commissioners, it's nice to see some of you again after visiting you at some of our properties.

As Mike said, world-class design sets us apart from our competitors in all of
the markets. Unique to the markets they serve, all of our properties feature natural light, easy to navigate casino floors, great dining and entertainment options and a clean, safe environment.

I'll start with our Rivers
Pittsburgh property which opened in 2009.
Sitting on Pittsburgh's North Shore, Rivers Pittsburgh is part of a sports entertainment district that features Heinz Field, PNC Park, the Carnegie Science Center and our beautiful casino.

The property offers gaming, dining and entertainment 24/7. Guests can enjoy first-to-market slots, great local bands and national touring acts. Watch sports on our large big-screen TV in our sports bar.

Our buffet and steakhouse have been nationally recognized for being best in their market. And our 20,000 square foot banquet facility has a great view of the Ohio River and Heinz Field.

Next, SugarHouse Casino. Since 2010, SugarHouse has been a catalyst for the
rebirth of Fishtown, the section of Philly we are proud to call home. Since opening, SugarHouse has generated the highest table games wins per unit in Pennsylvania. And the slots win per unit has been consistently in the top three on a monthly basis.

Currently, as Neil said, we are completing $\$ 164$ million expansion that features a seven-story parking garage, 30,000 square feet event center with great views of the Delaware River, a world-class poker room, highlimit gaming and VIP lounge also along the Delaware River, and five new restaurants including three iconic Philly offerings located all in our new marketplace.

The investment in the expansion is ownership's commitment to Philly and the state of Pennsylvania to improve the guest experience and increase revenue for the state.

Rivers Des Plaines opened in 2011.
Our property in Des Plaines changed what casinos look like in Illinois and is the world's first LEED Gold certified casino. The Des Plaines property is our smallest yet drives
the highest revenue for our company and the state of Illinois, consistently doubling the revenue of the number two casino in the state. Situated only 10 minutes from O'Hare, at $\$ 800$ win per unit, the casino generates the highest win per unit in North America. The property often experiences 75 percent occupancy, however there are no traffic complaints. Featuring both surface and garage parking, five restaurants including a steakhouse operated by Chicago's Gibons Restaurant Group and Chicago's best high-limit and VIP experience.

The property partners with local convention centers, entertainment district and hotels which is a model for what could be created here in Brockton. You will see our Fallsview Casino that Neil spoke to that the folks built before we started Rush Street is a \$1 billion project in Canada right on the Niagara Fall River, features first-class amenities and has received a four diamond award by the CAA.

And finally Rivers Schenectady
opening in 2017 in the capital region in New York. Rivers Schenectady was officially granted one of New York's four commercial casino licenses in December 2015. We are currently on pace to be the first opened by Q1 of 2017.

Like our other properties, we feature an easy to navigate casino floor, six dining options, all provided by a local vendor that has local dining options in the city of Schenectady, multiple entertainment options along with the ability to hold outdoor festivals on the Mohawk River. Our events center is flexible space that could handle concerts, multiple meetings at one time and weddings for over 600 people.

The property will feature a hotel with 164 rooms, a lobby bar and restaurant, a boardroom and a pool and sundeck. We cater to business and casual guests alike. When complete, the property will cause people to say wow, this is great for Schenectady and great for the capital region. It will truly reenergize the electric city.

Wow is a comment $I$ often get to hear when I tour people through our properties. People are always amazed with the attention to detail and the world-class design that we put forth in all of our properties. It shows that we, Rush Street Gaming, are a game changer in the markets that we come into.

We are confident because this is our sixth project that is being built from the ground up that the people of Brockton will be very proud of the property. We will continue the champion spirit of this city.

It's not just that our properties have been recognized for excellence, we are very proud that our team members have voted us best place to work in every market year-overyear. To be clear, our team in Philly has voted us five years in a row, Pittsburgh five years in a row, and Des Plaines three years running. That's something we are very proud of.

Along with winning employment awards, we have consistently won industry awards such as best in gaming, best in Chicago
and the prestigious four diamond award.
We take being a community partner very seriously. Rush Street Gaming takes great pride in being a significant community partner. We have partnered with national organizations such as the Susan G. Komen fund, the Leukemia and Lymphoma Society and local groups such as the Philadelphia Police Athletic League, Mario Lemieux Foundation. And we are a proud member of every chamber in every city that we operate in.

More than writing a check, our team members take great pride in volunteering in their own community. Our leaders are members of local boards. And we often provide compensation to our team members who volunteer in efforts like cleaning parks, painting community centers and food drives.

How can we impact Brockton? Let me give you a couple of examples of our ripple effect. The Philly ripple effect. I need the next slide, because I want to show you guys a couple of pictures.

So, here is the ripple effect. In

2008, before SugarHouse Casino opened its doors, there was a lot of opposition to the project. There was definitely a casino no movement in Philadelphia, especially in Fishtown. Fishtown was a neglected declining community filled with abandoned buildings. As you can see from the pictures on the slide, this wasn't a place where people wanted to live, eat, play or stay.

Today, Fishtown is alive. The SugarHouse Casino has been a catalyst for rebirth. Along with SugarHouse Casino, Live Nation has opened a music venue called the Fillmore bringing national acts to Fishtown. Restaurants have opened on all streets, including local distilleries and local coffee houses that were not there before.

Most importantly, new construction is rampant. Property values have grown substantially. The groups that once opposed SugarHouse Casino now cheer for SugarHouse Casino. In fact, we have team members who work for us today that opposed in 2008. They were some of the most vocal in opposition. They are
some of our happiest employees today.
SugarHouse promotes their partners in Fishtown by providing free parking to the Fillmore, sponsoring a restaurant week for all of the Fishtown restaurant and buying from local purveyors. It is now a hot part of town.

Next, I'd like to tell you about another ripple effect in Des Plaines. While not as dramatic in physical transformation as Fishtown, the city of Des Plaines has experienced a strong positive economic impact since Rivers Casino opened in 2011.

In 2010, the city collected about \$1.3 million in hotel tax from eight hotels. In 2015, that number has almost doubled from the same eight hotels. Rivers Casino supports local hotels through actual booking of rooms for our casino guests, running a convenient shuttle between the hotels and the casino for ease of access, and placing room packages on our website to promote stay and play packages.

Rivers Casino also partners with surrounding communities like Rosemont, Illinois which features an entertainment district,
outlet shopping center and convention center.
The partnership includes crosspromotional opportunities, advertising and shuttle service. In closing, we are very proud of operating in our communities and what our team members have bestowed upon us the best place to work. With that I'll introduce Mary Cheeks, our new general manager in Schenectady, New York.

MS. CHEEKS: Thanks Joe. Good afternoon, Chairman Crosby and Commissioners. I'd like to share with you today Rush Street Gaming's commitment to invest in all of its team members, hiring a diverse workforce, continuous training to create quality jobs for the unemployed and under-employed, to hire locally by sponsoring job readiness and training programs to prepare the host and surrounding communities for quality jobs, supporting local businesses and being a great community citizen.

These five commitments and initiatives represent the Rush Street culture in every city and state that we operate in.

Now moving to team member commitment.
As you see on this slide, we are committed to our team. Training programs in place, non-manager compensation exceeds $\$ 50,000$ annually. I'll say that again, our non-manager compensation exceeds $\$ 50,000$ annually. Our philosophy of promoting from within the organization and coaching employees to achieve career goals.

We have had in our Pittsburgh property over 300 internal promotions since it opened in August 2009. Ongoing career development through certifications and seminars, health and dental benefits, $401(k)$ immediately vested, tuition reimbursement, and all Rush Street Gaming team members receive training on the scope, practice and procedures of their responsible gaming plan as part of new hire orientation. And we do a refreshment course once a year.

What I'd like to highlight here is the great benefits package we offer to our team members. Very few gaming companies can compete with our $401(k)$ plan in terms of employer's
match. Also, we instruct our team members upon hiring of our commitment to responsible gaming.

We work closely with the regulators in identifying people with gambling problems and encourage them to ban themselves and seek help through Gamblers Anonymous.

Same holds true for underage gambling. As you heard Mike mention, we'll have three entrance points into the facility. We will man 24 hours, seven days a week, 365 days a year those entry points. And we will be aware of everyone that enters in our facility.

Moving next to our commitment to diversity. As reflected on this slide, our Rush Street entities consist of a diverse workforce. This is not by accident. Our Des Plaines property women 43 percent minority 57 percent, Philadelphia 41 percent women 53 percent minority, Pittsburgh 40 percent women 28 percent minority. And for our senior leadership of vice president and above 45 percent women and 35 percent minority.

Also, as Joe had mentioned or somewhere along the line, I've been recently
promoted to General Manager of our Rush Street property in upstate New York. Out of our four US properties, two are run or led by females and two by males. Interesting story in the Philly property that I'm transitioning out of, out of our eight senior leaders seven are women and one male. So, we're a very diverse organization.

Moving onto our commitment to quality jobs. Rush Street creates lifechanging jobs at each of its casinos. As you can see on the slide, 35 percent of SugarHouse team members were unemployed.

We accomplish hiring the unemployed by partnering with key workforce agencies and local community colleges in developing training programs. We work tirelessly before opening to make sure all team members are set up for success in their role -- the role that they are hired for.

During the PA property, it's a relicensing hearing, team members stand up and tell their story of how important the casino is to their life and their overall employment.

We had one gentleman stand up and talk about being homeless before he was hired by SugarHouse and how three years later he has his first car and home. A young lady talks about how she has had her first real Christmas for her children. So, these are life-changing jobs with good salaries.

This is particularly important and relevant to Brockton and its unemployment stats. It will help change that.

Moving onto our commitment in identifying great candidates locally. I covered this but just to reiterate, Rush Street Gaming will hire locally. To share with you a meeting I had yesterday with the five workforce agencies in upstate New York and the local community college, we put together a plan to focus on a local hiring and create job readiness programs.

To name a few of the programs, how to deal with customers and deliver great service, math test training for table game dealers and cashiers, dressing for success to win the job. We not only recruit locally, we
train locally to ensure we have great candidates. We are training more than a year out to prepare for the workforce upon opening.

Moving onto our support of local business, through our Rush Rewards program, we partner with local businesses. This is done by encouraging our customers to use their loyalty reward points in local venues. And you can see it listed on the slide those venues.

We hold continuous vendor fairs to create local business connections. We work with the business -- We work with the various business on how to conduct business with the casino for regulatory requirements and how to submit winning bids. We also assist in partnering smaller businesses to be able to increase capacity to serve us as well as partnering them with national suppliers. As you can see, our annual spend for local businesses and minority and women business enterprise is significant.

To conclude, Rush Street Gaming is not your average gaming entity. We have a rich culture that $I$ spoke about in our commitments.

This is why I am proud to work for this company. Rush Street Gaming is the third gaming entity that $I$ have worked for and none other compare.

This culture is why our casinos operate successfully in both financial performance and customer and team member satisfaction. Rush Street Gaming will make Brockton a better place. Thank you and I'll turn it back to our chairman, Neil.

MR. BLUHM: Thanks, Mary. I want to talk to you about the economics of this project. First, let me explain that we are going to invest our own money into this project.

We are not a public company where we have to do another deal to show growth or keep our stock price going. This is our own capital. And we have highly confident letters from four major banks, some of whom I've done business with virtually my entire life.

And there is no way I'm going to do a deal that $I$ don't believe is going to be successful, is going to be a good investment or
that the banks or the citizens would be embarrassed.

We have commitments from Wells Fargo, probably the most successful bank in the country who I've done business with for years. We have a highly confident letter I should say from Wells Fargo. A highly confident letter from Goldman Sachs, similar situation, done business forever, Credit Suisse and Fifth Third Bank.

Now when I go through these economics, I want to divide them into two sections. I want to take you through the economics if we are the only casino in Region C. And then separately if there are two casinos in Region $C$, us and the Taunton tribal casino.

So, starting out first with just us alone. The first thing we look at when we go into a market -- This is kind of economics 101 -- is how many gaming positions are there in the market in relationship to the adult population in the market.

You can see on page 30 there are 355
adults for every gaming position in this market. And we've included the Wynn project, the Penn project, Twin River, Newport Grand and of course our project. As you can see there are far more adults per gaming position, assuming we are built, than there are in these major markets. And in particularly three of those markets Philadelphia, Pittsburgh and Chicago where we are very successful, operations have far less people per gaming position than we will have in Brockton significantly more. There's 135 in SugarHouse, 191 in Pittsburgh, 224 in Chicago which does phenomenal. And we'll have 355 in Brockton.

And I might add that another factor is the propensity of that citizens like to gamble and people like to gamble in the Commonwealth.

Next slide is our location. That helps explain some of the reason that we are so enthusiastic. We are 27 miles from Wynn Everett project. By the way, we judge distance by driving not by fly. We are 27 miles from Plainridge. We are 42 miles from Twin River.

And we are 49 miles from Newport Grand.
And when you look at where we are, we are strategically located roughly 20 miles from Boston. So, we are really in an excellent position to gather a significant amount of revenue south of Boston all of the way to the water, etc.

I want to point out a very important factor on slide 32. Plainridge is open. And it's had virtually no impact on Twin River. If you look at these charts, this shows the revenue at Twin River, gaming revenue each month for 2015 versus 2014. For the first three months July, August and September, Twin River's revenue was down slightly this year over preceding years.

Yet October, November, December the three later months, they're actually doing more business at Twin River than they did a year ago. The fact is they are not being impacted by Plainridge. And there's a reason for this. It is not that Penn is not a good operator.

The reason is simply that it is a slots only parlor. They don't have table
games. They've spent about $\$ 250$ million. We're going to spend somewhere close to \$700 million. Going to have hotel, tables, totally different amenities, and the reality is that Plainridge has not stopped the people who are leaving the Commonwealth to go gamble in Rhode Island nor is it picking up people in Rhode Island who want to go into Massachusetts.

I think this is an important factor. When we open in Brockton, this will stop. And people will stop going from Massachusetts into Rhode Island because we are going to have a nicer facility than Twin River.

Let's talk about the impact on the Commonwealth in terms of tax revenue. The number I'm giving you comes from the Innovation Group. Michael Soll is here. As you know, the Innovation Group is one of the leading firms in the business. They actually invented the formulas by which you do studies and represent many states, etc.

So, all of these numbers are their numbers that I'm going to give you. The projection is that assuming we are the only
casino in Region C, after cannibalization, after you subtract what the other casinos will lose the business, we are projected to generate an additional $\$ 71$ million a year in Brockton, which is new money going to the state, plus you get the license fee upfront of $\$ 85$ million.

I should point out that the cannibalization is not that material in the sense that any of the existing casinos that are operating in the Commonwealth will be in trouble. And you can look at that chart on page 34. There's no impact virtually at all on MGM.

There's an impact on Penn, but they knew that was going to happen. And there's an impact on Wynn, but they're still going to do based on these projections of over $\$ 700$ million of win if we open. Our projection is we will do just over $\$ 400$ million of business assuming we are the only casino. When I say our projection, this is the numbers from Innovation Group.

Looking on the next slide 35 at the annual gaming tax increase, you can see where
the $\$ 71$ million net number comes from. We actually would generate about $\$ 101$ million, but you will lose a little from each of the others. Wynn the most, but of course they make far and away the most. So, we net $\$ 71$ million per year.

