			_
1		Page	Τ
1	THE COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS		
2	MASSACHUSETTS GAMING COMMISSION		
3			
4	PUBLIC MEETING #53		
5			
6	CHAIRMAN		
7	Stephen P. Crosby		
8			
9	COMMISSIONERS		
10	Gayle Cameron		
11	James F. McHugh		
12	Bruce W. Stebbins		
13	Enrique Zuniga		
14			
15			
16			
17	February 21, 2013, 1:00 p.m.		
18	OFFICE OF THE DIVISION OF INSURANCE		
19	First Floor, Hearing Room E		
20	1000 Washington Street		
21	Boston, Massachusetts		
22			
23			
24			

	Page 2
1	PROCEEDINGS:
2	
3	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: We can call to order
4	the 53rd public meeting of the Massachusetts Gaming
5	Commission meeting on February 22, 2013 (SIC).
6	We will start out with the approval of
7	the February 14 minutes.
8	COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: All right, Mr.
9	Chairman, I had distributed the minutes yesterday,
10	albeit late in the day. So, if everybody has not
11	had a chance to read them, we can do it next week.
12	But if they have, comments are welcome and then I'd
13	move to approve them.
14	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Does anybody want to
15	not wait one week, until next week?
16	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: No, I had a
17	chance to read them.
18	COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Comments? I
19	have one brief set of comments that's technical in
20	nature from the Chairman. Any other comments,
21	thoughts?
22	All right. Then I move that the
23	minutes of the February 14 meeting be approved with
24	one mechanical change as suggested by the Chairman.

	Page 3
1	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Second.
2	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: All in favor, aye.
3	COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye.
4	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Aye.
5	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye.
6	COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Aye.
7	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Opposed? Ayes have
8	it unanimously.
9	Okay. Administration, we've got
10	several items. I don't think there's anything to
11	really talk about about the schedule. The main
12	issue is that we are continuing to wrestle with
13	tightening up the background check, regulation
14	writing, application process.
15	The surrounding communities
16	conversations bears on that. There's a lot of
17	discussion about is it possible to have a referendum
18	on the date of the special election in June.
19	All of those issues are in play. We
20	are doing everything we can to speed up the process.
21	But I don't think at the moment that we really have
22	anything to talk about on the critical path chart.
23	We have added the additional hires, which are in the
24	pipeline, which I'll mention in just a second.

Page 4 The Director of Supplier Workforce and 1 2 Diversity Development is -- interviewing is going 3 on, same with the Director of Research and Problem Gaming, and same with the Director of Licensing, I 4 5 think, which are our three remaining big issues, big personnel decisions. 6 7 And we have started to involve 8 Executive Director Day in these processes. So, 9 he'll play a role. Even if he's not here yet, he can play a role at least by Skype in interviewing 10 these key people. And he's pleased to play that 11 role and glad to do it. 12 13 Which gets me to our one other big 14 position, which is General Counsel. Commissioner McHugh was the hiring manager for that 15 16 position. 17 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Thank you, Mr. 18 Chairman. Catherine Blue, would you join us, 19 please, at the table so I can introduce you to my 20 colleagues on the Commission? 21 Mr. Chairman and colleagues, by way of 22 prologue, we began a search for an Executive Counsel 23 some months ago. And I'm delighted to present 24 today Catherine Blue as the person I've selected for

Page 5 your consideration for that position. 1 2 In the search, we were assisted by 3 Isaacson Miller, one of the country's preeminent 4 search firms. They contacted over 175 people 5 during the course of the search. And that resulted 6 in a pool of some 60 candidates from across the 7 country. 8 It was a rich pool. It was a diverse pool. 9 It was narrowed to 10 individuals whom I interviewed with the assistance of Isaacson Miller. 10 The interviews lasted each about two hours. And I 11 also received some helpful advice from a 12 13 representative of the Attorney General's office. Catherine Blue is the selection, the 14 15 person, the lawyer I am delighted to present to you 16 today as a result of that process. She is a 17 graduate of Stonehill College and William and Mary 18 Law School. She's currently the General Counsel of 19 the Mass. Development Finance Agency, an agency 20 that finances complex development and fundraising 21 for those developments and projects across the 22 Commonwealth. 23 She's got a wide range of experience. 24 She began in the public sector in Pennsylvania where

	Page 6
1	she was a lawyer in Department of Labor and
2	Industries and in the Department of Revenue. She
3	was General Counsel for QED Communications, a
4	Pittsburgh-based public radio and television
5	corporation that also published a magazine and was
6	approximately a \$25 million a year enterprise.
7	For 10 years, she was the Vice
8	President of Law and Associate General Counsel for
9	land use transactions at AT&T and then at Cingular
LO	and then at AT&T Wireless as AT&T morphed from the
11	AT&T we used to know and love to what it is today.
12	And she handled those affairs on a nationwide basis.
13	She then worked as counsel for the
L4	Metropolitan Transit Authority in New York, the
15	nation's largest public transportation enterprise.
16	She's worked in a major Boston law firm and she has
L7	started her own law firm. So, she's done it all in
18	terms of legal work, legal experience in the public
L9	and in the private sectors.
20	As part of their background process,
21	Isaacson Miller did a number of reference checks
22	thoroughly. And several factors recurred in the
23	reports that the references turned up.
24	Ms. Blue is a problem solver and a

Page 7 consensus builder. She is a skilled manager of 1 people who is able to bring out the best in the 2 3 people with whom she works over whom she's in 4 charge. And she's finally one who's demonstrated 5 time and time and again an ability to work with a 6 broad array of customers and constituents to 7 achieve enterprise objectives while at the same 8 time finding a way to take into account the 9 reasonable concerns of those who'd be impacted by achievement of those objectives. She's in sum, I 10 think, the ideal candidate for our enterprise at 11 this time. 12 13 So, I'm pleased to present Catherine 14 Blue to you and invite your questions, any questions 15 that you have. 16 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Commissioners? 17 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Ms. Blue I had 18 a question. I was reading your resume and you 19 talked about the ability to master new areas of law 20 of which this will be one for you. 21 MS. BLUE: It definitely will, yes. 22 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: I just wanted 23 to hear your thoughts on how you would go about 24 mastering this. I know we are in the middle of

Page 8 background investigations. So, I have been 1 2 dealing pretty much on a daily basis with gaming 3 attorneys and the issues that come with our 4 applicants. 5 And just if you've had any time to think about that or had any experience talking to folks 6 7 who may work in this industry. 8 MS. BLUE: I have not. I've started 9 obviously with the Enabling Act. I will be spending time with the counsel and the consultants 10 that we have to get more involved. And I'm going 11 to listen to the concerns that people in the agency 12 13 have. And reading, reading, reading as much as I 14 get my hands on. 15 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Thank you. COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: I just had a 16 17 quick question, Catherine, kind of under I think the 18 area that Commissioner Cameron just referred to on 19 your resume. It talked about people management and 20 we're obviously in a startup mode. 21 Would you share with us or with me at 22 least your experience in kind of building a legal 23 team, what type of people you look for to be part 24 of your team. How do you think, since we're really

Page 9 in a startup mode, how are you going to help us build 1 2 an effective legal team? 3 MS. BLUE: What I look for in lawyers 4 are people who are good with the clients, people who 5 are solution based, because I believe that there's 6 always a way to get where you need to go, but you 7 have to be creative sometimes about putting that 8 solution together. 9 I build a team that I empower each member of the team to get results and to get where 10 we need to go. But I also spend a lot of time 11 listening both to my teammates and to the people 12 13 that we serve. 14 I stress that as a legal department, we 15 are a service agency. We are there because the 16 agency or the entity needs us. And that's our job 17 is to try and fulfill those objectives. 18 So, I will be looking for people who are 19 open-minded, who are interested in learning new 20 things, who are creative and who really are based on service to the client. 21 22 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: I know you are 23 coming over to us from Mass. Development, which is 24 probably the State's most successful and largest

Page 10 economic development quasi. Where do you see the 1 skills that you've learned and the experience you 2 3 had at Mass. Development kind of translating into 4 the Gaming Commission? MS. BLUE: Well, when I came to Mass. 5 Development, I spent a lot of time learning what the 6 7 clients at Mass. Development needed. And what they 8 do is very diverse. It's from financing from real 9 estate, last week we sold a boat that we took title to. So, it's all across the gambit. 10 And I think those skills in learning 11 what we did at Mass. Development and how best to do 12 13 it will help me, because it's the same kinds of 14 skills that you need at the Gaming Commission 15 because I'm going to have to learn about what you 16 need, what your goals are and how to get there. So, 17 it's a lot of listening and a lot of finding out what 18 the clients need and want and what the goals are. 19 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Thank you. 20 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: It's good to 21 meet you, Ms. Blue. I was looking at your resume. 22 And to some degree the references that Commissioner 23 McHugh was mentioning allude to this. 24 But I was looking at your resume and you

Page 11 mentioned a place here about strategic thinking and 1 long-term planning as one of the areas that you have 2 3 done in a number of different places that you've 4 been. 5 Just building on that, I sometimes 6 think of General Counsel as playing a very big role when it comes to risk mitigation. Always trying to 7 8 anticipate things that could go wrong or things that 9 minimize, if you will, risk for an organization. At times, that role can be perceived as 10 one of placing obstacles by the people who are 11 trying to get things done. And I was wondering if 12 13 you could share with us -- Perhaps that perception is warranted or not warranted. I'm not sure. 14 15 depends. But could you share with us your 16 experience and approach towards that balance, if 17 you will, that has to happen in an organization 18 being an internal counsel? 19 MS. BLUE: A lot of times, and I've 20 seen this across my career, a client will come to 21 you with a request to do something. They have a 22 goal that they want to achieve. And I think the 23 difference between maybe average lawyers and the 24 better lawyers is that the average lawyer may say

Page 12 I see what you're doing. The answer is no. 1 2 think a simple answer is no question from any lawyer 3 is ever a good answer. 4 I think the answer should be okay, let 5 me understand a little bit more about where you're trying to go. Let me understand the factors that 6 7 impact what you're trying to do. And let me find 8 a solution. I think any in-house legal department 9 that acts as an obstacle to trying to move forward 10 is not serving the client well. And so you won't hear from this legal 11 department a flat-out no. But you will get a lot 12 13 of questions. And we will strive to find another 14 way maybe to get you where you want to go. It might not be what you thought of at first, but we will try 15 16 to find a way to achieve the goal that you're trying 17 to set. 18 So, that's been my focus. That's how 19 I approach all of the problems that my clients do 20 bring to me. 21 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: That was a great 22 question. Can you give us an example of a situation 23 where you had to -- that was really 24 confrontationally, where you had to deliver news

Page 13 that the other party did not want to hear, where you 1 were saying no to somebody you were working with. 2 3 A situation that demonstrates your experience and 4 your ability to deal with really hard 5 confrontational controversial issues. MS. BLUE: Well, when I was at AT&T 6 7 Wireless a lot of what I did pertained to building 8 wireless facilities. So, out in the community, we 9 had many situations where we would go to build a facility in a community and the community would be 10 11 upset. Oftentimes, I would speak to the 12 13 different -- I would speak either to members of the 14 community or I would speak to the public officials 15 and try to help them understand what we were trying to do. And how could we adjust what we were doing 16 17 to meet some of their needs. 18 Some of those conversations were very 19 heated. Some of those conversations involved 20 people who were protesting outside of meetings. 21 But yet what I would try to do is calm the situation 22 and try to hear them. I wanted to hear what their concerns were to see if I could address it. 23 24 In a more internal kind of issue, I've

Page 14 had situations where when I was in television, for 1 2 example, my producers would want a particular piece 3 of intellectual property. They couldn't have it, 4 no matter how much they wanted it. They couldn't 5 have it. I would have to sit with them and 6 7 explain why. And I would sit with them and try to 8 understand was there someplace else they could go? 9 Was there a different piece of property that they could use? 10 And if you know creative people, 11 sometimes they're very adamant about what they 12 13 But we would work together to find a want. 14 solution. Sometimes it meant I had to negotiate 15 with the folks that maybe they unsuccessfully 16 negotiated with and get a better resolution. Or 17 sometimes I'd have to help them find a different 18 piece of intellectual property. 19 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Interesting. 20 know the kind of vetting that you've gone through. And it's very, very thorough. And I have absolute 21 22 total confidence in Commissioner McHugh's judgment 23 about this. I don't think there's very much that 24 any of us think we could elicit from you that has

Page 15 1 probably not been elicited. 2 But I'm interested in what brings you 3 back to the public sector? You've been in both. 4 Why come back to the public sector? 5 MS. BLUE: The private sector was very 6 good to me and I enjoyed my time in the private 7 sector. 8 And I feel very strongly that when you 9 have had a very good career in the private sector like I have, it's time to take those skills that you 10 learned and to use them to give back to the 11 community. 12 13 So, when I left my own private practice 14 in 2008 and I went to work for the MTA in New York 15 that was the point of that because I felt like I 16 could do it. I could bring something of value to 17 the table. 18 I was lucky that when I moved back up 19 here in 2010 to take care of my mother, I got to work 20 for Mass. Development. So, at the same time as I 21 was considering this position, there were some of 22 my old friends from the private sector talking to 23 me. 24 And when I made the choice, I thought

Page 16 it's still time to give back. I'd rather use my 1 skills to serve the Commonwealth. So, this is a 2 3 good opportunity to do that. 4 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Great. I think a 5 lot of us feel that way. Anybody else? 6 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: We have perhaps 7 a different situation from other organizations in 8 which we have a full-time gaming commission, the 9 five of us that have a role, if you will, in making a lot of decisions. But we also will have an 10 Executive Director soon who will have a lot the 11 management of the organization. 12 13 What can you tell us about whether this is very unique or how do you feel about having five, 14 15 six people we might have something to say about what 16 you're doing, how you're doing? 17 MS. BLUE: I think that's fun. 18 would just assume -- I would like to know what 19 everyone thinks about what I'm doing, because the 20 more I know about what you think, the better I'm able to serve you. So, I don't have a problem with that. 21 22 I view it in similar situation to 23 having a board, but a board that's there all the 24 time. Board members provide input whether they

