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| 3 | CHAIRMAN CROSBY: We are calling to |
| 4 | order public meeting number 205 at the |
| 5 | MassMutual Center in Springfield at 1:00 on |
| 6 | December 1st. Our first order of business |
| 7 | as usual is -- are you ready to go? First |
| 8 | order of business as usual -- did we skip |
| 9 | the minutes? |
| 0 | MS. BLUE: There are no minutes for |
| 1 | this meeting. |
| 12 | CHAIRMAN CROSBY: First order of |
| 13 | business as usual will not be the first |
| 14 | order of business. I'm going to make a |
| 5 | change here. We have a guest from |
| 6 | out-of-town who, as hard as this is to |
| 17 | believe, doesn't feel that he has to stay |
| 18 | to watch the MGM progress report so I'm |
| 9 | going to move up item number four, Director |
| 20 | Wells. |
| 21 | MS. WELLS: Good afternoon, Chairman |
| 22 | and members of the commission. |
| 23 | COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Good |
| 24 | afternoon. |

MS. WELLS: So I'd like to introduce Richard Pingel. He is counsel for Sport Tech. So he is with us today because the licensing decision for the applicant, Sport Tech Racing, LLC, is up for consideration by the Commission. We also have Sergeant Tim Babbin from the state police and Marlon Polite, who runs the financial investigation unit here in case the Commission has any questions on the applicant.

So Sport Tech Racing, LLC or Sport Tech is the applicant that has applied for a gaming vender primary license. They've currently been operating under a temporary license. Pursuant to our scope of licensing, we determined there were three entity qualifiers and 10 individual qualifiers which were subject to rigorous investigation.

Sport Tech Racing, LLC is a New Haven, Connecticut base sports gaming and entertainment company that provides totalizer or tote systems. The company is
currently providing services for the harness racing operation at the Plainridge Park Casino and Racecourse.

These systems, these tote systems accept wagers, calculate odds and payouts and process wagering pools. The company also provides associated equipment and services to parimutuel betting venues. These include internet-based wagering systems, player tracking software, combination racing and video, lottery terminals and data management tools.

Sport Tech Racing, LLC was originally formed under the name Scientific Games Racing, LLC and then in 2010 Sport Tech PLC purchased Scientific Games Racing and changed the company name to Sport Tech Racing, LLC. Sport Tech Racing, LLC is 100 percent subsidiary of Sport Tech, Inc., which in turn is owned by Sport Tech Whole Code 2 Limited. The ultimate parent company is Sport Tech PLC, a UK based company that is publically traded and on the London stock exchange.

As part of the investigation, among other things, we have reviewed materials, submitted part of the application, requested and reviewed supplemental information, as deemed necessary gathered information from a variety of governmental and non-governmental sources and databased basis and conducting criminal record checks and verified the accuracy of the information provided by the applicant.

Sport Tech Racing, LLC has no criminal record. The investigation discovered no civil litigation that threatens the economic viability of the business or would negatively impact the licensure. Research is available online and print media surface substantial media coverage of Sport Tech, but no material that would negatively impact their suitability.

The IEB also evaluated Sport Tech Racing for financial suitability, integrity and background by performing financial analysis and verification of its financial
information. The applicant's three qualifying entities were also evaluated. The applicant and its three qualifying entities share a financial reporting structure in which all subsidiary entities consolidate up to Sport Tech PLC, the ultimate parent company.

Accordingly, the financial analysis was performed utilizing the consolidated financial statements. To further assess financial stability, we also performed several ratio analyses and operating results of Sport Tech PLC for a number of years.

As a result of the analysis of both the financial stability, integrity and also the general evaluation of the company and the 10 individuals who are deemed to be qualifiers, the investigation revealed no information that would negatively impact their suitability and, therefore, the applicant demonstrated to the IEB by clear and convincing evidence that they are suitable for licensure. And the
recommendation of the IEB today is that the Commission vote to approve their gaming vender primary license.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Questions, comments?

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Karen, I had a couple of questions about the investigative report, as always very well done. Two quick items that came up. There's, I believe, another subsidiary Sport Tech that is just beginning the process.

MS. WELLS: Ebet.
COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Ebet, how has the investigative work you have done so far, how might that help Ebet be expedited once it comes up?

MS. WELLS: Right. Because there is a connection with the company, it makes it easier for us. They are a separate applicant for a gaming vender primary license, but so it gave us a head start in evaluating that company because we have a better understanding of the structure of
the company. So they will get a separate license. That investigation is ongoing. That's in its primary stages right now, but that helps with the process. We don't have to reinvent the wheel, if you will, in understanding the company.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: And my other question, again, was more process. There was some notation that some information had not been received back from the IRS either to you or to the applicant. But after I checked with Marlin, that seems to be pretty consistent in terms of getting through the IRS's rules and regulations.

MS. WELLS: So what we can do, and Marlin may want to address this further if he has any additional comment, but because we sort of take a look at a variety of information, we can identify if there are any red flags, anything that would cause concern for investigators. So if there's one area where we can't get information, for example, from the IRS on a particular individual or something, we have enough
other information to give us a comfort level so that we don't have to just wait around for one specific piece of information. I don't know if Marlin has any further comment on that.

MR. POLITE: Yes, Commissioner
Stebbins, the other thing that we have done is in light of the rejections that we sometimes get from the IRS for various reasons, sometimes we're told what they are. Sometimes we're not. We develop some alternative procedures that we can perform on a case by case basis in order to get comfortable that these companies are in compliant with the IRS filings.

In a case of Sport Tech Racing, they filed a consolidated tax form as part of Sport Tech, Inc. So we look to see that it's included in -- Sport Tech Racing is, in fact, included in that tax filing. Their tax filings were prepared by an independent auditing firm, KPMG most recently. And prior to that PWC.

So we had conversations with them to
get any idea if there were any things that they were uncomfortable with in that filing. And we looked to see that it was, in fact, filed in KPMG and PWC performed the electronic filing at Sport Tech, Inc.

And then we also get the written certifications that companies that file in the past five years and that sort of thing. So those are some of the things that we do from alternative procedures when we don't get the information back from the IRS.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Okay, thank you.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: So it's not that Sport Tech refused to release their returns because they were having an audit.

MR. POLITE: Correct.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Anybody else questions?

MS. WELLS: I don't know if
Mr. Pingel has any comments or any questions for the Commission and the IEB.

MR. PINGEL: I don't, but thank you for having me here and we've been working
with the State of Massachusetts since the end of 2014 and we look forward to continuing to do so, so thank you for having us.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: I know that we're pleased with the services out at Plainridge after being with another system prior. And I was interested -- just nothing about the investigation. I know it's clean, and the company looks excellent. The work you do internationally, I did hear a presentation from the company. It was pretty high-tech and the work that's being done, but I know you also work with football pools. Do you do sports betting in Europe as well?

MR. PINGEL: Yes, on soccer or football, as it's known in Europe. Sport Tech is the oldest operator in Europe. It used to be the old ticket system where they go door to door, pub to pub and with the football pools, and that's one of our primary products over in Europe and Asia and elsewhere internationally we have a lot
of exciting projects and products out there.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Yes, the presentation I saw touched on that. The analytics, are you -- do you have a piece of your -- when you take in the bets that looks at analytics and what is happening with the bet?

MR. PINGEL: Absolutely.
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Or have you teamed with someone else with that or do you have that in-house office?

MR. PINGEL: Our software has all that capability. So from the totalizator software systems, you are able to analyze all of the data daily reports or even on a particular race what kind of activity there was, and a lot of our operators are very much fans of that because it gives them the ability to drill down and find what the interest is for the products they're offering.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Right. I
find it interesting how much technology can
actually keep it clean and keep the bets above board. But, no, I was impressed with the company and thanks for showing up here today and on behalf of the license.

MR. PINGEL: Thank you.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: I have a related question. So the area that where your company, your global company seems to be experiencing a lot of growth and potential overseas in sports betting, et cetera, here for us perhaps the line around the service, if you will, around racing may not have the same growth. What can you tell us relative to the future of that line or that area of racing?

MR. PINGEL: Right, yes. So the parimutuel services, your horseracing or greyhound racing, Jai Alai, those services or those products I will say have experience in decline and interest. And it's, I think, the primary younger generation hasn't taken a hold of it as perhaps strongly as we would all like.

However, there are other avenues
that are picking up some of that slack. So from your traditional betting parlors, you may not see the volume there but you may see it in an online product or you might see it in other avenues where that handle, as we call it, or the revenue that's coming through is being directioned.

So there has been a decline here. It is traditionally a more poplar wagering tight in Europe than it has been here. But there is growth in certain markets. For instance, out west we see a big surge of interest in the products, and our industry is unique because it's jurisdiction by jurisdiction, state by state and sometimes even county wise where we need to make sure that we're always in compliance with those and the products that we can offer.

So we do a very good job of keeping ahead of that and keeping up with the current trend, and I think we meet the market demand very well in that regard.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Anybody else? As
usual we rely heavily on the good job of our investigators, thank you. So I think we're ready for a motion.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Mr. Chair, I would move that we approve the suitability approve for license Sport Tech, Inc. And do we need all of the other companies or just Sport Tech, Inc. will cover it?

MS. WELLS: No, as well as the qualifiers deemed suitable.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: And as well as the qualifiers.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Second.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Commissioner
Stebbins seconded. Any further discussion?
All in favor?
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye.
COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Aye.
COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Aye.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Opposed? The ayes have it unanimously. Thank you very much. Welcome to Springfield.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON:

Congratulations. Good work.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Now we'll go to
item number two, which is the
administrative update, Executive Director Bedrosian.

MR. BEDROSIAN: Good afternoon, members of the commission. So as it comes towards the end of year, we are doing some activities with staff. Our yearly staff meeting is next year. I'll remind you that tomorrow is the last day of our race season at PPC. Myself and I believe Commissioner Stebbins will be down there tomorrow to thank our seasonal employees.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: And Commissioner Cameron.

MR. BEDROSIAN: And then additionally, a small team of us will be going on Monday down to National Harbor in Maryland where MGM National Harbor is having what's called its second and final demonstration day before it is licensed for an opening one week from today.

And that team will be interfacing
with the Maryland regulators to understand what their process has been, learn from that. And I think there will be folks from MGM Springfield down there who are also learning from their counterparts, which we hope obviously will be valuable lessons for us up here in the time that we think of when we are opening the property just down the street here.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Great.
MR. BEDROSIAN: So that's my update, thank you.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: All right. We'll move onto item number three, the ombudsman report, Ombudsman Ziemba.

MR. ZIEMBA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and Commissioners. Today we are joined by MGM Springfield for their quarterly report for the third quarter of this year ending in September. Mike Mathis, President of MGM Springfield, Brian Packer, MGM Vice President of Construction and Development and Seth Stratton, Vice President and General Counsel for MGM Springfield will
present for MGM Springfield.
MGM Springfield is also joined by
Kevin Kennedy, Springfield's Chief
Development Officer. Kevin will provide us with an update on the very significant economic development initiatives and activities which are occurring in Springfield.