Let's move to the second scenario which is that we are operating a casino and so is the Tribe in Taunton. Let's go through the same analysis. The first again is my Econ 101, if you will. Do we have enough adult people in relationship to the number of gaming positions? Now the gaming positions have been increased because we take Wynn, we take Plainridge, Twin River, Newport Grand and we add on a slew of positions at Taunton.

Again, there are more adults per gaming position with this addition in Brockton then there are in any of these other major market, including Philadelphia, Pittsburgh and Chicago where we're operating very successful projects. There's 280 adults per gaming position when you put all those into this market. That's more than in Chicago which has
224.

If you look at the next slide, page 38, you'll again see why we will do well. We are 17 miles from Taunton. That was critical. I mentioned at the very start of why we selected this site. We knew we could survive if they had a casino.

You can see where we are located. We are closer to the big population base coming out of south of Boston. And we're 27 miles from Wynn. And we're 27 miles by highway to Plainridge. So, we are very comfortable with our location.

Now let's look at the impact of the casino both in Brockton and Taunton and how much money is the Commonwealth going to make? The first premise is that you are always better off with a casino in Brockton. Net of all of the cannibalization, if you have two casinos, the Commonwealth will collect $\$ 40$ million net per year.

That's not as much as 71 if we are alone because there are no taxes being paid. But it is still more than you now have. Well,
a Tribe would not share the revenue, doesn't pay tax if we're there. We are still paying a 25 percent tax. And frankly, we're going to do much more business than Taunton for the reasons you just saw. We are closer to the population base right off of Route 24 by 17 miles.

I also should point out, which is very significant that the cannibalization impact on the other casinos in the state will be minimal just by adding a second casino. And logic would tell you that will happen because if somebody is going to lose business, say from Wynn or from Plainridge, it's not going to matter that much if there's one casino or two. So, there is very little additional cannibalization. You see that on page 40 . Again, these are the numbers from the Innovation Group. If there are two casinos, instead of generating $\$ 404$ million of revenue, we will generate $\$ 327$ million of revenue. But at $\$ 327$ million, we have a significant amount EBITDA or EBITDAM, I should say. Numbers which we've given you which substantially exceed $\$ 100$ million a year.

So, this is going to be a very successful casino for me and for you and for the citizens of Brockton. And frankly, if our numbers are off some, if they are off $\$ 50$ million some number, we will still be very successful.

Now really the key is on page 41.
This is the net from all of the different scenarios of what your taxes will be. If we are alone, the net taxes after cannibalization is $\$ 71$ million a year. If you have two casinos here, us and Taunton, you'll have $\$ 40$ million in net revenue, but you will have 3000 jobs, almost double the jobs because you have two casinos and double the economic benefits.

If you have Taunton only and we don't have a license, you'll have 1500 jobs, but you'll actually make less in revenue than you'll have if you have two casinos. The number is $\$ 33$ million. And of course, you are not running the risk that maybe you don't have a Taunton casino if you have two casinos or you award us a license.

Obviously, if you don't award us a
license, and they don't build a casino in Taunton, you've got zero.

So, the bottom line of economics here is the Commonwealth earns more tax revenue if you give us a license. That's true if Taunton ultimately opens or if they don't open then you make even more.

As I said earlier, the Brockton casino will be successful even if there is a tribal casino in Taunton with $\$ 327$ million of win. I should also point out that if there are two casinos here, Taunton will be successful. They won't make as much revenue as they would have if we were not in the region, but they don't pay any taxes.

So, it isn't like Taunton if you give us a license and Taunton is going to get a casino if it's ultimately determined that they can have one. And we're going to get that issue in a moment minute. So, there is a significant cost to the Commonwealth if a license is not awarded to us. And we think it's highly likely that a casino in Taunton will be delayed many years and probably will
never be allowed to open.
So, let me talk about that for a few minutes. I am going to do this quickly. Then call on the Mayor or we can do the Mayor now if he is running out of time. Let me call on the Mayor now because he has to go to a school board meeting, I believe. I'll finish my comments afterwards about the situation.

THE HON. BILL CARPENTER: Mr. Chair, Commission, good evening. Let me officially welcome you to Brockton, the city of champions.

I appreciate the opportunity to share my thoughts with you on what this resort casino would mean for the residents of Brockton and the future of our city. We all know the numbers, a combined $\$ 12.5$ million per year plus over $\$ 10$ million in infrastructure improvements plus another $\$ 5$ million in upfront payments to the city before the casino even opens.

But my comments today are not about the numbers, but instead about what $\$ 140$ million over the next 10 years means to the city of Brockton.

Brockton is a gateway city, one of
only nine minority/majority cities in the state where our unemployment rate runs at about 150 percent of the state average, and many of our residents are underemployed particularly in our immigrant communities.

Our economic development team is working to revitalize a manufacturing legacy city that has been down for the count for $a$ while. We are no longer the shoe city. Blueprint for Brockton 2025, our 10-year economic development plan identifies the Belmont Street corridor near the proposed resort casino site at the fairgrounds as an economic revitalization district.

The plan calls for an entertainment district in that area anchored by a resort casino complex. The resort casino will not be on an island but instead directly connected to the redevelopment of that area.

When we talk about economic development, what we're really talking about is jobs. The creation of 1800 good paying permanent jobs with benefits, not to mention the 2000 union construction jobs during the
build out. Our host community agreement contains a residency preference in hiring. So, we know that the vast majority of these jobs will go to Brockton families.

Jobs with a company who not just has a strong track in hiring minorities and women, but a proven record of providing career and promotional opportunities to their minority and female employees.

Think about the improved standard of living that comes with those jobs for Brockton families, but even more importantly what those jobs mean to the futures of the children of those families. Let's talk a moment about those Brockton children.

We have about 17,500 students in the Brockton public schools, 83 percent of our students receive a free or reduced lunch. 83 percent of our kids living at or below the federal poverty level. Over one-third of our students are not proficient in English. More than half of our students go home to a household that does not speak English as its first language. And 18 percent of our students
receive special education services above the state average.

The Brockton schools also serve about 600 students that are classified as homeless. The transportation costs alone for those 600 homeless students mandated under McKinney-Vento is nearly $\$ 1$ million per year. But out of 600 homeless students only about 200 were Brockton residents at the time when they became homeless.

And make no mistake, we embrace the challenge of providing the additional needs and supports that these children require. And we welcome them with open arms. But there is no question that Brockton is bearing a disproportionate burden the region's homelessness problem.

Those jobs going to Brockton residents will pump an estimated $\$ 16$ million of payroll annually into Brockton's economy. Couple that economic impact with the local purchasing requirement contained in the host community that compels the resort casino to purchase goods and services locally.

We are talking about priming the pump of Brockton's economy with tens of millions of dollars annually, spurring growth and creating private-sector jobs.

The state's current Chapter 70
formula for local aid to education falls far short of the cost of meeting the needs of the Brockton public school system. Once again this year, our school budget alone faces a projected deficit in excess of $\$ 10$ million, potentially causing over 100 additional teacher layoffs this year and the closing of at least one school.

While most of our surrounding communities have level or declining student enrollments, Brockton is a gateway city. The Brockton schools have grown by 1000 new students over the past four years, net gain of 1000 students over the past four years.

And casting a further shadow over the Brockton schools is the impending need for an overdue renovation or replacement of our 4500-student 43-year-old Brockton High School. A $\$ 300$ million replacement is not likely. But
at a minimum, we face a $\$ 100$ million renovation and expansion of the existing high school. Even with a maximum state reimbursement of 80 percent, that leaves a $\$ 20$ million balance due to Brockton, money we don't have.

The $\$ 12.5$ million per year in annual revenue from a resort casino will allow us to increase local aid to an overcrowded cash strapped school system. Our host community agreement also includes a half-million dollars per year for a community nonprofit. And we've designated the Brockton Education Foundation, $501 c 3$ that strives to fund the needs of our students that we're not able to fund through the school budget.

That money will be used to pay for afterschool sports, afterschool enrichment in arts and music, support for the award-winning Brockton High band and Brockton High drama club, and transportation for our sports teams. With 83 percent free and reduced that preempts us from charging user fees. But these opportunities for our Brockton children should be based upon their ability, not their ability
to pay.
No one better understands the challenges and budget shortfalls of our schools than our superintendent of schools, Kathleen Smith, who has gone on record as supporting the resort casino proposal.

The development of the Brockton resort casino will also mean improved public safety for Brockton's residents. Currently, the Brockton police force has 184 police officers. However, the Department of Justice guidelines for police staffing for a city the size of Brockton calls for 250,66 more than we currently have.

A portion of the $\$ 12.5$ million annual revenue from the casino will allow us to hire more police officers, continuing to rebuild our police department. But additionally, the public safety mitigation agreement with the casino will directly fund the hiring of eight additional Brockton police officers, two additional school police officers along with more school crossing guards, while also allowing us to invest public safety
capital in a fire engine and additional police cruisers.

Our mitigation agreement also calls for a $\$ 10.2$ million investment in traffic infrastructure for pedestrian and traffic safety on the west side of Brockton. I know you saw that illustrated earlier.

Most of this investment will be in that Belmont Street corridor identified by MassDOT as a high crash area, an area in which we've had two pedestrian fatalities within the past two years. This critical investment and safety infrastructure will be paid for by the casino developers with no taxpayer dollars.

Perhaps the biggest challenge facing the city of Brockton is to change the perception of our city. A destination resort casino surrounded by an entertainment district will transform the image of Brockton from a city to drive through to a city to drive to.

And on a personal note, I think it is important for the Commission to know that my son's family lives directly across street from this proposed site at the Brockton Fairgrounds,
in fact, one of the closest residences to the project. And if $I$ thought for one minute that this project would have a negative impact on the neighborhood, I would never support it right across the street from my grandchildren, no matter how much money it generated.

The state has harmed Brockton in its revenue funding of both schools and municipal services both in unrestricted state aid and Chapter 70 local aid to education. Let's not compound those two factors with a third by failing to issue a casino license for region three.

Here are the facts. Governor Baker's proposed FY17 budget calls for $\$ 20.1$ million in unrestricted local aid to Brockton. Sixteen years ago in FY2001 that number was $\$ 26.79$ million. Today the city of Brockton receives $\$ 6.6$ million less in local aid than it did 16 years ago.

If we factor in inflation, the consumer price index over the last 16 years, the true shortfall adjusted for inflation is $\$ 15.6$ million. Had the state just continued
local aid adjusted for inflation, our local aid today would be $\$ 15.6$ million more than it is.

The casino revenue for Brockton only partly makes up for that. Keep in mind that's a one-year deficit, one-year loss that we've been sustaining for 16 years. But the casino revenue for Brockton only partly makes up for that. Nonetheless, it's desperately needed.

Today, the city faces the need to find more than $\$ 23$ million in new revenue or spending cuts to maintain level services city and schools from FY16 to FY17. And think about that $\$ 23$ million shortfall compared to the shortfall in local aid over the last 16 years.

It's clear how much of Brockton's budget problems derive from state decisions. It's also clear how important a casino award with $\$ 12.5$ million per year in new revenues would mean to Brockton in the future.

A decision to award the Region C license would help to offset in part the fiscal damage done by other state decisions. There is no good reason to hold off on awarding the Region C license to a private company willing
to put up its own capital at risk to the benefit of both the state and the city of Brockton.

So respectfully, I strongly urge the Gaming Commission to issue the Region C license without further delay. The application period has now extended past year. Whether or not there may someday be an Indian casino in Taunton after years of legal challenges, should not be a factor in determining Mass Gaming and Entertainment's suitability for the Region C license. It's time to start generating the hundreds of millions of dollars of revenue that is desperately needed by both the Commonwealth and the city. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Mr. Bluhm, I know
you have some more too, but if you can just be as quick you can. We had no idea that this was going to go on this long.

MR. BLUHM: This is going to be quick. This is a hard act to follow, the Mayor. And we certainly appreciate the tremendous need that the city has.

I just wanted to address the
situation involving the lawsuit that's been filed. As you know, a group of citizens in Taunton long opposed to having a reservation in their community have brought suit to challenge the Department of the Interior's decision to put land in trust.

Their case is exceptionally strong by any measure of legal review. We've talked to a lot of attorneys who are experts in this field. Each expert in Indian law agrees that the Department of the Interior's decision is unprecedented, contrary to law and violates the 2009 Carcieri Supreme Court case which held that the Narragansett Indians in Rhode Island could not get land in trust.

The citizens group asked us to help fund their lawsuit. And after looking at the law -- I am not a lawyer anymore. -- I frankly was astonished as have others in looking at what the Department of Interior has done. And we decided to participate in the funding of the suit that they brought.

> While there are many legal and
factual errors in the Department of Interior's
grant of land in trust in Taunton, the Department ignored the plain language of the statute as interpreted by the Supreme Court in the Carcieri case.

The Department effectively recognized that the Tribe was not under federal jurisdiction in 1934. That's the key requirement of the Supreme Court's Carcieri case. And the Department basically recognized that. That's required in the Carcieri case.

But they then tortured the language in the statute to justify an unprecedented decision which took over five years for the Department to make. Basically, the Department ignored the reference to "such members in the statute that necessarily meant only members of a tribe that was under federal jurisdiction in 1934."

I urge all of you to look at the materials that we have sent to you which included a letter from us, a memo from our lawyer, our Indian lawyer David Tennant. And I think you have a copy of the complaint as well.

You don't have to be a legal expert
to look at the language in that statute and scratch your head and ask how they came to that conclusion.

The effect of the lawsuit is that we expect that there will be many years of litigation five or 10 or even more years before it can be decided whether or not the land can legally be put into trust.

This is an important point to note. We know of no situation where financing has been obtained when a court challenge was pending to the Department of the Interior's decision to put land in trust until a federal court had ruled in favor of the tribe. The present situation is the strongest challenge that we know of to the Department of the Interior's unprecedented interpretation of the statute.

In the current situation, with such a weak case for putting land in trust, we don't believe that any rational company would ultimately fund a $\$ 500$ million project before this matter was resolved in court. It would not be prudent to risk your entire investment,
which would be lost if it were ultimately determined that the land cannot be put into trust. Certainly, no construction lender would take that risk.

It's important to bear in mind that if it's determined that the land cannot be put in trust, this is a binary decision. And in substance, any investment by a lender or equity would be worthless if a casino could not be built and you put money into it.

So, ask yourself if you were a bank, a financial institution, would you make a loan? Or if you were an equity partner, would you risk $\$ 500$ million of equity or a loan guarantee prior to this matter being resolved? It is not a sensible risk reward.

Someone might say that they are going to fund this, but will they really do it? If that person has the financial resources to put into this project before this is unknown (SIC), I don't think they would do it. And if they have the money, they are smart business people. And I don't think it is a smart investment to take this gamble for the limited
reports when you could end up with nothing. So, the bottom line of it is we think that it will at least be many years before a tribal casino can be built. And in all likelihood, a tribal casino will probably never be built in Taunton because this land should not have been put in trust.

That basically concludes our
remarks. I just want to make a couple of last statements which will be very short.

In conclusion, awarding a license in Brockton you've already heard will create money desperately needed for the town of Brockton and surrounding communities who by the way we have agreements with all nine communities now. Very likely that the development of the tribal casino will be delayed for many years and perhaps never opened.

The Gaming Act and the tribal
compact each contemplated the possibility of two casinos in Region C. And as I pointed out, the Commonwealth earns more revenue with a commercial casino in Brockton or with two casinos than with Taunton alone.