Page 17 think you're asking for it or not. And I think it's 1 important. So, I don't have any concern with that. 2 3 In an Executive Director, I hope to work with him to have what I refer to as continuous 4 process improvement. So, how he wants to set up 5 6 processes and how he wants those to work, I want to 7 help him do that. And then I want to make them 8 better, because I think you can always improve on 9 the processes. And the better the processes, the better the workflow and the more you can get done. 10 So, I'm looking forward to working with him. 11 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Are you clear in 12 13 your mind on the reporting relationships, who 14 you'll report to, how that will work? 15 MS. BLUE: I do, but I also would assume that over time those things morph and change 16 17 and I'm comfortable with that. So, yes. 18 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: I did talk with 19 Executive Director Rick Day as recently as 20 yesterday about the fact -- It's a funny situation, 21 because the directors have been reporting to us, 22 effectively. And now there's going to be somebody 23 in between. 24 And everybody, at least on the

	Page 18
1	organization chart will be reporting to Rick. But
2	there'll be some needs and one will be the General
3	Counsel that will have a relationship with the
4	Commission as is the case with the Director of
5	Communications has a relationship with me and with
6	the Commission.
7	So, there's going to be some dotted
8	lines and some sort of some funky lines.
9	COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Some solid
10	lines too?
11	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: And some solid
12	lines, right. Starting with the solid and then
13	going funky.
14	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Flexible, let's
15	call it flexible.
16	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Flexible, okay,
17	good, thank you. But I think the right kind of
18	personalities will make those work fine. I think
19	it'll be easy and I'm feeling very comfortable with
20	Rick Day. He and I think are going to get along
21	fine.
22	But it will be something we'll have to
23	talk about and keep our eyes on, because it will be
24	a difference. It won't be so much for you because

	Page 19
1	you haven't been working for us. But for everybody
2	else who's been working for us, it's going to be a
3	bit of a transition as we interpose this new person.
4	Anybody else?
5	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: A big part of
6	what we do is there's an enforcement and a
7	compliance piece. We have State Police members in
8	our office now working for the State Police. They
9	will have two hats. They will always report up the
10	chain of command to the State Police, but then they
11	will work for the Commission as well.
12	So far that's been working very, very
13	well. Although there's always with the
L4	enforcement, we've been trying very hard to be in
15	touch with our legal folks to make sure these
16	decisions that we're making pass the legal test as
17	well.
18	Just didn't know if you've had any
19	experience, I don't think you have, or had thought
20	about that relationship with a full-time law
21	enforcement bureau within the Commission.
22	MS. BLUE: I worked very close when I
23	was at the MTA with the MTA Police Department as well
24	as with NYPD, because obviously we had a lot of

	Page 20
1	similar interests. And they did some of our
2	policing for us as we did some of our own. So, I'm
3	very comfortable with those relationships. In
4	fact, I enjoy working with law enforcement very
5	much.
6	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Great.
7	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Great. Anything
8	else? Commissioner?
9	COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Well, then I
10	enthusiastically move that the Commission hire
11	Catherine Blue as its first General Counsel under
12	the terms that have been agreed upon and will be
13	formalized before she starts on an anticipated
14	start date of March 11, 2013.
15	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Second?
16	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Second,
17	enthusiastically as well.
18	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Any other
19	discussion? All in favor of selecting Catherine
20	Blue as General Counsel, aye.
21	COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye.
22	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Aye.
23	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye.
24	COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Aye.

	Page 21
1	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: All opposed?
2	Welcome aboard.
3	COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Welcome.
4	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Welcome.
5	MS. BLUE: Thank you very much.
6	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Thank you.
7	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Okay. Sites for
8	Western Mass. meetings, Commissioner Stebbins
9	you've been thinking about this.
10	COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Yes, Mr.
11	Chair. We had a question I guess kind of internally
12	about the need or the opportunity to get back out
13	to Western Massachusetts and where we might hold
14	either regular business meetings or any special
15	business meetings.
16	I guess my first inclination was to
17	look at communities in and around where those
18	communities we know are going to be host
19	communities. But at second glance, it just
20	occurred to me that there's really for us to shy away
21	from or be hesitant about scheduling a business
22	meeting in a potential host community.
23	We somewhat meet in a potential host
24	community every Thursday. We've had two meetings,

Page 22

a regulatory meeting as well as a business meeting and a forum in Springfield, which is likely a potential host community.

I just thought in considering our calendar in the coming months, it would not hurt us to go back out to Western Massachusetts, perhaps schedule a meeting with the folks in the Town of Palmer, maybe the next trip beyond that scheduling a regular business meeting in West Springfield.

As I thought about going to adjacent communities, I just thought it would lead to more questions of why the Commission was there. Would we be putting ourselves in a position of saying, oh, you had a meeting in X-Y-Z community, that must mean we're going to be a surrounding community or why else would you be here.

So, I didn't want to have anybody construe having a meeting in an adjacent community as somewhat a viewpoint that we were considering that community a surrounding community or why else would we be there.

So, just in terms of when we decide to head back out west for a business meeting, I just would encourage us to consider scheduling something

Page 23 I would think next time in Palmer and beyond that 1 2 a meeting in West Springfield. 3 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: I asked 4 Commissioner Stebbins to bring this up because I 5 think I overreacted. For a while, I was feeling a little uncomfortable with the idea of having --6 7 going to Palmer and having a meeting there. And 8 think we ought to talk about it and make a decision. 9 But the more I think about it, I think Commissioner Stebbins is right. I think that's overly 10 sensitive. We can spread it around. We've been in 11 Springfield. And you just now made clear that it 12 13 doesn't mean anything other than we need to find a 14 place to have a meeting. 15 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Right. CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Again, we do 16 17 definitely want to be out there from time to time. 18 Does anybody disagree with that? Does anybody 19 think there's anything else to be attentive to? 20 Okay. 21 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: No, I think on 22 the contrary. It furthers our mission of being 23 participatory and communicating effectively. And 24 if we can further that goal by being close to where

	Page 24
1	people have concerns about gaming then the better.
2	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Right. Okay.
3	Yes, I agree with that. So, let's go ahead and do
4	that as soon as we can.
5	COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Not for now, but
6	to thinking ahead, it may be a good idea to have
7	meetings even in places that aren't hotbeds of
8	gaming interest, because this after all does affect
9	the Commonwealth entirely.
10	And so there are other major population
11	centers throughout the Commonwealth that we might
12	think about from time to time having a meeting in
13	just so that people can see who we are up close and
14	personal.
15	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: That's actually a
16	good idea. Places like
17	COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Worcester is an
18	example.
19	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Worcester,
20	Brockton, Fall River, New Bedford.
21	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Right, Lowell.
22	COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Up on the North
23	Shore, Lowell, right.
24	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: That's a good idea.

	Page 25
1	Okay, so I'm with you on that. Let's do this,
2	Janice, proactive. Let's plan ahead for these.
3	While we're on this, you and Janice are
4	looking around for space where we could have a
5	Western Mass. office, right?
6	COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Yes, we are.
7	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: There's something
8	in the pipeline? There's a request in the
9	pipeline?
10	MS. REILLY: We're working with DCAM
11	on it now.
12	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Okay. Because if
13	we have a facility out there, we will have office
L4	space in the facility. But I think we're going to
15	need, not only for you from time to time but for our
16	other people as they start to come on board we're
L7	going to need them. So, moving that is good.
18	Okay, enhanced code of ethics
L9	Commissioner McHugh and Attorney Grossman.
20	COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: A draft of the
21	I'm going to turn it over to Mr. Grossman in just
22	a second, who's done a terrific job of pulling all
23	of this together.
24	But just to put it into context, we are

Page 26

under a statutory mandate to create an enhanced code of ethics, i.e., a code of ethics that will build on top of the code of ethics that's applicable to all State employees. And that code of ethics is applicable not only to us but also to our partners from the State Police and our partners from the Alcoholic Beverages Control Commission.

The Attorney General has to do the same thing, but they are not bound by our enhanced code. They're working on their own code. It likely will track ours. But ours is applicable to us, the ABCC employees assigned to us and the State Police assigned to us.

Commissioner Cameron and I therefore have met with representatives of the State Police, indeed with Major Hughes and his associates. Major Hughes being the head of the entire State Police unit that's assigned to us and to the Attorney General, I believe, and with representatives of the ABCC to work out in a collaborative fashion the code of ethics that's going to bind us all.

So, the draft that was distributed to you the other day is the product of that collaborative effort. A number of Commissioners

Page 27 1 had input on that. Mr. Grossman has, in excellent 2 fashion, taken those comments into account, has 3 distributed now a redlined version that reflects 4 changes he made as a result. And that is the 5 genesis of how we get here. So, having done all of the easy work, 6 7 I will turn it over to you, Mr. Grossman, now to take 8 it from there and help us through this in any way 9 you choose. MR. GROSSMAN: Thank you, 10 Commissioner McHugh. Members of the Commission, 11 this does come to you by way of Chapter 23K, section 12 13 3M, which provides that the Commission shall establish a code of ethics for itself and for all 14 employees of the Commission that's more restrictive 15 16 than the existing ethics laws. 17 So, as Commissioner McHugh noted, in a 18 collaborative effort with all interested parties, 19 we have developed this draft code for your 20 consideration. I think what it is intended to do 21 is to supplement the existing ethics laws and the 22 campaign-finance laws. It is not intended to be an 23 all-encompassing one-stop code. 24 The existing ethics laws are still

Page 28

applicable to the Commission as are the 1 2 campaign-finance laws. So, in order to ensure that 3 all Commissioners and employees of the Commission 4 are fully apprised of all of the laws and their 5 incumbent duties, we've included a section in here, which I think is one of the more critical 6 7 components, to provide for training to all of the 8 employees and the Commissioners, which we envision 9 would include not only a presentation from us in the 10 legal department, but also from a representative from the State Ethics Commission and from the Office 11 of Campaign-Finance. 12 13 So, there's an annual training 14 component. We have built in a component whereby members of the staff as well as Commissioners may 15 16 request legal advice from the legal department and 17 may reasonably rely on any advice that they're 18 given, which is similar to a provision in the ethics And with that, we have this code. 19 laws. 20 And I'm happy to go through any 21 particular provisions that anyone has a question on 22 or I can just kind of go through it in order if you'd 23 prefer. I think though it's important just to note 24 that this was intended, where it is a supplement,

Page 29 to hit the gaming specific type issues that may come 1 up with an understanding that the ethics laws cover 2 3 a lot of other ethical related areas. And Chapter 4 23K itself governs a great many areas of ethical 5 considerations that may arise. 6 So, we made efforts not to copy and paste sections of 23K into here or sections of 268A 7 8 or B into here, because they stand alone by 9 themselves. CHAIRMAN CROSBY: We've all read it. 10 So, nobody's we don't want to spend too much time 11 on this. But I do think it would make sense for the 12 13 public as well as for us if you could just very 14 quickly hit the highlights, sections, the critical points of the sections. 15 16 MR. GROSSMAN: Sure. In 17 chronological order, it starts with -- The way I 18 like to look at it, if we break it down to what you've 19 done before you come to the Commission, what you can 20 do while you're at the Commission, what happens 21 after you leave the Commission and what your family 22 can do. Those are the four general components of 23 the ethics laws and this ethics code. And that is 24 the way chronologically speaking that we attempted

Page 30

1 to set up this enhanced code of ethics.

So for starters, we have annual filing requirements. That is a mechanism that we developed to allow us, and by us I mean the Commission of course, to enforce the provisions of section 3, which prohibit certain involvements prior to your employment with a three-year look back window for your involvement or employment with applicants and other entities who are involved in the gaming process.

We set out a definition that is specifically applicable to consultants in recognition that though under the State ethics laws they are State employees generally. In our context, it was important that they be given special designation so that our code is not over burdensome for our consultants as far as their employment after and pre. In fact, Chapter 23K envisions such treatment of consultants that they be designated as special type employees by us.

We have incorporated all of the mandated areas that section 3M requires. There are three. And they're all included in here including conflicts of interest. In 3M, it talks about

Page 31

prohibiting participation by Commissioners and employees in particular matters that affect the financial interests of relatives and other folks with whom they share a special relationship. We cover that in the conflict of interest section in our enhanced code.

We talk about recusal by Commissioners from any licensing decisions in which they have potential conflicts of interest. That coincides, I believe as we were talking earlier, with the ethics laws in disclosing any potential conflicts. Disclosure, purging certain taints that may be in existence.

We have a section on gifts.

Essentially, we prohibit for the acceptance of all gifts as the law requires, carving out a very narrow exception for food or refreshment of nominal value.

If you happen to be at -- You can take a cup of coffee if you happen to be at some event or something like that.

Getting into some of the gaming specific areas, we have a section that deals with use of licensee facilities. Members of our staff and the Commissioners are prohibited from staying

Page 32

at hotels and other establishments owned or operated by licensees or any Indian tribe with a gaming establishment in Massachusetts.

The wagering section we have is slightly broader than that and prohibits wagering by any Commissioners or staff or consultants, not only in Massachusetts gaming establishments, but by any gaming establishment anywhere that is owned or operated by an entity that also has a license in Massachusetts or an Indian tribe with a gaming establishment in Massachusetts.

We have provided a section that deal with attendance and other activities of our staff and Commissioners at gaming establishment themselves. Essentially, while you could go to a casino or a slot parlor, no employee can really do anything there. You can't gamble there. You can't eat there. You can't go to a show there. You can't purchase anything there, along those lines.

We have carved out an exception for situations in which there is some type of social gathering unrelated really to gaming. And in those situations, we've set up a protocol for which you could receive approval to go to a gaming

Page 33

1 establishment.

The exception to all of this, the last three things I just talked about the wagering, the staying at a hotel and just going to a gaming establishment is subject to the fact that if you are performing an official function you can go do these type things. So, if you're conducting an investigation, for example, for the IEB or something of that sort, of course you can go into a casino and you could place a wager. This is all with the proper disclosure and approval of the Executive Director or the Commission.

We have general provisions governing conduct unbecoming, which is similar to provision in the State Police code of ethics. We have a provision requiring our employees to cooperate with any investigations relating to their duties.