I was very pleased to attend an event last week in Springfield called "Springfield Rising to New Heights" where a lot of this significant progress was demonstrated. We are also joined by Paul Picknelly and Dennis Murphy, representatives of Springfield's ownership of Springfield hockey regarding the triumphant return of hockey to Springfield. And with that, I will turn to Mike.

MR. MATHIS: Thanks, John. Chairman and Commissioners, welcome to Springfield, welcome back to Springfield. I just want to note for the record I checked my phone as I was sitting down in the audience, the weather is 55 degrees in Springfield on

December 1st, so I think Mother Nature wants us to open on time. Hopefully she can help with the budget as well but certainly wants to help us open on time.

Really, really happy to be here and appreciate you coming out and being part of this sort of year-end closeout. It's really been a fantastic year, and we're so excited.

Before I kick it off, I just want to recognize your staff and Ed talked about your group doing down to Maryland to be part of our control test down there. It's really -- and many of you know this. I know a certain of you have been down to Maryland and seen some of our preopening activities. But what it really highlights for me is the great relationship that our regulators have amongst themselves.

Maryland, as you know, has opened their doors on the regulation side to make sure that we're all using best practices and we've shared our same information. So it's been a really collaborative process.

I want to thank the Commission and the staff for helping us with some of our processes to make sure they're aligned with what, as much as we can, aligned with what we're doing in Maryland sort of represent the latest and greatest. So it's been a really, really great process and I appreciate the effort, including John coming out last week for the Springfield Rising event.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Just to reinforce that point, our executive director made a point recently, $I$ forget when it was, but it was worth emphasizing in this context that relative to the Maryland regulator and how incredibly helpful they have been to us. And Charles Laboy, who is their I guess deputy director, was one of the candidates for executive director in Massachusetts and Ed Bedrosian was selected amongst two very strong finalists.

But notwithstanding that or anything else, Charles and his team have been really, really helpful to us and it's not
always like that, as you know. So it really bears reinforcement.

MR. MATHIS: Thank you for
recognizing that. We've been really pleased with the process.

I was part of an event last week, Springfield Rising, and we're going to shortly talk about the MGM Springfield project but I really thought it would be important for the Commission to understand what's going on in Springfield. Many great things before you arrived. We like to think many more great things since we arrived but really the architect of that strategy is the gentleman sitting to my right, Kevin Kennedy, the city's chief development officer.

And I know so much of your decision process around our approval and our license was about the ancillary impact that a 950 million-dollar project can have for an urban environment and is bearing fruit. So with that, $I$ think I'll hand it over to Kevin.

MR. KENNEDY: Michael, thank you. Commissioners, welcome. It's been an interesting journey since September 2012 when we were first at STCC for a very interesting meeting where $I$ was urging you to go faster, and you were urging me to slow down. But it's been an interesting journey.

So without carrying on too much here, we did have presentations about the effect of this casino, resort casino for Springfield. And one of the things I would like to do is kind of give you the short version of what we presented to last week at City Stage where we talked about the effect of it, and this is an update that $I$ gave from December of 2014. So with that, I'm not sure who is going to be starting this but if we could play it.
(Video playing)

MR. KENNEDY: Springfield Rising theme is really important to us as we kind
of move along, and what $I$ would like to do is just take you for a quick walk down Main Street here. As we go from the North End of Springfield where Union Station is down to the MGM development in the South End of Springfield.

Just to talk about how the renovation is real. There's a little map that shows where we're going to go down Main Street, and Union Station is a $\$ 93$ million intermodal transportation redevelopment that will be opening. We finish this month. It will open over the course of the new next few months.

And it's made up of a 377 car parking garage, 27 bus purse. And the big important part for Springfield Con Dot and Connecticut has been doing an awful lot of work on their rail system from New Haven all the way up to the Connecticut line, and Massachusetts has continued into Massachusetts.

And in 2017, there will be 17 daily round-trips from New Haven to Hartford. 12
of those round-trips will continue on up to Springfield, and we will be having full service north/south railroad and hopefully the east/west portion of it will continue at some point.

It's a historical renovation, and you can see there that we are restoring a clock that hung in the 1926 rail station, and that will be going up very shortly. In addition to that, you see what the whole complex will look like in the bottom right-hand, which is 377 car parking garage with a bus purse in the middle and the newly refurbished terminal in the upper part of the screen.

In the middle of downtown, we didn't want to just have MGM in the South End and the Union Station in the north. In the middle, we have an innovation center. It's a project cost of $\$ 6$ million. It will opening this February. It's a partnership between another state agency at Mass Development and Develop Springfield, and one of our major benefactors is MassMutual.

MassMutual announced a
million-dollar grant yesterday to Valley Venture Mentors, which will be the primary tenant in the complex. In addition to that, we have a cultural district that overlaps the middle of downtown. And when you look at the numbers on the cultural district, the impact is significant. \$2.7 million -- 2.7 million visitors to downtown, and they generate $\$ 37$ million worth of money in the district and it represents 340 board members, which is a very, very large number.

We are one of only three pilot cities in the US that's working with Future City of London on the creation of an arts and economic development strategy for the downtown. In addition to that, we're moving into a bike share. Union Station is not a final designation for everybody when they arrive there. You've got to get to what their final designation is. So it's a regional effect. It's a regional system. We're partnering with Holyoke, Northampton,

Amherst and South Hadley.
We expect to have eight stations
here in Springfield, one of which I believe will be in the MGM complex. It will smart bike technology and will be starting in July of this year.

We're also contracting for a way
finding signage system, which is mentioned in the Section 61 portion of the EIR report for MGM. We currently have a temporary system in place. We will be putting a permanent system in place very shortly. Applied Way Finding of New York, who has designed systems in Vancouver and London, is our contractor.

And what we are thinking about doing and we'd like to figure out how to use some of the unique parts of Springfield are some of the unique assets that we have here in Springfield, one of which is a Dr. Seuss museum and what if "The Cat in the Hat" was telling people what direction to go or we also have the Naismith memorial International Basketball Hall of Fame. And
what if Michael Jordan was telling people where to go. So we are looking at branding and doing different things that will make people welcome to the city.

And the big number, as I finish here, we are now up to $\$ 3.3$ billion worth of economic activity since the tornado of 2011. That is a huge number for a medium sized American city. And just in the last two years, our numbers are up by five -almost 500 -- over $\$ 500$ million. And the best news about all of that, 459 million of that is on the private side. So it's not all government spending and we're very, very pleased.

And one of the big, big parts of this has been the MGM development. And hopefully we will be talking to you in the next 30 or 60 days about their 54 unit residential commitment to Springfield. We are looking at and negotiating a very complex deal in 31 Elm, which is right across the street for here where we were hoping to incorporate MGM's commitment on
those 54 units into that complex, and that is the last unit of that's mentioned in the ULI report that was done about 10 years ago here for Springfield to complete downtown.

So we're very excited about the future. Thank you for all your efforts and thank you for MGM for bringing all this activity and we're just very, very pleased and the future is very bright. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Great. And as I said to you privately, Kevin, and to you and the mayor, you know, lots of other people involved as well, but it's something happening here that's quite extraordinary and you and the mayor get tremendous credit for it and we're glad to be a part of it.

MR. KENNEDY: Thank you for bringing up the mayor. He has been a great partner. MR. MATHIS: Thank you, Kevin for that --

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: He was actually on my phone saying, hey, forget Kennedy. MR. MATHIS: He was on Kevin's phone as well. Now I think -- I'm going to
introduce Paul in a moment. But when you talk about the ULI, Urban Lane Institute recommendations coming out 10 years ago, that coincided with this city being in financial receivership. So, I think the five minutes that Kevin just spent talking about all the great accomplishments really needs to be taken in that context. What a tremendous turnaround for a city and so pleased to have them as a partner, so thank you, Kevin.

With that I want to introduce our local partner, Paul Picknelly very quickly. He is -- we say it all the time in our company -- he is among the best local partners we've ever had. We've never seen a business owner make that -- make the type of commitment he has made to the City of Springfield.

Back in April, an example of that, back in April the Springfield Falcons, the local AHL team that helps supports is a resident team for this building provides really wonderful family entertainment for
the city abruptly picked up its bags and left the town sort of overnight.

Paul immediately went to work. And within two weeks, sorry, Paul, I'm stealing your thunder here, within two weeks led a team to literally save hockey. And one thing you know about Paul is when he puts his mind to something, he gets it done. He has been in my office a couple of times to do that. So really, really special. I want to have Paul talk about the success along with Dennis Murphy who is one of the owner group, really tremendous effort and so proud of him. Here you go, Paul.

MR. PICKNELLY: Thank you, Mike Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, is this on?

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Is it on, Mike? It's on.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: It's on.
MR. PICKNELLY: As Mike mentioned, it's been a pleasure to work with him over the past several years. And as many of you know, my family has been in business for over 85 years in Springfield. I'm a third
generation business owner and a Springfield native. I couldn't be prouder to be a resident of Springfield for all those years and calling Springfield home is a proud venture for me now, and it really wasn't probably a decade ago.

But I'm really here to talk to you today about another project that I'm excited about and proud of is the Springfield Thunderbirds. They are the minor league affiliate of the National Hockey League's Florida Panthers. Professional hockey has been a long and distinguished history in Springfield dating back to 1926. We're a charter city in the American Hockey League, and the American Hockey League national headquarters are located here in Springfield and has been in Monarch place for the past 17 years.

As Mike mentioned earlier this year, we were absolutely shocked to hear that the Springfield Falcons were basically going to fly coop and move to Tuscan, Arizona. That was a sad day for our city quite honestly.

And, frankly, it was a sad day for all the other businesses in downtown Springfield who, you know, really need to have professional sports here in Springfield. CHAIRMAN CROSBY: How many home games are there a year?

MR. PICKNELLY: There's 38 and plus we are talking about having more when we go into the playoffs. That's optimistic. So obviously that left an amazing hole in downtown Springfield. An arena would be dark for almost 40 nights a year. And after this news hit, the first phone call I received was from Bill Hornbuckle. And he was also concerned about what this is going to do for downtown Springfield. And he asked me --

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Say who Bill Hornbuckle is for the audience.

MR. PICKNELLY: Pardon me, I'm sorry. The president of MGM Resorts International. I thought everybody knew that.

MR. MATHIS: It bears reminder.

MR. PICKNELLY: With the MGM project

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: It's like the mayor. You just say the name once in a while.

MR. MATHIS: Trust me, Chairman, if you didn't, $I$ was going to make sure that got on the record, so thank you.

MR. PICKNELLY: So with the MGM project, Union station and all the other things that Kevin Kennedy just presented, there was just too many positive things happening in downtown Springfield. Now was not the time to lose professional hockey in Springfield. So we have been inspired by MGM and their unprecedented development in downtown Springfield, a local group of investors got together and decided to do something about it.