With both Brockton and Taunton having casinos, jobs are almost doubled and economic development increases. But if you don't award a casino license in Brockton and the tribal casino never opens, the Commonwealth will lose $\$ 700$ million over 10 years, all of the benefits and jobs etc.

Again, if you'll award us a license in Brockton, the Tribe will still have a casino if it is ultimately determined that it was legal to do so in the federal courts. It will be a successful casino because frankly we've run the numbers. And I don't know if they are better off paying no tax or paying 17 percent tax when they're all alone. But they will do fine paying no tax. As I mentioned earlier, we're confident that the Brockton casino with two casinos will be successful.

But not awarding a license to Brockton is going to put a dagger in the heart of Brockton and hurt the surrounding communities. And we can open in the spring of 2019 or possibly sooner.

The bottom line is it's a big gamble
if you don't give this license to Brockton because you will hurt Brockton so badly. And at the same time, you are running the risk that there will be no casino in Taunton. And if that happens and you don't give us the license, the Commonwealth is going to lose over the next five years after we open roughly $\$ 500$ million including a license fee.

Talking about a big gamble losing a lot of money and really hurting a city that needs it. If the Indian tribe ultimately is allowed to have the land in trust, they will have a successful casino.

So, we urge you to seriously consider, as $I$ know you will, and issue us the license. And I urge you to ask your experts and look at the statute yourself and the materials we've given you. And you look at that language. You are all intelligent people. And you'll scratch your head I am convinced and say I don't know that this thing can stand up at all. It doesn't makes sense to me.

Thank you for listening to us and hearing the presentation. We appreciate it.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Thank you very much, Mr. Bluhm. Thank you to the rest of the representatives from the applicant. It's been two hours. We're going to take about a fiveminute break. And we will come back with other elected officials.
(A recess was taken)

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Ladies and gentlemen, we are ready to be reconvene. The next item on the agenda is the other elected officials. At this point, we only have one on the list. Ladies and gentlemen, if you can take your seats, please. Councilor Timothy Cruise, please come forward.

MR. CRUISE: Thank you very much, ladies and gentlemen. For the record, I am Ward 1 City Councilor Timothy Cruise. I'm also the president of the city council. The important thing being Ward 1 city council is because the fairgrounds is in Ward 1. I am the city councilor from the area.

I want to say that I am here to beg
you to approve this license. I don't know that we can wait much longer. I don't have a prepared speech like the Mayor did.

But I live about 400 yards from the fairgrounds. And if anybody would be against it, it would be me and my family. And we are very excited for the opportunity to have this come to the city. I can tell you that it's not just about the mitigation dollars, the dollars we can get upfront although that is huge. As we are preparing this year's budget, again, your Commission is in charge with it, but we as a city have taken a lot of things on the chin from the Commonwealth of Massachusetts for the last 20 years.

We educate people that aren't from Brockton. And we don't get full reimbursement for that. The Governor's new budget is going to crucify our schools if it goes through as it is.

This is an opportunity for you as a commission to help cities like Brockton, which is really what this legislation was about. I think you will find that a lot of the people
that you will have testify later that are against this are against gambling in general, which I respect. There are people who do have an issue with that.

However, that is not what this Commission is charged with. The Legislature voted to approve this. The people of Massachusetts voted to approve this. The people of Brockton voted to approve this. Those questions are done.

Those votes have all been done. Again, some people might say and I see in the paper every now and then the election was fairly close. Well, as $I$ joke with city councilors who have won by five votes before, you know you call somebody who wins an election by a vote, you call them councilor.

The election, there was nothing in the legislation that said you had to win by a plurality or by 65 percent or by 70 percent. The election was held in Brockton. And the people of Brockton voted yes on this. And again --

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Folks, please.

Excuse me, Sir. Would you please be quiet. I'm speaking to both sides. Let's please keep this down. We're not going to be here all night as it is.

MR. CRUISE: Again, I'll be much shorter. I don't have a lot more to say other than I agree completely with the idea that your commission has been charged with giving out these casino licenses. Every day that goes by is more money that the Commonwealth and the city and the area towns all lose out on.

Clearly, with the court case against the Wynn casino that could be another year before it even starts looking at permits. Springfield has already started to make changes and has been pushed back I believe a year.

This project is ready to go. I've been a city councilor for over 10 years. And I've been very involved before that in much of what has gone on in this city. We try to attract businesses that we can.

I don't have any other businesses come to Brockton looking to invest \$700 million. The last good piece of property, one
of the last really good pieces of property in the city is the fairgrounds. It's a historic piece of property, but the fair itself has fairly pretty much run its course. It's time to move that piece of property, privately owned piece of property forward. This is a great opportunity to do that.

Some of the other items that have come up Belmont Street in my ward is one of the most dangerous streets in the state. We need to fix it. It's a state road. They don't have the money to fix it. We don't have the money to fix it. The only way I'm going to be able to get that road fixed is with the money that is coming from the developer who clearly wants that fixed because he doesn't want traffic.

Traffic is something that my constituents are concerned about. I understand that. But the people that will want to make sure there is no traffic issues are the people that want to get their customers off the highway and into the casino quicker and out quicker. They don't want traffic. So, they've
already worked with us. I've seen good plans to move that traffic in and out safely.

Those are the items that $I$ can't fix on my own in the city. And this is one of our last best chances to move the city of Brockton forward.

I currently have three hotels in the city that are 100 percent full. The problem is they are 100 percent full with homeless families put here by the Commonwealth of Massachusetts that we educate and sometimes get partial reimbursement about a year and half later.

This is an opportunity to have people who want to come to Brockton, want to stay in those hotels and a new resort hotel. Again, it's not just about the money that we can get short-term, it's about changing the image of the city I grew up in.

I want people to want to come to Brockton again. We are the only city on the South Shore, the largest city on the South Shore. I grew up as a kid where Brockton was the place to be.

Certainly, 100 years ago we were the shoe city. We were one of the lead cities in New England. And we were famous around the world. I want to be famous for the right things again. I want people to want to come to my city again.

If you approve this license, I believe this project will almost certainly be the first casino opened in the state, again other than a slots parlor, which is not a fullservice casino. Again, respectfully, I don't think it's your worry about competition, really not your decision to make. A private business has made a decision that they can even if the Indian casino moves forward that they clearly believe they can make money.

They're not going to invest $\$ 700$ million if they don't believe they can make money. Again, hesitation on your part about oversaturation and that kind of thing I think that's really not in your tent. I think it's something that private industry makes that decision and they've made that decision. They're here. They've spent money. And they
are ready to spend $\$ 700$ million in my city.
Again, to make it a city where people want to come to, I envision within a year or two of a casino being open somebody building a nice restaurant over where the Staples is right now. Instead of empty plazas on Belmont Street and other places, have restaurants and other things, theaters that people want to come to.

So, please I ask you, I beg you to approve this and approve it quickly because the state is losing out also. At this point, we have no money coming in from casinos. Legislature again approved it. The people of Massachusetts approved it. And the people of Brockton approved it. So please, grant the license and please grant it quickly. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Thank you. Senator Mike Brady.

MR. BRADY: Thank you, Chairman. Thank you to the board for allowing us to speak. I'm the state senator from the area. As mentioned from my colleague, the city
councilor, this was on the ballot. The voters in Brockton voted in favor of this.

I think some of the concerns with traffic issues, we put money through the state funding budget on bonding bills and transportation, but there's not enough money to fix the roads and infrastructure in our city. The revenue from this proposed casino will help bring revenue into our community.

Brockton's troubles are much like any other gateway city in the Commonwealth. Its tax revenues have habitually failed to keep pace with expenses to run the operation of the government in the Commonwealth in the city. Employee benefit costs and all costs to run the operations of the city are gobbling up every dollar in sight.

And our unfunded pensions and healthcare costs have gone through the roof. And our tax revenue is not meeting the needs that we need in the city of Brockton. We are in desperate need of more public safety personnel, more police and firefighters and more importantly more than anything is jobs.

The jobs that this casino will provide will help to put people back to work. And I've had tremendous calls from residents all across the area that want to go back to work. The revenue over $\$ 10$ million that city will receive from this and the state over \$100 million in taxes are much needed to operate the state and the city of Brockton in which we need to operate our budget and to keep things operating in a timely manner.

When I first got elected into the statehouse over eight years ago, we were facing a deficit. We seem to have come above that and everything else. And this year, the Governor's proposal we're facing severe deficit, budget deficits.

So, we desperately need the revenue. We need the jobs. And as a city councilor who used to represent the area near the fairgrounds, I used to get complaints from different events that would go on there late at night. This will be an enclosed facility. Anytime I worked with the owners and the operators of the fairgrounds, any complaints I
did get, they were answered in a timely manner and addressed.

The noise and so forth this will be an enclosed facility. So, we won't get the noise. There was concerns years ago from other things operating in this area.

I don't want to be repetitive, as the city councilor mentioned but this was on the ballot. The voters voted for it, and desperately need the revenue and the jobs. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Thank you. Are there any representatives of surrounding communities who wanted to speak? Identify yourself, please.

MR. LALLY: My name is Jack Lally. I'm a city councilor.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: From Brockton?
MR. LALLY: From Brockton, yes, Ward 6.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Sorry. Say your name again.

MR. LALLY: Jack Lally. This was a tough decision to come to. I had to really
look at both sides carefully but I have to say I am in favor of the casino coming to Brockton. The city is in desperate, desperate need for increased investment and increased revenue. Something like this could turn around the entire area and start to really help Brockton.

We are looking at some really, really tough decisions coming up when it comes to budgeting. I have been a councilor for eight weeks. I'm 19 years old and I know this isn't going to be pretty.

When I was in high school I had my own car. If $I$ chose, $I$ could have driven to a casino out-of-state. They might not have let me in. And I don't think they would let someone underage go into the casino in Brockton. Just because there's a school nearby doesn't mean it is suddenly going to become the place that high schoolers are going hang out.

We need the money. I am implore you to please give us this casino because we are in a desperate situation. It will help us out tremendously. Without it, we will be in some
steeper financial troubles. Thank you.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Thank you.
Representatives of surrounding communities, I believe there are none, representatives of impacted live entertainment venues, I believe there are none. Then we will move onto the representative from Stand Up for Brockton.

MR. MCDERMOTT: Good evening, Mr. Chairman, Commissioners. My name is Fred McDermott. I'm an attorney, local attorney, Brockton attorney. I'm representing Stand Up for Brockton. And I'm also here on behalf of BIC, Brockton Interfaith Community.

I'd like to introduce you to Richard
Reid who is the pastor of the North Baptist Church in Brockton. He is going to speak on behalf of Stand up for Brockton. Thank you.

REV. REID: Commissioners, I want to thank you for the opportunity to present to you today some of the concerns of Stand Up for Brockton and at least 50 percent of the population of Brockton.

The harsh reality is that Brockton is going through hard times right now. And the
leadership, we appreciate the fact that they are looking for solutions. They were elected to find solutions. Now there are supposed quick fixes and then there are those long-term strategies.

Reality reveals that quick fixes never last and are often harmful to a community. We implore you to follow that we of Stand Up for Brockton would call a biblical principle of counting the cost.

Our goal really would be that there be no casino whatsoever in Region C, but there is a reality that there is going to be one, at least one here in this area. The vote on May 12 we acknowledge. We were short by 148 votes.

But that yes vote did reveal a great divide in the city. It certainly was not an overwhelming victory. When you look at what happened $\$ 1.6$ million spent against $\$ 3627$. I stand amazed that actually it was that close.

Recently, someone did a poll, a random phone poll of people in Brockton and it too is very close. The results were I believe 255 people said I am neither for or against or
refuse to answer. 700 voted and said that I am for a casino. And 784 said no casino whatsoever. So, it is still a very close thing. And it is a divided city.

The promise of $\$ 10$ million a year is a lot of money. I wish somebody would give me $\$ 10$ million to work with. It would be wonderful for our church and for any of the churches in our community. For Brockton, that is just enough to cover at the current budget rate 10 days of operations.

The reality is it's not going to provide any relief to our current budget problems in our city. It's going to be spent primarily on policing and other issues that are related to that immediate area of where the casino has been proposed.

We say show me the money and we know that the agreement says that 80 percent of PILOT, the payment in lieu of taxes, in other words instead of real property and real estate taxes, it's part of this $\$ 10$ million. And it's going to be used all up. There's going to be nothing left over. And again, it's going to be
concentrating, taking care of services in that immediate area.

The city of Brockton is also required to find a better water supply or additional water supply. Adding an enterprise the size of this proposed casino, according to their documents would say they use 120,000 gallons per day and generate 110,000 gallons per day of wastewater. We have no remedy for this. Can our system currently handle this? We have a real problem with our water system in Brockton. The casino is certainly not going to help. We have an aging water system. Pipes are breaking on a regular basis. Last year we went through a couple of days without any water here.

Right now the northernmost street in Brockton, Brookside Avenue, it has relatively low water pressure. I expect that should something in the middle of town taking 120,000 gallons a day that's going to diminish that down to a trickle. It's a real problem.

The desalination plant, the Taunton River Plant, it's not ready to meet the current
needs of Brockton. This is an issue completely aside really from the casino, but it is preparing Brockton for recovery. This may or may not be the solution. That's for others to decide.

We do have a serious addiction problem here in Brockton as many communities in Massachusetts do. One of the best quotes I heard on this was by Peter Kadzis on WGBH video who said we would never negotiate with a heroin provider a how much addiction he can bring into a community, why would we negotiate the same with a casino?

Again, pointing out the fact that there are ramifications for a decision of this nature. There are problems that do come. Yes, all of the numbers that have been placed before us today, they sound impressive all of the extra money and all that. But what happens with families and individuals in our communities? There is a cost.

The Mass. Gaming law allows for three Category 1 casinos in Massachusetts, Everett, Springfield and Taunton. The Mashpee

Tribe has met the two conditions set forth in the 2013 Indian Accord. They already are the third casino in Massachusetts. Regardless of the lawsuits that are there, they can build at risk. The law simply says three not four. Brockton is not eligible based upon these laws that are now in place.

We know that many of us here are against gambling altogether. There are many people who just don't want it where it's located. Government gambling has always been a problem. It seems to be a failed government policy. I don't know of any government agency that refers to as a policy but that's really what it seems to be for the layman to look at it. It has caused problems for many American families.

It's blatantly dishonest. It just starts with a little bit here, a little bit there. And then it becomes a real problem. When this problem gets made worse for an individual, one of the things that we see and I've observed even in Brockton already is places like churches and other nonprofits
become the first line of defense.
When somebody is going through a struggle whatever it be, one of the things they turn to is the church. I know I get calls every single year, people looking for additional food. This past year it was for rent and mortgage money. Their needs are not being met. Do these folks need jobs, yes. But is this the place to do it?

Again, gambling has failed to deliver. It often is promised that it's going to solve the problems. The problems of Brockton are many. They need solutions. But is this the best way to do it?

Do the casinos really deliver on what they are saying on paper or slide even today? It's failed because it's financially damaging to the citizens.

It increases the divide between the powerful few and everyone else. Predatory gambling is a real problem. It is happening in more and more communities as they continue to expand. The target is the wallet. And it is the low-income. It is the senior citizens, the
minorities, those that can least afford this is the target market.

Many people have some ideas of what they are selling us today about what the reality is. But $I$ would classify them as lies that have been told that commercial gambling creates jobs. No great nation or state has ever built prosperity on the foundations of personal debt, addiction and the steady expansion of businesses that milk the existing wealth instead of producing new wealth.