We have set out a section that deals with communications. And I think this is an important section here. It's section 22 on page nine dealing with the types of communications that Commissioners can engage in. Now this section is limited to Commissioners.

And it recognizes the public nature of

Page 34 these positions and the fact that we're certainly 1 all out making appearances and the like. 2 3 Essentially, the language that we have included 4 would proscribe a Commissioner from engaging in a 5 communication that a reasonable person would likely view to affect a Commissioner's judgment relative 6 to an application or other matter that is pending 7 8 before it in an adjudicatory proceeding. 9 So, it doesn't proscribe a Commissioner from listening to general discussion 10 about subjects that the Commission may regulate. 11 What it is intended to do is prohibit communications 12 13 on an application for a license or a specific 14 disciplinary proceeding or something of that ilk. 15 And it is intended to prevent a Commissioner from engaging in the communication. 16 17 Certainly, it would be difficult to control someone 18 from coming up to you and saying something. But it 19 becomes incumbent, at least under the way we've 20 drafted this, from a Commissioner engaging that 21 person in further discussion. 22 In fact, the last sentence of 22A 23 provides that the Commissioner shall take all 24 reasonable actions necessary to avoid receiving

Page 35 such communications. So, you wouldn't place 1 yourself in a situation where you're likely to get 2 3 these. And to the extent you've been approached 4 that there would be an incumbent obligation to 5 attempt to not engage in these specific communications. 6 7 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: I want to come back 8 to this one, but let's go ahead. 9 MR. GROSSMAN: Sure. The companion to that is what will be section B. It's presently 10 listed as section C. As you can see, we've cut out 11 section B. 12 13 This deals with reporting of 14 communications that you have received that a 15 reasonable person would view as an improper attempt to influence an action. And I think that's 16 17 powerful language and it's intended to be that way. 18 It's not someone comes up to you and says I like what 19 you're doing with the Commission or I hate what 20 you're doing with the Commission or something like 21 that. 22 It's someone who's strong-arming you 23 or making some other statement that is clearly 24 intended to try to influence an official act. It

	Page 36
1	imposes an obligation to report that to the
2	Executive Director or if you're a Commissioner
3	yes, I'm sorry, to the Executive Director. So,
4	that's what that section does. Do you want to
5	discuss
6	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Go ahead. Let's
7	finish this and then I'm sure other people will have
8	questions about it.
9	MR. GROSSMAN: I think another
10	important provision here is section paragraph
11	27. It begins on page 11 and over to page 12 deals
12	with variances. We certainly recognize that it's
13	difficult to craft a code as it is with regulations
14	that will cover every section.
15	And perhaps in certain situations the
16	code may be over inclusive in ways we hadn't
17	envisioned. And what section 27 does is it gives
18	an individual and the Commission an opportunity to
19	grant a variance in any specific situation where it
20	is deemed or compliance with a certain provision is
21	deemed overly burdensome and otherwise in
22	accordance with that. And I think that's an
23	important provision as well.
24	Otherwise, I think that's a broad

Page 37 overview of the enhanced code that we've put 1 together for your consideration. Certainly, I'm 2 3 happy to take any questions. 4 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Well, I've got one 5 on 22 that we talked about this quite a bit yesterday, you and I did. And I just want to make 6 sure I understand it and make sure that I think --7 8 I think the improvements that you've made are good. 9 The adjustments you've made are good. This means that we cannot -- Does this 10 mean that we cannot outside of the public meet with 11 an interested party? We've had an invitation, I 12 13 think, to go speak to some group about the Milford 14 proposal. And I think it's a group that has a stake one way or the other. This means we cannot do that; 15 16 is that correct? 17 MR. GROSSMAN: I think it depends what 18 group you're referring to specifically. And this 19 is something we'll have to talk about with the new 20 General Counsel, because this is how these 21 positions are going to get developed. 22 But in general, it is intended to 23 prevent a Commissioner from sitting down to discuss 24 a specific application with someone outside one of

	Page 38
1	these meetings. The theory being, of course, that
2	anyone who has comment on it can submit any kind of
3	comment they want. In fact, there will be a public
4	hearing on each application.
5	And to the extent it's a disciplinary
6	matter to the extent they have relevant
7	information, there are ways to present relevant
8	information. But that there shouldn't be a
9	situation where you're meeting privately with an
10	interested party relative to an application or a
11	disciplinary matter or an appeal or something of
12	that nature.
13	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: So, it's not just on
14	all policy matters. Let's say it's the anti-casino
15	group in town X. If they want to talk to us about
16	the proposal that's in process in town X that we
17	can't do outside of a public meeting.
18	If they want to talk to us about the
19	definition of surrounding communities, we could
20	talk to them because that's neither an application
21	nor an adjudicatory event?
22	MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I think the
23	latter is certainly clearer.
24	COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: I think that

February 22, 2013

	Page 39
1	surrounding community will be an adjudicatory
2	event, the designation of a surrounding community
3	will be an adjudicatory event.
4	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: I'm sorry, but I
5	meant in the definition.
6	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: How we define
7	it.
8	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Yes. If the
9	discussion we're having right now, if a group said
10	we want to come sit down with you about how you're
11	going to define and what your protocols are going
12	to be.
13	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Yes. That
14	would not be something that was itself part of an
15	adjudicatory proceeding. This is really designed,
16	as Mr. Grossman's pointed out so ably, to divide
17	between our sort of legislative role and our
18	adjudicatory role.
19	So, when we're talking about the closer
20	we come to policy, the closer we come to big picture
21	stuff, how we're going to design things, the freer
22	we are to discuss these things in a nonpublic
23	setting. Although it's still a good idea to
24	discuss them as much as we can in a public setting.

Page 40

The closer it comes to touching on how we're going to decide something that's been committed to us for an adjudicatory process, the licensing, the designation of specific towns as surrounding communities, the revocation of somebody's license, that stuff we can only discuss and should only discuss in public. That's what this is intended to do.

And it's inevitably a fuzzy line
because you can't -- The issues of public policy
blend inevitably into specifics. That's why we
have incorporated the reasonable person standards.
Sort of a familiar one that's used in other context
and also it has sort of a visceral core when you sort
of know when a reasonable person would raise their
eyebrows at what you're doing, the smell test that
you referred to. And this is a way of formalizing
that and doing the best we can to make sure we ere
on the side of not having those conversations.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Right. For the most part some of the issues we've been wrestling with it's not subtle. People want to talk to us about their strong opinions about something or other. And I think establishing that we are now

Page 41 barred from that until we make these decisions I 1 think is one of the most important things in here. 2 3 It gives us real clarity. 4 But there's still, like for example, we 5 were going to talk about Region C. We will have to decide whether to open Region C to commercial bids. 6 If the tribe or the community of Taunton or somebody 7 8 wants to come in and talk to us about that, would 9 that now be precluded? COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: No, it 10 wouldn't. It would not be precluded by this code 11 because the decision to open or not to open that is 12 13 not part of an adjudicatory proceeding. It's a 14 policy that we're going to make. It's important, 15 however, to understand that this code sets a floor. 16 It does not set a --17 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: -- a ceiling, right. COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: -- ceiling. 18 19 And so there still is discretion to say no. 20 the kind of conversation though permitted by this 21 code we ought to have in a public setting for 22 anything, I'm not picking those. But the direct 23 answer to your question is this code would not 24 prohibit that.

Page 42

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: As everybody knows, 1 2 there are nuances in there that we live with every 3 single day. And it's hard to try to figure out. 4 And we, I think, as this process, we have clearly 5 got committed to being open to this as we possibly can be. But a lot of people just aren't comfortable 6 talking in this kind of an environment. And we got 7 8 to figure out where -- It's really complicated. 9 I had dinner last night with some people. And one of the two said, I don't understand 10 11 how a casino could work in location X. And then she started to go into -- She had no vested interest. 12 13 -- just talking about it. And I wasn't sure whether 14 I ought to let her talk or not. It was trying to affect my view on 15 16 whether or not this idea was a good idea. 17 wasn't an interested party but she's just a citizen 18 who reads the newspapers. And I quess that would 19 probably be precluded, right? 20 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Prohibited. 21 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: What I should say in 22 a situation like that, which I did actually, was 23 that's not a conversation that I would like to have 24 at this point. And if I did have it then I'd have

Page 43 1 to report it. 2 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Yes. And 3 that's why that adjudicatory proceeding piece was 4 left in here so there would be sharp edges on what's 5 permissible and not permissible as we can possibly 6 make it. 7 If it's something we're going to have 8 to decide with the formality of the adjudicatory 9 process that's where we want to hear it and that's the stuff we want to get and it's got to be on the 10 record. That's the deal. 11 And if it's policymaking, pure 12 13 policymaking, we can listen to people in a variety 14 of contexts. It would be very difficult to operate 15 otherwise. But we also can ask people to come in 16 and talk to us in a public setting. 17 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Right. Yes. This 18 is really helpful. 19 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: It's hard. 20 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Yes, it is hard. 21 And it also answers, we've all wrestled with the 22 question about can we socialize with friends who 23 have pieces of the action or somehow have a vested 24 interest? And most of us have stopped socializing

Page 44 with friends who have a vested interest until these 1 2 issues are over. 3 But at least this gives us a clear 4 direction that if we do socialize that at least it 5 gives us a clear standard by which to conduct that conversation. And maybe we shouldn't do it for 6 7 appearance purposes but --8 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Right. 9 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Other questions, comments about any of this? 10 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: I had one 11 question that Attorney Grossman and I were trying 12 13 to tackle it this morning. But on page seven under 14 charitable and other outside activities, item B it 15 says a Commissioner may not solicit funds for any educational, religious, charitable, fraternal or 16 17 civic organization. 18 But it goes on to say be a speaker or 19 guest of honor at an organization's fund-raising 20 events. And I raise that because a Chamber of 21 Commerce, a Kiwanis Club or Rotary Club certainly 22 fit into the description of that type of 23 organization. And for you or anybody else who goes 24 out and has a speaking engagement, obviously, those

	Page 45
1	organizations are making money off of the event that
2	they are hosting.
3	So, I understand what this language is
4	trying to get at, but I don't want to preclude us
5	from those types of community engagements.
6	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: You mean so if
7	they're having an annual and it's an annual meeting
8	that they charge a hundred bucks for and they
9	actually retain some of that hundred bucks that's
10	what you're talking about?
11	COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Yes.
12	They're certainly not just covering the cost of the
13	chicken dinner.
14	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Right.
15	COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Here's what
16	this is designed to do. This was a continuing
17	problem now in my former life because it's part of
18	the code that affected all of those people. It's
19	designed to avoid having people who have an interest
20	pending before us from flocking to events and
21	enriching the coffers of the event holder in an
22	effort to curry favor with us. So, it's designed
23	to prevent this secondary effect.
24	Now the problem is the Chamber of

February 22, 2013

	Page 46
1	Commerce dinner where it's a hundred bucks and not
2	the association's annual fundraiser. It's less
3	troublesome when you are simply a speaker as opposed
4	to when you're the guest of honor and people come
5	and contribute
6	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: buy tables.
7	COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: buy tables,
8	and put their name in the book, congratulations Joe.
9	So, it's possible to narrow this, but again the
10	lines are fuzzy. This is admittedly a
11	prophylactic, broad prophylactic swipe at those
12	kinds of secondary influences.
13	Whether we want to leave it there or
14	whether we're sufficiently concerned about it
15	impinging upon the legitimate needs of the
16	Commission, which Commissioner Stebbins you raise.
17	It's important to go out and speak at various
18	meetings, particularly Chambers of Commerce and the
19	like.
20	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Isn't the
21	variance section, couldn't that prospectively
22	cover any one of these situations?
23	COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Couldn't what,
24	Commissioner?

Page 47 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: So, there's a 1 2 variance provision if somebody gets an invitation 3 for a Kiwanis event that person can then come before 4 the Commission or the Executive Director to say 5 here's all of the circumstances and what do you think? That would cover it, wouldn't it? 6 7 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: It would. 8 would. It would. That would have I suppose -- It 9 would. CHAIRMAN CROSBY: I think it's really 10 good question, but I think if the words here stood, 11 there is an understandable distinction between 12 13 speaking at an annual dinner and being an honoree at the organization's fundraiser where tables are 14 There's a real difference there. 15 And I think our General Counsel and 16 17 staff could readily make the case that speaking at 18 the annual dinner is not at their fund-raising 19 event. It's like pornography. You know what it 20 is. You know what a fund-raising event is. And 21 the annual meeting isn't a fund-raising event. 22 It's an annual meeting that nets them a few bucks. 23 So, I think I'd be comfortable taking 24 the language as it is with the variance clause in

February 22, 2013

	Page 48
1	there, our ability to get interpretations from
2	counsel. I think we know what is trying to be said
3	here.
4	COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Yes, I do too.
5	And I think that it would be preferable, but this
6	is just a personal view, to be overbroad in the
7	
	facial prohibition subject to case-by-case
8	determinations that cut back on that than it would
9	be to be under inclusive in an effort to avoid ruling
10	out the ones that we really think we should be okay
11	doing. And I think that's a better construct,
12	which is what this would do.
13	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: I would agree
14	with that.
15	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Right.
16	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: I wanted to
17	commend Mr. Grossman. We started this process
18	before he was hired. And stakeholders had
19	different opinions. There were a number of
20	concerns. People have not seen language like this
21	before. There were all kinds of questions about
22	unions and how that would affect our partners in
23	this.
24	So, it started out to be what I would

Page 49 consider somewhat of a convoluted process and hard 1 to get our arms around it. And Mr. Grossman was 2 3 hired and immediately took this under his wing and 4 brought sense to it, and organization to the 5 meetings, and listened to all of the stakeholders, 6 and included language and researched best practices 7 from other jurisdictions. And this has been a work 8 in progress for months and months. 9 Knowing what went into this and what all of those conversations and ideas, I just wanted 10 to commend you for taking this on immediately and 11 putting out such a good product. Really very well 12 13 done. 14 MR. GROSSMAN: Thank you very much. 15 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: It is. 16 Excellent job. 17 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: This is related but 18 only tangentially, this controls the Commission and 19 employees and does not regulate the people we do 20 business with. We've talked about the issue of some kind of disclosure. 21 22 It's now come up, it keeps coming up in 23 my day-to-day life that I'm aware of the, to put it 24 one way, the potential pressure on our applicants

Page 50 from people who want things in their community. 1 And the difficulty for our applicants to say no, 2 3 we're in the process of trying to win approval, 4 never mind the other direction. And I'm wondering whether if we should 5 6 -- I think it's in the regs. We're going to get to it at some point, right? There's sort of a plug 7 8 that would get us to that point. But I'm just 9 bringing it up for discussion whether we should --I wonder whether it would not be an important part 10 of this process to do something like require that 11 an applicant reveal, disclose to us any request for 12 13 anything of value, a job, a contribution and any 14 giving of anything of value, a job, a contribution 15 so forth, on the theory that this process at this 16 time with what's going on that is a kind of cleansing 17 of light that is constructive (A). (B) It sort of 18 protects the bidders to a certain extent. 19 anybody asks for something, they have to say we'll 20 consider it, but you should know this is going to 21 be public. 22 So, I'm not suggesting we decide on 23 that at this moment, but I'm asking whether -- I'm 24 beginning to think things are moving so quickly out

February 22, 2013

Page 51 in the field that maybe we should address this as 1 2 a separate item. 3 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Part of it is 4 already addressed, I believe Mr. Chairman, and that 5 is the giving is required under our current regulations to show up in the application, all of 6 the way back to November 21, 2011 when the statute, 7 8 the expanded gaming statute was enacted. 9 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Money or jobs or anything of value? 10 11 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Money or anything of value. And we have to take another look 12 13 at that to make sure it's broad enough. But that 14 has to show up in the application. It seems to me that we should think 15 about the other piece. And in thinking about that 16 17 the question of requests not only should be the 18 substantive question, do we require reporting of 19 those, but how? And it maybe that requiring them 20 to show up in the application as well from the same 21 date would be an appropriate thing to do. 22 I don't make that decision, but there 23 are two pieces to that. You don't want the 24 telephone to be ringing every day with things.