We didn't know anything about
hockey. I really didn't know the difference between a red line and a blue line. And, trust me, I had been to a few games when my son was an infant but $I$ was
not a hockey guy. But we heard through the grapevine that the Portland Pirates might be for sale. And literally within a matter of days, we were able to purchase a team and move them to Springfield. In the end, 28 local Springfield business owners joined together and purchased this hockey franchise and with me today here is Dennis Murphy, who is also one of the 28 owners in the Springfield Thunderbirds.

We looked at the big picture and recognized the positive direction Springfield was headed in thanks to MGM. In the end, we chose to reaffirm MGM's belief that their project isn't just about a casino but rather about revitalizing and redefining an entire city's downtown. So the only thing left was to get an affiliation agreement with an NHL team. This is, again, where MGM stepped up to the plate.

We first spoke with the Florida Panthers. They expressed some reservations about coming to Springfield. They heard about the negative crime that was going on in Springfield, and they didn't know what the quality of life would be for their players.

So we invited them to come to
Springfield. We showed them the beautiful arena. We toured them downtown. We showed them where the casino was being built. And perhaps, most importantly, we were able to put them on a conference call with Mike Mathis, the President of MGM Springfield, Bill Hornbuckle, the President of MGM Resorts International and Jim Murr and the Chairman of MGM Resorts International, who took time out of their schedule and explained their vision for Springfield and reaffirmed the commitment to the city. Two days later we had a signed agreement with the Florida Panthers for four years.

Soon after that, MGM Springfield became the team's presenting sponsor. With nine home games played this season so far, we have shattered the franchise record last year ticket sales and corporate sponsorship
performance. We followed MGM's example of reactivating downtown by hosting pre and post game concert inside the arena, on Court Square across the street and the shops at Marketplace.

On opening night a sellout crowd of 6,500 people saw the Thunderbirds win, a come from behind 5/4 overtime thriller. And, actually, we were pretty thrilled to see the illegal activities of scalpers selling Thunderbird tickets outside the arena. We actually thought that was quite promising. It's been a long time since we had scalpers in downtown Springfield.

MR. MATHIS: Paul is speaking for himself. MGM would not codone scalping activities anywhere in our --

MR. PICKNELLY: Just opening night. It was fun. And so it continues. On game nights local restaurants, including the Dean's, Red Rose, Theodore's and Fort are all packed with Thunderbird fans. When I was walking over here from the office, I walked by the Dean's and there's a sign
right out front that says on hockey nights, on Thunderbird hockey nights, anybody who shows their tickets gets 10 percent off dinner and 20 percent after the game is over. And, I think, that that's a tribute to the fact that there has been such success with the Thunderbirds.

We believe parents feel safe bringing their kids downtown again. We see active Main Street both before and after the game. There are literally thousands of hockey fans, young and old, men and women, Springfield residents and folks from the suburbs pouring in the streets of downtown Springfield on game nights.

We have a young and creative staff led by our executive vice president, Nate Costa, who has created an in-game experience unlike anything Springfield has seen before. In fact, this Saturday night we believe is another sellout night. It's called a teddy bear toss. What will happen is we estimate that 3,500 people will buy teddy bears, throw them on the ice and then
those teddy bears will be then be donated to local charities for children this Christmas. So we're pretty proud to bring this here as a sellout night.

We've also worked out an agreement with the Springfield Parking Authority to offer free parking to all people coming to Thunderbird games at the Springfield Civic Center garage across the street. On Fridays we have dollar night, which gives everybody in the arena a hot dog, soda and a popcorn each for a dollar. And on Sundays, they get Friendly sundae ice cream cups coupons for when they leave.

MGM sponsors our pre-concert series inside the arena before every home night on Fridays, and it's really turned into a affordable family fun entertainment. This really would not have been possible without MGM.

MGM has become a true ambassador for the city in many ways, and the Thunderbirds is just one example. And when MGM finally opens in the fall of 2018, things will only
continue to improve for this city. So I want to close by playing a brief video, and Kevin just handed me this like I'm supposed to know what I am supposed to do with this. I have no idea.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: You're supposed to call your son.

MR. PICKNELLY: But if somebody could do that, that would be great. I think you are supposed to hit start somewhere.
(Video playing)

MR. PICKNELLY: So go Thunderbirds.
MR. MATHIS: Thank you, Paul. I
think one of the important takeaways for me from the Thunderbird example is the fact that 28 local owners got together and funded this team. Certainly could have -someone could have asked MGM to cut a check, and that's very common. But for us it's important to understand that we have got partners. We don't do this by
ourselves. And the confidence that the local ownership group, business group had in the future of Springfield I think is more important than if we had done it on our own. So thank you, Paul, for the leading that effort.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Any questions or comments for Mr. Picknelly?

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Well, I do have a question perhaps for the group, Mr. Kennedy, Mr. Picknelly, what a great example and thank you for you overview. We had an opportunity to tour the site this morning, and there's great progress being made. I think we are going to hear a little bit more about that in a few minutes. But right across the site there is a couple of buildings that are taking prime for redevelopment and maybe some activity is already taking place but -CHAIRMAN CROSBY: You're talking about on Main Street.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: On Main
Street, yes. But as you continue the
efforts, Mr. Kennedy, you mentioned that a lot of the investment is not necessarily public monies but private. And as you contemplate and in your circle talk to other business owners, I just wanted to mention that it would appear to me that there's great opportunities for the speed lover effect that we have talked about and we hope for in this project, could you -MR. KENNEDY: Commissioner, that's
very true. I'm having many, many discussions with people -- the momentum here in Springfield has not stopped. There are more that are coming here, and we hope to make a number of major announcements actually in the coming year in 2017.

The catalyst that MGM brought and some of that film you saw up there was about Falvy Linnon. Well, they service the hospitality industry, and that's one of the reasons they came to Springfield. The Chinese came to Springfield in their railcar manufacturer. Because when I had my conversation with them, I said, "Why

Springfield?" They said, "Look you have good housing prices. You've got good labor supply and besides that you're going to have good entertainment with MGM coming here." So there's all kinds of reasons.

But the spillover effect that's happening, it's not just directly around the casino and what you observed is very true. We just opened Park Street Loss, which is almost right across the street from the casino and we're hoping to get more and more of that market-rate housing. And, again, when you stop to think about 4 million people coming through Union Station, the 10,000 people a day that are to be coming through the MGM complex, you're talking about an awful lot of economic activity, so it's still continuing here.

MR. PICKNELLY: I think the entire downtown area is looked upon differently for developers now. Myself personally we have a piece of property that is located right at the exit -- the tip of the exit
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off of Exit 6 in downtown Springfield. You might refer to it -- it's now commonly referred to as the Break Plus Lot. I don't know if you where that is. Bruce Stebbins probably knows where it is. But that's a prime real estate development project that we acquired the land over a year-and-a-half ago.

It used to house called the Albano's Market that went out of business after 75 years, and Brake King is a business that has been around for also like 75 years, if you will.

So we purchased that property. It's up for development. And let me tell you, the phones are ringing off the hook with interested parties looking to reestablish their businesses in downtown Springfield. It's the closest development site to the casino along Columbus Avenue, and that would not have happened unless there has been MGM's commitment to downtown Springfield. But, I think, that that's the same answer for anywhere in downtown

Springfield quite honestly.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: And my comment is really to highlight the momentum, as you point out, but to encourage you to continue working the way you have been doing. Because, again, at least to the uninformed eye, there appears that opportunities can continue to come to fruition.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Mr. Chairman, I want to pick up on something that Kevin mentioned, my former boss, you know, referencing the ULI study. And when we look back at our evaluation of their RFA-2 application, $I$ think what we all saw was pretty strong was not only the MGM development but other developments in the City of Springfield tied back to a planning effort so that there was definitely a cohesive strategy.

Everything wasn't just kind of, hey, let's chase the next shiny little thing to come along. Everything tied back to a lot of the planning work that the city had done, that a lot of the community residents
had their input taken into account.
So, you know, for that purpose alone, it's nice to see that that continues to build and, you know, there's continuing alignment with all the work that has been previously done and continues to be done.

MR. KENNEDY: We like to think that we're doing things that make sense and have value, and we hope that it fosters confidence that good things are still to come, yes.

MR. PICKNELLY: If I can add, I own a summer home in Cape Cod and I've owned it a number of years now. And when I'd run into new people, they'd ask where I'm from and my answer would always be Springfield. And probably five years ago, they would react by something like, God, I heard things are bad in Springfield and the crime is so high. What's going on in Springfield? And now they are saying to me, "Wow, I really hear things are happening in Springfield and there's a lot going on."

And it's an absolute direct change from what it was five years ago. There's no question about it and that's in my travels, and I think that that's echoed by many other people that are hearing positive change for Springfield.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: I still wear my straight out of 413 T-shirt. Not at the office.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Just to build on what Commissioner Stebbins was saying, as you know and many of you know, Commissioner Stebbins sort of heads up the economic development emphasis for us as we each have special areas of emphasis. And one of his ideas and projects has been that there will be a fund derived from the revenues from the two big casinos, Wynn and MGM.

That one fund will be an economic development fund, and his notion has been to see whether we can't think of ways to continue to build on economic development energy that the casinos have generated. That's what the legislature was looking
for.
It's not run-of-the-mill. That's not typically what happens when you bring in casinos. But I think, Commissioner, we get a lot of ideas kind of for the kind of leveraging here and linkage here that's occurred that will play right into the strategies that you're thinking about putting together.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Absolutely.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Just out of curiosity -- did I interrupt you?

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: No.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Just out of curiosity, why did the Falcons leave? Typically you leave for economic reasons that you had to sell against that or what was the --

MR. PICKNELLY: I think it was economics. Two things happened. The Falcons were last in the league in terms of attendance, and they had a poor performance. There's no question. But the Arizona Coyotes were their parent team and
they purchased the team and moved it to Tucson, Arizona. I think their primary goal was to have their AHL affiliate to be close to their NHL affiliate. And the AHL wanted to move a couple of teams from the east coast to the west coast, because there's a lack of west coast AHL affiliate teams.

There's only 30 American Hockey League franchises in the country, and we're the only one in the State of Massachusetts, Vermont, New Hampshire and Maine, so our territory is quite large. And Springfield really has a great hockey history, and there's a lot of youth hockey playing in Springfield.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: How do you go from being the least well-performing audience to get the Thunderbirds to performing?

MR. PICKNELLY: Okay. So after game seven, we went through game nine. After game seven, we get like reports from the American Hockey League to show where we're at. We actually went from last in the
league with the Falcons to number 20, so we're 20th in the league after attendance of seven games. We get those reports, I think, think monthly.

So we do that because we have people, quite honestly, like Dennis Murphy -- I don't normally like to praise him -- but he is and his firm have done a wonderful job of trying to do that. But it's also innovative things.