We need jobs in Brockton. There is
nobody that will argue that. We're all in favor of new jobs. But jobs that will create income, will create wealth and stability in our community not draw from what already exists.

That casinos improve government budgets, yes, it's impressive. Whether it's $\$ 40$ million or $\$ 71$ million a year that sounds great. But the reality is when we look at other states that has not really been with it. California being one of the perfect examples that it was supposed to fund the public education. In reality, it only provides 1.3
percent of their budget.
Do we want to go to Rhode Island, I like going to Rhode Island not to the casinos but for other things. People say you're going to gamble, you're going to go out of state so let's keep the money here. Again, it's not really a valid argument.

What we're going to end up here is not necessarily a destination casino but one is that is a convenience casino. Most of the people that will come to it will be ones that are in our immediate area. In those areas that need help the most right now.

So, going out of state is really a deliberate public relation tactic to avoid the intense scrutiny of what really happens in a community. The slot machines again, we have the Plainridge casino now. Not a Category 1 but there are claims out there that say these don't addict people. They do. And they are designed to draw everything out.

I remember a number of times working in computer conventions out in Vegas and leaving my hotel room. The hotel I was staying
in was a small one where $I$ didn't expect to find a casino.

And when I would leave at 7:00 a.m. to go over to the convention center to work my booth, there would be this group of people that would be at these slots. And when I would come back 14 hours later the same ones are there. It is addicting. It is something we don't need. We have a big enough addiction problem in Brockton. Let's not add to it.

The casinos and I bumped in here lotteries, they are not like any other business. A restaurateur will eat his own food. We put in here someone with a vineyard will drink the wine that he makes. A movie actress will watch the movies she makes. This is the only product or service where most of the people who own it and promote it including public officials don't use it and don't even really want to live near it.

The fact that it diminishes organized crime. Some studies out in Chicago said that that is not necessarily the case because the criminal or the illegal gambling
facilities, once they get people use to having gambling on a regular basis, they pick up people simply because the risk is greater for the reward that they offer.

Public opinion supports predatory gambling, we look at the things that you don't want in your backyard. And number one is you don't want a landfill in your backyard. And casino is number two that you don't want in your backyard. I've heard many people say that to me over the last year. We don't really care about a casino. We just don't want it in our backyard.

The Brockton reality, and I'm using some of the original numbers that were given a year ago, the promise of 1400 construction jobs. It sounds impressive. These will be union jobs and that is fine. Our union workers need work, but most of the people that we are trying to address that need jobs in Brockton are not union members.

These will go to those outside of Brockton proper. Many of it will go to South Shore and Boston-area people. And yet some
will have to be brought into our area. It is not going to help the need of the unemployed and underemployed in Brockton.

The 1500 plus long-term jobs, you look at the numbers, not all of them are nowhere near the $\$ 50,000$ average that they are talking about. Many of these jobs are going to be filled by people that already are doing those same jobs in other places.

I think of just the simple area like housekeeping. People are going to be hired because they have experience. And they may be working at one of our city's other hotels now. And they will go over there because of the few extra dollars per hour.

It will create some temporary jobs, which is good, but the reality is the likelihood is that many of these smaller hotels that we have in town will ultimately be put out of business with this large hotel. The net gain would be zero.

We want to be a destination resort, no. The reality is most of the people will be from this immediate area. That makes it a
convenience casino.
The location for any business we know is so important. But here this one defies logic for me, putting it next to the high school. I understand there's 47 acres there ready for development, but a high school. This will be what $I$ was looking for, trying to find if anyone else has done it.

I cannot find another casino anywhere in the country that is built next to a high school. Someone said well, we'll call Brockton casino high. I don't know that it would ever get to that. I'm hoping that if they get their casino that there will be sufficient measures to keep those that are not eligible to come in there. But the reality and the perception is putting it next to a high school is not good for the image of Brockton. Is there any real economic recovery with a casino? The answer is no. We look at what's happening in Plainridge, understanding it's simply a slots casino. The numbers keep going down. So much so that the Commonwealth has reduced the expectations by 40 percent.

That is not good economics.
Will it be delivered what was voted on here, we don't know. Springfield has scaled back. The Everett one is going to go through many changes and may be even more due to some litigation. We're not sure what's going to happen there. But is that what the people voted on, probably not.

Of course, the reality here is will the Taunton casino happen? The predicted lawsuit came true. And Mr. Bluhm and his team, they are partially funding it. And he explained that well earlier. And I understand where he is coming from.

But the reality is that it is not against a casino, it is against the recognition of an Indian tribe and it is a very special tribe. It's the tribe that met the pilgrims, the first immigrants to this country. Who are we to say that they are not an Indian tribe?

Enhanced business opportunities here in Brockton. We need to have alternatives. I said it in my opening. We've elected our officials for this particular thing, look for
solutions. Opening up Main Street to two-way again will help. Enhancing academic
opportunities by expanding college and technical training facilities. This is a good thing for Brockton.

Convert part of the fairgrounds property into an indoor sporting facility. Convert part of it to affordable housing units for starter families and senior housing, the people that need it most.

Convert it into a sporting museum for the city of champions. And then one of the things I know is happening in a different part of the city is that an ethnic restaurant area, starter restaurants. This is a perfect location for it. Yes, it is central. Yes, it is on a main thoroughfare. These are things that should be done.

Someone suggested to me yesterday to add something into this was a new police, fire and EMT center where it would be centrally located and easy access. Again, a good solution.

Searching for more regional and
national companies to come to relocate to Brockton. I know many of our councilors are working on this thing even right now. But let's kick it up a notch. And partner with Boston and other regional healthcare facilities to improve what we already have here in Brockton. Make it so that we are truly city of champions.

The time is now for us to Stand Up for Brockton. Our choice, a short-term solution that comes with some long-term problems and a major disruption of family life and the community or chart a new course with original and fiscally sound and beneficial to the community ideas and efforts.

Commissioners, Stand Up for Brockton and the churches of Brockton are praying for you every day that God will grant you wisdom as you ponder the evidence and make a decision. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Thank you very much Pastor Reid. We have Shaynah Barnes, city councilor from Brockton.

MS. BARNES: Good evening. I came
in a little late, so $I$ apologize for my tardiness and my sneakers, but $I$ was out walking earlier.

Like I said, $I$ came in a little late, so I didn't hear the beginning of the presentation but $I$ did hear that it was pretty lengthy earlier. I guess I'm just standing first of all just to note my opposition to this particular project in the city of Brockton. And not to rebut, but just to address from my perspective and my point of view some of the things that $I$ heard as $I$ came in the door.

I won't be long. I particularly have a problem as of late with all of these projects that have been presented to the city of Brockton for development and all these things. I am really disappointed that we are coming off so desperate. The desperation that we are showing as a city, it's abhorrent.

I think we need to step back and take a beat and not jump into everything that comes across the desk. Not every idea is a good idea. We need to take a moment to make sure that what we do is something that can be
beneficial and that can be sustained long-term. And being desperate is when we get proposals like casinos and power plants and all of these other kinds of things that foreseeably are bad projects.

So, I just have a problem. We are not desperate. We're not. We are in really tough times. We are definitely in tough times, but we are not desperate. Desperation is a whole different animal. I think that if we also market our city in a more effective way and actually go out and pirate some of these businesses.

And when our administrator, our CEO of our city, when he goes to Washington, DC and Cape Verde, come back with some proposals for businesses there that can maybe expand and franchise here in Brockton. That's what we need to do.

We need to go out and actively find these projects and these businesses that are willing to invest in our city because we have a lot to offer. We don't market ourselves well and that's why we are in these situations we
are in now.
And fighting in court and having a conflict over contracts from 20 years ago that seven, eight, nine years up the road, all of a sudden it's a bad idea. So, everything was a good idea at the time but now when you see it and some of the things start to shake out from it, all of a sudden it's a bad idea.

Then we get in these positions where we have to take these band-aids and we have to take these things because we get caught up. I'm really passionate about this because I really am dismayed at how we appear. And I want to make sure that we don't continue to go down this road that any and everything that wants to come to Brockton can just dump here, and then either take off or do whatever they want to do, because that's where we are now.

Traditionally, if you take a look at some of the casinos in some of the other areas, Mohegan Sun that was like the best thing since sliced bread when it first came around 10 years ago or whatever. -- I mean Foxwoods. And then Mohegan Sun came.

Traditionally, casinos report devastating revenue losses in the first three to five years of their operations. Look at Plainville, Plainville didn't even get a year and they were about to close their doors two months ago. So, we need to take a look at that as well.

I don't think we have the foundation to be able to support that. And I really don't think people come into Brockton to come to this casino. That's not what's going to draw them here. That's not a family place to bring people.

I agree with the Reverend when he said about the indoor arena sports area. That was a good idea about the sports memorabilia museum. How about bringing some culture here. How about not bringing an industry that promotes having a cash check machine in the bathroom? How about that? How about we do that? How about we promote it a little bit better?

I was the only councilor to come out against the proposal in the beginning. And I
made sure I did all of my research. I attended every meeting. Several of these gentlemen and ladies, I've seen them several times the meetings. I try to go to every single one that I could and I did as much research as I could.

And when BIC started to get their campaign off the ground against the casino, I also went to that. So, I wanted to make an informed choice for myself as a resident, as somebody who is going to be living here who has no real plans of leaving.

I want to make sure that as I
continue to grow and as I grow my future family
I hope that I'll have someday. I'll have a foundation. I'll have something that I can be proud of and say this is where we are, not that's where that old casino was so that it looks like some of the other places that are dilapidated and that we haven't been able to fill.

Casinos in general -- Let me back up. I'm pretty sure it was mentioned here earlier that we had our challenges. We have our challenges here. And some of the
challenges are getting worse. I get that. And we're trying every single day.

And myself and the other councilors, we're banging our heads trying to figure out what we can do as a corporation and cooperative partnership to make the city a little bit better. We have our challenges. And we are white-knuckling it.

Why would we invite an industry with known foreseeable challenges into our city to just burden us more with some of the things that we're not even accustomed to. Some of the things that casinos bring -- And not all casinos are bad. I'm not against gambling. I'm not against the whole gambling thing. I buy a scratch ticket every once in a while. Everybody does. So, I'm not against gambling. I'm just against it here.

Why would we with the foreseeable problems that a casino brings, just in general, not all but most of them, why would bring that here to further burden our system? We are looking at major layoffs. We are looking at school deficits. We can't support that.

Also Brockton is not a casino industry. We're not a casino town. We are a manufacturing town. We are distributors. We distribute goods for people to take home and to do stuff with. Let's do that.

Maybe let's start to look at Spark Street. Let's build Spark Street back up and maybe we can start to have a mini industrial parkway or something so we can start to attract all of these businesses that we're saying we can't attract. We can't attract them because we're not trying. And we need to start trying a little bit more with a little more fervor.

I was back there taking notes. I'm not a huge, huge gambler, but I'm not against it. But I don't really know the odds or all that stuff or the tables and all that but everybody keeps saying the people of Brockton voted for it.

Yes, a slight majority of the people voted for the casino. But not everybody voted for the casino. I being one, several tens of people in here also did not vote for this particular project. I would say I would be
extremely cautious to wager a $\$ 700$ million project on the whim of 143 people. That's what the referendum went by 143 people. Like . 01 percent.

So, if you can't even get the people in your area to overwhelmingly support your idea, what makes you think that somebody outside is going to support this? I respect the developers. I respect their business. They're extremely, wildly successful. And I definitely wish them well in all their endeavors, but let's turn the page on this.

What's on page two of this proposal?
Not even this proposal, but what's your second proposal? What is the secondary one? Is it something better like a sports arena or something that everybody can take advantage of. Not something that's exclusionary and that only people either will leave with their pockets turned out like oh, boy on the thing there from Monopoly, we don't want that. We can't afford that.

We cannot afford to burden the
people any further with something that is, like

I said, foreseeably going to be a problem here in the city. So, I'll just urge you to not grant this particular license for the city of Brockton. That's my time. Thank you. CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Now we are to an opportunity for representatives of the public to speak. There are about 70 names on this list times three minutes that's 210 minutes. Who knows how many hours that would be. So, we will start. You do have a right for three minutes.

But number one, let's please adhere to them. And number two, again, we said earlier on we understand and appreciate the passion. We can feel the passion in the room on both sides of this issue. This is complicated.

But rather than repeat and repeat and repeat the same things over and over again, if you can make your positions known, give us a chance to see you and hear your positions succinctly, and then maybe move on. Maybe we'll have a chance to get through everybody who'd like to speak tonight.

I'm just going to go through the list starting on the top. Isabel Lopez, I'm going to read several names, Britney Swiger, Ethan Snow, Stephen Bernard. If you can come up and be in line. It'll save us some time. Isabel Lopez, Britney Swiger, Ethan Snow, Stephen Bernard. Isabel Lopez?

MS. SERRANO: I'm reading the statement for her. My name is Luisa Serrano and I'll be reading on behalf of Isabel Lopez.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Okay.
MS. SERRANO: Good afternoon members of the Gaming Commission. My name is Isabel Lopez and I'm an organizer for the Brockton Interfaith Community. And I am here today to speak on behalf of the thousands of residents that have voted no for the casino as well as the thousands of members that attend BIC member congregations and on behalf of the thousands of students that attend the Brockton High School.

Since the beginning of last year when we heard the public officials had decided to sign a contract for a casino here in Brockton, thousands of faith leaders started to
call BIC to get involved and worrying about the devastating effects this could potentially cause to our city that already struggles with the highest percentage of violence and addiction. Throughout this year, BIC has been working with clergy area member residents to make sure their voices were heard throughout the election and postelection.

Parents who believe it is harmful and a threat to their children's future to allow a gambling casino across the street from Brockton High School had no other resource than to work to oppose this idea.

We have heard from hundreds of Brockton High School students that their dignity has been violated and disrespected. Nowhere in this process there has been an attempt made to reach out to them to ask for their input, recommendations or feedback.

Putting a casino directly across the street from one of the country's largest high schools is absurd. Basically, you can do whatever because $I$ don't matter. I am a citizen like anyone else in this city. I
deserve to express my point. This is what the youth participating in the listening session BIC conducted over the summer.

It will not be of the benefit to the people of Brockton, the residents of surrounding communities or the Commonwealth of Massachusetts to accept any deal or idea when you know the health, safety and well-being of all people is at risk deteriorating their lives. People matter more than profits. Please do not issue a commercial casino license in Region C. Thank you. And this on behalf of Isabel Lopez.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Thank you very much. Britney Swiger.

MS. SWIGER: Hi, thank you. My name is Britney Swiger. I'm a banquet server at the Rivers Casino in Pittsburgh. I've been there for four years. It's run by the same people, Rush Street Gaming that's trying to come into town. I appreciate the opportunity to tell you me and my coworkers' story.

Just to show you a little bit of -tell you a little bit about how sometimes at
the Rivers you could just be that a number on your badge, a disposable employee like a lot of the good employees I've seen gone were.

As a banquet server, we cater events from small meetings to big lavish parties. We serve food, drinks to guests. My coworkers and I also when I had first started working there, we were washing our own dishware without the proper equipment. In the middle of events, it was pretty dangerous and not to mention it was unsanitary. We told management about at so many times. They told us -- They laughed. It was going to cost $\$ 50,000$ to put a new dish system in our banquet facility. So, it was a joke.