Page 52 the other hand, you want to know what they are. 1 2 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Well, I think this 3 is something that I for one would like to hear from 4 our bidders on. So, let's agree to put this on the 5 agenda for the next week or two and see if we get 6 any comment from anybody on it. And then let us think about it a little 7 8 bit. Let's research what the present reg. says. 9 There probably and I know there is other relevant State law and ethics, relevant ethics issues. 10 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Is there a place 11 for this in the current regs.? There's some area 12 13 where we touch on this in the current regs., isn't 14 there? MR. GROSSMAN: I think there is a 15 section on that, but it's not until the end of our 16 17 drafting process. We could accelerate it if we 18 want. 19 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: But it's the 20 opportune time to begin to think about this now. CHAIRMAN CROSBY: It's kind of like 21 22 another key policy question. 23 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: It was part of 24 one, if I remember correctly. The discussion was

	Page 53
1	not concluded, as I recall it, but we had a
2	discussion about this.
3	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Well, we sort of
4	keep kicking this can down the road. We've talked
5	about it a number of times. But I'm very much aware
6	of it of it being an issue in the field.
7	So, we'll tee this up. And if anybody
8	has comments on the issue, we would be interested
9	in hearing them.
10	COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Should we do
11	that next week so we can when we post the request
12	for comments we can give people a deadline? Or do
13	you want to do it in two weeks and give people two
14	weeks to comment on it?
15	We've talked about it enough. It
16	seems to me we could give people until next
17	Wednesday to comment and put it on the agenda next
18	week.
19	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Okay.
20	COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Does that make
21	sense? Can we do that? That will give us a chance
22	to check what we have already and then recommend
23	something to supplement that.
24	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: And figure out how

	Page 54
1	we could draft What it would look. How would we
2	actually structure the language.
3	COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Right.
4	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Let's put it on for
5	next week. And if we feel like we're ready to make
6	a decision, we'll make a decision next week. So,
7	if anybody has any opinions on that let us know.
8	I think you're moving a little too
9	quickly, Commissioner McHugh.
10	COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: I know. I'm
11	having second thoughts, Mr. Chair.
12	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Okay, number four.
13	Thank you very much, Todd.
14	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Is there a vote
15	on this code at this point?
16	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Yes, do we want to
17	adopt by vote?
18	COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Yes, we should.
19	We should do that by formal vote, good point.
20	So, as I understand it, there are no
21	amendments to the present to the draft that is
22	currently before us. And that's the redlined
23	draft. So, I would move that the Commission adopt
24	as its enhanced code of ethics the draft that was

i	
	Page 55
1	just described by Mr. Grossman.
2	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Second?
3	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Second.
4	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Any further
5	discussion? Does anybody else have any particular
6	issue?
7	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: No. I've read
8	it. I've had comments and discussions with Mr.
9	Grossman as recently as yesterday. I understand
10	it. And I also share the view that this is great
11	work. So, I'm in favor of it.
12	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Great, yes. And I
13	thought the amendments you came up with were really
14	good. All in favor of the motion, aye.
15	COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye.
16	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Aye.
17	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye.
18	COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Aye.
19	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Opposed? The ayes
20	have it unanimously. Thank you.
21	MR. GROSSMAN: The last thing that
22	just needs to be done with this is it needs to be
23	filed with the State Ethics Commission, which I will
24	certainly do. We had a request by the AG's office

Page 56 that we just kind of coordinate our filings. 1 2 with your approval, I'll just wait until theirs is 3 ready to go and then file ours along with theirs. 4 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Fine. There's a 5 bunch of disclosure stuff. I think we'll want to be releasing it publicly. We'll want to post it on 6 our website and so forth. But we can figure out 7 8 those logistics. Great. Thank you. Ombudsman 9 Ziemba? MR. ZIEMBA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 10 My remarks today will be a preview of coming 11 attractions. We've been working with Commissioner 12 13 McHugh and Mr. Grossman on protocols and procedures 14 for resolving disputes between applicants and surrounding communities that have not reached an 15 16 agreement by the time of the RFA-2. 17 We are coming to some conclusions 18 regarding some of those procedures and we hope to 19 have that available for discussion by as early as 20 next week. 21 You've heard me report that we received 22 a number of comments on the surrounding community's 23 definition. The protocols and procedures, they're 24 very intricately connected to the surrounding

Page 57 community definition. So, at this time next week 1 2 we think that we can also have a discussion on 3 revisions to this surrounding community's 4 definition regulation as well, at least a 5 preliminary discussion of both of those items. I continue to have meetings out there 6 7 in the communities. I have a couple of different 8 appearances next week as well. 9 And one other item is that we've been working pretty hard at trying to develop our 10 regional planning agency process to bring before 11 you. I had initially thought that we could bring 12 13 it as early as next week. It's still possible that 14 we could bring it as early as next week, but it's now, because of scheduling difficulties and other 15 16 consultations that we need to get done before that 17 date that may not be possible. But we're working 18 hard to try to do that. That's what I have to report 19 today. 20 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: My mind wandered. 21 So, surrounding community's is next week you say 22 that you're going to put forward a formal --23 MR. ZIEMBA: Yes. We're planning to 24 have at least the initial discussion of the revised

	Page 58
1	draft of surrounding community and initial
2	discussion regarding the protocols and procedures
3	that are connected to that draft. It may not be for
4	a vote, but we'll at least have a discussion about
5	the
6	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: The protocols and
7	procedures, we haven't seen anything yet, have we?
8	MR. ZIEMBA: No, no. So, the thought
9	is that we would at least have a discussion about
10	it tomorrow as it's a hot topic out there.
11	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Okay. Great.
12	Anything else?
13	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Thank you.
14	MR. ZIEMBA: Thank you.
15	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Anything else?
16	Okay, thanks. Discussion of preliminary
17	evaluation criteria matrix. I had distributed a
18	slight redraft of Commissioner McHugh's structure
19	for this. And I think we need to decide whether we
20	want to go with this and then start to blow it back
21	out into the full format.
22	And also Commissioner McHugh has
23	started the conversation going about the weighting
24	factors. I don't know whether we really are ready

February 22, 2013

	Page 59
1	to talk very much about that.
2	COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: In my view,
3	we're not, but it's lurking in the background. So,
4	I distributed that memo simply to set out some
5	possibilities and we can talk about those better
6	after we get this finished.
7	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Were their comments
8	on this revised outline? Were you comfortable with
9	this revised outline?
10	COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: I must
11	confess
12	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: you missed it?
13	COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: that I missed
14	it.
15	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: I think I sent it
16	around.
17	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: You did and it
18	did not make it into the packet. I'm in the same
19	boat as Commissioner McHugh.
20	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Agreed, it did
21	not make it.
22	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: You did
23	distribute it by email.
24	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Right. I didn't

February 22, 2013

	Page 60
1	give it to put it in the packet. I didn't think
2	about that. I'm sorry. That's all right. I
3	didn't think to put it in the packet. I should
4	have.
5	COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Suppose we just
6	assume that it's right and take the next step of
7	blowing it up in the fashion that we blew up the last
8	one. And then understand this remains a work in
9	progress. Blow it up, distribute that and then
10	talk about the blow up at the next meeting with that
11	as the
12	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: as the structure.
13	COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: as the
14	structure.
15	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Okay. I think that
16	makes sense. And as you say, it can be changed in
17	a second. So, that's fine.
18	If anybody happens to have any other
19	comments on this, fine. But in the meantime, we'll
20	go forward. And then this will be something that
21	we can make public as part of the conversation next
22	week.
23	COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Right, right.
24	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: And we may or may not

Page 61 start to talk about this, the weighting memo at the 1 2 same time. 3 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Right, right. 4 We posted the old one for comments. The comments we get for the one we discussed last week, will still 5 be applicable to this. So, we can think about those 6 7 at the same time. 8 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Right, right. 9 Okay. Preparation for Region C. I just mentioned this last week. We need to consider, we'll get an 10 update on the status of the compact negotiations. 11 Do we want to have -- Do we want to invite people 12 13 in? 14 Essentially, the question is going to be doing do we open Region C up for the commercial 15 16 license or not. And I don't know what the process 17 really -- I think we've all got our own ideas. But 18 I'm sure there are plenty of people who want to 19 convey their opinions to us. 20 I wonder whether maybe we should make 21 it a separate meeting, possibly held in 22 Southeastern Mass.? Or do we just put it on the 23 agenda for one of our regular meetings and invite 24 people to come? I don't know. I'm of several

	Page 62
1	minds about how to proceed.
2	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: I remember we
3	did receive during our policy question invitation
4	there were a couple, if I remember, maybe one or two
5	questions relative to Region C. And we did receive
6	at the time a few comments.
7	We could look at those again, but also
8	if this is one part of the question, Mr. Chairman,
9	invite any additional comments from since that
10	time. Some time has passed. But I recall there
11	were a number of comments.
12	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Yes. We got
13	comments from Taunton. We got comments from KG
L4	Urban.
15	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Several
16	representatives.
L7	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Several members of
18	the House and Senate. I'll get those again and
19	redistribute those. I guess really the question is
20	do we want to just limit it to submissions of written
21	comments? Or do we want to have any more of a
22	process than that?
23	COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: What would be
24	the downside of having a broader process than that?

Page 63 A process -- Let me hypothesize. -- that we have a 1 2 meeting, whether it's a special meeting or this 3 meeting, and invite those who are interested in that 4 question, not on whether we should have expanded 5 gaming and not the broad thematic question, to come in and make a 10-minute presentation if they sign 6 up in advance. Listen to what people have to say 7 8 in a public setting and have a little chance for a 9 back and forth. And then make a decision as to what we're going to do. 10 I'm a little concerned, not hugely, but 11 I'm a little concerned about the sort of 12 13 implications that have surfaced about the 14 Commission's heading in this direction. Commission's heading in that direction. 15 Commission's doing this because that that have 16 17 surfaced and are rippling around as sort of a 18 low-grade fever under this whole discussion. And 19 if sunlight's a good disinfectant that's a way to 20 begin to disinfect. So, I just throw that out as 21 a possibility. 22 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: I don't think 23 there's any downside. I think the argument against 24 it that is we know what everybody's got to say.

Page 64 It's all been said. There are interests, which are 1 2 not reconcilable. It depends on which side of the 3 thing you're sitting on. But I don't think there's 4 any downside. 5 Anybody else have any thoughts or 6 preferences? 7 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: I don't 8 disagree. In fact, I agree that it would be a good 9 idea. I'm perhaps cognizant of the timing as to whether anything between now and March, which is 10 coming soon -- Was it the mid-week in March? 11 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: The 15th. 12 13 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: The 15th of March when we first said? Whether anything before 14 or after for one or for a starter. Or were there 15 16 other pieces that we are not aware of, ongoing 17 negotiations, likelihood of other approvals may 18 happen before or after. That's one of way of saying 19 I don't know when should we have this. 20 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: I think it wouldn't be fair to have it before the 15th. We said we would 21 22 suspend this discussion until the 15th. So, I 23 don't see any reason not. It's clearly incumbent 24 upon us to address this issue again and soon.

Page 65 What we determine is to be determined. 1 2 But that we address it soon is critical. So, I 3 wouldn't see any reason having it be whenever the 4 next meeting is after the 15th. I think inviting 5 people in to speak is probably a good idea. It's 6 a pretty high-stakes question. For the people 7 involved, it's a pretty big question. 8 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: But that would 9 be separate than a briefing about compact progress? CHAIRMAN CROSBY: The compact process 10 would just be an educational update from the 11 Governor's Office, right. The Governor's Office 12 13 would be welcome to come talk if they wanted to. 14 But we're on their team in negotiating the compact, 15 and we just need the update on that. That would be 16 separate, yes. 17 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: If we did 18 something like that at the next meeting after the 19 15th, we would in all likelihood have finished with 20 the regulation process. So, we've got a full 21 agenda between now and the time we get those 22 regulations through us and off to --23 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: What is the date? 24 What is the first Thursday?