As I mentioned earlier, Nate Costo who is the executive vice president for the Springfield Thunderbirds, he was with the American Hockey League for the past six and a half years. All he did for the past six and a half years was market the American Hockey League throughout the entire country. That was his sole time job, and he left that job to come to work for the Springfield Thunderbirds. And his unique way of trying to change the off ice experience has generated these additional sales.

As I mentioned to you earlier, the
teddy bear toss, that's actually sponsored by Teddy Bear Pools and Spas, which happens to be one of the 28 business owners that we have. And his company is offering discounts to anybody who has bought a pool over the last year to come down to Teddy Bear Pool night at the Springfield Thunderbirds, and that's generated a couple of hundred ticket sales just on that particular night alone.

We also have one of our owners is the Dunkin Donuts franchises in and around Springfield. They own 42 Dunkin Donuts. And when you drive up to a Dunkin Donuts in Springfield, it says "The Thunderbirds run on Dunkin." And that's shown in every entrance door and every drive-up window. Another owner who happens to own 12 Burger Kings around Springfield, and they're doing the same promotion. So when people come into buy their Burger King, they're going to get solicitation to a hockey game.

So not only was it -- I think it was critically important that the 28 owners,
you know, were involved in the team, it's really a difference, you know, having one owner or 28 owners into that.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: That's a great story. That's a great story the way a community can ban together and make something like that happen, sure.

MR. MURPHY: Commissioner, the only thing I wanted to add to that was -- and thanks, Paul, for that rare compliment. That comes, I guess. But I think one of the really important things is not only do the 28 local business owners invest in something, yes, inspired no doubt by MGM's presence but really in the City of Springfield, because it's a separate standalone business.

But I think as importantly, each and every investor as we talked with them and gave them the presentations was with the understanding that, unlike the prior owner who had every right to take advantage of a profit opportunity, he sold the team for a profit and made significant money, which is
a great idea. But our discussions were very clear that this should be a very long-term investment. That we wanted to build this team long-term. That we were doing this mostly because it was the right thing to do for the city. That having been said, we also wanted to be profitable and healthy and here for a long time.

But I think it's important for the Commission and this community to know that the reason why it was structured like that, many of the owners had the ability to buy the team or a much smaller or even an individual group. But it was done so and structured so so there was stability in this picture for a very, very long-term investment, and so that the fans and this community can start to rely on that picture.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Just one last question. There is in a hockey team there must be something like 50 players and professionals, something like that that come in. Where are they living?

MR. PICKNELLY: I'm proud to say that most of them are staying in the Sheraton Springfield.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: All right.
MR. PICKNELLY: There are some -you know, the coach has purchased a home here locally. The assistant coach has rented a home here locally. Some of the players are actually living in downtown Springfield in some apartments above a couple of restaurants in Stern Square. So they are active in our downtown community on a regular basis.

We also are the only American Hockey
League franchise in the country now that has its own television show that we produce every other week, and it shows what the Springfield Thunderbirds are doing to our community. And we film that every other week, and it's showed here in the arena. It's also shown on a pod cast and online of how the Thunderbirds are coming into the local hospitals or the food shelters and so forth and trying to embrace our community,
which is really a big part of what we're attempting to do as well.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Great.
MR. PICKNELLY: And not to be
negative to the previous owner, but the previous owner was a gentleman who lived in Florida six months of the year and the other six months of the year he lived out-of-state as well. So he was rarely here in Springfield. I think he didn't have direct connections like the 28 owners do in Springfield, and I think that that clearly makes a difference. Plus he didn't have a casino behind him.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Great.
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Thank you.
Great story. Thanks for sharing.
MR. MATHIS: You don't know how
close you were to missing your families. One more Thunderbird question and we would have been here for the night because Paul is passionate, as he should be, and it's infectious. Thanks, Paul.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Thanks.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Thank you.
MR. MATHIS: We are bringing the rest of the team up. You know, if I can, while the rest of the team is coming up and we're changing out presentations, I just want to acknowledge a new member of our team and is topical considering what we were just talking about but, Barry Borowski, if you could stand up. Barry heads up our planning and development team. You saw him out on the site.

He has 21 years of experience with the company, and he is rolling off of our National Harbor project really essentially coordinating construction, design and operations. Because often we design and build components of the project for operators that won't be there for a couple of years, and he makes sure to fill that gap and that bridge of knowledge.

One of the great things about Barry is his excitement and passion to really get involved in the project early. He's secured an apartment in downtown

Springfield, as well as space for the rest of our interior design team. So we're going to build momentum and I envision one day a floor and then potentially multiple floors of MGM Springfield folks that are in a station life where they can be downtown and be in an apartment and start to build some of the energy. So we're bringing some of this excitement, I think, downtown with some really great people.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Thanks.
MR. MATHIS: So with that, I want to hand it off to Brian Packer to talk about where we are with the construction site, and its fantastic progress as you've seen. He's our vice president of design and construction.

MR. PACKER: Good afternoon, Commissioners, Chair.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Good afternoon.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Good afternoon.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Good
afternoon.
MR. PACKER: We are going to walk through last quarter, which after the tour this morning may feel a little anti-climatic, but we'll walk you through how we got where we are today and some of the important groundwork we layed over the summer to be able to really move forward with steel and earnest as you can see out there right now.

So at the beginning of the quarter, we were essentially still in major earth work and beginning of foundations. And on the next slide, you can see by the end of the quarter filling in with approximately --

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Excuse me, one second, Brian. Mike, can you put the full screen with the -- yes, there you go, great.

MR. PACKER: And then by the end of the quarter, we see really working up to about 40 percent of the slab on grade completed. The goal being all along kind
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of in stages how we talk about the project and once we had the sandbox getting cleared and a new mission being built, the next thing that you're kind of looking at here is coming into this winter can we get slab on grade completed so we can really be flying steel in in-climate weather, if needed and this site really is not in a mess. And sometimes you can get the steel in prior to the slab. But in this case, you know, our goal was to try to complete the slab before winter and we're well on our way for that.

From the aerial views, you can see the comparison both slides. Not only is the slab on grade making headway, but you also see the garage footprint starting to clean up, the garage ramp starting to take shape and the beginning of the precast assembled along Union.

So here are a few shots -- action shots of the garage construction, the precast along Union. The garage will be constructed. And as you can see today, you
go the full height along Union and then we're working our way back towards MGM Way. And right now we're on sequence 12 out of 20 something sequences. So we're a little bit over a third done with the precast direction.

MR. MATHIS: Hey, Brian, if I can, let me just give a reminder to Commission. This is a quarterly report. So you're always a couple of months behind on the photos. I just wanted to for the audience's purposes we're obviously much farther along at this point today than what the photo reflects as to the end of September.

MR. PACKER: 73 State, the progress on that building. If you remember last quarter, we had just completed the segmentation and now we have the back end of it enclosed and waterproofed and ready until the steel frame can come in and connect for the permitting condition.

Made a lot of progress on
Union/Chandler. Just really getting that
facade segmented standing on its own with the steel skeleton along Main Street. We also saw today some of the new steel coming in and getting fairly close to connecting to that skeleton.

In the upper left on the Armory, I think most of you I believe had been in the Armory, we have since cleaned it out. And, so, we had an all women crew assist us in performing that demolition and cleanup. And I was just in there two weeks ago, and it's a much different atmosphere when you don't have all of the debris and, you know --

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Pigeon roost. MR. MATHIS: Let's be gentle.

MR. PACKER: Yes, pigeons and, you know, almost a flea market type setting in there. Now everything is cleaned out. So when we get that programming for the Armory, moving forward with the interior construction will go a lot easier that we were able to get that couple of months of work out of the way.

Just a couple of more shots of the Union/Chandler work and segmentation. And I think it's interesting to point out in the back of the facade where you see the green board and some of those vertical stacks there's some of the old fireplace that were in those rooms.

Just graphically I think this gives you a pretty good idea for the quarter how we made progress with foundations and you really see the whole site, you know, whether it's compaction activities, pouring actual foundations or slab on grade, you see how that all fills in.

A lot of times you see a finished slab, slab on grade for the casino floor and a lot of folks don't understand all the work that goes underneath it before we're able to make that final pour. So on the left-hand side, you can see, you know, a lot of the electrical runs, low voltage runs, a lot of these runs that will feed your slot machines being placed underground prior to slab.

And then on the right between the church and where Dave's Furniture is, this is part of our storm water management program. Majority of the site storm water heads towards Union Street. And prior to being able to go into the storm system in Union, it must run through this very large basin that's underground. It will be under the parking lot. That will be the surface parking. That will be in that area. And you can literally walk without ducking through this system. So a lot of capacity for rainwater and storm in this wall. That was another key piece of work we really wanted to get complete before this winter. The crane erection obviously a very large milestone for us, exciting milestone, over 200 feet in the air. And as you can see today, logistically this really set us up nicely for being able to unload large delivery items from MGM Way. So literally a truck can come down East Columbus, turn onto MGM Way and make then a left-hand turn towards State Street and sit right there,
be unloaded it quickly and then be able to leave. So very efficient placement in terms of construction logistics of where the crane is and what it helps support. Obviously you can also see when you were out there today, this allowed for all that steel erection in that area to move forward quickly.

Quick design update on some milestones that we hit. On the parking garage as we're moving ahead, addendum four was issued. A lot of the addendums, one through four, were picking up review comments that came through either the site plan process or city review process on the garage, the esthetic panels on the outside and just minor coordination as it related to our approvals.

Podium corn shell deltas two and three were also issued mainly catching up on certain steel coordination items around convention centers, and those areas that have additional support steel for air walls and banquet areas that you would actually
see in this room.
95 State where Mike's offices will eventually be. Couple of things we had to do in 95 State. One is get the bid package for those offices out on the street for bid, and they are out right now and get 95 State winterized. So in that period between bid time and this winter, we weren't going to have issues with that vacant building. So just trying to get it buttoned up, temporary heat, get that building at least tempered so that in the winter we can move forward with the construction.

And then off-site, we have an area where before we construct a lot of these things in bulk, like hotel rooms, we do like to have a model room where we work through all the kinks before we go onto the field and start with the real deal. So, we've issued our model room drawings that are currently getting worked on and coordinated.

Schedule, we owed you three updates
each month. One was we full-filled in the beginning of August, one was at the end of August and then September 30th was the last update. Nothing substantial to note in terms of opening date or activities. Still on track for September of '18. With that, I'll turn it over to Seth to speak about the --

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: And the I-91 project is apparently on or ahead of schedule as well, right?

MR. PACKER: Yes. Our staff meets with MassDOT folks every two weeks. It's a collective meeting with some communities that representatives that all come and all indications are that that's definitely on schedule, if not ahead of schedule.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: And what consequence, if any, are you feeling of the labor market pressures and just the general pricing pressures that we hear about?

MR. PACKER: Starting to see them recently, maybe not in the quarter we're speaking about, but recently we are seeing
if $I$ 'm going out to bid to six bidders, you may have two or three drop-off due to market conditions and being too busy. CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Really.