They didn't do anything to fix it until of course a coworker got stitches. She had to go to the hospital and she had to come back. And she ended up working rest of her shift. It takes somebody to get injured to get safety on the job.

Also, in banquets we have to fight to make sure -- it's called a toke book. I get $\$ 3.75$ an hour plus my gratuities off of
parties. And that's off of the food and the bar together, the gratuity put in my paycheck.

When I first started there, we were just getting paychecks every two weeks. And I had no idea how $I$ was getting paid. I didn't know if my numbers were right. There was no log. There was nothing to say this is what you made on this day or anything like that. We got a group of workers actually together and marched into management and we demanded a toke book that we wanted to know what we were making.

After we got the toke book and everything like that and people were starting to check their hours, starting to check their tokes and things like that. There were discrepancies. People were working but not being paid for events. That was that.

We kept asking for gratuity records and things like that to this day. Sometimes they come in a little bit late. It is a business. Banquet business throughout the United States people do file unemployment. There is also a problem when one of my
coworkers filed unemployment. And it's a twoweek pay period. You can make a certain amount of money in one week and in the second week you may not have any work but the casino was dividing that one week into the two weeks.

It's pretty frustrating sometimes not to know where your money is coming from especially when your management does. We're fighting for a fair process to organize. For a while now we've had a petition running things like that. That's like the main reason I'm here.

We've been fighting for a fair process. We want to make our job a great, great job. And we want the accountability to be there at our job. And I worry that Rush Street Gaming will treat you like they've treated us. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Also Stephen
Bernard, Larry Curtis and John Merian.
MR. SNOW: Thank you for the opportunity to speak today. May name is Ethan Snow. I'm with the New England joint board of Unite Here.

We're a labor union that represents about 10,000 workers in New England in the manufacturing and service industries including about a thousand workers in and around Brockton. Nationally, Unite Here represent about 100,000 casino workers making us the largest casino workers union in the world.

We oppose this casino proposal because of the atrociously labor record of the Chicago group that controls it. This Chicago group called Rush Street Gaming is holding back working families at the casinos they already operate, SugarHouse in Philadelphia, Rivers Casino in Pittsburgh and Rivers Casino in Des Plaines outside of Chicago.

As you know, Rush Street Gaming and Mass Gaming and Entertainment share three senior officers, the same Chairman, the same CEO and the same Secretary-Treasurer. And Rush Street Gaming would operate the Brockton casino if it's built.

You heard from one of the casino workers today who bravely shared some of her and her coworkers' stories with you. But here
is more of the Rush Street story.
Turnover at Rivers Casino Pittsburgh
to date was at 40 percent last fiscal year, double the rate of the union casino that is its nearest competitor. SugarHouse Casino in Philadelphia pledge "the best jobs in Philly" but workers there have complained of insecure job, understaffing and a lack of basic respect on the job.

Each existing Rush Street casino in the US has run anti-union campaigns. They've settled a total of 38 Federal unfair practice charges rather than face administrative trials. Rivers Casino Pittsburgh broke Federal labor law by illegally interfering with a union election through surveillance of union activity and preventing distribution of union materials.

And casino workers have reported harassment from their managers including threats, surveillance and other intimidation tactics.

The major benefit of casinos that host communities like Brockton get is permanent jobs. But at Rush Street casinos the job track
record is marred by high turnover, low wages, poor benefits and ongoing labor conflict.

There will be a difference elsewhere in Massachusetts. Our experience as the casino workers union shows that a real partnership is possible. Some casinos provide good union jobs where workers have a voice and where the wages and benefits are excellent.

We have long-term and cooperative relationships with the casino companies that will be operating in Springfield and in Everett. We are confident that those jobs will be family sustaining jobs.

Unlike those casinos, Rush Street Gaming has refused to agree to follow a fair process. We urge you to reject their proposal.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Thank you.
MR. BERNARD: Good evening,
Commissioners. My name is Stephen Bernard. I'm a life-long resident of Brockton and I'm also president of the local branch of the NAACP, the National Association Advancement of Colored People.

Do not be afraid of change, be
afraid of not changing. Socrates a great philosopher said that the secret to change is to focus all of your energy not on fighting the old but on building the new.
We who are in favor fervently
embrace change. We embrace opportunity and we embrace the positive. This is a one-time opportunity with far-reaching and significant economic stabilizing factors for the city of Brockton, the region and for the people.

The March 2013 Brockton racial profile reads white population 44.2 percent, the black population 39.4 percent, the Hispanic population 7.8 percent, other 3.2 , two or more races 2 and American Indian . 4 percent. Obviously as spoken earlier we are by now a majority/minority city.

From the Institute of Assets and Social Policy out of Brandeis University, an article of February 2013 entitled the Roots of Widening Racial Wealth Gap Explaining the Black-White Economic Divide, the key factors are or the key findings are tracing the same households over 25 years, the total wealth gap
between white and African-American families nearly triples increasing from \$85,000 in 1984 to $\$ 236,500$ in 2009 .

Two of the of the greatest drivers of the growing racial wealth gap are years of homeownership, household income, unemployment which is much more prominent among AfricanAmerican families, a college education, inheritance financial support by family of friends and pre-existing family wealth, and three, equal achievements such as income gains yield unequal wealth rewards for whites and African-Americans.

The unemployment rate for the state of Massachusetts is 4.7 percent. The unemployment rate for Brockton is 5.6. In the country, the United States unemployment rate is 5.5 with African-Americans almost twice at 9.5 percent. While white unemployment for the country is 4.6 percent.

We are encouraged by the financial impact that the employment opportunities from this project will have on so many families. Brockton is where we live, where we learn and
where we work. We know that this Commission takes into account the social policies shaping and opportunities when making the final decision. Remember the sitcom the Jeffersons --

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Mr. Bernard, I'm sorry --

MR. BERNARD: -- on CBS that aired in 1975 to 1985? It was a spinoff from All In the Family with Archie Bunker.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Mr. Bernard, I'm sorry your time is up. You can submit your statement to us, the rest of it if you want to. Larry Curtis.

MR. BERNARD: Thank you, we encourage you to pass this license onto us. We finally need a piece of the pie. Thank you very much, Commissioners.

MR. CURTIS: Good evening and thank
you for the opportunity to testify for our great city of champions, the city of Brockton.

My name is Larry Curtis. I am a resident in the city Brockton. I am a homeowner and I am also registered voter.

I would like to characterize this process as kind of a 12-round championship battle. A battle that the city of Brockton is very, very famous for with our boxing history. In round one, we saw Mass Gaming and Entertainment, the city of Brockton and Mr. Carney come together and propose the idea of developing a destination resort casino for the fairgrounds. That was a win for the city.

Round two was a collaborative effort that resulted in us as the city of Brockton entering into a city host agreement which then triggered a number of events over the course of the next several rounds. Round two I would characterizes as a win for the city of Brockton.

Then we got into what became the pros and cons of a destination resort casino for the city. Both sides for and against spent several weeks over an eight-week period getting out their votes for and against the city in accordance to the Mass. Gaming Commission's rules and regulations.

Those rounds, rounds three, four and
five were really a draw for the city. And round six as we saw on May 12 basically ended up with a significant win for the city of Brockton, the residents who came out and said yes for Brockton in their support of a destination resort casino.

Shortly after that vote, we saw a couple of losses happen in the city. We saw in round seven the continued state and local aid cuts that the city continues to experience. We've heard them from the Mayor in earlier testimony.

We also had a significant blow below the belt when the state decided to cut any existing funding for our community college collaborative that was going to be an anchor economic development in the downtown area of Brockton, a significant blow to our city.

But then in November 2015 in round nine, we saw a resounding vote of confidence in the reelection of our Mayor Carpenter and the direction that he is taking this city. And that election won 55 votes two years ago to over 1700 votes in support of the Mayor going
forward.
The city in turn had losses in
rounds 10 and 11. In round 10 the Department of Education made a decision that it was going to allow charter schools to come into our city. That decision will cost the city probably $\$ 10$ million going into fiscal year 2018 that is so much needed in our public education system.

Round 11, we're a city that is known for self-inflicting ourselves. Front page of the paper this past week, let's sue each other again over the power plant and let's look at a $\$ 350$ million development project that has been nine years in the making and waiting for some decision to be made.

It brings us to round 12 , why are we here tonight? We are here tonight because the city of Brockton, Rush Street Gaming and Mass Gaming and Entertainment are going to knockout this proposal once and for all.

As Commissioners, I hope that it's a unanimous decision on your part after you hear all of the testimony. And I will take a threetwo vote though. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Also Phil Griffin, Paula Landerholm, John Merian, Phil Griffin, Paul Landerholm, Michael Gallerani. Yes, Sir.

MR. MERIAN: Mr. Chairman,
Commissioners. I'm Brockton. My name is John Merian. I'm a lifelong resident of Brockton with my wife Shelley. We've raised four remarkable children who have all attended Brockton public schools. I am the co-owner of a business in downtown Brockton with my brother Paul in third-generation family company established in 1951.

President of the Downtown Brockton Association and President of the 21st-Century Corporation. I'm here to support Rush Street Gaming's application to build a resort casino in Brockton because this project will bring much-needed economic vitality to Brockton. These are very challenging times for my city. I've seen the city in the height of its prosperity when business was bustling and people were visiting Brockton to shop and be entertained in the many movie theaters, ballrooms, bowling alleys and our 150-year-old

Brockton Fair.
We're looking to regain this vitality and energy by bringing in business. Federal and state monies are drying up. And cities like Brockton need to find creative solutions to generate revenues which are necessary for much-needed infrastructure repairs and to maintain the services for our residents who have stayed loyal to this community.

This resort complex is a creative solution. The hotel, restaurants, entertainment hall and casino will be an entertainment option which will attract people to a new exciting city. It's an economic development project which will create 1800 new jobs for the people who live here.

The hotel and casino entertainment complex will generate $\$ 10$ million that will help fund more police, more teachers, fix roads and attract people into the city who can potentially spend money in our community. It will complement our economic development plan to rebuild our downtown where we will be
opening several more restaurants and continue to create a better quality of life for Brockton and the surrounding towns.

In closing, Brockton's economic development future will continue to look for creative ways to generate new monies which will rebuild our city. This entertainment casino resort will not only generate $\$ 10$ million to the city, but expand our local economy through commerce created by buying goods and services that will generate additional millions to the local economy.

For years, Brockton has been the recipient of every socially dependent problem from homelessness to drug rehabilitation centers but not the necessary funding to support the costs that burden our local services.

Federal monies are scarce and the government has recently announced more cuts to an already underfunded budget. This is devastating to Brockton. Projects like the casino resort do not come along very often. It will create new jobs, generate new taxes, add
dollars into our local economy by buying goods and services.

It will give our city a reason to be proud again. What may I ask the Commission is your answer? If I may be so bold, the answer is support this resort and let us create a new vibrant destiny for the city of Brockton. Thank you.

MR. LANDERHOLM: Good evening. My
name is Paul Landerholm. I'm a 30-year resident of Brockton. I'm also a Local 7 Ironworker.

I'm strongly in support of this
casino. I'd love to see some benefits to Brockton. What this will bring -- I'm sorry. I'm not as good a speaker as all of these other people before me. I am strongly in support for it. We need something here. We are not a staple of a shoe city anymore. We're a staple of other things in the news. So, maybe a casino will bring a different light to the city. That's it. That's all I got. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Thank you.

MR. GRIFFIN: Good evening Mr.
Chairman, Commissioners. Thank you for coming to Brockton. My name is Phil Griffin. I lived in Brockton all my life.

To really simplify this discussion, what this really boils down to is the economic future of Brockton. Brockton is in desperate need of jobs and revenue. I believe this casino would be a real impetus in providing a stimulus in the economy that Brockton needs.

In the short-term, there'd be plenty of jobs for union tradesmen to make good living wages for their families. In the long-term, there'd be permanent jobs, 1800 jobs. That's only the beginning of it.

You have a gentleman like Mr. Bluhm who is willing to invest almost $\$ 700$ million, other entrepreneurs will come to Brockton and won't be hesitant to maybe invest $\$ 1,000,000$, $\$ 500,000$ or even $\$ 100,000$. It will change the whole image of the downtown Brockton and the city in general.

I cannot urge in any stronger way. The city of Brockton deserves better jobs, a
better economic future. And this could be the beginning of it. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Mr. Gallerani, also Adriano Cabral, Dana Boardman, Jeanne Holmes.

MR. GALLERANI: Good evening. I'm Michael Gallerani. And I think in the interest of time, I will just defer to the comments that Mr. Merian, the President of Brockton 21stCentury Corporation, which I'm Executive Director of. I think I don't need to echo exactly what he said. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Thank you.
MS. HOLMES: I'm Jeanne Holmes.
Good evening. First of all, I'm going to say I'm Ward 1. I know you heard from the Ward 1 councilor. Guess what, he doesn't speak for the neighbors. He doesn't speak for the residents of Ward 1.

I'm here speaking as a parent, as a taxpayer and a resident who lives in the neighborhood of the proposed casino in Ward 1. I am absolutely against the casino that is proposed. And I urge you to deny the
application for the casino in Brockton at this time.

It's not the right place. It's not the right time. It's not the right applicant for the license. And I predict that awarding this license will ultimately cause great harm to Brockton and to the surrounding communities as well as seriously embarrass the Gaming Commission.

I know that many people have bought the rhetoric spun by the applicants. Many of the people, Mr. Curtis is paid by Mr. Carney, all the people. I'm not paid by anybody. I'm just here on my own.

But I have to say this, not all that glitters is gold. Not long ago, I had to go to Reno with my daughter who was doing a clinical rotation there. I'm sure this is just one example, but people need to know what the realities around a casino are as opposed to what's been promoted and what has been advertised by this applicant.

I went to Reno with my daughter.
And I can tell you it was the most depressing
weeks and the scariest of weeks that I have ever endured. Reno has many casinos, but other than the bright lights of the casinos, the place is a mess.

When I walked around there was nothing but empty storefronts, pawn shops, pan handlers, vagrants, etc. I felt extremely unsafe as I walked the streets because other than the vagrants, I didn't see anyone. I presume the other people were inside the casinos or their houses.

The evidence that it's not safe was quite evident in the buildings that $I$ saw, because the buildings and homes around the casino area had bars on the windows. And the place where my daughter stayed had a $24 / 7$ armed security guard along with key access to the building and the parking building. And I asked the building manager about her safety because I was going to be leaving her there. He advised that they were doing the best they could to protect the people that went to their hotel. But the best thing was they couldn't really make sure she was safe. So, she should
make sure that she never ventured out alone as it would not be safe outside the secured walls of that building and the hotel in Reno.

If that's not enough, I visited a pawn shot. And I could not help but feel the pain and the suffering of items that were for sale that clearly belonged to some family member, a spouse, a parent at one time, were probably someone's family heirlooms but now they were being sold to strangers because the original owners had fallen victim to the lure of the casinos and the bright light.

If this can happen in Reno, it can surely happen in Brockton. Even worse is that this will be right next to our schools and endanger our children. At least in Reno, the casinos weren't near the schools or near the children. I ask for your indulgence, if I may. I'm almost finished.

I would also point out that Mr.
Bluhm and Carney, they have provided us with lies and manipulations. I think that they have also come before you. I would point to the time of --

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Ms. Holmes, I'm sorry. We are out of time. You can submit your comments to us.

MS. HOLMES: Just quickly I would say oh, the tangle webs they weave, first they practice to deceive. Please don't fall into their web. Please.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Thank you.
Adriano Cabral, Dana Boardman, Christopher Lovetere, Alison van Dam.