Page 66 1 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: It's the 21st, 2 the first Thursday after if we did it on a Thursday. 3 We could also go to -- Your other idea was to go 4 someplace south and have it just devoted to that. 5 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: I think if we went to the Region, there would be a lot of individuals that 6 7 would want to come talk to us and give us their 8 opinions about it. And I don't know whether we want 9 that or not. I don't think it's that kind of a public hearing. 10 I think we want individual comments at 11 Mass. Gaming Commission comments and I'm sure we'll 12 13 get lot of them. And we will take those into 14 consideration. But I think this ought to be 15 institutional representation of some sort. This 16 has to be an entity speaking rather than just an 17 individual. 18 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: I agree with 19 that. But we could also do it by saying everybody's 20 invited to send in comments to Mass. Gaming. and if they want to be heard, let us know that. And then 21 22 we invite the ones who we think can contribute most. 23 But we'll at least have the benefit of their 24 comment, even if we don't invite them.

Page 67 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: What do you think 1 2 about doing it there or just a regular -- going to 3 Southeastern Mass. or just doing the regular 4 meeting? Any strong feelings? Or we could have 5 our March 21st meeting in Southeastern Mass. 6 That's not a bad way to do it. 7 COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: I don't see 8 any downside. To pick up on Commissioner McHugh's 9 point, I think it is helpful if we identify the parties that we want to make sure we hear from at 10 the public meeting. I know we have some protocols 11 in place for people that wish to, members of the 12 13 general public who wish to sign up to offer their 14 comments. It gives you discretion to call them out 15 of order if they start to drift off into other topics beyond that what we're asking them about. 16 17 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: All right. Then 18 let's at least make a tentative decision that our 19 March 21 meeting, it'll be a regular meeting. A 20 major part of the agenda will be how to proceed in 21 Region C. We will have it somewhere in Region C. 22 And the public of any type is invited 23 and encouraged to weigh in on how we should proceed 24 in Region C between now and the 21st. We'll post

Page 68 this but also let us know if you feel like you have 1 2 something to say which can't be said sufficiently 3 in your written comments. 4 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: So, we would be 5 listening to comments and not making a decision on that date? 6 7 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: I would say if we 8 were ready we would make a decision. I think we 9 would set it up as a potential vote and be prepared to vote if we're ready. Do you have a different 10 view of that? 11 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Sometimes 12 13 comments or information, you need a little time to 14 think about it or to ask further questions, receive additional information. So, that would be my only 15 16 concern is if we were having presentations, are we 17 prepared at that point? Have we had enough time to 18 really think about it? 19 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: I think we would 20 make that decision at the time. Chances are, we're 21 not going to hear something we haven't heard before. 22 I mean I think that's reality. It will be restated 23 and reinforced, but if we do then we don't vote. I 24 agree with you. If something new important comes

February 22, 2013

	Page 69
1	up, we may need to weigh it. But we ought to be able
2	to vote if we choose to. Okay. So, we'll go with
3	that.
4	Report from the Director of
5	Communications and Outreach, oh, my God, on the
6	website.
7	MS. DRISCOLL: Good afternoon.
8	COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Good afternoon.
9	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Good
10	afternoon.
11	MS. DRISCOLL: The long-awaited
12	website. So, what I'd like to do first is just
13	basically take everybody through the progress that
14	we've made to this point, just give you some
15	background on how we got here. And just take you
16	through the site a bit, so, you can get a feel for
17	what the site includes.
18	Let me say that the company we worked
19	with, Jackrabbit Design really did a tremendous
20	job. The level of responsiveness and hard work,
21	the number of calls they dealt with from me a daily
22	basis for months now.
23	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Their worst
24	nightmare.

Page 70 1 MS. DRISCOLL: Yes, no doubt. 2 However, it has manifested itself in work that they 3 had said to me after we had some time to reflect on 4 the new site the other day that not only am I proud 5 of where I think we've been able to take the site, but they said it's actually they believe it's one 6 7 of the best sites they've ever created. 8 They are very, very proud of the 9 product that they were able to deliver to us. So, I'm excited to share that with all of you. 10 But I just want to briefly give you some 11 background, again, which is that when we had started 12 13 out I think that it's fair to say that the 14 Massachusetts Gaming Commission truly has a 15 historic opportunity to set the industry standard 16 on effective external communication as we go 17 through the licensing process. I think that in 18 keeping with the Commission's repeatedly stated 19 mission of introducing expanded gaming in a way that 20 is fair, transparent and participatory, the 21 Commission really must take the opportunity to 22 capitalize on the newest technologies that are 23 available to us. 24 Which is why you will see that the new

Page 71

media capabilities and really incorporates some innovative uses of new media. I think that throughout this process, we are going to be consistently seeking ways to improve our communications with our many constituents.

And as we know, we have a wide variety of constituents that range from the citizens of the Commonwealth, public officials, the applicants and certainly the media that all have a very active interest in what we are trying to accomplish here.

So, the hope in developing this site was to essentially create a one-stop shopping resource for all of the expanded gaming news happening in the Commonwealth. It's important that it's easy to use, easy to navigate, and that all of the information, if someone goes to visit the site, is all in an obvious place, if you will.

Without getting into -- boring
everybody with too many of the details, I think one
of the things that's really interesting about it is
that in terms of building it out from the technology
standpoint, it's very complex. Meaning that back
of the house of the site is very complex. And they

Page 72

did a remarkable job making sure that they delivered every aspect of the punch list that I had set before them.

But what's remarkable about it is that as complex as it is, it's manifested itself in simplicity. So, not only from the aspect of the visitors to the site but on the administrative end in terms of -- I mean, as you can see as when we were talking earlier today, no less than seven, eight things by tomorrow morning that need to be added to the site already.

And that can be difficult on an administrative end, but it's things that we need to get up there right away. It's things that the applicants and the host and surrounding communities want and need to know about. So, it was really important that we developed a way to be able to easily do that.

So, when we started out on this, the objectives were, like I said, to implement the technologies that are available to us, to make sure that we are able to reach all of our constituents with unfiltered information, maximizing the use of our existing communications. We've had a lot of

Page 73

success with Facebook and Twitter and YouTube. And we want to find ways to maximize that, which the site does.

Again, make sure that we are disseminating accurate and timely information.

We're promoting newsworthy developments, which are happening on a weekly basis. We want to make sure that we are cultivating ongoing relationships with the public so that we are building upon trust in public confidence. We want to build brand recognition of the newly created Gaming Commission.

And the other thing that's really important is that we're creating consistent awareness of our timelines, milestones all of those important things. As you know, obviously, there's an endless amount of interest around the dates.

What's happening when? And what should the municipalities be expecting and anticipating?

So, before I go into the site, I want to touch on it very quickly another important aspect of it, which when you have a site like this, it's one thing to have it. But it's important that you take the steps that are necessary to promote its existence so that everybody knows that you have it.

Page 74 So, we've gone ahead in addition to 1 2 handling the site and made some steps in doing that. 3 And I can pass it around. It's just a mock right 4 now, but to make sure that we have a brochure, for 5 example, all of the speaking engagements that everybody does, public meetings, quite frankly, 6 7 here at our open meetings, making sure that these 8 are on-hand for any of the newcomers. Because as 9 you can see, the audience grows and grows every week. 10 So, also Jackrabbit in the midst of 11 doing all of this found the time to create 12 13 letterhead, business cards and customized email 14 signatures for all of us so we can make sure that 15 we are promoting the various ways that we are communicating information. 16 17 And in conjunction with all of that, 18 one of the other things that we had created, and 19 hopefully I can figure this out quickly, is we went 20 ahead --21 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Because it's so 22 simple. 23 MS. DRISCOLL: Yes. -- and created an 24 intro video. So, if everyone doesn't mind, I'm

Page 75 going to go ahead and play that for everybody. 1 2 This is important. Number one, it 3 chronicles what's happening right now. It will 4 help in a very simple way explain to everybody the 5 Gaming Act. And it's something that at our 6 speaking engagements we'll be able to present this 7 to the audience. 8 And also, for example, residents in 9 surrounding communities that are now starting to say okay, there may be a casino coming to my 10 neighborhood soon. I want to educate myself about 11 this as much as I can. And this is a 12 13 10-minute snippet to go ahead and find a way to quickly do that. So, I'd like to go ahead and play 14 that for everybody, if you just give me one second. 15 16 17 (Video plays) 18 19 MS. DRISCOLL: Like I said, that's 20 just a nice piece for us to have at the many speaking engagements. Again, as we know, so many residents 21 22 that are realizing they may be in a surrounding 23 community or maybe they're a host community. And 24 it's really helpful because even here we are over

Page 76 a year in and we're still answering some of the more 1 2 basic questions, which is the Gaming Act allows for how many resort casinos? So, it's just nice to have 3 4 a piece that we're able to share with people. 5 With that said, I am now going to go ahead and take us to the new site, which is actually 6 7 already live. I'll just quickly show you some of 8 the features. I'm sorry if I'm taking too much 9 time. CHAIRMAN CROSBY: No, not at all. 10 MS. DRISCOLL: So, here's the new 11 site. Again, I'll just take you through some of the 12 13 important features that we have. 14 Anyone that comes and starts to click 15 through it will find that everything is really from 16 a commonsense perspective in its place. And we 17 really tried hard to make sure that we hit on 18 anything and everything that may be of interest to 19 people. 20 So, for example, in the about section, one of the ways that a lot of these -- Let's say we 21 22 wanted to look up the Expanded Gaming Act, all of 23 these sort of for informational purposes pages has 24 three quick hits right here. What are the three

Page 77 most important things about this particular topic 1 2 that I need to know? 3 Then as you scroll down, if you're interested in more information than that, here's a 4 5 more detailed explanation. Right here under related content, you'll see that it's easy to go 6 ahead and access the statute or the coded index that 7 8 we have. 9 Similarly, like I said, many of the pages do address this. Host and surrounding 10 communities it will, obviously, be an important 11 page for us. Right now, again, what are the most 12 13 important things if you're a host and surrounding 14 community that you need to know? A more detailed explanation of that including milestones, timeline 15 16 issues, whatever the case may be. Here on the 17 right-hand side, we have all of the important 18 documents right now for the municipalities such as 19 how to go about seeking community disbursements. 20 Very easy to update. I'm sure these 21 types of things will be changing very frequently. 22 So, that will be important. 23 I'm going to go ahead and take you back 24 to the homepage quickly. As you can see, by the

Page 78 way, up here and this is in many different places 1 2 throughout the site, but all of our various social 3 media methods that we use. 4 We have an area dedicated to problem 5 gambling. By the way, Massachusetts Council of Compulsive Gambling was kind enough to provide us 6 with a lot of content from that page. I had reached 7 8 out to them and asked them what they thought that 9 page needed to entail. They sent me a lot of really helpful information. So, this is all from them 10 from the 1-800-number to various links and helpful 11 information. It's all there. 12 13 Jobs that's obvious what that is. 14 Even there's a lot of forms on this site. So, for 15 example, it's very easy if you want to contact the 16 Commission to go ahead and just fill out your 17 information. When you hit the submit button, it 18 comes right to a dedicated email address that we 19 have back at the Commission. 20 Helpful section, because as we get more 21 and more of these how to go about requesting a public 22 record. We made what we wanted to hit on all of 23 that. 24 Again, if I go ahead and go back to the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 79 homepage and just sort of talk you through a little bit about what was important in terms of real estate on the homepage. Right here you've got a really cool news and updates section that we can pull from. Whether its press releases, blog posts, whatever the case may be. On this site we have a gaming blog. And you'll notice from here for example we have the ability to have guest bloggers, which I think will be really important given all of the different partners that we have throughout this process. And we already have our first guest blog post, which is from Marlene Warner who is the Executive Director of the Massachusetts Council on Compulsive Gambling. Here's a community calendar right here where we can put all of our upcoming public

Here's a community calendar right here where we can put all of our upcoming public meetings. And what's really cool about this too is when you enter the information in, it goes ahead and takes you to the event page. So, it gives you a little bit detail on that.

Then right here every single event posting has a directions capability. So, just really thoughtful in terms of how helpful it's going to be for people. As you scroll down a bit more,

Page 80 again, the live stream is always in the exact same 1 2 place. So, regardless of what the live stream is 3 for what meeting, for what event, whatever the case 4 may be, it's always going to be right here in the 5 same place. 6 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Can we watch you 7 speaking? 8 MS. DRISCOLL: Yes, you could. 9 Although I don't know what that would do to it. So, I better not go there right now. 10 Requests for public comments, again, 11 we do that so frequently. If you click on the 12 13 participate now, you'll see anything that we're 14 currently requesting public comment on. It's all right here, very clearly separated by headlines 15 16 with the deadline dates etc., etc. 17 And again, if you were to continue down 18 the homepage a bit, this is really cool. Every time we send out a tweet, it basically loads it in this 19 It's almost like a little news ticker. 20 21 Then this is really neat right here 22 too, which is the ability for individuals to sign 23 up basically you can hit submit and -- I don't know 24 what that will do. -- So, you can hit submit and it

Page 81 will, again, send us an email to a dedicated email 1 2 address. So, anyone can write in, put in their 3 email address and get public hearing notices, 4 meeting notices and agendas, press releases or 5 soon-to-be newsletter. 6 If you want to request a speaker, very 7 easy to do so. There's to form that you need to fill 8 that again will also come back to a dedicated email 9 address that very clearly indicates on the 10 administrative end that it's for a speaker request. News and events, again, meeting agendas, 11 notification, meeting archives, all of that, very 12 13 easy, our blog, licensing and regulations. 14 And then the I want to. So, I want to 15 look at job opportunities. I want to contact the 16 Commission. I want to read the law. These are 17 very easy to change out as our needs change. So, 18 like I said, the thing that is so great about this 19 site is that on the administrative end, it's very 20 easy to use. We've spent a lot of time clicking 21 22 through, clicking on every link going to every page 23 hoping every link works. It's like anything, 24 something -- there's bound to be something.

Page 82

So, I would just say that I invite everyone to take some time and to look through the site and to please let me know right away if there's anything that needs to be adjusted. We'll be able to do that really quickly.

It's currently live. In other words, if somebody types in MassGaming.com right now, they're going to go straight to the site. We still have mass.gov/gaming up. I'm going to keep them both up for a few days anyways just while we sort of calibrate this to make sure that there aren't any glaring issues.