MR. PACKER: Yes. And we've got anywhere from seven to ten packages out right now, and that's some of what we're seeing. The pricing is yet to be leveled on that. But usually when you see that type of thing, you will see an increase in pricing. But $I$ can't verify it yet probably until next quarter, but folks are busy.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Is that something that we need to be thinking about or being concerned about that that inflation -- I mean, you guys have fought very hard to try to keep this at a price point that you feel comfortable with. And we have been, as the city has, fundamentally supported of that contingent upon doing all the things you said you were going to do. But that's, you know, a real world problem. Is that something --

MR. PACKER: I think in our scenarios from day one we are considering that how we are going to purchase the job. And so we've looked at things like restaurants. Maybe the restaurants aren't fully build-out by the team that's in place today, but those restaurants are competitively bid to smaller folks that can expand our -- kind of our market share and who's coming and working on our project.

So a lot of the time, it's how do
you just tap into those additional resources who can do a smaller project, maybe even do it more efficiently and aren't distracted by everything else. So fairly soon, you know, probably in the spring, towards the end of the spring, a lot of the packages won't be trade packages. So we won't be talking about electrical or drywall for the main project. We're going to be talking about cinema, restaurant $A$, restaurant $B$.

And when you can drive competition I think through the general contractors down
to many more subcontractors, that helps us at least know we're getting the best buy as well as resources that can handle it, and I'm not tapping into the same folks that are building our convention center. So we try to look at that from the beginning. The project in my head is one project, but it has 19 separate distinct projects and that's how we try to attack it.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Pushing down to smaller contractors and smaller bites is obviously advantageous from other standpoints as well in terms of local companies and so forth. But I think it's something we all need to just keep in our minds, Joe and John, and everybody. Okay, great.

MR. STRATTON: Thank you, Brian. Thank you, Commissioners. One of the exciting things about me for Q1 of 2017 is that we'll have our CFO on board in 2017. So I'll have to stop pretending that I know how to do math and present budget to you folks. So you will get a more competent
representative.
But until then, here is the Q3 2016 cost estimate updated through the end of Q3 that what it shows is just under $\$ 300$ million incurred to date against with just under $\$ 700$ million remaining to be spent during our pre-opening period.

A couple of points I'll just point out. We're showing now this reflects an additional $\$ 50$ million of spending over the end of Q2. It also -- what we are seeing is that in the construction spending, which is really our eligible capital cost, we've now exceeded $\$ 100$ million. That's up approximately just over $\$ 40$ million from the end of Q2 last time we presented to the Commission.

And the other number that's moved not quite as much but significantly is the pre-opening expense line item, which is up approximately $\$ 5$ million, just over \$5 million from the end of Q2. Questions?

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Seth, I have a question for you. Under land you are
showing a remaining balance about million eight. Is that just over budgeted or is there some land you're still anticipating purchasing?

MR. STRATTON: Short answer is over budget. I think it was the initial budgeting for the land acquisition that came in slightly under. I think there are some costs that may still -- that have already been expended. Small amounts might eat away at that $\$ 1.8$ million as the accounting continues. But we don't anticipate further spending, further significant spending under that line item.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Seth, remind
me what is OST in this chart?
MR. STRATTON: Ownership Supply
Equipment?
MR. PACKER: That's correct, yes.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Thank you.
MR. STRATTON: And with no further questions, I'll pass it back to Brian.

MR. PACKER: So we'll take you quickly through the diversity update. Many
of the percentages shared here today are consistent with last quarter. I think there's a couple of important things to note. One is on the first slide here that's combined design and construction commitments now 90 companies to date have commitments on the project. And there's a lot of projects we build and we work on that you would never see 90 diverse companies this early in the project have commitments.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: 90. I'm amazed you have 90 companies in the whole project.

MR. PACKER: So there's a lot of hard work that has gone into that. I think doing quick math a commitment of looks like here a little over $\$ 54$ million to these different companies, and that's something that we will continue to work on as we go forward but speaks to, you know, really to hit these commitments how much work has to go into this.

The quick stats on project goal for women in business at 10 percent and care
and commitments at 13.4, MBE is 5, care and commitments at 6.2 and VBE is at 2 percent target and 6.4 committed so far.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: That's great.
MR. PACKER: And I know there's
always an interest in breaking it down a little bit further a subset of those same numbers how does it stack in design and consultant companies versus the actual construction commitments.

So the design breakdown on WBE is 10, target 12.2 commitment, MBE 5 target, care and commitment over 11 percent and VBE 2 percent of the commitment is over 4 percent.

MR. MATHIS: Brian, can you go back one?

MR. PACKER: Sure.
MR. MATHIS: If I could just pause on this for a moment and I'll do this every quarterly update, because $I$ know the work it does it takes for him to achieve these numbers. We're starting to get into a funny routine where every time we prepare
for one of these presentations, Brian warns me to try to warn the Commission that we're not going to continue the run rate we're doing. And I think we're starting to lose credibility, at least he is with me, because we keep knocking the ball off the cover quarter after quarter, and it's nothing short of remarkable and he really should be commended for that.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Absolutely.
MR. PACKER: I think what you look at and say, hey, as we get further into construction and once your commitments, initial commitments are done and change orders roll in, we have less control to dictate the diverse percentage of change orders, right. So you're trying to buildup the bank so that when maybe there's less diverse change orders to come in, you're still able to meet your commitments, and we're trying to be ahead of that curve.

MR. MACDONALD: Brian, can you speak briefly to, you know, how you've done it; what's the strategy you've implemented that
allows you to achieve these very impressive milestones?

MR. PACKER: One is I have a great team, Chalan Brown, Jason Roswell. They really have taken a lot of the things that early on we talked about here, things that we learned on other projects that we have done and really been able to run with it. One of them is, you know, early on here everyday folks would e-mail us asking questions, you know, how do we get on the project, how do we -- and so you're kind of being hit from multiple community groups, multiple interests.

And Chalan has done a great job of working and actually spearheading creating the Community Partners Network, which I believe is up over 20 or 25 different community groups that now get together and all meet at one time and collectively talk about how they can help us, how we can help them. And then also how does the network move beyond MGM Springfield and, you know, stay in place after that.

And, so, opening dialogue between not only ourselves and the community but then really setting up that working dialogue monthly to address a lot of the, you know, grass root items that have to be addressed when you're dealing with diversity. How do you join a union? How can we help somebody join the union? There are certain criteria you need.

If someone doesn't have a GED, can -- we've hooked them up with a community group who helps do that. And so really that effort, that daily effort that Chalan and Jason live is really important to this. And then it's we can't do it ourselves, so relying on a lot of our community partners has been huge.

And then we won't read them all off, but I always like to give kind of an idea of where a lot of these companies are being sourced from. And while in the design and consulting side, you may see more from out-of-state or out of the area. I still think we've done a fairly good job of
getting regional companies to participate, and then on the construction side as well.

And, you know, all of this is in a competitive bid environment and really to have that representation has actually surprised me a little bit that when you look at how many companies are from the region, Massachusetts, Connecticut and not scattered throughout the United States, it's been interesting.

On construction commitments, WBE target of 10 , current commitments at 13.6 and MBE 5, care and commitments are at 5.1. We hope to announce a significant award there next month -- next quarter. And then with Veteran 2 percent and, again, over 6 percent.

And then on construction companies that have commitments, we have spent money with a given company to date. I've also highlighted those names in green. So you can get an idea of not only who has a commitment but who actually has already worked on the project.

And the last piece of our commitments, workforce statistics. So this is where we're tracking workers and hours worked everyday and then tracking that percentage against women, minorities and Veterans that are in the workforce. So the project goals for women are 6.9 percent project to date, 9.3 percent, minority 15.3, current project has been 26.2. And then Veteran at 8 percent, project to date has been 9.4 percent.

So, again, here tracking but, you know, everyday we just started actually through certain badging systems and databases, we try to get a report everyday of how are we doing against these numbers. Because when you have trades coming in and out as quickly as you do on the project, one day you might have a drywall company who is knocking it out of the park. The minute they are off the project, it exposes other folks that aren't. We now get a daily report where we can at least get a sense of where the project is.

And, for instance, last night I read yesterday's report and one of the categories was negative for the day. So I was able to call the superintendent this morning and ask him to tell me by tonight why that was an issue. So that live data can kind of help drive, you know, hitting these numbers.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: And so does the follow-up phone call.

MR. PACKER: Yes.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Great. These are incredible.

MR. PACKER: And then we just put in a few shots here for just some current site progress, which really reflect what you saw this morning. So one event I will note was we removed the sky bridge as it connected 95 State and 101. And for me that was -obviously, you know, you think of safety. You think of timing. We did work on it over the weekend and the guys were able to get both bridges out, safe and to the ground, cleaned up and out there before
work started again Monday. So that was Peo Monsini, who we call the demo boss. This will be one of has last kind of moments where he is, you know, handling demo on the project and it was flawless. So with that, Mike, I'll turn it back to you.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Anybody else for Brian?

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Excellent numbers. Good work.

MR. MACDONALD: Very impressive.
MR. PACKER: Thank you.
MR. MATHIS: Just in closing, I want to talk about some of the things as Brian and his team are building the building, our team is building the organization. And one of the things we're very focused on is workforce development. Commissioner Stebbins and Director Griffin have seen those efforts both at National Harbor, which will be a preview of what we'll do getting closer to our opening.

But really starting here locally, we kicked off our workforce development launch
with our skill smart program, which we talked to you in the past. And we know we owe you information on that. We're trying to get momentum on the launch and start collecting data so that we can start reporting back.

But as you recall, that's our tool to track geographically diversity skill set wise where we're at with applicants. You need a large poll of applicants that they'll telegraph to you where you're going to find your actual hirers. So we can know early on as our way to manage our hiring commitments before the first job gets posted by the number of folks we have in our system that have expressed an interest.

It was a really exciting launch. We had a room full of largely local

Springfield folks, very diverse group of people that were learning about opportunities and engaging in the platform, so very focused on workforce development.

And sort of lastly, one of our areas of focus is on the retail side. And I know
there is a lot of interest in what those spaces like look on the $F$ and $B$ side and on the retail side. For competitive reasons, you know, we have to keep some of that secret sauce until later on as we do our launch, our pre-market launch.

But some of the excitement that you heard about from our local business groups were feeling in terms of phone calls, you know, I'm glad we didn't pull a trigger on our retail space a year ago because we've got five times more the calls, and they are fresher and more exciting opportunities. So I will be leaving this meeting and meeting with one of those venders, which is a really exciting opportunity.

So those are works in progress, but workforce development and our leasing up is where are focus is right now on the organizational side.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Does having those -- you just talked about the diverse group you had at this early meeting, event, launch. Part of that is giving people time
to understand what the opportunities are and if they need additional skills and education, they have some time.