MR. BOARDMAN: I'm Dana Boardman, work 38 years at the VA Hospital on Belmont Street.

Some of my concerns is the traffic. That is a joke. The study you folks have received is full of falsehoods that a two-yearold would know how to read would find out that the day they picked to do that was --

First of all, February, the shortest month of the year, vacation times and they picked a two-hour timeframe, which the traffic on the street is unbelievable. There's been accidents, fatalities. So, you should really look at that study.

The other thing is there was a comparison between building and staying the same. If you look at the statistics, when they had buildings some of the traffic went down. Either it's a typing error or someone doesn't know how to do a very good study.

Other things in the traffic is there has been fatalities. The owner got injured coming out of the fairgrounds himself. And the money that we are talking about, the state hasn't guaranteed that they will put their portion up which is the major areas.

I believe with the traffic studies and you increase at least three percent traffic and then the narrow two-hour period they have, that traffic is from when school gets out so until 6:00 at least. So, people when they come to Brockton, they're going to see this wonderful brick building, they will not come back because their lives are going to be in their hands going down 123. It's a four-lane highway that is too narrow and there have been many fatalities there.

Also, I'd like to share with you,
and I'm upset not having the two hours to talk that other people had to go over this but I do have you were supposed to have put on your website this five-criteria.

One of them is an overview, how does the applicant show its uniqueness to the industry. Beautiful brick buildings but that site is historic. It has a world-famous history of the fair. My great-grandfather would travel by train from Lynn to come here. Why hasn't that been incorporated?

Or city of champions, shoe city, why isn't there something showing our history there. They are just a new industry. They want a new and they forget the history of champions. We have historic sites that are not being built up because the leaders lack fortitude. Why isn't there a great ferris wheel there to show off?

Revenues, okay, many people have talked about Taunton and made this sound like Taunton is not going to matter. Well, I haven't heard them talk about and I read there's going to be a casino in Tiverton just
over the border. Talk about saturation, come on.

The other quick thing is they don't talk about what is it going to do to the average person. Addiction goes up at least one percent. That would be 1000 families adding to our already fourth highest closure rate in the state.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Mr. Boardman, sorry, you're finished.

MR. BOARDMAN: I'm sorry that the opponents didn't get fair time.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Thank you.
MR. PERALT: Good evening, my name is Alan Peralt. I've lived in Brockton for the last five years. The reason I came to Brockton is because I work for the Brockton Rockets baseball team, which will be right near the proposed casino.

Right now, it's only a seasonal job. So in order to be able to work, I've only been able to get temporary jobs. The last full-time job I ever had was I worked at Foxwoods Casino. I was against gambling and all that. I
occasionally would go. I can go to Foxwoods right now and don't even gamble.

At the time, I had just got married. I wanted to start a family. Same thing, there was only temporary jobs. Foxwoods gave me a chance for a salary, benefits program, everything so I was able to support my family. That's how I looked at it as a job.

And hopefully, I can do the same by living here again, staying here and able to work full-time again so I am able to support my family. That's all I have to say.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Thank you. Christopher Lovetere, Alison van Dam, Dr. Mark Oliver, Patricia Lawton.

MR. LOVETERE: Good evening, Sir, Ma'am. My name is Christopher Lovetere. I'm a professional magician.

If anybody should have a personal desire to work at a casino it would be me. If for no other reason, I would find great favor at your card tables. I'm sure I could take plenty home for me. But that won't happen and I digress.

I want to thank Mr. Crosby, Mr. Stebbins, Mr. Zuniga, Mr. Macdonald and Ms. Cameron for giving me time to speak about the grave threat that faces my family and my city. I am a Brockton resident. I have been for over 45 years minus the four years that $I$ served in the United States Air Force defending and representing the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.

My wife and I have raised five children, three have graduated college. The remaining two will be freshman Brockton High School in September.

Your stated mission is to create a fair, transparent and participatory process for implementing the Expanded Gaming law. In creating the process, part of your mission is to reduce to the maximum extent possible the potentially negative or unintended consequences.

The Commission has been clear that it will base its decision on whether to approve a commercial Region $C$ casino on what it believes is the best interest of the Commonwealth.

Your decision to accept the application by Mr. Bluhm based on your doubts about the Mashpee Indians being federally designated a reservation. The Tribe did indeed receive that designation. A casino in Taunton -- not Taunton, Taunton, Taunton -- does satisfy your stated mission. The discussion should be over. Why does it continue? Why does it continue when they come to you and threaten you with litigation?

In August that's what they did. I have the transcript. They threatened you with litigation if their proposal wasn't put forward. The discussion should be over. The Brockton casino doesn't satisfy your mission statement.

It hasn't been a fair process. And out-of-state billionaires should not be allowed to buy results of a local election. By outspending the opposition by 600 to 1 with the single stroke of a pen with a single check from this man, he was able to buy the support of former Mayor Jack Units. I'm sorry, I'm running out of time. I have it written. I
will be quick.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: I'm sorry. Finish it up.

MR. LOVETERE: I won't ramble. It isn't fair we were only allowed three months to gather facts and have a healthy debate on the issue. It isn't transparent when the Brockton Fairgrounds has its designation change from agricultural to commercial.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Mr. Lovetere, I'm sorry. Your time is up.

MR. LOVETERE: I gave four years. You won't give me three minutes. I gave four years. Let me finish.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Settle down.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: You can submit the paperwork to us. We have your letter. I think it's time for other speakers. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Allison van Dam, is that you?

MS. VAN DAM: That's me. Good evening Chairman Crosby and Commissioners. So, it's an important distinction in this project to note that it's a suburban casino. It is not

Reno. Brockton will never be a casino city. We are city that hosts a suburban casino, hopefully.

This represents a large economic opportunity as we've heard of the investment from the Mayor and how we plan to use that for education, infrastructure support. But not only that it helps replace those 600 jobs that were lost with the closing of Raynham Dog Track when the referendum vote changed the dog racing.

It'll also help to bring back some of those $\$ 1.1$ billion that is spent in Rhode Island and Connecticut in casino gambling. The largest patronage in those casinos is from Massachusetts residents.

So, many may ask how do we know Rush Street Gaming their claims they can be confirmed? So, our present CEO, Chris Cooney visited Rivers Casino in Des Plaines, Illinois, which is a Rush Street developed facility that is closest to the suburban model that we are looking at developing here. What he discovered confirmed the claims of the positive impact
within the community.
So, Rivers Casino opened in 2011. It's near Chicago much like we're near Boston. And it's close to the highway. They get 10,000 visitors each day. The facility is wellbalanced within the environment. It's not overbearing. It fits with what is going on around it.

There are 10 other close by casinos. There are three of them within 30 miles. So, we're talking about saturation of market, they have found that that is not a problem. There's plenty of business to go around in Illinois.

They have partnered locally with the workforce training program in Chicago to place homeless and at-risk families into jobs in their casino. They mentored hundreds, employed 26 and invested $\$ 200,000$ through grants, scholarships and sponsorships.

The faith-based groups have actually supported the casino as well because they have provided financial aid, food and volunteerism to those different nonprofits. In fact they gave $\$ 1.7$ million in donations beyond the host
agreement to the different communities.
It helped to finance infrastructure
roadway reconstruction through the casino taxes. There was new transformative drinking water project which also saved local water rate for the residents as well. It was outstanding food establishments much like they're planning on doing here. That's attracted other restaurants.

Diversification of entertainment as well. There's a skydiving facility, indoor skydiving has opened there as well as iceskating. It's brought a lot of development into the area for entertainment, diversification of industry.

So, we've seen a lot of progress in Brockton downtown creative economy and development would help us support a lot of that. They've proven that they are capable, stellar, well-vetted, well-financed and they have a great track record of casino development.

So, we couldn't have a better partner. And they're likely to be the envy of
other casinos within the Commonwealth. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Thank you very much. Mark Oliver, Patricia Lawton, Fred McDermott I think has already spoken, Kelly Williams, Gene Marrow.

REV. OLIVER: Good evening, Mr. Chairman and Commissioners. My name is Mark Oliver. I am a pastor in this city at Trinity Baptist Church. I also serve Operation Divinity which is a ride along with the police department, getting a chance to visit a lot of situations, a lot of brokenness.

I also am co-founder of Brockton Christian mentoring initiative to try and respond to some of that brokenness in the homes. In one of our ride-alongs, I did meet some young men. And one of the young men I now mentor. I first want to start by sharing with you the response of this 13-year-old who I had the privilege to serve as a mentor for the last two years.

When he heard as we were driving by the fairgrounds, when he heard that a casino
was proposed for our city, the very first thing, the very first thing that he thought about was his father. His dad works. He's not unemployed.

His dad works but he has some issues, including a gambling issue. The very first thing this young man said is oh, great. Oh, great.

And I said, what do you mean by that?

He says, now I'll never see my dad.
I will never see my dad. The truth is with all of the ride-alongs and the different things that $I$ know about in this city, that story will be repeated and repeated and repeated and repeated and repeated and repeated and repeated.

Secondly, I just wanted to say that
it hurts me to think that we are easily dismissing the potential influence of a casino adjacent to our high school. It's been mentioned. We know those who have put the application in, they say listen, location is everything.

Well, we also know that proximity is influence. Proximity is influence. That's the reason that people don't want things like bars and adult stores next to their children's schools and playgrounds. It's the very reason that we have zoning laws on all those kinds of things because we know proximity is influence. It's the reason that people move out of neighborhoods when drug activity gets out of control.

And it's like we are pretending that that is not next to each other. We're pretending like it has no influence.

Since proximity is influence, I'm asking you to supply us with the wisdom that our own vote on this issue didn't supply, to really think through it. Proximity is influence. Help us as a community to prevent an influence of our children that arguably is on the low end of a life direction instead of the more noble directions that will come from a good Brockton education. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Thank you.
MS. LAWTON: Good evening,

Commissioners. My name is Patricia Lawton. I was born in Brockton. And I've lived in the neighborhood of the fairgrounds in two apartments and two homes. And our family now has a business here on Belmont Street about a mile from the fairgrounds.

Every summer we look forward to the Brockton Fair as did my parents and grandparents. People came from all over the area to go to the fair. But times change and so has the fair. And now is the opportunity for something else to take its place.

Something that will continue to offer entertainment as the property has for 140 years. The casino is just part of the proposal. Let's not forget the 225 room hotel, the 25,000 square foot entertainment space, room for restaurants, and the development that surrounds the fairgrounds that history tells us is sure to come with it.

I would like to change the adverb to a preposition talking about Brockton as in going past Brockton to going to Brockton as they did for 140 years for fun and
entertainment. This is what is at stake here. The perception that Brockton isn't a good place to go. We are a proud but tired old city looking for a business of this size to give us the shot of adrenaline we need to spur other economic development to invest in her. We haven't had that for a long time.

The wrong lesson the development might teach people is really lost on me. I see a lesson on job creation. I think the best thing we can do for a young person is to make sure their parents are employed or that they themselves have opportunities. Those same children are driven to school by their parents who stop at the convenience store to buy gas, Powerball tickets and scratch tickets. At night they're down with their parents at George's café and eat pizza while their parents play Keno.

One month ago those same families bet on the Super Bowl. And I'm willing to bet, as the saying goes, this month they're in their office pools betting in favor of Ohio State against Villanova. Gambling is all around us.

I know there are some who moralize against the evils of gambling. May I point out that many of those who oppose the Brockton license have already publicly supported the grant of a federal license to the Wampanoags in Taunton just 13 miles from where I'm standing. It's okay to bet in Taunton, but not here.

Moral decisions are not made by commissions. This is a business decision. A business with a great track record wants to come to Brockton and bring with it money and jobs to help our city.

We have always been known as the city of champions. Some boxers have helped secure that title for us. And we are still fighting to keep that name. We are in the ring right now and we need one more champion.

We need you the Commission to grant us the license for this proposal. Give us one more round to foster economic growth and the potential to make the city once again a place people go to to be entertained and to be an even better place to work and raise a family. Thank you for your time.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Thank you.
MR. MCDERMOTT: Good evening again, Fred McDermott. I am a lifelong resident of Brockton. Actually, I'm a third generation Brocktonian. I have a home here. I have a business here.

We've heard a lot of things about the desperation or Brockton. Unfortunately, there are a lot of older mill cities that need money, Chicopee, you name it, Lawrence, Lowell.

You're not here to give people money. You're here to determine what is best in terms of this Commission and casino. Region C already has a casino. It's in Taunton. End of story. There's three casinos in this state. If you contemplate a fourth, and maybe a fourth might have been contemplated that might be up for litigation too, who knows? Could you possibly contemplate a fourth that was as she said 13 miles away from another casino?

You can get on 24 now and within 15 minutes or if you drive like most people on 24 within 10 minutes, you can be to where that casino is going to be. Why would you want to
put another casino 10 minutes away by car?
Brockton does have problems. It has a lot of problems and it does need money. But certainly, you don't need to start cannibalizing casinos or permitting your way into a lawsuit by granting a fourth casino by allowing Brockton to have one.

I pay my state taxes too. I want to make sure that each casino pays their state taxes. I wouldn't want to let the state miss that opportunity. And if Brockton is granted that then that means the Taunton casino pays no taxes.

Brockton casino is the wrong thing for the state and is the wrong thing for the city. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Thank you.
MR. MARROW: Good evening, thank you Commissioner. My name is Eugene Marrow. I'm a resident of Brockton. I was born not too far from here. Brockton Hospital I think is one block away. Not only was I born here, but my mother and father were born at Brockton Hospital. There were nine in my father's
family and 12 in my mother's family. So, we go quite a ways back.

I have just a couple of concerns. I'm very passionate about -- I was an educator in the city of Brockton for 42 years. As a matter of fact, I taught at Brockton High School for 42 years and ended up the principal of Brockton High School. I know they mentioned about this casino being so close to Brockton High School.

> But I've also had the pleasure of working in a gambling place called Raynham Park for Mr. George Carney for over 20 years. I can tell you in those 20 years and also at the Brockton Fair when they had the horse racing and Marshfield Fair and Weymouth Fair, there's never been any problem with students involved in the gambling and what have you.

And this casino hopefully that's going to be built in Brockton is going to be very secure. Brockton High School students are not going to be waltzing out of Brockton High School and waltzing over to the casino. So, I am very compassionate about Brockton education.

The reason that $I$ am for the casino is that in the 42 years that $I$ was an educator in Brockton, I have seen the educational system go through some very difficult times. I was here when they laid off 450 teachers when we had 40 and 50 in a classroom. It took us over 10 years to get back to normal.

We are now back in the throes of going back to that situation. Funding for the education has been cut. You heard that there is a new charter school coming to the city of Brockton that the superintendent didn't want, the Mayor didn't want, the city council didn't want, the school committee didn't want and we didn't need it.

This urban city of Brockton is the best urban city in Massachusetts when it comes to education. You can look it up. We have made great strides in bringing up our MCAS scores and all of that.

They should have never allowed first of all the charter schools to come to Brockton. We are not in the lowest 10 percent. So, I urge you -- Is that my signal to leave? Okay.

I just want you to know that I'm passionate about the education in Brockton. And I think the casino will help us in that endeavor. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Kelly Williams, Bishop Teixana, Viviana Sapien.

MS. WILLIAMS: Hello. Thank you for allowing me the honor to speak here tonight on behalf of Rush Street Gaming.