Then mass.gov people were kind enough when I contacted them and let them know about this, and asked what some of the solutions might be to not cause any confusion. Pretty soon the IT folks on the mass.gov end will make it so that if you go to the State website and you're looking for us and you click on Mass. Gaming Commission, it will take you to the site. If you type in mass.gov/gaming it will take you to this site, which is really great that they were willing to help us out on that.

So, like I said, please look around the site. Any suggestions, changes are easily made.

Page 83 If you identify anything that's missing or anything 1 2 like that just let me know, we'll quickly change it. 3 But the team that worked on this truly 4 did a tremendous job. And it was a considerable 5 amount of work and we were able to do it in a very 6 short period of time. These types of projects usually take much longer than the five months that 7 8 we turned this around in. 9 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Where is the video? Is it on there? 10 MS. DRISCOLL: Not yet, because if 11 there were changes to it. That was just a draft of 12 13 the video. I worked on that separately with 14 someone else. It was actually independent of this. 15 But I wanted to find a way to marry the two of them 16 together. 17 That's lastly what I'll say. On the 18 live stream and video that was another thing that 19 we have here. Yes, so meeting archives or if we 20 were to go to this page, if you go to the live stream 21 page -- Because I want to be careful because I don't 22 want to accidently click on it. There it is. And 23 you would need to press play. 24

Page 84 1 (Video plays) 2 3 MS. DRISCOLL: That's what I was 4 worried would happen. It works. All right. So, 5 anyways. But what's really cool about that page is that you can very easily go to our YouTube page that 6 7 has all of our past meeting events. It's all right 8 there. 9 And another thing that they did was our Facebook page. They did a really nice job. Like 10 11 I said, it's so important that you sort of cross-reference everything. And they redesigned 12 13 just the top of our Facebook page so it matches the 14 new website. They did a really nice job on the design of all of it. 15 16 Really I can't say enough about what a 17 great job they did. Excellent on a graphics 18 perspective from their standpoint. That's about 19 it. Like I said, please look around the site. 20 Please let me know. We're trying to already stay 21 as up to date as it possibly can be. Certainly, 22 we're going to be filling things in over the course 23 of the next week or so. But there it is. 24 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: It's fantastic.

February 22, 2013

	Page 85
1	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Great work.
2	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: That is really
3	fantastic, just incredible.
4	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Just a great, great
5	job. That's really well done.
6	COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: You can sense
7	how much work went into this. It looks slick,
8	smooth simple. The amount of stuff to make all of
9	that work it's extraordinary. It's great.
10	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: That's great,
11	Elaine. Thank you.
12	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Thank you.
13	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Great work.
14	COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Yes, great
15	work, really good.
16	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: A really good job.
17	And that was done in short order, wow. These
18	things usually go on forever.
19	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: And you
20	captured all of our good angles too.
21	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: I don't know
22	about that.
23	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Those of us who have
24	good angles.

February 22, 2013

	Page 86
1	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Speak for
2	yourself.
3	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Elaine, that's
4	terrific. It really looks great. So, it's full
5	up?
6	MS. DRISCOLL: Yes.
7	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Ready to go?
8	MS. DRISCOLL: Yes, ready to go.
9	CHAIRMAN CROSBY; Great, super.
10	COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Great, good
11	job.
12	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: All right. Who
13	gets to follow that one?
14	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Regulations.
15	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Regulations.
16	Actually, why don't we take a bread and come back
17	in five minutes.
18	
19	(A recess was taken)
20	
21	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: We will reconvene
22	public meeting number 53. And we were on
23	regulation update. I guess Commissioner McHugh.
24	COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: I invite Mr.

Page 87 Grossman back here again. We are proceeding with 1 2 the regulations. The deadline remains March 14. 3 We are on track, as Mr. Grossman has been doing a 4 terrific job marshaling this and working through 5 the various substantive things we'll now tell you MR. GROSSMAN: Thank you. So, I think 6 7 the most important point right now to just convey 8 is that we are, I think, on track to meet our early 9 March deadline with this. And I emailed a copy of our grid last 10 evening. And the only noteworthy thing there is 11 that you'll see that a lot of our target dates for 12 13 receiving regulations have been met. I have a lot 14 draft language that we're in the process of 15 improving. 16 My hope is that by next week when we're 17 sitting here that we can talk about some actual 18 language for your consideration. And I anticipate 19 that every meeting for the next couple of weeks 20 leading up until that mid-March date I'll have some 21 language for you to consider. 22 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: How many pages of 23 regs. are we talking about do you think? 24 MR. GROSSMAN: For the whole thing?

Page 88 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: That will be done by 1 2 the March 14 deadline, more or less. 3 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: It's hard to 4 figure that out, but I would say it's about 5 three-quarters of what we had the last time. It's going to be a big chunk of this stuff. 6 7 And the idea here and Todd's been 8 working on this, is to give chunks at a time and then 9 talk about that. We now have the luxury that we didn't have before. We've got to sort of calibrate 10 how we do this, of being able to get to him with 11 comments other than in a public meeting. 12 13 The last time we had to give all of the 14 comments in a public meeting. But now we've got 15 staff, able staff who are able to take some of this 16 so we can -- it's manageable and it can be done on 17 the Commissioner's own time. 18 And then that'll be taken back and put 19 in another draft that will be presented at a meeting 20 as an integrated whole. But that hopefully, if the 21 plan works, will the second time everybody is seeing 22 things. So, I think this is a better process than 23 we had the last time. 24 MR. GROSSMAN: Like we did with the

	Page 89
1	ethics.
2	COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Pardon me?
3	MR. GROSSMAN: Like we did with the
4	ethics code that would be exactly the same way this
5	would hopefully work. I thought that worked well.
6	I think we're close on a number of these
7	areas that you'll start to see groups of regs.
8	covering areas, host and surrounding and live
9	entertainment venues, I think are all coming in
LO	together. You'll probably see that.
11	Then we have more administrative
12	things. And then some of the regulations towards
13	the end of the Phase 2 dealing with the transfer and
14	securities and things like that will come towards
15	the end.
16	So, that's where we are. And
17	hopefully, I will have some language to you shortly.
18	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Great. So, the
19	schedule is unaffected. I guess the only other
20	issue then is the license fee conversation that we
21	had last week that I think we said we would talk
22	about again today.
23	COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: No. We said,
24	Mr. Chairman, we'd talk about it next week. And the

Page 90 1 comment, we've posted a request for comments. And we've set a comment deadline of next Tuesday. 2 3 we said we were going to pick it up next time. 4 So, the regulations are being well 5 This matrix that Todd is maintaining and manned. the sort of coordination he's doing is helping us 6 move forward very efficiently, I think, with this 7 8 process as well. So, next week we will pick up that 9 discussion. CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Great, thank you. 10 IEB report, in lieu of Director Wells. 11 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: I am prepared 12 13 to give that report in the Director's absence. The first item mentioned is the scope of licensing. 14 15 Those decisions have been made. They are complete. Although we anticipate additional financial 16 17 qualifiers who have yet to be determined that will 18 be added to many of the applicants as we move along 19 in this process. And that is in accordance with how 20 this process has taken place in every other jurisdiction. Lots of times the financing isn't 21 22 all in place at the very beginning of the process. 23 As far as license category 24 declaration, we did --

February 22, 2013

	Page 91
1	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: What about issue of
2	reserve the right?
3	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Okay. Do you
4	want to discuss that now, Mr. Chair?
5	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: I think so.
6	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: You're talking
7	about with regard to the license declaration,
8	right?
9	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Yes.
10	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: So, I just was
11	starting that.
12	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Okay, sorry.
13	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: So, we had two
14	As everyone knows, we had two applicants who had
15	not yet advised us of which category they were
16	seeking. And in order to meet our timelines, it was
17	important for us to have that information so that
18	we could prioritize the slots license
19	investigations.
20	Both of the applicants did meet our
21	deadline. And they both advised that they were
22	interested in pursuing category two license.
23	That's Mass Gaming as well as Cordish, known as PPE
24	Casino Resorts Mass. But both also requested the

Page 92

right to change if that was in the best interest.

And what we think is really happening here to because we made it known that we were considering another alternative in Region C, considering, I think, some of our applicants would like the ability to consider a license in Region C if that opportunity avails itself.

So, that's something. These are kind of unanticipated developments. I don't know that any of us thought that there would be an issue initially where an applicant was not sure of how they wanted to proceed with either a category one or a category two license. But in showing good faith, they have advised at this point that they are interested in a slots two license and asking us for the right to make a change.

In discussing this, and I think

Director Wells will have further discussions with

the attorneys for both of these applicants. I

think the only possible way that could work would

be if there would be a change in Region C, which none

of us know how that will turn out at this point. But

I believe that's what these applicants are

referring to. So, that's something we haven't

Page 93 1 discussed. You're correct about that. CHAIRMAN CROSBY: It raises the 2 3 question, which I don't think we had ever really 4 talked about. If somebody tells us they want to do 5 a category one or a category two and then they decide later on they want to switch to category two, to one 6 7 or vice versa, is there any reason for us to have 8 a problem with that? 9 Is that something that these folks have reserved -- Forget about Region C. I think we'll 10 deal with that separately. Even within A and B, is 11 there any reason, is there anything for us to say 12 13 about that issue? It's just been raised now in a 14 way. By not saying anything other than accepting 15 their letters, we're sort of implying that okay, 16 fine, you've reserved the right to change. We are 17 okay with that. And I just thought it was something 18 that we ought to at least have talked about a little 19 bit. 20 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: We touched on 21 this earlier and came up with a sort of an

CATUOGNO COURT REPORTING & STENTEL TRANSCRIPTION Springfield, MA Worcester, MA Boston, MA Chelmsford, MA Providence, RI

unsatisfactory conclusion to that in the sense that

you can't force somebody to take a license they

don't want. That's just an exercise in futility

22

23

24

Page 94

1 for both sides.

But it does seem to me that we need to know, certainly by the time we get the RFA-2 application whether this is the exclusive -- Again, putting Region C to one side. Whatever happens there, happens there. But this is the exclusive approach they're going to take.

And I'm not sure we could force them to do that given the way the construct of that took place that existed when we took the application fee. They didn't have to check off. They didn't have to declare. But by that time perhaps things have changed sufficiently so that we could require that to be stated.

At the very least, it seems to me, we could make it a component of the application processing process that if you didn't say this is my exclusive target, we could take that into account in deciding whether to award you the license.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Right.

COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: The worst thing that could happen, it seems to me, is to award the license. And by the time we award the license, the applicant has moved on and said I'm going after

Page 95 1 something else. 2 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Or even in the 3 middle of our evaluation process, somebody says, 4 wait a second, I want to be in there too. Or wait 5 a second, I don't want to be in there. So, I think maybe up until they submit 6 7 their application, the RFA-2 application, it seems 8 to me that we would probably be fairly flexible on 9 that. It's in our interest to get the best deal going and encourage competition. If somebody 10 thinks they have a better bet somewhere, we probably 11 would be okay with that. 12 13 But I think you're right. By the time 14 we get to submission time for RFA-2 -- as a practical 15 matter, I don't think anybody's going to be changing after that anyway. But I think that is sort of a 16 17 reasonable expectation for the bidders to have. 18 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: As a practical 19 matter, by the time they submit the RFA-2 20 application, they better have negotiated a host 21 community agreement. 22 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: And a referendum. 23 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: And the basis of 24 a host community agreement is the size of and type

Page 96 of project, frankly, which between categories could 1 2 be very different. It's not the same to have 1200 3 slot machines or there is a destination resort. 4 So, the project by itself is going to 5 manifest itself, I think, one way or another. So, I agree with your characterization that the latest 6 7 should be RFA Phase 2. And we could talk about 8 Region C later, separately because if they are 9 mixing the two they should not. CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Right. I agree 10 with that. 11 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: I agree with 12 13 everything you say. 14 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Yes. If your sense 15 is right that people are trying to hold their options open for C, I think we can disabuse them of 16 17 that concern, because that will be a whole new 18 process. 19 We will not let people be prejudiced by 20 having participated in A and B, they're not going to be prejudiced in C. But I think we're talking 21 22 about a really hypothetical scenario here. If 23 there's going to be a change, we'll hear about it 24 very soon. But I think that we've done enough on

	Page 97
1	this.
2	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Just to
3	reiterate perhaps the initial impetus of
4	declaration was to assign resources of the Bureau,
5	because we are still with the intention of
6	prioritizing the slots parlor investigation.
7	COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Right.
8	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Correct, I
9	believe all of the applicants have shown a good
10	faith effort in understanding our process,
11	understanding what's best for their project but yet
12	meeting our needs and understanding why we asked for
13	this. I don't think there's anything we need to
14	vote with regard to that declaration, Mr. Chair.
15	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Okay, I agree.
16	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Next item on
17	the agenda is an investigation status report. All
18	11 investigations are underway. The IEB will be
19	starting in conjunction with our contract
20	investigators will be starting the interview
21	process as soon as next week.
22	So, they've been working with
23	databases and gathering information for the last
24	several weeks reviewing all of the qualifier

Page 98 information. So, the actual investigation 1 2 interviews will start as soon as next week I'm 3 appraised. 4 The other issue here is the discussion 5 of the public records, the processing of the public records for the applicants. Again, our State 6 7 Police are working very hard on those redactions, 8 working with the applicants to get the specimens in 9 the form that we need them to be. 10 Most applicants, all but two or three, have asked for additional redacted materials as our 11 regulations call for. We will need time to 12 13 evaluate those requests. I know Director Wells 14 will be working with Mr. Grossman on some of those requests. I don't believe -- I know that we had 15 16 talked about making a decision about this 17 dissemination. I believe that may be premature 18 because we want adequate time to look at those 19 requests and evaluate what the concerns are of the 20 applicants. 21 There's also been numerous comments, 22 which have been sent to us, about the redaction 23 process and why and how important confidentiality 24 is. So, I don't believe we have had adequate time

	Page 99
1	to look at all of the comments, look at all of the
2	letters requesting additional redacted materials.
3	And I would just recommend that it may
4	be a bit premature to go ahead and make a decision
5	about we're going to disseminate that information.
6	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Can I pick up
7	that point relative to the timing of the
8	dissemination, because this perhaps to
9	oversimplify, these are ongoing investigations.
10	And that is a particularly protected area of the
11	public records during the course of an
12	investigation. My question is
13	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Say that again. I
14	didn't understand what you said.
15	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: The public
16	records regs. and statutes exempts from disclosure
17	those records that are part of an ongoing
18	investigation. I can go back to
19	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Is that right?
20	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Yes.
21	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: So, you're saying
22	that we could not put anything, make anything
23	public?
24	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: I'm wondering

Page 100 whether any of these -- because it is in the course 1 of an investigation whether any kind of information 2 3 that's part of this investigation should be made 4 public at this point? 5 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: You really have 6 to start, I think, with the explicit statutory statement that except for three categories these 7 8 documents, the application is a public record. As 9 the statute thought about it, the application Phase 1 and Phase 2 were going to be together. It didn't 10 prohibit us from doing them apart. 11 But this is part of the application 12 13 that's covered by that statutory statement that 14 it's a public record except for the three categories of competitive, sensitive and whatever else. Even 15 16 if the investigatory exception applied, that's got 17 a highly contoured interpretive meaning that would 18 not cover something that was part of -- something 19 that was designed to be a public record. So, I 20 really don't think we can take advantage of that. But I do think that there has been a 21 22 great deal of angst on the part of the submitters 23 over the publication of these applications on the

CATUOGNO COURT REPORTING & STENTEL TRANSCRIPTION Springfield, MA Worcester, MA Boston, MA Chelmsford, MA Providence, RI

Internet. And if you read the letters and the

24

Page 101

comments that we've gotten, there is concern about giving out tax returns and addresses and ages of children and names of children and the like. Most of that if not all of it is part of the redaction that was achieved by applying our regulations to the overall form and is not going to be part of any public record.