MR. MATHIS: Yes. Maybe we can tag-team this Commissioner Stebbins and I but that's exactly right. One of the key partners in that launch was our local community colleges. And what we've done with that skill smart platform is link jobs with certificate programs, so that you can go on as an applicant, describe your educational experience, describe the -look at the jobs you're interested in and they will tell you whether you qualify or not, which is pretty standard, but then also give you a map of how to qualify.

And those programs are already linked. We created more and more of them over time. People can apply for them now. They're largely one year certificate programs, so we have some time. But we're less than two years out and some of those are closer to 18 months, two years, so people need to be out there. Some are
already in those programs.
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Great.
COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Yes. There was Director Griffin and I had a chance to attend the opening session and I came back for, $I$ think, one of their final sessions and what impressed me was the energy of the MGM folks and the skill smart folks to keep, you know, the perspective employees engaged and excited about this process was just at the same level as it was the opening day. But, you know, a lot of questions, a lot of people excited about it.

And I enjoyed seeing the fact that you ran these sessions kind of throughout the area but also kind of brought people back down here and, you know, couple of people said, "Why do I have to come to Springfield?" It's like you're going to be working across the street. You have to start to get used to it. But, you know, the turnout was great. The enthusiasm was great, and we're anxious to kind of see the
numbers and see where some of the deficiencies are.

MR. MATHIS: That's right.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Anybody else? I know your staff is working with our staff on this but this issue about the extent to which a 10 year bar for people with felony convictions from non-gaming jobs is one we continue to wrestle with. And any data that you can get on that to the extent to which that is an issue or not, any time you get it, don't stand on a ceremony. We're just interested in feedback on that issue anytime you get it.

MR. MATHIS: Yes. Thank you for raising that issue, and $I$ know it's a complex issue and we're trying to balance collectively as a group delivering on the economic development, delivering on the job opportunities but maintain the integrity of our industry. So that's not lost on us.

We are working on the data. Your staff has been fantastic and made a commitment to work with us on that. One of
the complications in this area is getting to the data, especially as a private employer. So we're going to noodle on that shortly and continue to meet on that. And we will come back to you with our thoughts on it, and I think it's just an important discussion. You need to have it. I don't think there's necessarily an easy answer, but we're all trying to do the right thing on all those objectives.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Good. Anybody else? Great, thank you very much. Great report, great to be here.

MR. MATHIS: Have a good rest of the year if we don't see you.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Yes, you too. Thanks, impressive.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Santa Claus is going to come to Springfield this year. We'll take a quick break and then reconvene with the Ombudsman.
(A recess was taken)
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CHAIRMAN CROSBY: We are ready to reconvene public meeting number 205 at about 1:30. Ombudsman Ziemba.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: No, 2:30.
MR. ZIEMBA: Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, the second item up for consideration today is the potential finalization of the 2017 Community Mitigation Fund guidelines. As you're aware, the Commission has spent some significant time evaluating the program going into next year. We've met three times, October 13th, November 7th, November 10th.

In addition, we've had two meetings with each of the local Community Mitigation Advisory Committees for Regions A and B and the subcommittee on community mitigation. Further, we conducted a public comment period, which just ended on Monday November 27th -- 28th, I believe.

The comments have been included in your packets. Many of you attended multiple of these advisory sessions throughout the last couple of months. The draft before you reflects many of the ideas that we have heard over the course over the last couple of months. However, I think the Commission would benefit from reflecting on the comments received earlier and the comments of the subcommittee at the subcommittee community mitigation meeting on Tuesday.

All of these meetings were useful both in explaining the thinking that went into the drafts of this year's guidelines, the questions that we had in putting together the guidelines and for hearing thoughts on all of these issues.

Given the number of representatives at all of the meetings, it's difficult to say that any ideas or concerns were shared by all of those representatives. However, I can highlight some issues that resulted in very significant dialogue in all of these meetings.

There was advice by parties that the Commission should be cautious in its early
spending given the more significant impacts and potential more impacts that might be more easily ascertainable closer to when the facilities are open. Next there was a general level of enthusiasm in the concept of a job readiness program. However, there was a slight caution that the program may not be as clearly within the parameters of the Community Mitigation Fund but there was, in essence, a lot of excitement about the program.

One other general concern is how grant allocations are made by region and how they will track funds that are generated in each region by the gaming facility. Overall, there was a concern that we worked to ensure that the needs of the regions are met.

At the subcommittee and the community mitigation meeting, which included representatives of the host communities and the representatives of the surrounding communities, the members unanimously agreed to a number of
recommendations that closely followed the staff recommendations that were put forward to the Commission in the development of the draft that is up for consideration today. Such recommendations included that the Commission may place an overall limit on grants for the 2017 Community Mitigation Fund subject to the ability of the Commission to determine funding limits above or below this amount.

The Commission may continue to allocate significant funding for grants, for transportation planning grants. The Commission may place a per grant limit for the 2017 specific impact awards at 400,000 . The Commission may specify factors that it and the staff will utilize in evaluating competitive grants. The Commission may state that the Community Mitigation Fund will fund no application for more than two years for any municipal employee, and that the CMF will not pay the full cost of any municipal employee.

The Commission may not provide
grants involving private parties without significant matching funds from either the community or the licensee. The Commission may not authorize fund requests related to utility outages such as the mitigation of business interruptions from the CMF.

The Commission may make available no more than $\$ 200,000$ in technical assistance funding to assist in the determination of potential impacts that may be experienced by communities and proximity to the potential travel gaming facility in Taunton. And the Commission may provide funding of $\$ 200,000$ for two pre-employment programs, one in Region A and Region B for work readiness pilot initiatives.

I'm just going to turn to Jill just briefly to give a little more detail about how that was flushed out over the last month or so.

MS. GRIFFIN: So the pre-employment grant program is intended to mitigate a strain in existing resources and potential impact to the regional labor market. For
example, the Regional Employment Board of Hampden County has done a study that indicates 1,700 job vacancy in the county in food prep and related occupations and imagine when a casino opens hiring thousands of people. So the intention is to mitigate those types of resources. The focus is on helping low skilled adults obtain well-paying jobs and sustaining careers and occupations related to the casino.

So this effort will not only support the casino's efforts in the long-term but may probably have more impact on the regional labor market that has the potential to be impacted. But we intend that this to be a regional collaborative approach.

MGC staff believes that putting funds out early to encourage different municipalities and career centers and regional employment boards and other interested parties to work together will begin to ramp up efforts and programs in
the years to come.
And as John mentioned, the mitigation subcommittee saw real value in funding a pre-employment training program and also mentioned subsequent efforts of years two and three as potential. And I would just say that our interest is not funding a study but really funding a real program that starts to train people right away and starts to get folks ready for hospitality-related careers or other careers related to casinos.

MR. ZIEMBA: Thank you, Jill. Just to continue on with some of the recommendations. The subcommittee also agreed with the staff recommendation that we should just extend all of the reserves again into next year automatically without requiring any additional application from those communities that have a reserve and that the Commission may state that the cost of the preparation of a grant application cannot be funded by the Community Mitigation Fund.

Now, the group differed from the staff recommendation on the amount of funding for next year. The subcommittee group and indeed a lot of the testimony during the local community mitigation advisory committees both in the east and the west they urge caution, as I mentioned, in the spending levels for this upcoming year.

The subcommittee group recommended a funding level of $\$ 3.4$ million next year for the program versus 4.0 recommended by the staff. This reflects the cautious approach. Although the group recommended that the overall amount should be decreased, they recommended that the $\$ 800,000$ amount for transportation planning grants recommended in the draft should not change despite the fact that the transportation planning grants percentage of grants would increase.

The group also recommended that in evaluating applications, the Commission should be cautious in using the provision
of matching dollars by a community as a way to measure applications against each other. They noted that this should only be a factor in measuring planning grants against each other. The group was also asked about whether the recommended dollar limits included in the staff draft should be seen as hard and fast limits or they should be viewed more like guidelines.

I took from the meeting and meetings that members understood the need to have standards, but they expressed that the Commission really needs flexibility in evaluating applications.

In regard to the written submissions that we received earlier this week, there were a number of parties that expressed that the plan $\$ 200,000$ total for the employee readiness pilot program is insufficient, and that this funding should be increased to $\$ 400,000$ or 500,000 for the two programs and that the overall limit of the program the fund -- the total fund for next year should also increase.

We also received a comment from Mayor DeMaria that we should fund small transportation capital projects, not just the planning and design of transportation projects. We also received a comment that the 400 K threshold for specific impacts should be seen more as a guideline rather than a strict limit.

Further, we received support for a potential technical assistance program in Region C. There was the suggestion that funds should be made available to create reserves both in the tribal facility host community and the surrounding communities.

Commissioners, in your packet you will see a copy of our job guidelines. Most of the changes that I've included in your guidelines are merely cleanup changes that don't deviate substantially from what was previously discussed by the Commission.

You'll note that we limited the reach of the significance of matching funds as one of the criteria to determine funding decisions. We limited that to planning
applications, thus the fact that a community would not be able to provide a match to remedy a specific impact would not be a differentiator between applications.

You will note that we highlighted the overall amount of the program and the amount of the job readiness program. I believe, that further conversations about these items would be warranted based on the comments that we received.

On the issue of whether or not the proposed limit should be strict limits or guidelines, I note that our comments in past draft gave the Commission the authority to spend less or more than the proposed amounts. I explained at a prior meeting that I thought that some of the application limits should remain as communities and others would need to know how much an application should be so that one community doesn't apply for $\$ 50,000$ and another apply for 2 million.

Given my prior thoughts, I do believe that the Commission could consider
more flexibility on specific impact applications. Perhaps language could be added to say that the limit for specific impact applications is 400,000. However, a community could ask for a waiver of such limit in their application. Therefore, the signal would be sent regarding the limits but a community could petition the Commission in, you know, extreme situations or situations where there is a very significant need.

I do note that some language needs to be added to clarify that the 400K limit that applies to each community or each governmental entity applying for a specific grant, not to each specific impact, and so it's the application in total. Not 400K for one specific impact, 400 K for another specific impact. We've heard the application in the draft, so perhaps it could be misread.

So with that as a background, I welcome any questions and $I$ can give you a breakdown of any of the numbers or the
total budget numbers that the Commission wants to take a look at the overall program limit.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Commissioners?
MR. MACDONALD: I would say that what Ombudsman Ziemba has reported is accurate. He, however, failed to note that at the last subcommittee meeting I was elected by acclimation to be chair of --

MR. ZIEMBA: It was a glorious victory.

MR. MACDONALD: -- to be chair of the subcommittee. The only question was: Why would I want to do that? In any event, I'm very pleased to be a part of it. And on a totally serious note, the local subcommittee meetings that I've attended and the larger statewide subcommittee I've been tremendously impressed by the quality of the people who are members of it, their willingness to dig into the issues and they all seem very appreciative to the opportunity to participate -- to participate with us.