Personally, I want to tell you that my husband and I have lived in Northern Liberty's Fishtown area, lived and worked for over 20 years. And to see the renaissance of our neighborhood and the surrounding areas is just so overwhelming. It makes us so proud and the new businesses and the restaurants and the bars and the parks and the dog parks and the sidewalks and the trees and the waterfront that we've always wanted to be developed is so beautiful now.

And it brings us such pride to be a part of it. Now I work there. One of my jobs at SugarHouse is to facilitate new hire orientation. And one day in class a new hire
stood up and said I would love to share my story. And he said, Kelly, I have lived in this area, born and raised, and now I have three little boys and a little girl.

Since they were old enough to play baseball, I've been a Little League coach. He said for years on Saturdays they've been playing on a brown dirt lot where they made their bases out of cardboard boxes and sometimes even his sweatshirt. He said until SugarHouse donated tens of thousands of dollars to this park.

Now they have this official baseball
field along with a playground and a parking lot. He stood up in class and he said Kelly, I have to tell you, I feel so much gratitude to SugarHouse, the fact that somebody cared about our neighborhood and our children enough to invest in this Little League that now there's hundreds of little kids playing baseball. Now they have this beautiful field that they're proud of.

And when he told me that story, it prompted me to talk to the VP of

Communications. And I said tell me more about our community involvement, because I'm excited. I'm so proud. I need to know more about this. And she said, Kelly, from day one of opening we committed ourselves to knowing our neighbors, to donating to causes and organizations that are important to the neighborhood and to the city of Philadelphia. We at SugarHouse have donated over $\$ 7$ million, $\$ 7$ million to the local communities. It just makes me so proud that I can speak here on behalf of that.

And we have a continued commitment to support those efforts. I love working for SugarHouse. I'm so proud to work for Rush Street Gaming because I know that we are part of something greater for our team members and for the city of Philadelphia. Thank you so much.

BISHOP TEIXANA: Unfortunately black lives and brown lives in this country, in this state (INAUDIBLE) Last Tuesday, I spent six hours at Shirley medium jail talk about black history month. And I had at least over 200 inmates in front of me. And a good number of
them were young men from Brockton Haitians, Cape Verdeans, Latinos and black Americans.

If we do care about education as I do as I drive, as I go to the jails in Massachusetts --

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: You should speak to us please.

BISHOP TEIXANA: I'm speaking to you but also I want the audience to listen the pain of what we can build in this nation when people want to come and impose their power, their illness of money on the poor people. Brockton yes, it's a poor city. Not by choice, by the leaders we have in Brockton.

Yes, we look great. We look scared because of corruptions of the city of Brockton. And you hear this is the baby of mayors who came through this city. Jack Units and others and they stand on this issue to hurt the city for their own self-interest.

Now as when they speak to you they are able to stand as a firm man. I'm here as a man of faith to let you know I see in the families, the inmate of families of this city
is tremendous than what the casino is preparing to bring to Brockton.

You heard very well what my brother pastor told to what that 10 whatever it is they will give to us. So, please make a decision that is going to affect the future generation of Brockton.

I love Brockton. I've been here for 20 years as a priest. And I work hard. I didn't get paid to stand for the casino. And I could if I wanted to like many of them here. And to see all the names how their live experience exchange. They all know each other by names. That's the city we live in Brockton. No more champion here, but I've seen corruption, corrupt people here. That's why we keep going down and down. And if you are here to help us, help us build the right thing. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Viviana Sapien, Mike Ciulla, Patricia Wright.

MS. SAPIEN: Hello, my name is Viviana. And I have been with Rivers Casino Des Plaines, a Rush Street Gaming property for
a little over two and half years.
I started out in a customer service department and I'm here speak about the many team member benefits available at Rivers Casino. We all have goals. My personal goals are to get married, complete a master's program and someday own four dogs.

Before working with Rivers, I had applied to several master's programs, got accepted. And unfortunately, I had to decline because the funding was just not there for me.

When I got to Rivers, I could not believe that they offered team members scholarship program for both team members eligible dependents. I heard amazing stories about coworkers who they put their children through college. One of my coworkers even put his grandchildren through college.

I have taken advantage of this program. And I am very proud to say that this August, I will be graduating with a master's in integrated marketing and communications. This scholarship has covered all four semesters, fall and spring for me. In my academic journey

Rivers has been amazing at accommodating both my schedule and understanding my study demands.

I have since transitioned into the marketing department for Rivers Casino where I can directly apply what $I$ learn in my class to real-life experiences. I have never worked for a company who cares so much. And I've never worked for a company who believes in me so much. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Thank you.
MR. CIULLA: Hi, I'm Mike Ciulla. I just want to mention something that wasn't really covered very clearly. The Brockton Fairgrounds is in Ward 1. During the vote what happened Ward 1 voted against it. I just wanted to mention that to you. I think it was seven wards. And they voted against having a casino. That wasn't covered. Very important.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Okay. Thank you. Patricia Wright, David Vatthanavong, Abraham Ways.

MR. GOLKA: Can I just make a quick incision here that $I$ run a business here in Brockton. And I don't think our Mayor is going
to --
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: What is your name, Sir?

MR. GOLKA: Robert Golka. I don't think our Mayor has our interest at heart.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Excuse me, Sir. I don't think you are on our sign-up sheet here and there are other people who are ahead of you. Patricia Wright, David -- Thank you.

MR. VATTHANAVONG: I was the smartest kid back in kindergarten. Good evening, Mr. Chairman and Commissioners. My name is David Vatthanavong. I'm an employee with SugarHouse Casino Rush Street Gaming. I'm a pit manager there.

I've been with SugarHouse Casino since day one 2010. In 2013, because of Rush Street Gaming and SugarHouse Casino, I was nominated for the emerging leader award. Top 40 professionals in the gaming industry under the age of 40. I was one of seven winners out of over 10,000 applicants.

SugarHouse culture is very unique and more of a family-oriented atmosphere. No
other company can compare to SugarHouse Rush Street ways. Working for a company that identify fun as a core value, SugarHouse has created over 1000 jobs with opportunities for full medical benefits, $401(k)$, tuition reimbursement along with service benefits for being nice to guests and fellow coworkers. Attendance bonus for hourly employees for showing up for work on time or having a perfect attendance.

SugarHouse gives back to the community. On Thanksgiving, we donated over 1200 turkeys and canned food back to the community. We also, as a matter of fact, Friday just passed we had denim day. We donated over $\$ 7000$ to the police athletic league for us to wear denim jeans to work, a dress-down day.

I want to talk about one of our employees. About a year and half ago, he lost his family and everything that he owned to a tragic fire. Our GM heard news of it. We had pallets of houseware items and clothing and money to donate to him.

It is very touching feeling that we have a company like this that care so much for the employees and the community. Thank you for your time. It was an honor to speak on behalf of a company that I love and am proud to be a part of. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Thank you.
Abraham Ways, Melvin Carlisle, Duane Denny.
REV. WAYA: Good evening. My name is Abraham Waya.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Waya, my apologies.

REV. WAYA: Mr. Chairman and members of the Commission thank you so much for the opportunity speak.

You have heard many repetitions of many things you've already heard many times before. You have to look as intent and as serious as possible even though you have heard it before and can repeat it yourselves. But thank you so much for doing that.

I am a United Methodist pastor. I was appointed to Brockton by the bishop. And when I was appointed, the first thing I did was
to go online and check Brockton.
I heard everything that Emilio
mentioned a moment ago, all the negative things about the city. In the midst of all the negative things there was this shining light that that year that I came to Brockton, Brockton High School was at the top or one of 100 of the 21,000 high schools in this country. I thought that was a great thing.

And I got here, I've had a wonderful time being a minister in the city. A moment ago, Mr. Bluhm mentioned something about his own life journey and the wonderful work his mother did to raise him and his sister.

I want to thank God for that that she did not at the time that the temptations might have been there she did not take the $\$ 95$ for his institution and gamble with it at a casino somewhere. Had she done so, he probably wouldn't be here today. And he probably wouldn't have been in the charity that he has been doing at least at that point. So, I want to thank God that that happened.

I think that one of the dangers we
have in this conversation is we look at the dollar figures and that is great. The city of Brockton wants money. That's understandable. But much of this money is coming from people's pockets. This is not money as in a manufacturing industry where you make something and you make a profit out of it.

This is all money coming out of people's pockets. If I were a betting person, I would bet that majority of you and maybe even the people that are represented here supporting it do not themselves bet. They do not themselves gamble. They would not take all their money and put it in the gambling machine because we want the money. But it comes out of somebody else's pocket.

And I want to encourage you, want to encourage you strongly with all that you have heard to not grant a license for a casino in the city of Brockton. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Thank you. Also Melvin Carlisle is that you?

MR. CARLISLE: Yes, Sir. That's me.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: And Dwayne Denny
and Gary Leonard, Michael Matthew, I think. MR. CARLISLE: Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, thank you for this opportunity. I'll be brief. My name is Melvin Carlisle. Because of its hiring and screening process Rush Street Gaming's properties more specifically the Rivers Casino in Pittsburgh has been voted best place to work and best casino for six straight years. Rush Street Gaming hires employees with integrity, treats its employees with respect and offers competitive rates of pay and excellent benefits.

As with any hiring process, you have to weed out those that do not have a sound work ethic. I work in the security department at the Rivers Casino in Pittsburgh as an entrance control officer. And along with my team members am responsible for meeting and greeting guests as they enter our casino.

Our primary function is the prevention of underage access to the gaming floor. We check over 28,000 IDs per month. From September 2013 to November 2015 we had
over 750 consecutive days without incident. Since November 2015, our current run without incident is over 100 days. This is a difficult task given the proficiency of those making and selling fake IDs to underagers.

I have worked with many companies over the past 50 years. Most of those were with Verizon Communications formally Ma Bell or Bell Telephone, renamed Bell Atlantic and finally Verizon Communications.

Verizon is a very solid company offering its employees good wages and benefits. Since signing on with the Rivers Casino in 2011, I must say this company is by far the best employer I've had across my working career. In 2012, I was voted employee of the year by over 2000 of my peers. What an honor.

The Rivers Casino in Pittsburgh is involved with the local community through many community projects such as riverbank cleanup, restoration and painting of various buildings and sites and through donations.

I am extremely proud and pleased to be part of the Rush Street Gaming team at the

Rivers Casino in Pittsburgh. I urge you to review the success of other Rush Street Gaming properties and surrounding communities as you render your decision. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Thank you. Duane Denny, Gary Leonard, Michael Matthew, Stephen Torrey, Howard Miselman, Belange Jean, my apologies if I'm getting these wrong. Geoffrey Anatole, Steve Warner, Ashley Schrag, Alan Peralt snuck in.

MS. SCHRAG: Hello, my name is
Ashley Schrag. I've been with Rush Street for five years. I'm going to share my personal journey with you.

I started at SugarHouse Casino at 21. I was lost, didn't really know what I wanted to do with my life. I lived in a rough part of Philadelphia in a low-income family. I started at SugarHouse as a player service agent entry-level and I quickly fell in love.

I considered the guests and my coworkers family. After that I was promoted to a guest service rep. where I worked under the best management who guided me and really gave
me the opportunity to be myself and learn valuable career opportunities and skills.

About a year ago I was promoted to guest service manager at the age of 25 at Rivers Casino without a degree. I can say right now, I would not be the woman I am today if it wasn't for SugarHouse and Rivers. They are truly my family and they gave me the opportunity when I didn't think I had one. They believed in me when $I$ didn't believe in myself. They really have changed my life.

So, our fundamentals at Rush Street are fun, service, integrity and respect. And our core values, they're not just words written on paper. They are engraved in our team members' hearts. We truly live and breathe those values.

Our team members, we care about them so much. We are always showing them appreciation, finding new ways to really just give them appreciation they deserve for going out there and working hard. At the end of the day, they are our most valuable asset.

Our service is excellent. And if
you believe -- If you don't believe me you can read all of our survey comments from our guests. They will tell you that the reason they choose Rivers is because of our team members.

All casinos have table games. All casinos have slots. But our service is beyond everyone else. That's why they choose us, because when they come into Rivers they feel like family.

So, if you're looking for a casino that's really going to make a positive impact on your community, your team members and the guests that enter those doors, then adopt us. Let us become part of your Boston family.

Thank you so much, and have a wonderful night.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Steve Warner, Jerry Maloney I think Francis Gibbs, Stephen O'Brian.

REV. WARNER: Mr. Chairman and Commissioners and everyone who is in the room here. Thank you for your indulgence. I am absolutely amazed at your patience, And even
all the people in room, we've heard a lot of different ideas.

I am the senior pastor at Brockton Assembly of God in downtown Brockton. The church with a constituency of about 700 people. I speak for them when $I$ am here tonight.

We have a slogan. We are in Brockton for good. And we mean that in two ways. We have the ability to leave Brockton, but we have chosen not to. So, we are here for good. And the second thing is we are here to do good.

I think the best that I could do would be to urge you to vote no to this proposal even though there have been many ideas floated. The larger one probably being financial. And there is no question that Brockton is in great need of finances. And it's easy to sit back and pontificate about what we would do and what we should do. But the reality is the city needs money.

The question $I$ have is whether or not this is done at the great expense of the future. I see that -- I think I'd be too
strong to say our opponents, but people who have a different point of view have a slogan of benefits for Brockton and beyond. And I point you to that word beyond which to me means not only some surrounding community that would benefit as a residual effect, but also beyond in terms of time.

Because what I notice about casinos is the glowing reports diminish and go downhill as time passes. Casino opening in 2011, 2008 it's great. But how about 1995? Go back and look at some of those statistics.

Here is what I want to leave with you because we've had a lot of data thrown at us. I'd like to leave you with a cartoon I saw a while back. And I'm going to describe because I don't have it. The cartoon is of two lions at the zoo. They're looking through the bars. And over to the side would appear to be the remnants of the lion keeper who presumably has been consumed.

And the two lions are looking, one smug and the other worried. And the caption of the worried lion at the bottom says well,
genius that took care of breakfast but who is going to feed us supper? I think the concern I have is who's going to feed us supper?

We're going to celebrate at breakfast. We're going to pull out the confetti. We're going to cut the ribbons. We're going to have the photo ops. We're going to do all that kind of thing. And Brockton for a while is going to be better supposedly. But just like in this room, we started with a full house and now we are about 50 percent. In fact, most of the people I noticed here are not high school students. They're not young families. They are people who 20 years from now when this thing goes downhill won't be here. They'll be at Marco Island, St. Petersburg, Naples, in the ground, not here.

And then some of us will drive down the street and wish we had not made this decision. Wished we hadn't been politicians but that we had been statesmen.
So, I close with this. A politician

```
looks at the next election. A statesman or
```

woman looks at the next generation. They were not here tonight to be represented. I speak to you and ask that you please vote no for this proposal. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Thank you. Jerry Maloney, Francis Gibbs, Stephen O'Brian, Jack Clancy it looks like.

MR. O'BRIAN: My name is Stephen O'Brian. I live in Brockton. Thank you Commissioners for allowing me this chance to speak. I'm going to leave out some of my points because other people have already made them and we are running out of time.

I urge you not to grant a license to Mass Gaming and Entertainment to build a casino in Brockton. Brockton High School is 4200 students will be the only high school the state located next to a casino complex. This is unacceptable and unfair to the students and the city.
Millions of dollars in
"infrastructure improvements" won't touch these problems. And congestion and danger to pedestrians will get much worse than it is now.