In fact, it is doubtful to me that anything that is public in these forms isn't something that's available already elsewhere. But that may not entirely be true.

It seems to me that the angst around this would either be removed or specific things could be clarified as to where the problems were. And specific requests for additional redactions could be processed in a simple and straightforward fashion if we completed, as we will in the near term, the redaction process and then said this is what the public portion of the application is. Here it is concretely. Now where on there is something of concern to you? And then proceed in that fashion.

The plain fact of the matter is once you

The plain fact of the matter is once you give one of these applications to anybody, it is a candidate for publication on the Web. In today's

Page 102 world that's where it's going to wind up. So, I 1 would recommend that as the best way to handle this. 2 3 We are also dealing with a backdrop of an almost universal experience in other states 4 5 where none of this is public information by statute. Our statute takes the flipside of that and says it 6 all is public except for these categories that we've 7 8 defined. So that people coming into Massachusetts 9 are used to, I think, the experience in other states where none of this sees the light of day. We've got 10 a different approach to it by statute. 11 But it seems to me that regardless of 12 what the environment is, it seems to me that if we 13 14 reduce this to specific facts rather than 15 generalities and concerns, we advance the process 16 enormously. 17 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Right. Yes. 18 think that's well said. I think there are two 19 sources of misunderstanding. One is the one you 20 describe, which is people are talking in 21 generalities. Oh, they're going to put it on the 22 Web. So, we have to make this specific. And how 23 we do that is worth pursuing a little bit further.

CATUOGNO COURT REPORTING & STENTEL TRANSCRIPTION Springfield, MA Worcester, MA Boston, MA Chelmsford, MA Providence, RI

But the other misunderstanding I think

24

Page 103 is that the Commission is trying to break new ground 1 to make stuff public as part of our transparency 2 3 commitment. This is one place where the Commission 4 is not trying to break new ground in terms of 5 transparency, quite the opposite. 6 What we're trying to do, what we have 7 to do is comply with the law. And we want to be as 8 rigorous about that on behalf of the applicants. 9 think I personally, and I've said this many times, side with the applicants in this case. 10 So, I don't want anybody to get the 11 misimpression that we are trying to do something in 12 13 the clause of transparency here. What we're trying 14 to do is figure out how we can protect the applicants in the context of our control and law. 15 16 I think this is important enough and 17 there's been enough pushback that we ought to be pretty flexible on -- I think we could invite people 18 19 to meet with us. I think you've already done that 20 in some cases. 21 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: We are. 22 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: And to identify, 23 once we get past the B-S into the particulars, 24 identify exactly which ones they object to. We

	Page 104
1	might agree with them as you were saying. I
2	wouldn't be surprised, this is something that
3	reasonable people can agree on, if we talked about
4	it being as specific Commission McHugh said.
5	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: And that's
6	been how the IEB has been proceeding with all of
7	these matters. There's been a number of issues
8	that are unique to the Commonwealth, different than
9	other jurisdictions. And many times it is
10	explaining and listening and coming to a decision
11	based on all of that information. So, those
12	meetings are ongoing with representatives for the
13	applicants and they will continue to be ongoing
14	until we have all of these issues resolved.
15	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Yes. Okay. Good.
16	I totally agree with your bottom line. Maybe we
17	should let this one work for a little while.
18	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: That's all I
19	have, Mr. Chair.
20	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Thank you. Racing
21	Division.
22	DR. DURENBERGER: Good afternoon.
23	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: How are you?
24	COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: How are you?

Page 105 1 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: I quess I can 2 start with our administrative update for Racing. 3 have a couple of recommendations based on some RFPs 4 that we've had out. The first of these is for an RFP that 5 was issued on December 5, 2012. And this was for 6 7 laboratory testing services to conduct our equine 8 drug testing for the live horse racing in the 9 Commonwealth. The response deadline was January 7, 2013. I'm just reading off the memo that I 10 11 prepared for you. The Commission received three 12 13 responses prior to that deadline. All three were 14 in accordance with -- passed the phase one review, 15 went onto phase two review. And I've outlined for you there the different percentages that comprised 16 17 the phase two score. The phase two score was 70 percent on this contract, and cost was 30 percent. 18 19 We had a procurement management team 20 that met to do the phase two score. We met, we discussed our individual scores to reach a 21 22 consensus score on our criteria and checked 23 business references. And moved onto phase three, 24 which is the cost proposal analysis.

	Page 106
1	And we did have a request for
2	clarification that was sent out to the respondents
3	regarding our sample shipping schedule, because
4	these samples are, of course, shipped to the bidding
5	lab. Following phase two, three, that
6	clarification and our best and final offer, the
7	respondent that ranked the highest was Truesdail
8	Laboratories, Incorporated.
9	So, my recommendation to you based on
10	the results of this process are that the Commission
11	approve the initiation of the contract in process
12	with them, with this vendor to provide equine drug
13	testing laboratory services. Truesdail
14	Laboratories, Incorporated is the name of the
15	respondent.
16	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Do I have motion in
17	support?
18	COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: So moved.
19	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Second?
20	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: May I add that
21	we execute a contract with the vendor selected make
22	sure we have the authority to enter into the
23	contract?
24	DR. DURENBERGER: You got it.

February 22, 2013

ı	
	Page 107
1	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: So, that is added to
2	the motion.
3	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: To the motion.
4	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Any discussion?
5	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Second the
6	motion.
7	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: I know you went
8	through a rigorous process, and they ended up
9	qualifying by some points. Do you feel like we got
10	the best of folks for our
11	DR. DURENBERGER: Yes, Sir.
12	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Okay. Good. All
13	in favor of the motion of getting of hiring, of
14	contracting with Truesdail say aye. Aye.
15	COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye.
16	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Aye.
17	COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Aye.
18	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye.
19	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Opposed? That ayes
20	have it unanimously.
21	DR. DURENBERGER: Okay. The second
22	RFP that I bring to you today, this was for auditing,
23	pari-mutuel auditing services was an RFP that was
24	issued on January 16 of this year. Responses were

Page 108

due February 11. And we received one response prior to that deadline.

This was a qualified response. It met all of the technical and cost requirements that we had put out. But that certainly made our phase two and phase three reviews much easier.

Upon review of this response, it is certainly my recommendation that the Commission approve the initiation of executing a contract with this vendor. This is Pari-Global Solutions, Incorporated to provide pari-mutuel auditing services.

So, this is a web-based program that will capture tote information from the tote providers. And we'll be able to integrate it with our financial reporting software. And there are some other add-on services too that I think are very good for the industry and the Commonwealth and are available both to the track operators and to the horsemen's groups.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: My question is the same as the Chairman for the last one. You're satisfied with the one response that this a good solution and good for us to implement at this time?

	Page 109
1	DR. DURENBERGER: I am.
2	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Is there only group
3	that does this? Or why was there only one response?
4	DR. DURENBERGER: We reached out to
5	those that we could find. They do have the
6	contracts in a number of states.
7	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: These guys do?
8	DR. DURENBERGER: Yes. Those tracks,
9	those commissions that do not use this software tend
10	to have their own proprietary as we do right now.
11	But this group does have contracts with a couple of
12	commissions as well as several racetracks in the
13	country.
14	COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: What does this
15	do, this software do? It integrates the money
16	that's placed at the betting window with our
17	internal controls?
18	DR. DURENBERGER: It's an interface
19	with the totalizator company. So, it brings in the
20	information, the wagering information.
21	COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Totalizer keeps
22	track of the money and sets the pools that you see
23	on the boards; is that right?
24	DR. DURENBERGER: Yes. And so this is

	Page 110
1	a very efficient streamlined way to bring that
2	information to us. And it had a number of features
3	that will be very useful to us. It's very easy to
4	generate reports. And we have a lot of reports.
5	We have a lot of public information requests. It's
6	very easy to provide those reports.
7	There are a number of features such as
8	you can do what-if scenarios. So, if a percentage
9	in a takeout were to be changed, it will analyze the
10	effect of the different pools, the distributions.
11	They program in the different statutory
12	distributions that are required by our statute.
13	And they continue to work with us, so if there are
L4	changes, they implement those changes.
15	It's useful as an investigative tool
16	when there are out of balance situations or other
L7	questions about wagering integrity, they can
18	provide investigative reports. So, we do think
19	this is valuable.
20	COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: It sounds
21	great.
22	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: But it
23	fundamentally monitors the system at the tracks and
24	gives us that information and we can reconcile that

	Page 111
1	with what's reporting and paid back to the
2	Commission.
3	DR. DURENBERGER: Yes.
4	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Our racing
5	consultant that we hired before bringing on our
б	Director saw this as a real deficiency in our system
7	and noted it in the report. And I was able to see
8	a sample of this product out in Pennsylvania, and
9	highly recommended. Something that will add great
10	value to our system.
11	COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Is today the
12	information that we're getting, the financial
13	information based on self-reporting by the tracks?
14	DR. DURENBERGER: We have a
15	proprietary software system. So, we have staff
16	that collect settlement sheets from the racetracks.
17	And then they enter that data manually into our
18	system.
19	COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: It's all
20	manual?
21	DR. DURENBERGER: Yes.
22	COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: And this
23	automates all of that?
24	DR. DURENBERGER: It does.

1	Page 112 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Great. Do we have a		
2	motion?		
3	COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: So moved.		
4	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Second?		
5	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Second.		
6	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: All in favor, aye.		
7	COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye.		
8	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Aye.		
9	COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Aye.		
10	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye.		
11	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Opposed? Ayes have		
12	it unanimously.		
13	DR. DURENBERGER: Which brings us to		
14	the meat, I guess, of this afternoon's Racing		
15	Division report. And that is our brief memorandum		
16	that we've prepared for you that I think you've had		
17	for some number of days to review. This is the		
18	review of the pari-mutuel and simulcasting law as		
19	it exists in the Commonwealth, recommendations as		
20	to their efficacy and their need to be replaced. I		
21	am going to have David give the overview and then		
22	we'll tee it up for your discussion. Mr. David		
23	Murray.		
24	MR. MURRAY: Mr. Chairman,		

Page 113 Commissioners. I'm not sure exactly how you want 1 me to proceed here. I know you're quite familiar 2 3 with this draft report. 4 There are obviously two issues 5 regarding the report. One is the substance or the 6 recommendations that we make and suggest that you 7 make similar recommendations to the Legislature. 8 And then there's the business of how 9 those -- the structure of the report, the draft report and whether the Commission feels like 10 signing onto it in its present state. Those two, 11 at least I would suggest, are separate issues. 12 13 So, once we get approval from the 14 Commission as to the ultimate recommendations related to simulcasting and related to some 15 16 abolition of restrictions on rebating and wagering 17 on credit and establishment of the backstretch 18 improvement fund, then we can proceed to finalize 19 the statutory language that we would submit to you. 20 And one naturally follows the other. 21 Then there is, of course, the question 22 of whether you need this report edited in some 23 fashion. 24 DR. DURENBERGER: More stylistic

Page 114 changes, stylistic suggestions. 1 2 MR. MURRAY: How you want it put 3 together. So, I can go through in broad-brush 4 strokes and tell you what the recommendations are 5 that we're making to you and suggesting that you recommended of the Legislature. Or I can simply 6 7 answer questions the Commission may have. 8 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Well, we've gone 9 through this enough times. I'm game to anything, but maybe we just address the issues that we have 10 had as issues that have been open issues that we've 11 been chewing on for the last -- Do you have another 12 13 idea? 14 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: I actually 15 think a short summary might be beneficial to those 16 who have moved their seats up to listen closely. 17 DR. DURENBERGER: I agree. 18 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Fine, good idea. 19 MR. MURRAY: So, there are three areas 20 that these recommendations fall into. The major 21 area is to do with simulcasting. 22 As the Commission knows, as a result 23 the Expanded Gaming Act, there are now the both 24 racing licensees and non-racing licensees, gaming

Page 115 licensees who don't race who are going to be able 1 to simulcast. I say going to be able, because 2 3 whether or not licenses are issued to those 4 non-racing licensees is a matter for the 5 Commission's discretion. And that's the principal instigator of many of the changes that we're 6 7 recommending that the Commission adopt. 8 The current system is very complex. 9 And it accounts for principally three types of racing, one of which is no longer permissible, the 10 dog racing, but thoroughbreds, harness and dog 11 racing. And at the time that this framework was 12 13 drawn up, the Legislature, I think it fair to say, 14 sought to balance and to manage how the signal coming into the Commonwealth would affect these 15 16 three sets of racing interests and to try to mediate 17 some kind of balancing. 18 Now that dogs are no longer permitted 19 to race, at least in the pari-mutuel sense, which 20 is the only sense I think is of interest to the 21 Commission, we have essentially Suffolk Downs 22 thoroughbred and Plainridge is a harness track. 23 And what we have tried to do is to 24 create a uniform system for simulcasting that

Page 116 would, to the extent possible and practicable, 1 2 balance the interests of Suffolk Downs, Plainridge 3 and these gaming establishments that are likely to 4 come to the Commission and ask for simulcasting 5 licenses. 6 And we have spent a long time thinking 7 about this, trying to shuffle the cards as it 8 were --9 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: So to speak. MR. MURRAY: And we've come up with the 10 following recommendations. That there be 11 unlimited simulcasting. That within that 12 13 unlimited simulcasting there be the continued 14 obligation to carry local signals. There would be permitted free negotiation no longer set fees for 15 intrastate simulcasting. So that intrastate and 16 17 interstate would be negotiable. 18 And for the intrastate negotiations, 19 we put some kind of a cap. We recommend a 12 percent 20 cap, but there's no magic I think to that number, if the Commission thinks that number ought to be 21 22 other than 12. We would abolish the premiums and 23 the signal limitations so we make it truly unlimited 24 simulcasting.