Just one observation, which goes to the practical environment of decisions at this point in time that it's striking to me that we are still in a very, very early stage of mitigation issues that the fund is to be used for unanticipated impacts. We are only as far as the Region $A$ and Region $B$ are concerned in a construction phase, and the impacts on the surrounding communities have really not been felt yet on account of the reality at this stage, which is the construction stage, and the impacts would be much more expected to be local in the host -- in the host communities.

I was actually impressed on the local and the statewide community committee levels that there was caution about the idea at this point in time of having an objective or a guideline of an equal amount being spent this year, next year and two years from now.

The rough consensus was or at least
it was expressed from a number of different
way that makes more sense to go lighter in the near term and then anticipate, you know, more spending in the later years on the rational that it will be in those later years that the impacts on the surrounding communities are going to be beginning to be felt as opposed to in the near term. And I adopt -- personally would adopt that view. CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Others? COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Yes. I'm in favor of that ramp-up, if you will, or start cautious. You know, if the recommendation from the committee about the overall for this year to be around 3.4 million, I think it's prudent. We could also see what happens when we get all these grant requests.

I want to mention a couple of
things. I was an early skeptic on the job readiness program. And based on the comments and based on some of the -- I did have the opportunity to attend a couple of the local community mitigation. There really seems to be an interest and towards
that -- towards that area. But just picking up on your comments, I really would caution or would go along with the amount or increasing it with the following provisions, something you mentioned. I really would like to see, and it depends on what responses we get, a real actionable, real data, real numbers behind whatever we decide to fund.

I should note, as you noted, that the question about how directly this is relative to the mission of the Community Mitigation Fund is somewhat indirect but I think it's appropriate when we're talking about a regional labor market that, you know, perhaps takes from existing businesses, et cetera.

So to the extent that we can really measure, you know, specific seminars or students or however many people go through a GED or whatever the case may be, I would go along with those recommendations. If we instead --

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: To increase.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Yes, to increase our fund, those figures. By the way, I see that business is a lot more for MGM not only because there's a closer timeframe or Region B, but also because there is a perceived wait list or deed that seems to be a lot more relevant in this region. So I don't know if we, depending on what happens, decide to eventually fund this region first and the other one later, again, it all depends on how the grantees or the grantees submit their requests.

So I would encourage us to stay away from entertaining whoever is going to be doing outreach, you know, events. I think there is -- the licensees can do plenty of that. But if we're going to fund out of the Community Mitigation Fund for those efforts, I would really like to see, you know, some real programs specific, you know, measurable.

MS. GRIFFIN: So the application is due to John by Monday, but I'm thinking that's a great suggestion. And one of the
questions may be, how do you propose to measure impact? So we could absolutely do that.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: I would echo Enrique's comments and I think that just general glance, and I've talked about this with Director Ziemba about the terminology or the use of the word "pilot" might suggest, hey, let's put some money out and kind of get your ideas and then follow it up in subsequent years with some assistance.

I think what should be explicit in our consideration of funding this type of activity is my expectation would be I want to see collaboration. I want to see not an applicant coming from one entity but an application coming from a number of entities that are involved in this type of work. And to your point, Commissioner, what are the real results we want to see coming out?

You know, as you just pointed out, we're less than two years away from opening

MGM. I don't want to see a plan or a strategy come out of this. Let's see what are some of the hard concrete deliverables that can come out of the expenditure of such funds.

And I would suggest to Jill, I would suggest to John, you know, any applications we review, let's try to think of who are some of the statewide stakeholders are that can review this application with us, make sure we are getting the bang for our buck. I say this all the time. Director Griffin is very talented, but I hope to have some other voices and few points and eyes at the table to look over a potential application.

I would go along with some of the comments that we heard and suggest raising the $\$ 200,000$ suggestion that's been given and raise it to 400,000 . Again, we are not obliged to spend that money, but I wouldn't want to necessarily constrain peoples' thinking of, well, I'm only going after this amount, so target my program to this amount. I would like to have them a little
wider range of thought and discussion and ideas go into highlighting a little bit bigger program if we suggest that more money is available.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Anybody else? Go ahead.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: I was going to make other points, thanks. As part of the comments, one of the letters here makes reference to the City of Taunton, the host community in Region C being eligible or perhaps who could clarify that in the guidelines. And I know, John, you took a look at what the compact provides for and there's two -- perhaps you can help me this -- there's two specific sections that apply.

There's a section where in terms of surrounding communities, they're specifically eligible to petition monies from the Community Mitigation Fund, not only in the compact, because there's really no other process for those surrounding communities but that's not the case for the
host community, which $I$ don't know if we need to clarify that in the guidelines or if we can just have this discussion and clarify it amongst ourselves.

But my read further compact is that the host community, Region $C$, would not be eligible for Community Mitigation Fund monies.

MR. ZIEMBA: That's right,
Commissioner. So the way the guidelines reads is that the host community in Region C for the tribal facility is not eligible for the 2017 Community Mitigation Fund. And, specifically, the thinking going into that is when we read the compact in tandem with the Gaming Act for Region $A$, for Region B, for the slots-related communities, the source of mitigation funding over and above the surrounding community agreements is the Community Mitigation Fund. That is specified directly in the Gaming Act.

In regard to the surrounding communities to the tribal facility, there's
a section in the compact 12.2 that delineates and specifies that the funding to mitigate such impacts from the surrounding communities for constructions or operations impacts can come from Section 61 of the act, the Community Mitigation Fund enabling section.

There is a separate and distinct section in the compact, 12.1 , relative to host communities, and that section does not provide the same reference of saying that a community can go to the Community Mitigation Fund for any relief. And it specifically says in that section, "The tribe's agreement with Taunton addresses the impact the facility is likely to have on the host community and identifies measures that the tribe will take, including the provision of financial resources to the host community to mitigate those impacts."

So when constructing the guidelines, it was at least unclear to us that there is authorization for the host community to the
tribal facility to take advantage of the Community Mitigation Fund. And with that lack of clarity and with indeed the lack of clarity that's actually happening down with the tribal facility at least at this time, and I don't think that we have to say yes, no, forever at this point. But I think given the lack of clarity and what is happening down in with the tribal facility for the purposes of the fund for next year, we didn't recommend that they be eligible. COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Okay. CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Anybody else? On the three or four things you brought up, the flex -- giving flexibility -- letting it be known that there is some flexibility in these guidelines I think is important whether you do it by way of waiver, but that these are firm guidelines but these are not absolute guidelines I think is important for the reasons that you and some of these letters have said.

On the scheduling of whether we should -- I mean, the difference between
3.4 and 4 is not -- it's almost not material in a way. But when I originally at the local mitigation advisory committee meeting heard the idea of ramping up the expenditures, I agreed when $I$ heard it. But as I now think about it, it seems to me that in a sense that doesn't make sense.

Because the principal negative consequences for a host community mostly or anyplace else is during the early stages of construction, when you've got demolition, excavation, you know, steel, big trucks, cement trucks. Once the skin is up and the big macro construction is done, you're working inside the walls and its electricians and wires and so forth. It's invisible almost to the public.

And even on the job readiness stuff, you know, it's particularly early job readiness where we're really at the front of the pipeline where we're finding hard to employ, underemployed, unemployed people, under skilled people, getting them early on at the pipeline. So, again, if anything,
in a way there might be a greater need earlier on than later when you're real close to it.

Now, getting to operations is different. But once we get to operations, we have a different source of revenue. So we're only talking up until the doors open. So the more I think about it, the more I think actually, if anything, I don't think it necessarily does make much sense. As I say, I don't think the difference between 3.4 is worth going to war over. But I do think if you think about it, the negative consequences might actually be bigger at the beginning than at the end.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: By way of clarifying some of that, the job readiness, we're talking about the operations phase, aren't we?

MR. ZIEMBA: Correct.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Because we're not trying to fund job readiness for let's say the trades. We've never intended that. MS. GRIFFIN: Correct, that's right.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Not a little bit, a little bit. Go ahead.

MS. GRIFFIN: I think this is intended for operations.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Okay. You were going to say?

MR. ZIEMBA: Yes. I was going to say that $I$ agree with a lot of the points that the Chairman just raised regarding that in terms of construction-based impacts. But the one big category that is not included in that overall scheme that the Chairman just mentioned are transportation-related projects.

As Mayor DeMaria made a request, he said maybe we should consider at least some of the funds for capital funds, capital funds for the projects. All we are paying for transportation is design and for planning. But as we get a little bit closer to when the facilities are up and running, we may actually consider actual dollars for transportation-related projects. And that that could have a major
impact upon our budgets in future years.
I don't recommend that we make that turn at this moment to actually funding construction-related projects for many reasons. But one of the reasons is that we are still awaiting some of the findings from the lower Mystic regional working group. I don't what should happen with those. But those bigger dollar items and transportation-related items, even though they are really operations-related items, it's quite possible we may end up spending dollars prior to operations.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Yes. You just reminded me about a project, the bike path we funded for Everett, on the design, was it 25 percent design?

MR. ZIEMBA: That's right, 25 percent.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: So those dollars are comparatively rather small for what it's actually going to cost to actually build the path. So just on the same project, not just because of timing
but because of relative weight, you know, if that's a project that would be ideal prior to opening or soon thereafter, there could be significant dollars that the city might request just to complete the project. Because, frankly, nobody would like to see just the planning being done and the actual project not done, which speaks to my earlier point, the one that also the Community Mitigation Advisory Committee takes to be cautious early on because we are, you know, early on this year because we might need a lot more comparatively. Actually, just to finish the projects that we sort of started as we get closer. The good news --

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: You mean switching from planning to implementation.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Yes. And I do agree that the difference between 3.4 and 4 is not great, but it does speak to the dynamics that we should be cognizance about. Again, the good news is that eventually that fund begins to be funded by
the operations of MGM, which is the first one that comes online from the gross gaming revenues, so there will be -- you know. But, again, there's a lot of time between now and then, and we really need to be thinking in short-term.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: I mean, that's really a different question. The question originally was: Shall we ramp up because the mitigation needs will be likely greater closer to opening than before. I'm not sure that makes sense. If the argument is we might want to end up using this for capital expense rather than planning expense and, therefore, we should ramp, that topic was never on the table before. So that's a different question, you know.

If you suggested maybe we put a bucket of $3,000,000, \$ 4,000,000$ in a reserve in anticipation of the possibility, which at least one staff member and one Commissioner have suggested that they might like this idea, for capital expenses, that's a whole different story. But that
issue has never been presented for us to consider.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Because they first had to do the design and the planning and, you know, that's I guess the order of the conditions. I mean, we do have in my mind there was also a possibility with the specific impact grants for specific projects of construction. But, again, maybe what's happening locally is they're just getting around to it locally, because there's money available and they start with planning and they with design.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: I actually kind of like that idea. I sort of like the idea of whether you ramp it for the purpose of saving money for capital or whether you just take across a capital reserve. I think that's kind of an interesting idea. And when I saw Mayor DeMaria's letter, that seemed to me like a worthwhile idea. So I certainly will be open to that for that reason. There was one other thing I was going to say and I --

Oh, yes, the increase for getting more money for job readiness is from 200 to 200, intuitively I thought that might make sense but I mostly wanted to hear what Director Griffin and Commissioner Stebbins thought. But it sounds like you think if properly allocated that you would agree that it would make sense to go up. I think Commissioner Stebbins was explicit, and I don't know where you came down.