Furthermore, those of Brockton unlike other cities which held elections did not give the developer a solid mandate.

When Everett held its yes or no vote, the results were 87 percent yes to 13 percent no. Everett gave Wynn Resorts overwhelming pro casino mandate. When New Bedford held its vote, the results were 73 percent yes to 37 (SIC) percent no. KG Urban and New Bedford had an overwhelming pro casino mandate. The Revere election showed similar results.

When the Brockton election was held the results were 50.5 percent yes to 49.5 percent no. There is no solid pro casino mandate here. It wasn't even lukewarm approval. The yeses did win, but only by one percent. Elections can be bought by developers with almost unlimited resources in this case by Neil Bluhm and his Brockton based network of paid consultants.

This out-of-state developer spent $\$ 1.6$ million in order to buy the election. Extensive mailings were made to Brockton
citizens. There are pro casino ads on the Internet. The FM Marshfield radio station that serves this area was airing vote yes ads every half hour.

The opposition spent about $\$ 3600$ and used to unpaid volunteers. It was David against Goliath and David almost won.

Mayor Carpenter in his television ad says in Brockton everything is close by. But everything won't be close by if residents have to fight casino traffic every time they go out to run an errand. Don't do this to Brockton. It is the wrong neighborhood, the wrong city, the wrong developer and the wrong idea.

Please don't grant Mass Gaming and Entertainment a license to build a casino in Brockton. Thank you for listening.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Thank you.
There's a Leon from East Bridgewater.
MR. CLANCY: Jack Clancy.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Jack Clancy. Just one second. I'll read a few other names, excuse me. Leon from East Bridgewater. Got it, my apologies, Robert Emery, Buster Sieben.

Mr. Clancy is up.
MR. CLANCY: Thanks for having me.
I didn't write anything down. I didn't think there was a need to. I just want to reiterate what my friend Pat Lawton said. She said everything $I$ was thinking.

I wanted to add a couple of things.
I was at the meeting in Holbrook. And there was a gentleman there who quote or nonquote said that if you go to casino you become a drunk, you'll start shooting heroin, and you'll beat up your spouse. Well, three days later, I went to my 60th birthday party -- I know you can't believe I'm 60. -- at Foxwoods with all my boys and girls. I didn't drink. I didn't shoot any heroin and I didn't call up my exwife and beat her up.

So, I don't know where he got his facts from, but as far as I'm concerned about gambling, to me it's about being an adult. That's the way I look at it. I work 95 hours week. I make decent money. I love gambling, but I don't spend my life gambling. That's a blast. That's how I look at it.

My opinion is this. Brockton had a vote. And the vote was yes. I don't care it if it was by one like Hitler won or by 148. And if nobody knows that fact about Hitler, look it up because it's the truth. But the deal is this, it was a vote.

So, my question is again why are we here? If it was a vote whether it was by one or 148. I know you guys got a job to do. My other question is this, if you guys do not grant this casino, then we should change the voting process in Massachusetts. That's all I have to say.

MR. SILITRIO: Good evening, Commission. Leon Silitrio, Jr. of East Bridgewater. I was a resident of Brockton, but moved.

The reason that I'm here tonight is that in 2004, I was appointed a national rep. for the Veterans Administration, the VA Hospitals throughout New England. One of the facilities was Brockton.

As you people drove down here tonight, you came by the Brockton VA Hospital.

I stood in a meeting several years ago and I was told I couldn't speak. They were on the verge of closing that hospital.

I'm a Vietnam veteran '67 '68. I didn't see my children until they were six months old. Tonight we heard they're going to spend $\$ 7$ million on Belmont Street. The Commonwealth of Massachusetts had just deferred $\$ 3.5$ million to run the Brockton High School.

Fast track money goes, Congress and the Senate in November voted $\$ 600$ million for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts over the next five years. We presently handle 192 calls a day in the city of Brockton on the ambulances. 192 runs a day by the fire department. That's 132,000 runs a year. They just went out and spent $\$ 1.2$ million on a bond issue to buy a new fire truck.

Several million dollars to do other things. The money is not here in the city of Brockton. 1991 I had the city contract with the city of Brockton. They were on the verge of bankruptcy for the company I worked for. They couldn't pay the oil bill for the company

I worked for. Business as usual in the city are here.

When you leave here tonight, you're all fine fellows. Bite the bullet as we did in Vietnam. I came back. And I knew like Jimmy Shea and wrote the anti-Vietnam bill. I was asked to leave a meeting. They didn't want to hear from us.

But I'm speaking for the veterans at 22 a day dying through suicides, 100 a day dying in this country from ODs. The city of Brockton thinks that they're going to cure a drug addict at the VA Hospital. Once you transport them in the Brockton to the VA Hospital the patient is subject to arrest under federal law.

I was instrumental in bringing a \$3.2 million shelter on Spring Street. There is no such thing as a homeless veteran in the United States of America toady unless you want to be. But you're not going to help a guy leaving the VA Hospital that has a drinking problem or a gambling problem. Has there been an impact study by the federal government of
what it's going to do to the VA in this area? Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Thank you.
MR. EMERY: Hi, I'm Bob Emery. I thank the Commission for a chance to speak. A lot of what $I$ was going to say has already been covered. So, I hopefully will be real quick. I've been watching the property values in Brockton. I was born and raised in Brockton. I lived in Brockton probably half my life.

I've been watching the property values, primarily residential. Five years ago, there was a lot of houses available for $\$ 100,000$. Try to find one now. Property values have been going up steadily for the past few years. New housing starts are going up. The houses being built are being sold. Brockton is slowly coming back in the residential market We still need a lot of work in the commercial stuff.

I honestly don't think the casino is
the way to go. It is going to bring crime.
Yes, the casino people are going to give us extra money. We are going to spend that and
more taking care of the crime that come because they're here. And the property values around, particularly close that casino will go down. That isn't a ghost town up there. It's mostly residential. The houses are in good shape and they're almost all occupied. Completely a different situation than some of the places they've been to.

I don't think you're going to see -Brockton right now by the way, crime rate is down a little bit according to the state as reported on Channel 25 and Channel 4 this past January. Overall crime in Brockton is down. Murders are up. Brockton rates number three in the state for the murder rate, Boston first, Springfield second.

And a destination resort, maybe I can give them a couple of ideas. Come to beautiful Brockton on the shores of Salisbury River. That sounds interesting. Or if you want exciting, come to exciting Brockton murder capital on the South Shore. That's where the money needs to go. That's where the work needs to go. We don't need another attraction that
is going to bring more crime, more pain and things like that. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Thank you, Sir. Just before you start, are you Mr. Sieben?

MR. SIEBEN: I pronounce it Sieben.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Sieben, sorry.
MR. SIEBEN: My handwriting.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Also, Linda
Bishop, Janice Schuster, Carol DeLorey. Sorry.
MR. SIEBEN: It's all right. I'm
Buster Sieben. I've been a citizen here for about 38 years. Just a slightly different presentation here.

We each see the world from our own lens. And we are talking about the financial needs in Brockton. And as a follower of Jesus Christ, I am persuaded that having a casino in Brockton as a first choice is certainly not the wisest answer as I understand how Jesus taught.

We are about to celebrate Easter in a few weeks. That's a historical fact. And on the subject of money, Jesus is an authority. He spoke more on money than he did heaven and hell combined. He promises that a city that
will follow Christ that will put him first is a city that will be healed, will have its needs met. That will have adequate and really more than adequate.

The people that I have personally worked with over the years who have embraced the message of Christ have experienced healing in their lives. Those who have put other values first have really missed the kind of healing that they could have had.

So, my encouragement, I'm persuaded that the casino from the little bit I've studied and it's only a little bit about casinos that they haven't produced worldwide or United States wide health in communities. There's been real detriments.

But anyplace, anyplace where Christ is embraced there is blessing. So, I don't know how you'll deal with that. I just offer that as my thoughts concerning our city that $I$ want to be healed. I believe that our combined, all of us together, just trusting the Lord and honoring him is the way for our city to be healed. He's got very, very, meaning

Jesus has very, very deep pockets. Thank you. CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Thank you Linda Bishop, Janice Schuster, Carol DeLorey, Carolyn Krikorian, Grant Krikorian, yes, Sir.

MR. KRIKORIAN: I'm Grant Krikorian. I'm a resident of Brockton for over 40 years. And I oppose the casino for many reasons, but I have one reason in particular that is very personal to me.

My wife and I were teachers in the Brockton public schools for over 50 years combined until we retired. Our three children went right through the Brockton schools. Brockton schools have and continue to offer a wonderful education to our students.

I believe the idea of a casino located across from the high schools is a travesty and an offense to the students at Brockton High School. Our students in Brockton are taught to be people of high moral character, to value long-range goals, to value the ethic of hard work.

A casino is the antithesis of these values with its get rich quick gambling. I
noted that the folks from Rush Street Gaming spoke about an hour and a half. They mentioned the high school exactly once regarding pedestrian safety. Do they care about our children?

I'm disappointed in the city council who did not oppose the casino. I'm extremely disappointed in the chairman of the school committee, the superintendent of schools and the school committee who have not opposed the casino. I believe they have put cash ahead of character. I believe they put money ahead of morality.

Our children deserve better. In closing, I'd like to point to that sign. The most important word in that sign ethical. I implore the Commission to do the ethical thing in the interest of our children and our citizens and not approve the casino. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Thank you, Sir. Arnold Frantz, Anna Rogers, John Simpson, Ann Hunyadi, Luisa Serraono, Tracie Gutierrez, Diane Ransom.

MS. RANSOM: I don't know about everybody else, but $I$ want a casino that benefits us so much that they need to organize food drives. I don't feel these people have any idea at all what Brockton is about.

And a good neighbor I don't think would do this type of thing that they are trying to do here. They're not even here yet and they're trying to plan on how to expand. I oppose for three reasons. One, we need to make it possible for children to thrive in the city. We need to make it more inviting for people to want to raise families here. We need to take pride and care in what we place here. People live here. So, yes location is everything.

We do not want another Boston without any parking, houses being added onto houses. The only way to grow is to build vertically and not horizontally. We have enough here to cause people to be unhappy through the litter, drugs, gangs, prostitution, bar establishments, crimes, homelessness and all over ugliness.

And I think it's really unfair that
somebody is going to point a finger at our newly elected governor who is absolutely trying and really wanting to help these people out, help us out. Our city wasn't always like this.

What it came to is evacuation plan years ago was school choice. The elite could get their children out of this school system, which left nobody to care about this city and nobody to invest in it. I tell you what, I don't believe that these people plan on investing here for us. That's just common sense. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Thank you. Greg Sabine, Carla Toussant, Kinsley something or other, it starts with an O. Jerry Stewart, Jack Lally already spoke. Amanda Brown, Brian Curravan, last name on the list. Good things happen to he who waits.

MR. CURRAVAN: I just want to say a couple of things. And of course being here this long, a lot of things have been repetitively, said.

In 1976 I moved here to Brockton, my
mom and my dad and my 12 brothers and sisters.

We went to the schools. We've done everything here. It's not up to the Commission or up to the clergy to tell people how to live or how to set morals for their own children. If I went across the street to the casino, I'd get whacked.

I've got two kids one in high school and one just graduated. The last thing a teenage boy wants is cameras, security around. They want privacy. So, I don't think that's going to be such a big problem.

Second thing is we voted. Whether you win by one or four, we still voted. I voted today for the next president. You can't tell me my vote doesn't count. It's no takebacks. It's my constitutional right. I'm embarrassed for the clergy. They can't separate state and church. Thank you, Sir.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Thank you, Sir.
That is all the names we have on our list.
Yes, Sir.
MR. STEWART: Good evening,
Commission members.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: What is your name,

Sir?
MR. STEWART: It is Jass Stewart, J-$A-S-S$, last name, $S-T-E-W-A-R-T$.

Thank you for the opportunity to speak today. I am a former city councilor atlarge here in Brockton, served three terms decided not to seek reelection last year but I continue to live in Brockton.

I'm in full support of the casino proposal from Rush Gaming. I voted in favor of having the proposal sent up to the ballot that was voted on earlier. As you know the process, the city council has the obligation to review the proposal. If it believed it were not a suitable proposal for the city, it could have elected not to have sent that proposal to the ballot.

I am not going to repeat what I believe to be the really sort of stellar reputation of the organization and the fact that it has invested in a number of landmark facilities throughout the country, including Faneuil Hall here in Boston, the Chicago Mercantile Exchange Center, the Four Seasons in

Philadelphia and some other establishments.
On the issue of crime, I did
research. I know it's important to look at data overall in terms of gaming and its impact on communities. We know that data is mixed. But we have a really unique opportunity here to look at a specific organization, and look at its specific facilities throughout the country to see the impact of those facilities on those communities. So, we are fortunate in that way. I've done the research which helped me to come to my conclusion earlier.

So, in every location where Rush Gaming has a casino, crime has either remained constant or decreased. In those places where mayors and police chiefs were initially hesitant about the facility, they are now writing letters of support for the casino and its positive impact on the community in those areas.

We also know here locally the police patrolmen's union has endorsed this casino. They are encouraging residents to support the project as well. So, we would assume that if
police is in fact in support of the project that should speak volumes to the issue of its neutral to positive impact on crime.

On the issue of traffic, frankly, it's in the best interest of the casino to ensure that traffic flows easily because their business is based on return customers. So, if folks have a hard time getting to the casino, then they're practically out of business.

So, the traffic plan is on the city's website. And we see that the casino is proposing to make significant improvements to infrastructure, making the roadways better, the sidewalks safer, including for the Brockton High School students. I'm almost out of time. I'll wrap up here with the issue of --

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Mr. Stewart, I'm sorry, we stopped everybody else as well.

MR. STEWART: So, I'm in support of the casino. And I'm going to submit my comments in writing.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Thank you very much. I appreciate it.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: I think we've done
it. We do have in our practice to opportunity for the applicant to speak at the end if they want, if there's something they wanted to respond to, not to go back over any of the old arguments. But if there were new data points. We, I think, are not going to ask any questions. We will save any questions we have for our next meeting. We're not going to close this. When we reconvene this meeting. MR. BLUHM: I will be brief. I just wanted to cover one issue that's been raised by the Unite Here union. Basically, we respect the rights of our employees to choose whether they want to have a union or not and have an election under the NLRB rules. I believe the Unite Here has not called for an election because they don't think they could win the election because I don't think the majority of the employees would vote for one in a fair election.

We do have a union in Philadelphia, the carpenters union that covers a group. We have the operating engineers in Pittsburgh that cover a group. We have contracts with both of
them, good relationships.
In New York, by law we were required
to enter into a labor peace agreement and have done so and have good relationships with the New York hotel and motel workers which is actually affiliated with Unite Here.

So, the bottom line of what I'm saying here is we respect employees' rights. And if there's an election and they elect to have a union, we will negotiate in good faith and have a contract. We have done that in the situations where that has arisen.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Thank you very much. Any Commissioners have anything else to say other than it's been a long day?

So, I would have a motion to suspend this meeting. We will not close it. We will convene again sometime in the next few weeks where there will be a final opportunity for people to respond to anything that hasn't been dealt with. And I'd have a motion to suspend.

COMMISSONER MACDONALD: So moved.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Second?
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Second.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Any further
discussion? All in favor, aye.
COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Aye.
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Aye. COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye.
(Meeting suspended at 8:22 p.m.)
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