Page 117

And we would take all of the unclaimed 1 2 winnings both from the racing licensees and the 3 non-racing licensees, the 7B gaming licensees and 4 make those available essentially, I'm generalizing 5 here, but it's laid out in detail in the draft 6 report, they would be put into purses. 7 DR. DURENBERGER: Into the Race Horse 8 Development Fund. 9 MR. MURRAY: Either the Race Horse Development Fund or directly into purses. And we 10 would require all licensees, if our recommendation 11 is accepted, to carry the local harness and 12 13 thoroughbred signals. 14 Like any model that attempts to mediate dissimilar structure or industries, this isn't 15 16 perfect but it's the best that we have been able to 17 come up that would, as I say, mediate them in some 18 reasonable fashion, produce some uniform benefits 19 and burdens on both the racing and non-racing 20 licensees, simulcasting licensees. So, that's 21 essentially in general terms what our simulcasting 22 framework, recommended framework is. 23 We also propose the abolition of the 24 restrictions on wagering, pari-mutuel wagering on

Page 118

credit, and the prohibition on rebating in a pari-mutuel context. These, the rebating and the wagering on credit are promotional tools that are available to gaming licensee but if the current prohibitions against those two are retained going forward, would not be available to pari-mutuel wagering licensees.

And we have thought long and hard about that. And we can't come up with what we regard as a reasonable argument as to why that distinction should persist.

And finally, we have looked at the current system for capital improvements and for promotional activities by licensees. And we think that in the current circumstances that we ought be instead of generally making those monies available, and these are monies from the breaks, monies available for use only by licensees and their promotional activities and capital improvements, to carve out of this old system a targeted earmark fund for back-stretch improvements, capital improvements that really benefit the most disadvantaged of the folks who are associated with racing.

Page 119 1 DR. DURENBERGER: Not only most 2 disadvantaged, but also its participants. 3 MR. MURRAY: And the only other thing 4 that I think that we need to say here as sort of a general overview is that we are also proposing to 5 end this sunsetting, periodic sunsetting of the 6 7 racing laws. 8 DR. DURENBERGER: The cleanest way to 9 do that is rather, we felt, is rather than going through and individually tweaking the provisions of 10 128A and C that the cleanest way to do all of these 11 things for everybody would be just to come up with 12 13 some sort of what would a model chapter look like 14 for us. 15 So, that's what we are prepared to present to you if you're comfortable with the 16 17 recommendations. And we can do that as soon as next 18 week. We don't have to do it next week. We can do 19 it as soon as next week. 20 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: I think that the 21 report needs to start -- The mandate is to make 22 recommendations as to their efficacy and need to be 23 replaced. And nowhere does it say, okay, we've 24 looked at it and they're a mess and they need to be

Page 120 1 replaced. So, I think it needs to say we've done 2 3 a review. They're completely outdated, they're 4 irrational. They don't fit together. And we 5 propose that they be replaced in their totality. There needs to be some kind of an introduction like 6 7 that. And then we propose that it have the 8 following elements. 9 But also I think, as you described it, a couple of issues that are the most important you 10 didn't mention, which is that the simulcasting of 11 dog racing will die as things presently stand at 12 13 mid-2014. And we are, I believe you are 14 recommending and we have to decide whether we agree 15 with this that we not take a position on 16 simulcasting dog racing. That's not our business 17 to make a decision as to whether or not there should be simulcasting. I think that needs to be 18 19 addressed in here. MR. MURRAY: It is, Mr. Chairman. 20 21 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Okay, pursuant to 22 the conversation that we had the other day about 23 this so it's clarified. 24 MR. MURRAY: Yes.

	Page 121
1	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: But I think it's a
2	highlight. That's a big issue that I think ought
3	to be somewhere upfront.
4	But beyond those thoughts for myself,
5	I'm comfortable with going forward. I think it
6	makes a lot of sense. And I think you've got so much
7	feedback, you've done a great job of reaching out
8	to the participants, to the players, there's no
9	surprises. Not everybody agrees with every single
LO	little thing, although it's pretty close. But
11	there's no surprises, everybody has had a chance to
12	speak their piece on this. And of course, they'll
13	keep speaking their piece.
L4	DR. DURENBERGER: Oh, absolutely.
15	COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: I take it that
16	the credit and rebate rules would be similar to or
L7	the same as for gaming, right?
18	MR. MURRAY: The same, yes.
19	COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: So, I think that
20	this is a terrific proposal. I agree with the Chair
21	as to the straightforward statement that we've
22	looked at the efficacy and we don't think they're
23	efficacious under the new rule.
24	I also, and this goes to the second part

Page 122 because I think that the history in this report and 1 the recommendations part is great. But the middle 2 3 of it, and I mentioned this to you when we talked 4 yesterday, the part from pages, really from pages 5 10 through 12 I found very hard to follow. 6 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Ten through 12? 7 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Ten through 12, 8 yes. And based on an interpretation of a 9 legislative intent to keep 128A and C alive even though the statute expressly repeals it, repeals 10 them and the inferences that we draw from that to 11 support how we talk about going forward. And I 12 13 think the more straightforward story -- And I found 14 it very hard to follow, frankly, as I said 15 yesterday. 16 And I think the more straightforward 17 story is the one that Chairman Crosby just 18 mentioned. 128A and 128C were abolished effective 19 the end of July 2014. Obviously, the Legislature 20 didn't mean to abolish racing, because there are all 21 of these other statutory provisions in the Expanded 22 Gaming legislation to keep it alive. 23 But they abolished the infrastructure 24 effective 2014 and asked us to take a look at the

Page 123

infrastructure and make recommendations for either reinstituting it in the same form or a new form. We think a new form is appropriate. Here's the form.

And it seems to me that would be a much more straightforward way of getting to the goal line. And I'm concerned that those pages there -- This really is about the packaging, not about the substance. -- that the provisions here from pages 10 to 12 will really leave the average reader struggling as to why that's there, what it means, and where the emphasis is.

So, I would recommend that we do something along that. I think it's a relatively minor tweak. But I do recommend that we do it.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: I have a much smaller subset of that recommendation, which you mentioned until the very end, which is some of the research that you've done of other states. What other states do relative to 12 percent or the breaks or how they've dealt with backstretch improvements and how Massachusetts will be at the forefront, etc.

So, somewhere where you describe the process that you went through with stakeholders and state, which is very important. It's well

Page 124 explained at the forefront to include some of the 1 research that you did relative to other states and 2 3 the context in which some of the recommendations 4 that you make later would be very helpful. 5 MR. MURRAY: So, to enhance by 6 reference to the material that's essentially on this chart? 7 8 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Yes, enhance 9 the background, what leads us to these recommendations. 10 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Demonstrates 11 that it's a best practice. 12 13 COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Demonstrate 14 that this is a best practice. DR. DURENBERGER: I have to just make 15 the comment that I was actually surprised at the 16 17 limited universe by which we were able to compare. 18 I guess I, having worked in the racing industry, 19 there are racinos in a lot of places. But in terms 20 of looking at states that have racing, live racing with some sort of racino if you will, if that's the 21 22 term you want to us, and then also standalone 23 casinos that offer sports books or race books, 24 actually, it's a fairly limited universe. So, I

Page 125 1 was surprised at that. I had a different interpretation 2 3 having just been out there, because I was just on 4 the racino side. 5 MR. MURRAY: Well, we can have those, 6 Commissioner McHugh's and Commissioner Zuniga's 7 comments incorporated in here in short order and get 8 this back to you for your review on the packaging. 9 COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: I don't think we need to delay a vote. I think we could have a vote 10 to approve this with those modifications if the 11 Commission thought those modifications made sense. 12 13 And then it's a matter of -- The themes are clear from Commissioner Zuniga's and my things. 14 I'd be happy to help in proofreading whatever. And 15 16 like we could just move it along to the next step 17 and say this is great. It is great. It's really 18 reflective of a lot of thought. 19 And we'll simplify this process that is 20 almost, and I speak now as a layperson who has no 21 -- I'm not steeped in the industry, but it's almost 22 impenetrable to an outsider. And this will fix 23 that. But the packaging is important I think. 24 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Is the draft that's

```
Page 126
      in our packets a redraft from what we last saw?
 1
 2
                 MR. MURRAY: I think it finally put
      together following our talk with you --
 3
 4
                 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: -- yesterday.
 5
                 MR. MURRAY: -- yesterday.
 6
                 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Then change 11 was a
 7
      further change to that?
 8
                 MR. MURRAY: Right, edit.
 9
                 CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Because this isn't
      redlined and I couldn't figure out which was where
10
      -- I didn't know if this was the same draft I had
11
      before or not. Okay, so it's not. Then I've got
12
13
      to look at it again. I didn't realize.
                 MR. MURRAY: Well, if I were you, Mr.
14
      Chairman, I wouldn't look at this. Lets
15
16
      incorporate these changes and then submit it to you.
17
                 COMMISSIONER CAMERON: I agree with
18
      Commissioner McHugh, though. We do not have to
19
      hold up this process. I think we all agree to the
20
      substance and the changes are stylistic and for
21
      clarity reasons. And that we could vote at this
22
      time.
23
                 I understand there is a process by
24
      which we have timeframes to meet. So, I don't want
```

	Page 127
1	to I don't think it's necessary to delay it
2	another week in order to do that. Great work.
3	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Yes, I agree.
4	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Do somebody want to
5	move acceptance of the substance of the report?
6	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: I move that we
7	accept the report with the caveat that some of those
8	changes are made to add clarity.
9	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Second?
10	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Second.
11	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Further discussion?
12	All in favor, aye.
13	COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye.
14	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Aye.
15	COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Aye.
16	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye.
17	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Opposed? All
18	right. The ayes have it unanimously. Thank you
19	very much. Great job.
20	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Good work.
21	COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Thank you very
22	much. Good work.
23	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Okay. We are as
24	they say in the homestretch. Research agenda item

	Page 128
1	eight, I put this on here because I thought we might
2	be in position to make a decision and have a vote
3	on our research RFP. We are not. It's a very
4	complicated process. So, we'll skip that.
5	And is there any other business? Have
6	I missed anything? All right. Motion to adjourn?
7	COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: A very short
8	homestretch.
9	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Yes, a short
10	homestretch. Motion to adjourn?
11	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: So moved.
12	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Second.
13	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: All in favor, aye.
14	COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye.
15	COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Aye.
16	COMMISSIONER MCHUGH: Aye.
17	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye.
18	CHAIRMAN CROSBY: All right. Thank
19	you all.
20	
21	(Meeting adjourned at 3:54 p.m.)
22	
23	
24	

		Page 129
1	ATTACHMENTS:	
2		
3	1.	Massachusetts Gaming Commission February 21,
4		2013 Notice of Meeting and Agenda
5	2.	February 14, 2013 Massachusetts Gaming
6		Commission Meeting Minutes
7	3.	Resume of Catherine A. Blue
8	4.	Massachusetts Gaming Commission Enhanced
9		Code of Ethics First Edition
10	5.	February 21, 2013 Memorandum Regarding
11		Recommendation for Approval of a Primary
12		Laboratory for Equine Drug Testing Services
13	6.	February 21, 2013 Memorandum Regarding
14		Recommendation for Approval of a Service to
15		Provide Pari-Mutuel Auditing Services
16	7.	Report of the Massachusetts Gaming
17		Commission to the Senate and House of
18		Representatives Pursuant to Chapter 194,
19		Section 104, of the Acts of 2011, Analyzing
20		the Commonwealth's Pari-Mutuel and
21		Simulcasting Laws, with Recommendations as
22		to Their Efficacy and Need to be Replaced
23		
24		

```
Page 130
      SPEAKERS:
 1
      Catherine Blue, General Counsel (finalist)
 2
 3
      Elaine Driscoll, Director of Communications and Outreach
 4
      Dr. Jennifer Durenberger, Director Racing Division
 5
      Todd Grossman, Staff Attorney
 б
      David Murray, Racing Consultant
 7
      John Ziemba, Ombudsman
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

Page 131 CERTIFICATE 1 2 3 I, Laurie J. Jordan, an Approved Court Reporter, do 4 hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and 5 accurate transcript from the record of the 6 proceedings. 7 8 I, Laurie J. Jordan, further certify that the 9 foregoing is in compliance with the Administrative Office of the Trial Court Directive on Transcript 10 11 Format. I, Laurie J. Jordan, further certify I neither am 12 13 counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the 14 parties to the action in which this hearing was taken and further that I am not financially nor 15 otherwise interested in the outcome of this action. 16 Proceedings recorded by Verbatim means, and 17 18 transcript produced from computer. WITNESS MY HAND this 22nd day of February 19 20 2013. 21 22 LAURIE J. JORDAN My Commission expires: 23 Notary Public May 11, 2018 24