MS. GRIFFIN: I support it wholeheartedly.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Okay, fine. Well, that's important to know. I would defer the two of you on that one.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: I thought that one was important as well, because you do need time to get the skills and now would be the time. So I would agree with Commissioner Stebbins' remarks also, though, that we would like to so see not just a plan but real hard statistics on what those numbers are.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: We sort of talked
about this, but I completely agree with that. All of you have said there needs to be real rigor in these programs. And, you know, back in the day when $I$ was responsible for administering, you know, federal job training money, there was a lot of loosey-goosey stuff that goes on in that whole world. It's never been a well-organized administratively. So we want to spend the money really wisely and well but also aggressively because the need is so real.

And if we fail on teeing up folks, we've been so serious about this and thought about it so much, been so committed to it, if we don't do it, that will be a real serious black mark. So I'm in favor, and I agree with Commissioner Cameron but I urge you to really put pedal to metal with rigor to get those programs going.

MR. MACDONALD: Rounding out the comments on that and those by the Chairman, I think that his observations with regards to the workforce development aspect of this
idea of ramping up earlier rather than later is very much on point. So I personally would be in favor of increasing the guideline amount with that.

With regard to his larger point with respect to the Community Mitigation Funds, I, and of course this is more just instinct than anything else or seat of the pants, but I've now attended I think four community mitigation meetings and at none of those did anybody, you know, say in substance, my God, we're dealing with a crisis situation and there are no funds available for us on account of the fact of what is going on in Springfield and on account of what is going on in Everett.

And to me that kind of makes sense for the reasons that I said before. And that is that in the construction phase that the impacts are most likely going to be in the host cities where the trucks are coming and going and taking away parking spaces and generally causing inconvenience to the inhabitance of the host community whereas
the Ludlows or the East Longmeadows or whatever who are out there, they may have an impact but it's not likely to be in the near term. It's more in the long term.

This wasn't my idea. It was the idea of the members of those surrounding communities that were kind of afraid of coming up short down the line when they really need it by virtue of injudicious spending just in order to be able to meet a guideline in the earlier years.

MR. ZIEMBA: Commissioners, could I just go over the budget items just to give a little bit of a summary on how the budget items work with a 3.4 recommendation and, indeed, if we're moving forward with an increase of 200,000 and the pre-employment program, $I$ just wanted to give you the benefit of that.

So under the $\$ 4$ million that was proposed under the staff program, that would have been comprised of 800,000 for transportation, 500,000 for the Category 2 slots overall, $\$ 200,000$ for the compact
technical assistance program, $\$ 200,000$ for the pre-employment program and that was 400,000 that was sort of included in there relative to the sheriff's grant for lease assistance. Including all those items, the remainder would have ended at $\$ 4$ million and after accounting from all those items, we would have approximately $\$ 1.9$ million for specific impact grants.

Under the proposal that was put forward by the mitigation subcommittee going down to $\$ 3.4$ million, that 1.9 by reducing that 4 million to 3.4 if you take out 600,000. If you take the 600,000 directly out of the specific impacts, you go down to $\$ 1.3$ million for specific impacts. If we stay at the $\$ 3.4$ million level with the increase of 200,000 for pre-employment, the specific impacts go down to 1.1 versus the original 1.9.

But it's not as draconian obviously as it seems with that 1.9 versus 1.1 , because there's quite a potential that there may not be a lot of spending in those
other categories. For example, depending on what happens with the tribe, the technical assistance program may never go forward or we don't know what sort of grants we would get in the Category 2 slots.

But I do just put that up to you for consideration of under the original 4 million there was 1.9 leftover for specific impacts and leftover is not the correct term obviously. And then under $\$ 3.4$ million program with a 400,000 pre-employment program, that goes down to 1.1.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Yes. I think that's all reasonable within the same framework that we've spoken about the guidelines, and we will see what we get. This is an early thought, but perhaps we could see how the activity on Category 2 pans out.

Given that we are now one year into the operations of Plainridge and given, you know, depending on what we see from the

Category 2 region, maybe we can start thinking about reducing that amount for the subsequent year or perhaps all together eliminating it as a guideline and just wait to see any specific impact requests we might get from those communities. Because it would appear to me that there is not a lot of unanticipated impacts from that region that have come to fruition. Again, we can --

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Do you need a vote from us, John?

MS. BLUE: Yes.
MR. ZIEMBA: I think we do need a vote to authorize the guidelines. What specifically if you go with the staff draft and whatever we determine on budget, the couple of items that we discussed here is the staff draft that's been before you today, we would like enough flexibility to do certain errors or anything, anything that is consistent with the discussion of the Commission. But we would amend the specific impact application so it could be
$\$ 400,000$, but there could be a waiver to go over and above that. We would add that. On the $\$ 400,000$ per grant, we would clarify that it is $\$ 400,000$ per community, per governmental entity.

And then on the criteria for pre-employment programs, specifically match person with a lot of the conversations the match, people didn't want match to be something that could differentiate programs on specific impacts, but the pre-employment program that is very much -- it is similar in some activities to planning perhaps a match could be a consideration when we're evaluating grants against each other. I think that's something that Director Griffin had recommended to me.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: And then the 200 would go to 400.

MR. ZIEMBA: And the 200 would go to 400 .

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: I'm basically agnostic on the three, four versus the four, so, you know, does somebody -- what
sort of a -- does anyone have a strong preference one way or the other?

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: My preference
is to go with the 3.4.
MR. MACDONALD: Me as well.
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: I didn't feel
that strongly about it either. Good arguments were made on both counts, but what I do like is the thought and the staff recommendations and how thoughtful they are of evaluating the specific proposal. So it's almost like the number doesn't matter as much because of the work that is -- in other words, $I$ don't think because it's 3.4 you're going to say no to a really good proposal.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Right, you'd come back to us.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: That is why I feel comfortable that --

MR. ZIEMBA: We reserve the right to go above or below. And when we get applications, we can come to the Commission and say, hey, we received $\$ 4$ million in
transportation planning grants. Can we move that category or $\$ 4$ million in specific impact grants.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Does somebody want to move on the three, four.

COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Sure.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: I'm sorry. I just had one other question. Forgive me. Under what specific impacts can be funded? John, there's a sentence that says, "Please note that impacts determined through any look back review likely are unanticipated impacts." That sounds as if it's, therefore, opening up look back mitigation needs as something that would be acceptable for the CMAC. But I thought the whole idea of look backs was because communities had negotiated the right to have look backs, and then get further mitigation from the licensees.

MR. ZIEMBA: Yes. What that
sentence is meant to reassure communities that just because they entered into an agreement that was more focused on look
backs that they are not prohibited from coming to the fund for those -- for that. We will take a look at each one of those versus the surrounding community agreement or the host community agreement. But just because there was a look back, that does not mean that they are not eligible for the fund.

But specifically, for example, the slots, most of those are look back requirements. And I think to the degree that it specified that those particular types of impacts should be mitigated, we would do so. But I just didn't want to put too much of a fine point on anticipated versus unanticipated as a fine line marker that if it's unanticipated -- I didn't want to put too fine line of a marker so that people would not be eligible for the fund. CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Okay. Well, I think saying that in the way you said it is fine. What I'm concerned about, and maybe this is an unnecessary concern, is I don't want to open up the Community Mitigation

Fund to relieve the obligation of licensees to do what they were supposed to do. I mean, I would be more comfortable if somehow this were clarified to say just because we have a look back provision doesn't mean -- first of all, there's look back provision in the HCAs and the SCAs and there's just looking back, which is what we're doing all the time.

So if you're just talking about looking back, that's one thing. But if you're talking about look back provisions, I want to make sure we're not opening up -offering a chance to replace the licensees.

MR. ZIEMBA: Mr. Chairman, I think we can delete the language, and we'd be guided by the way we evaluate the applications.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: I'm fine with that, great. Enrique, go ahead.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: So I would move that the Commission approve the 2017 Community Mitigation Fund guidelines with the corrections and edits discussed here
today. I'm not sure I will get them all right, but an overall target amount of $\$ 3.4$ million and delegate to staff the ability to fine-tune all of the discussion here and issue --

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Fine-tune the draft pursuant to our discussion.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Yes.
Fine-tune the draft pursuant to this discussion.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Second.
MR. MACDONALD: Just do you want to
also add, Commissioner Zuniga, the increase from 200,000 to 400,000?

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Yes, thank
you. And specifically --
MR. MACDONALD: That's on page ten of the draft.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: And
specifically increase the job readiness program target amount from 200,000 to $\$ 400,000$, again, as discussed here today. CHAIRMAN CROSBY: And we had a second from Commissioner Cameron. Any
further discussion? All in favor?
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye.
COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Aye.
COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Aye.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Opposed? The ayes have it unanimously.

MR. ZIEMBA: Thank you, Commissioners. That ends my report.

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Thank you.
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Last item is
number five, Commissioners updates. The online gaming discussion, I don't have much to report. We had our first meeting of the online gaming committee -- DFS and online gaming committee that was legislatively mandated. We had our first meeting, which I talked about. Our second meeting I think is next week.

The only other thing that's really happened is I sent a couple of articles that of all those articles that flow through our in-boxes about DFS and online
to the key two staff members of the committee from the house and senate and asked if they would like to have other stuff that comes across our desks and they both said yes.

So I have been saving up a bunch of stuff that I'll review. But anybody else particularly you're thinking about and we should mention it to Justin and Paul, because they get a lot of stuff too. So maybe I could be the filter, and if anybody has got stuff that they think might be constructive to this group to think about. You know, sports betting articles, that's another one.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Yes. CHAIRMAN CROSBY: That's it for -well, the only other one that I would take is I just wanted to remind everybody and I think we did this, Elaine, on our website but we are asking for feedback on the issue of the marijuana law, and we will put that back on the agenda at some point to discuss. So for whoever is out there, if
you have got thoughts about that, please give them to us. Other things going on in your lives or issues?

MR. BEDROSIAN: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to recognize one of our staff members who has spent his birthday with us today, Mike Sangalang.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Happy birthday. COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Happy Birthday, Mike.

CHAIRMAN CROSBY: Did you get any sleep the night before your birthday? All right. Do I have a motion to adjourn?

COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: So moved.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: All in favor?
COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Aye.
COMMISSIONER STEBBINS: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ZUNIGA: Aye.
COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Aye.
CHAIRMAN CROSBY: All right, we are adjourned.

COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Good job team.

COMMISSIONER MACDONALD: Thank you
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